
COULD NOT ANSWER

DISCLAIMER:

About the BOOKS

April 1998 Copyleft © Hakikat Bookstore, Istanbul.

Permission to reprint & distribute is granted only if this heading included, and the text is not modified in any way, shape or form to alter the intended meaning. HAKIKAT BOOKSTORE

About the SITE & Online Books

All content included on this site, such as text, graphics, logos, button icons, images, audio clips and software, is the property of HizmetBooks or its content suppliers and protected by U.S. and international copyright laws. The collection, arrangement and assembly of all content on this site is the exclusive property of HizmetBooks and protected by U.S. and international copyright laws.

YOU MAY NOT:

Distribute the text or graphics to others without the express written permission of HizmetBooks.

"Mirror" this information on your own server without the express written permission of HizmetBooks.

Modify or reuse the text or graphics on this system.

You may:

Print copies of the information for your personal use.

Store the files on your own computer for your personal use;

Reference this server from your own documents.

HizmetBooks reserves all other rights.

If you are in the North or South America:

Hizmet Books

P O Box: 916

SADDLE BROOK, N.J. 07663

admin@hizmetbooks.org

If you are outside the North or South America:

HAKIKAT KITABEVI

Darusefaka Cad. No: 57/A P.K.35

34262-Fatih ISTANBUL, TURKEY

hakikat@ihlas.net.tr

COULD NOT ANSWER

P R E F A C E

Bismi'llahi'r-rahmani'r-rahim

May hamd be to Allahu ta'ala! May salutations and benedictions be unto our Prophet Muhammad alaihis-salam, the highest of Prophets, unto his pure Al, and unto all of those who had the honor of being Companions (Ashab) to him!

Every thousand years since Adam alaihis-salam, the first human and the first Prophet, Allahu ta'ala has sent to mankind a new religion through a new Prophet with a Sharia. Through them He has shown human beings the way of living in peace and comfort in this world and attaining endless felicity in the next world. Those Prophets by whom a new religion was revealed are named Rasul. The superior ones of Rasuls are called Ulul'azm. They are Adam, Nuh (Noah), Ibrahim. Musa (Moses), Isa (Jesus), and Muhammad alaihimus-salatu was-salam.

And now the world has three religions with heavenly books; Musawi (Judaism), Christianity, and Islam. Taurah was revealed to Musa alaihis-salam and Injil (the Bible) to Isa alaihis-salam. Jews say that they have been following the religion brought by Musa alaihis-salam, and Christians claim to be following that of Isa alaihis-salam.

Qur'an al-karim was revealed to the last Prophet, our Prophet, Muhammad alaihis-salam. Qur'an al-karim has invalidated all the rules of other divine books; in other words, it has abrogated some of them and recollected others within itself. Today, all people have to obey Qur'an al-karim. No country in the world today has any original copies of the Taurah or the Bible. These books were later interpolated by people.

All Prophets, from Adam alaihis-salam to the last Prophet Muhammad alaihis-salam, taught the same iman, and stated the same principles for their umma to believe. Jews believe in Musa alaihis-salam and deny Isa and Muhammad alaihimus-salam. Christians believe in Isa alaihis-salam, too, but they do not believe in Muhammad alaihis-salam. Muslims, on the other hand, believe in all Prophets. They know that Prophets have some superior qualities distinguishing them from other people.

The true religion of Isa alaihis-salam was soon changed by his adversaries insidiously. A Jew named Paulus (of Tarsus) said that he believed in Isa alaihis-salam and pretended to try to spread Isawi religion, but made away with Injil, which had been revealed by Allahu ta'ala. Later the theory of trinity was inserted into Isawi religion. An unreasonable and illogical doctrine, namely father - son - holy spirit, was thus established. The genuine Injil having been lost, some people wrote Gospels later. The council of clergy that met in Nicea in A.D. 325 annulled fifty of the existing fifty-four Gospels. Four Gospels remained: Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John. Paul's lies and the theory of trinity propounded by Plato were given place in these Gospels. An apostle named Barnabas wrote a true account of what he had heard and seen from Isa alaihis-salam, but the Gospel of Barnabas was annihilated.

Constantine the Great, who was a pagan formerly, converted to Christianity in A.D. 313. He ordered that all the Gospels be compiled into one Gospel, but the Council left four Gospels. A number of ancient pagan elements were assimilated into these. He adopted the Christmas night as the beginning of the new years, and christianity became the official religion. [It was written in the Injil of Isa alaihis-salam and in the Gospel of Barnabas that Allahu ta'ala is one]. Athanasius the Bishop of Alexandria was a trinitarian. A priest named Arius said that the four Gospels were

wrong, that Allahu ta'ala is one, and that Isa alaihis-salam is not His son but His created servant and Prophet, but they would not listen to him. Instead, they excommunicated him. Arius propagated unitarianism, but did not live long. For many years Athanasians and Arians fought against each other. Later on, a number of councils came together and made new changes in the existing four Gospels.

In 446 [A.D. 1054], the Eastern church parted with the Roman church. Christians who were adherent to the Roman church were called Catholics, and adherents of the Eastern [Istanbul] church were called Orthodox.

In the sixteenth century the German priest Luther Martin [A.D. 1493-1546] revolted against the Pope, Leon X. In 923 [A.D. 1517] he founded the Protestant church. This same priest directed some abominable aggressions towards the Islamic religion. Luther Martin and Calvin changed Christianity all the more. Thus an unreasonable and implausible religion came into being.

The light shed on Europeans by the Andalusian Muslims commenced a renaissance movement in Europe. Upon learning positive science, many European young scientists revolted against the now absurd and illogical Christianity. The attacks carried on against Christianity were not practicable against Islam. For, since the first day of its declaration, the Islamic religion has been preserving all its pristine purity. It contains no idea or information that would run counter to reason, logic, or knowledge. Qur'an al-karim has been preserved precisely as it was revealed, without even one diacritical dot having been changed.

In order to spread the Christian belief and to christianize other peoples, Europeans founded missionary organizations. The ecclesiastical and missionary organizations, which had now become the world's most powerful organizations economically, took to an inconceivable activity. In order to propagate Christianity over Islamic countries they started a terrifying hostile act against Islam. They began to send thousands of books, brochures and magazines praising Christianity to all parts of Islamic countries. And now books, magazines and brochures teaching Christianity are unceasingly being distributed in our beautiful country, and some are being sent to addresses from abroad. Thus they are trying to blur minds and derange beliefs.

The Islamic 'Ulama have answered all the views, ideas and philosophical thoughts contrary to Islamic faith. Meanwhile they have exposed the errors of defiled Christianity. They have declared that it is not permissible to follow the changed and invalidated books. They have explained that, for living in comfort and peace in this world and attaining endless bliss in the next world, it is necessary to be Muslim. Priests have not been able to answer the books of Islamic 'Ulama'. The books written by the Islamic 'Ulama to refute strayed religions are numerous. Among these, the following are renowned for replying to Christians:

Tuhfat-ul-arib, Arabic and Turkish; Diya-ul-qulub, Turkish; Iz-har-ul-haq, Arabic and Turkish; Es-sirat-ul-mustaqim, Arabic; Izah-ul-maram, Turkish; Mizan-ul-mawazeen, Persian; Irshad-ul-hiyara, Arabic; and Er-redd-ul-djemil, Arabic and French. Of these, Diya-ul-qulub, written by Ishaq Effendi of Harput[1], is specially an answer to the wrongful writings and slanders written by Protestant priests against Islam. The book was first published in Istanbul in 1293 [A.D. 1876]. Simplifying the book, we presented it to our dear readers' use in 1987. Now we have translated it into English. We used brackets for adding statements originating from a second book. As will be seen in various parts of the book, the priests could not answer the questions they were asked. We therefore considered the title *Could not Answer* appropriate for our book. The unscientific, unreasonable and immoral contents of today's existing copies of the Holy Bible are obvious. On the other hand, the writings of Islamic 'Ulama, shedding lights on reason, knowledge, science and civilization, teem in the world's libraries. It cannot be an excuse for today's people not to see

or know this fact. Now, those who search for a religion other than the Islamic religion brought by Muhammad alaihis- salam will not escape endless torment in the hereafter. In our book, the meanings of ayat al-karimas are explained in such terms as "It is purported that...", "It purports that...", "It is meant that...", etc. The meaning of these expressions is "According to the explanation of the 'Ulama of Tafsir...". For, the meanings of ayat-i karimas were understood only by Rasulullah (sall-allahu alaihi wassallam), who in his turn explained them to his Ashab. The 'Ulama of Tafsir distinguished these hadith ash-Sharifs (explaining the ayat al-karimas) from those hadith ash-Sharifs fabled by munafiqs, mulhids and zindiqs, who could not find hadith ash-Sharifs to suit their purposes and so made their own interpretations of ayat al-karimas within the principles of the science of Tafsir. The interpretations of those religiously ignorant people who know Arabic but who are unaware of the science of Tafsir are not to be called Tafsir of the Qur'an. For this reason, it is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif: "He who interprets the Qur'an al-karim according to his own understanding will become a kafir."

May Allahu ta'ala bestow on us all the fortune of obeying the master of his and the next worlds, Muhammad alaihis-salam! May He protect us against believing the erroneous ideas and propaganda of missionaries, especially those heretics called Jehovah's witnesses! Amin.

WAQF IKHLAS

A.D. (1993)

Hijri (Solar) (1371)

Hijri (Lunar) (1411)

INTRODUCTION TO THE FIRST (TURKISH) EDITION

Hamd and praise are merited by Allahu ta'ala, who is wajib-ul-wujud (whose existence is absolutely necessary), and belong to Him alone. All the order and the beauties in the universe are the visible lights of the works of His power. His infinite knowledge and power appear on things depending on their various qualities. Al the existence is a drop of His ocean of knowledge and power. He is one; He does not have a companion (partner, likeness). He is Samed, that is, the being with whom all creatures will take refuge. He is free from being a father or son. It is purported in the twenty-third ayat of sura Hashr: "Allahu ta'ala does not have companion, a partner in being ilah (God). He is Ruler whose domain never ceases to exist. He is free from anything that is deficiency. He is far from defects or powerlessness. He has secured believers against the endless torment. He dominates over and preserves everything. He is capable of enforcing, His decree. [When man wants to do something. He creates it if He, too, wills it be so. He alone is the Creator. No one other than He can create anything. No one except He can be called creator. He has shown the way to salvation that will provide men's living in peace and comfort in this world and the next and attaining endless felicity, and commanded them to live in this way. Greatness and highness belong to him]. Allahu ta'ala is free from the polytheism and calumny of the polytheists".

May salat and salam be offered with love to the blessed grave, which is a Paradise garden, of the Messenger of Allahu ta'ala, Muhammad Mustafa (sall- allahu alaihi wassalam), who is the highly honored Prophet of the latest time. For, that Sarwar (sall-allahu alaihi wassalam) was sent with Qur'an al-karim for saving humanity from the darkness of ignorance and establishing tawhid and iman. The sixty-fourth ayat of sura Al-i-'imran purports the following declaration: "O My Habib! Tell the Jews and Christians, who are ahl al-kitab! Concede to the word which is common

between us and you without any difference among the heavenly books and Prophets: 'We worship none but Allahu ta'ala and we do not attribute any partner to Allahu ta'ala.'" Rasulullah (sall-allahu alaihi wassalam) was commanded to adapt himself to the genuine meaning of this divine call.

May salam and benedictions be donated upon the blessed graves of His (sall-allahu alaihi wassalam) Al and Ashab. Each of them is a star of hidaya guiding to they way of happiness and salvation approved by Allahu ta'ala. They each sacrificed their lives and property for the spreading of the Islamic faith. They carried and taught the Kalima-i-tawhid (Allah is one) all over the world.

As anyone with reason will see, when the universe is observed with prudence, all the deeds and situations in this universe ar in an order dependent upon unchangeable laws. A discreet person will conclude at once that a Khaliq (Creator), who is wajib ul-wujud and who establishes these laws and preserves them as they are, is necessary. Then, Janab-i Haqq (Allah) is the absolute Creator, who is eternal in the past and eternal in the future, who is the original beginning of everything, and how He is cannot be comprehended through mind. He has collected all sorts of perfection and superiority in Himself. He is Ahad, that is, He is One in His person, Deeds and Attributes. He does not have a likeness.

Allahu ta'ala is one, He is azali, abadi, and Qadim. He is far from any sort of change. Everything other than He in the world of beings gets old, deteriorates, and changes in process of time. But Allahu ta'ala is far, free from any kind of change. He never changes. As time will not change the expression "One plus one makes two", so the oneness of Allahu ta'ala does not change with the elapse of centuries' time.

Man, who has been distinguished from other creatures with such a gift as mind, has been cognizant of this fact since his creation on the earth. This fact has been explained in different ways by different religions and sects. However, since men's mental and intellectual capacities differ, each person searching for the Creator has imagined Him within his own nature, temperament, knowledge and cognitive capacity, and described Him according to his own understanding and temperament. For, man has likened what he cannot understand or know because of the incapability and shortcoming of his mind to the things he knows. Most of those who claim to have found out the fact, have plunged into atrocities and aberrations such as magi, idolatry, and polytheism.

Since man, with his imperfect mind, cannot understand the absolute Creator, Allahu ta'ala, the most merciful of the merciful, sent Prophets to every nation in every century. Thus He taught men the truth of the matter. The fortunate ones believed, were saved, and attained happiness in this world and in the hereafter. The hapless, unlucky ones objected, denied, and remained in depression and frustration.

Each Prophet lived in a different country in a different period, and was sent to a nation with different customs and traditions. Every Prophet, while teaching the existence and oneness of Allahu ta'ala to people, stated some rules and worships that will bring about man's happiness in this and the next world's. According to historians, approximately sixteen hundred and fifty years before the accepted birth date of Isa alaihis-salam, Allahu ta'ala sent Musa (Moses) alaihis-salam as the Prophet. Musa alaihis-salam taught the Sons of Israel the belief in the existence and oneness of Allahu ta'ala and some other principles of iman, as they had been taught by the other Prophets preceding him, such as Adam, Nuh (Noah), Idris, Ibrahim, Ishaq, and Yaqub (alaihimus-salam), to their own tribes in their own times. Spreading the information pertaining to compulsory worships and principles of social relations for and wide, he tried to make the Sons of

Israel refrain from polytheism. After Musa alaihis-salam the Sons of Israel (Bani Israeel) were afflicted with various disasters and tumults, because they deviated from the essentials of iman. Upon this, Allahu ta'ala sent Isa alaihis-salam as the Prophet to the Sons of Israel. Isa alaihis-salam spread and taught the tawhid, which means the existence and oneness of Allahu ta'ala, and other principles of iman, thus trying to bring the aberrant people back to the right course and reinforcing the religion of Musa alaihis-salam.

After Isa alaihis-salam, his adherents deviated from the true faith taught by Isa alaihis-salam, as the Sons of Israel had strayed from the right way before. Later, they wrote books called Gospels and pamphlets about Christianity daily. Various councils established at different places made completely contradictory decisions. Thus, altogether different Christian sects appeared. They forsook the principle of tawhid and the religion of Isa alaihis-salam thoroughly [and became polytheists and disbelievers]. Upon this, Allahu ta'ala sent Muhammad alaihis-salam, His most beloved one and the highest of Prophets, as the Prophet of earth [mankind].

Most of the religious commandments taught by Musa alaihis-salam pertained to Zahiri [physical, perceptible] deeds, and most of the commandments of Isa alaihis-salam were on spiritual knowledge. Finally Allahu ta'ala revealed to Muhammad alaihis-salam the most perfect, the most superior religion, Islam, and the divine book of this religion, Qur'an al-karim, which subsumed both of the former two. Allahu ta'ala, sending the angel with wah'y to our exalted Prophet, declared to all mankind the most perfect religion, Islam, which is a comprehensive selection of the up-to-date Zahiri deeds commanded by the religion of Musa alaihis-salam and the batini manners commanded by the religion of Isa alaihis-salam, in addition to numerous Zahiri and batini essentials.

Tawhid, that is, the principle of belief that Allahu ta'ala is one, is not different in any of the heavenly religions; they are all based on the principle of tawhid. The only difference between them is on the knowledge of rules and worships. No disagreement or controversy took place as to the principle of the existence and oneness of Allahu ta'ala until two hundred years after the ascension of Isa alaihis-salam. All the hawaris (apostles of Isa alaihis-salam) and their followers and the successors of their followers lived and died up to the principle of the unity of Allahu ta'ala, which was stated clearly in the Injil. None of the three firstly written Gospels [Matthew, Mark, Luke] contained even one letter denoting to trinity, which means the creed of father - son - holy spirit in (today's) Christianity. Then the fourth Gospel, which is ascribed to John, appeared in Greek. This Gospel exhibited some terms indicating trinity [three real beings], which was originally the Greek philosopher Plato's theory. At that time discussions and controversies on the two Greek philosophies, Rawaqiyyun and Ishraqiyyun, were going on in the schools of Alexandria. Rawaqiyyun (Stoicism) is a school of philosophy founded at Athens in 308 B.C. by the Greek Philosopher Zeno. Ishraqiyyun (Pythagoreanism) is a school of philosophy founded by Pythagoras (in 6th. century B.C.). These philosophies will be dealt with later on. The fanatics of Plato wanted John's Gospel to become popular. However, in the religion of Isa alaihis-salam, no statement implying three Gods - may Allah protect us against such a creed! - had been heard; so the believers of the religion of Isa alaihis-salam refused and protested it vehemently. Thus the believers of the religion of Isa alaihis-salam parted into two groups. A number of debates and fights occurred between them. In A.D. 325, during the reign of Constantine I, the Nicea council abandoned tawhid [the unity of Allahu ta'ala], which was the essential principle of the religion of Isa alaihis-salam, With the oppression of Constantine, who was a Platonist, they adopted the creed of trinity, i.e. father - son - holy spirit. From that time on, the creed of trinity began to spread far and wide. Real believers who believed in the religion of Isa alaihis-salam were

scattered. So Plato's philosophy reappeared and the religion of Isa alaihis-salam was given up. Real believers who believed in this religion hid out. Thus the dogma of trinity that took the place of the religion of tawhid became more and more powerful, and the Nazarenes (Nasara) who believed in the unity of Allahu ta'ala were dispersed here and there, excommunicated, killed, and finally annihilated by the trinitarian churches. soon there was none of them left.

In 399 [A.D. 1054] the Patriarch of Istanbul, Michael Kiriolarius, revolted against the unbearable oppression of the western church whose center was in Rome. He refused compliance to the Pope in Rome as the caliph of Isa alaihis-salam and the representative of St Peter, [an apostle accepted as the first Pope]. He opposed the Roman church in some essential matters such as priests' living in isolation from the people.

Each of the ecclesiastical assemblies, which they called councils, made totally different decisions. They separated themselves from those who would not agree with their decisions. Thus seventy-two sects appeared. Nevertheless the Roman church abode by its course. In those years the European rulers were practicing all sorts of robbery and cruelty on their subjects who were no different from flocks of sheep. Lest anyone would stand against these robberies and cruelties, the rulers were exploiting the authority which priests had over the ignorant people. It was as if they (the kings) were under priests' authority. Priests, in their turn, well aware of the rulers' ignorance, intentions and weaknesses, exploited their sovereignty to their own advantages. In appearance Europe was under the kings' sovereignty, but the only, and independent, domination belonged to priests. In fact, in the earlier years of Christianity, execution of priests' wishes and desires was dependent upon the Italian kings' sanction. Afterwards papal authority was augmented, to the extent that enthronement and dethronement of kings became possible only when priests wished so. The time's ignorant populace, being totally unaware, were crushed between their rulers' oppression and cruelty and priests' avarice and greed. They endured all sorts of torment and trouble. They kept silent patiently, (as if all those situations were Allah's commandments). Thus the darkness of ignorance and bigotry turned the whole continent into ruins and disrepair.

In the meantime, Islamic countries were under administrations quite antonymous to those of the Christian Europe. Arabia, Iraq, Iran, Egypt, Turkistan had made material and spiritual progress in all areas under the Amawi (Umayyad) and Abbasi (Abbasid) Khalifas. [At that time Muslims were in welfare both spiritually and materially]. Under the reign of Andalusian Amawi sultans, Muslims had improved Spain to greatness and to the peak of civilization. Great care was given to science, arts, trade, agriculture, and ethics. Spain, which had been a territory of savagery under the Gothic invasion, was now like a garden of Paradise with the Islamic administration. European businessmen and industrialists could never pay back the debt they owe to Islam. They ought to be thanking Muslims forever. For, the first spark of knowledge in Europe was thrown off from the Andalusian Muslims.

The brilliant civilization that had appeared in Andalusia, overflowed Andalusia and spread over Europe. Some talented Europeans noticed the civilization in Andalusia and translated the books of Islamic 'Ulama into European languages. Owing to the books they translated, compiled and published, European people began to rise from their sleep of ignorance. Eventually, one Martin Luther of Germany came forward with a view to being the renovator, a restorer of Christianity. Luther opposed the majority of unreasonable ecclesiastical principles. [Martin Luther, a German priest, founded the Protestantism, a sect of Christianity. Christians adherent to the Pope are called Catholics. Luther was born in 888 [A.D. 1483], and died in 953 [A.D. 1546]. He wrote numerous books. He was an enemy of the Pope and an unbridled enemy of Islam. Catholics and

Protestants are still hostile to each other]. Then Calvin appeared. Joining Luther in his protestations, he disagreed with him in some matters. Luther and Calvin refused the ways of worship prescribed by the Roman church. They opposed the idea of the Pope's being a deputy of Peter and a successor of Isa alaihis-salam. The followers of Luther and Calvin were called Protestants.

The Roman church had already lost one-third of its adherents with the separation of the eastern church; and now the appearing of protestantism took away another one-third. This event exasperated the popes. They resorted to a very atrocious measure: a victory by using the military powers of the time's Catholic kings and putting all the Protestants to the sword. Since belief and conscience can never be changed by force, this measure had the opposite effect. It caused protestantism to spread in England and America. Upon this the Roman church took to the project of increasing their population by christianizing believers of other religions and savage tribes. They established Catholic schools all over the world. In order to disseminate and propagate the name Catholic, they educated and trained extremely fanatical priests that they called missionaries. They sent them in groups to other countries such as America, Japan, China, Abyssinia (Ethiopia), and to Islamic countries. It was only some ignoramuses that missionaries were able to deceive by various promises and advantages in the countries they arrived. In ignorant communities they provoked daughters against mothers, sons against fathers, and made them hostile against one another. They aroused various tumults and coups in the countries they were stationed. By and by, governments and peoples being fed up with missionaries' mischief and instigation, they were deported from most of the countries they were located in. In some countries they were punished even more severely; they were executed. These missionaries, with the pretext of propagating Christianity, inflicted so much damage to humanity that they caused the whole world to hate Christianity. In fact, when a person reads the history books written about the unprecedented barbarous measures and persecutions practiced by the Roman church with Christian bigotry and material cupidity, e.g. the inquisition massacres on St. Bartholomew's eve, his hair will stand on end with horror.

No sooner had the Catholic church started activity of training missionaries for spreading Catholicism than the Protestants took action. Establishing societies at various places, they collected a wealth of capital. They sent books and missionaries teaching Protestantism to all parts of the world. As is recorded in the book of expenses, which was published afterwards, the British Protestant society named the Bible House, which was founded in 1219 [A.D. 1804], had the Bible translated into two hundred different languages. The number of books published by this society by the end of 1287 [A.D. 1872] reached almost 70 million. This same society spent two hundred five thousand three hundred and thirteen (205,313) English golds for the propagation of Protestantism. This society is still carrying on its activities; establishing infirmaries, hospitals, lecture halls, libraries, schools, places of entertainment such as cinemas, sports institutions. They are spending extraordinary efforts to coax those who attend such places to becoming Protestants. Catholics are using the same methods. In addition, they are procuring employment for youngsters and giving food to the people in poor countries, thus alluring them to Christianity]. For all these activities (of missionaries), Europeans are not so blind as they were; they have already opened their eyes and realized how pernicious and how incendiary these missionaries are, after numerous experiences. Therefore, missionaries are not popular among Europeans. Missionaries send the books which they issue [in enormous numbers] to other countries free of charge, instead of publicizing them among their own European compatriots. They have never had the courage to approach another European country, let alone spreading their religion there,

unless that country is under the legislature of their own country. [Catholic missionaries are not allowed to spread Catholicism in Protestant countries, and Protestant missionaries are not allowed to spread Protestantism in Catholic countries]. The moment such an act is noticed, they are deported by the police. These missionaries are despised in all the European countries they go to.

Missionaries have been very successful in exploiting the tolerance which the Ottoman state has always shown to non-Moslem religions. For the last forty or fifty years, they infiltrated into countries under the protection of the Ottoman state. Establishing schools at various places and using the pretense of serving humanity by educating the people's children, they have deceived some illiterate people. Because ignorant people are not fully aware of their religious commandments and duties in every country alike, and the wealth of the Protestant organization being specially enormous, they have rationed those who have accepted Protestantism to monthly and yearly salaries. In addition, through their embassies and consulates, they have helped those who have obeyed them and become Protestants to acquire distinguished positions at various bureaucratic echelons. They have successfully coaxed the Anatolian and Thracian Christian Ottoman subjects to get attached to them. But because such people have been persuaded to such an attachment by means of gold and money, they have not given the benefits expected. Al-hamdu-li-llah (thanks to Allah), they have not been successful in deceiving [coaxing to christianity] even one well-known Muslim.

In 1282 [A.D. 1866] missionaries, in order to deceive Muslims, published a Bible in Turkish in Istanbul and appended to it a statement in Turkish which meant (in English): "This book is the revised version of the former edition, which was translated by Ali Beg and published with the help of TuRabi Effendi". With this statement they divulged, so to speak, that they had managed to deceive some Muslims. We know the person who translated the Bible for a few hundred golds at that time. But it is not known whether he accepted Protestantism. Moreover, since no one is known in the name of Ali Beg and capable of doing this job, it is not unlikely at all that the name was a sham. For, if he had been a well-known person, his popular title would have been written. As for TuRabi Effendi; it is no surprise for this person living in Egypt and married to a Protestant girl to have done them a service such as this. But he was never seen to like or approve the Protestant rites. On the contrary, since he disclosed all their abominations, he cannot be believed to have changed his religion. Even if it had been so, TuRabi Effendi is not a person known by everybody; as a boy he was sent to England by the Egyptian government and learned English in a church school. And this in turn means that 'TuRabi Effendi inclined towards Protestantism before having learnt Islam'.

It is not possible for any Christian to give the example of a wise Muslim who knows Islam, who has been brought up with Islamic education, who has fully learned the real essence of Islam, who has tasted the spiritual flavor and smelled the sweet scent of kalima-i-tawhid, and who has, after all, converted to Protestantism. If so, then it should be inquired whether the reason has been one of such things as money, protection, and rank. It is very difficult, even impossible to persuade a person who expresses, "Allahu ta'ala does not have a partner or likeness. I believe that He is free from such defects.", to believe in the dogma, "Allah is one but three" or "Allah is three but one." If a Muslim who knows the principles of iman busies himself too much in philosophy, it may be possible for him to tend towards philosophers' course. But it is impossible for him to become a Christian. For this reason, the real protector of Islamic religion is Allahu ta'ala, and the insidious and harmful activities of missionaries bear no threat to Muslims. In fact, such a thought is no more than a condescension on our part. However, priests stationed in our country, to carry out

the task they were assigned by their superiors in their missionary organizations, began to write books misrepresenting Islam as being wrong and christianity as a superior religion (the fact is quite the other way round) and distribute them free of charge. Always avoiding the truth, they have been trying to misrepresent aberration as the true way. It is fardh-i- kifaya for learned Muslims to answer missionaries' lies and slanders. Their real purpose is to arouse turmoil in the Islamic religion and to sow discord among kinsfolk such as wife and husband, parents and children, etc., as they have always done in every country. [For, these people think that today's Gospels are the words of Allah and say that they have been obeying the commandments given in them. It is written in the thirty-fourth and thirty-fifth verses of the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa alaihis-salam said: (which is never true) "Do not think I have come to earth for salvation; I have brought the sword, not salvation. For I have come to sow discord between father and daughter, daughter and mother, daughter-in-law and mother-in-law. One's own household will become one's very enemies". Following these words, missionary priests deceived ignorant people and incited them against the state. Their real purpose was, by means of these stratagems, to endanger the Islamic religion and its protector, the Ottoman Empire. Thus they threw the seeds of instigation and animosity among the Christian minority who had been leading a peaceful life under the mercy and protection of the Ottoman Empire. Since the time of the Ashab al-kiram till now, no Islamic state interfered in the religious affairs of their non-Muslim subjects, nor did they ever hurt their religious feelings. The Ottomans, especially, provided all sorts of help and facility regarding the religious practices of non-Muslims living under their rule for six hundred years, let alone interfering in their religious services, Islam commands this help and justice. Our Prophet's commands in this respect are recorded in Islamic books, i.e. in (Turkish) Herkese Lazim Olan Iman (Iman Which Is Necessary for Everyone). It was under the guarantee of the Ottoman state, therefore, that no one, whatever his religion, would be abused or maltreated on account of his or her creed. Being a person's guest and at the same time slandering and stamping on his sacred beliefs, in an event unprecedented in the world. The important fact here is the false charges directed to Islam by Islam's enemies through destructive words, writings, books, [television broadcasts, video cassettes]. (So the thing to be done) is to call public attention to these lies and slanders, [to answer them], and to exhibit to the whole world the sophisms on which they based their publications under the cloak of truth. The Turkish book which I published with the title Shams-ul-Hakika (the Sun of Truth) gives very beautiful answers to missionary aggressions directed to Islam, In that book of mine, a number of facts about Christianity are explained in detail, and a lot of questions are propounded. Nevertheless, Christian priests are still publishing new lying, fallacious books, as if they saw neither these questions nor the splendid book titled Iz-har-ul-haq, which was written in Arabic by Rahmatullah Effendi, one of the great 'Ulama of India, and was later translated into Turkish. In these new books they are repeating the same old calumnies of theirs. "They have not been able to answer even one of the questions we have directed to them in Shams-ul-hakika and Iz-har-ul-haq.

It is stated as follows on the three hundred and ninetieth page of the Farisi (Persian) book Maqamat-i- ah-yar: "Fander, a Protestant priest, was very famous among Christians. The Protestant missionary organization sent Fander and some other selected priests to India. They were supposed to work for the propagation of Christianity. In 1270 [A.D. 1854], sometime in the (lunar) month of Rabi'ul-akhir and on the eleventh of Rajab (another lunar month), debates umpired by some 'Ulama and other distinguished personage were held between this missionary group and Rahmatullah Effendi, the great alim of Delhi. After long discussions. Fander and his colleagues were defeated and silenced completely. Four years later, when British forces invaded

India [and subjected Muslims and men of religion and especially the Sultan to terrifying torments], Rahmatullah Effendi migrated to Mecca-i- mukarrama (the blessed city of Mecca). In 1295 [A.D. 1878] this missionary body came to Istanbul and began propagating Christianity, The Grand Vizier Khayr-ud-din Pasha [2] invited Rahmatullah Effendi to Istanbul. When confronted with Rahmatullah Effendi, the missionaries were frightened. Being unable to answer the questions, they decided vanishing would be best. The Pasha generously awarded this great Islamic alim. He requested him to write about how he had refuted and routed the Christians. So he began writing his Arabic book Iz-har-ul-haq on the sixteenth of Rajab and finished it by the end of Zi'l'hijja, and went to Mecca. Khayr-ud-din Pasha had it translated into Turkish and had both of the books printed. They were translated into European languages, and printed and published in every country. British newspapers wrote, "If this book spreads. Christianity will be impaired badly." Sultan AbdulHamid Khan "rahmatullahi alaih", who was the Khalifa (caliph) of all Muslims, invited him (Rahmatullah Effendi) again in 1304 [A.D. 1890], and treated him with veneration and hospitality. Rahmatullah Effendi passed away in Mecca al-mukarrama in the month of Ramadan in 1308 [1890].

With the help of Allahu ta'ala we have now begun to write this Turkish book, which we name Diya-ul-qulub. Yet, it should be known well that our purpose in writing this book is only to answer the books and brochures published against Islam by Protestant missionaries, thus performing our duty of resisting them. Our Christian citizens who want to preserve their religion and peace are also weary of these missionaries and agree with us in repelling their mischief.
Ishaq Effendi of HARPUT

FOOTNOTES

[1] Ishaq Effendi of Harput passed away in 1309 [A.D. 1891].

[2] Khyar-ud-din Pasha passed away in 1307 [A.D. 1889].

DIYA-UL-QULUB (LIGHT OF THE HEARTS)

Protestant priests say as follows in one of the booklets they published against Islam in Istanbul:

"The virtue and the superiority of Christianity is inferred from the fact that it is spreading very fast among people on account of its effects compatible with daily life and universal domination, Allahu ta'ala has sent Christianity down to earth as a true religion superior to other religions. The abolition, catastrophes, scatterines falling upon Jewry are all obvious punishments inflicted upon them by Allah as a result of their denying Christianity.

"If it is asserted that with the rising of Islam. Christianity was abrogated, it is questionable whether Islam is superior to Christianity in its liveliness, life-style, or in its capability of attracting people's hearts, or whether Christians were condemned with the same terrifying catastrophes with the rising of Islam as had been sent upon Jewry. Christianity spread for three hundred years, without any state power. Islam, on the other hand, was transformed from religion to state power before the Hegira. For his reason, it is a difficult task to make a sound comparison between Islam and Christianity as to the spiritual and incorporeal effects they have upon the human heart. However. Isa alaihis-salam called people to religion for three years. Many people became his followers within this period. He chose the twelve apostles from among them. Sometime later he chose seventy more people in the name of 'Apostles of Gospel'. He sent them

forth to guide people to the true path. Later he gathered a hundred and twenty more people. As reported by apostles, it is written clearly in St. Paul's letters that Isa alaihis-salam, within the forty days before his death, sent forth 500 Christian believers to call people to religion."

This booklet, which they published in Istanbul, goes on as follows: "According to Arabic historians, such as Ibn Ishaq [3], Waqidi, Tabari, Ibn Sad, etc., the first believers of Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wassalam' are only hadrat Hadija, his wife: Zayd bin Harisa, his adopted son and slave: Ali bin Abi Talib, his paternal uncle's son: Abu Bakr-i Siddiq, his faithful friend and companion in the cave; and a few slaves who had been generously benefited by this last one. Up to the time of hadrat 'Umar's conversion to Islam, i.e. the sixth year of bi'set, the number of Muslim converts were fifty. In some other report there is a mention of forty to forty-five men and ten to eleven women. In fact, by the tenth year of bi'set, the number of the second group of Muslims that migrated to Abyssinia because of the persecutions and hostile treatments inflicted by the Meccan polytheists, reached one hundred and one, eighty-three of which were men and eighteen were women. Waqidi says in his book that the number of muhajirs that took part in the holy war of Badr, which was fought nineteen months after the Hegira, was eighty-three. Accordingly, within the period of thirteen years before the Hegira, believers of Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wassalam' were only one hundred. It is written in history books, again, that the number of those who joined him during the Hegira was only seventy- three men and two women. These contrasts make clear which has more positive effect on the hearts: Islam or Christianity. For, if a comparison is made between the number of people who believed Isa alaihis-salam through mere preaching without any compulsion or enforcement and those who believed Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wassalam' under the same conditions, it will be seen that, whereas one hundred and eighty people believed Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wassalam' as a result of this thirteen years' invitation, Isa alaihis-salam had more than five hundred believers within a period of three years. Afterwards, there became differences between Islam and Christianity with respect to modes of spreading. The reasons for these differences were only the methods and media used. First of all, the umma of Muhammad alaihis- salam were belligerent. Being victorious after wars, they improved and became widely known suddenly. Indeed, Islam did not spread owing to its powerful effect on the hearts, as was the case with Christianity. The early Christians, on the other hand, endured Persians' persecutions and torments for three hundred years. Although they confronted with various hindrances, their number expanded so rapidly that there were several million Christians already by A.D. 313, when Constantine I converted to Christianity. People defeated by Muslims were, outwardly, not forced to accept Islam. But through various discouragements they were deprived of their national customs and traditions. In addition to being subjected to various hostile treatments, they were prohibited from the occasions in which to perform their religious rites. They had no other way than bearing these impediments and oppression. This came to mean that they were tangibly compelled to accept Islam. For example, more than four thousand churches are reported to have been demolished in the time of 'Umar-ul-Faruq 'radi-allahu anh'. It is not surprising for thousands of ignorant, worldly or unprotected people to have accepted Islam in order to acquire property or position in the chaos of those days. This spreading of Islam's is like the appearing of world-conquerors such as Alexander the Great. The great conquests done by Muslims does not show that Qur'an al-karim is a book sent by Allah. In fact, all these conquests and performances of Muslims were not liked much by those Christians that were under their domination. On the other hand. Christians' call had a stronger effect on Persians. For, there cannot even be a smallest pagan society in Europe today. However, there are very many Christians in Muslims countries.

"Having refused Christianity, Jewry was doomed to the wrath of Allahu ta'ala. They were expatriated from their homelands and became an evil nation expelled from wherever they went. Did Christians undergo at least the same, let alone more, catastrophes as those of the Jewry for having refused Islam? Today there are some 150 million Muslims on the earth, whereas the number of Christians is over 300 million. A true religion sent by Allah will enjoin justice and reason. It will bestow the fortune of

approaching Allahu ta'ala by means of perfect belief and worship. This religion will elevate its believers to highest grades and guide them to material and spiritual peace. These are doubtless facts. If Christianity had been invalidated with the rising of Islam. Islamic countries would necessarily be superior to other countries in respect of wealth and welfare. Now then, Islam's place of birth is Arabia, which was under Muslims' domination in the time of Muhammad 'sallallahu alaihi wassalam'. Later, in the time of the early caliphs. Muslims subdued and dominated many wealthy nations of the world. Nevertheless, the fortune that was obtained in a short time was lost again equally soon. Even today, the Arabs are in misery. Most Muslim countries are desolate, and their land is deprived of agriculture. Muslims living there are far from wealth, civilization and improvement. They need Europe's help in knowledge and arts. In fact, when they need an engineer they bring one from Europe. The youth's navigational and military education and training is entrusted to Christian instructors. Weapons used by Muslim soldiers in wars, sheets of paper scholars and secretaries write on, and most of the clothing worn and the things used by them, including the youngest and the oldest, are made in Europe. Can anyone deny the fact that they are brought from there? Even the arms used by Muslim soldiers are brought from Europe. On the other hand, Europe has improved and made progress with respect to population, education, state and wealth. They have built immaculate hospitals, orderly schools and orphanages. Now they are trying to promulgate Christianity by establishing hospitals in other countries and sending teachers and books. As for Muslims; why do they not spend any effort to call pagans and Christians to Islam, publish millions of translations of Qur'an al-karim, or send forth scholars and messengers? If Islam's rising had abrogated and invalidated Christianity, would the state of affairs be as it is now?..."

ANSWER: When the theories put forth in the booklets published by Christian missionaries are summarized; the hypothesis that Christianity is a true, validated religion superior to Islamic religion has been based on the following few proofs; the rapid spreading of Christianity; the fact that the grave catastrophes that fell upon Jewry did not fall upon Christians; Islam's spreading by the sword, i.e. by fighting, v.s. Christianity spreading by preaching, kindness, and feeling of mercy for people; Christians' outnumbering Muslims; Christian states' being powerful; Christians' being ahead of Muslims in industry, wealth and improvement; their trying to do good and paying special attention to this; the fact that there are no pagans in Europe while there are Christians and Jews all over Islamic countries.

In response to their first proof, "The rapid spreading of Christianity", it will be enough to quote from Sale, a priest, a Christian historian, and a translator of Qur'an al-karim. [George Sale died in 1149 (A.D. 1736). He was a British orientalist. He translated Qur'an al-karim into English in 1734. In the introduction to his translation he gave detailed information about Islam. It was the first translation of Qur'an al-karim in a European language]. In this translation, which was printed in 1266 [A.D. 1850], he states, "Before the Hegire (Hijra) the blessed city of Medina did not have a single home whence Muslims did not go out. That is, Islam had already entered every home in Medina. If a person asserts that 'Islam spread in other countries only by the sword', this will be a vain and ignorant accusation. For there is many a country whose people accepted Islam

without even having heard the word sword. They became Muslims by hearing Qur'an al-karim, whose rhetoric impresses the hearts".

There are lots of events exemplifying the fact that Islam did not spread with the force of the sword. For example. Abu Zer-i Ghifaree, his brother Unays, and their blessed mother Ummu Zar 'radi-allahu anh" were among the early Muslims. Later, upon Abu Zer-i Ghifaree's invitation, half of the Bani Ghifar tribe became Muslims. By the tenth year of Bi'set, the number of the Ashab al-kiram 'radi-allahu anhum" who migrated to Abyssinia from Mecca was 101, eighty-three men and eighteen women. These excluded, a large number of Sahabes remained in Mecca al-mukarrama (the blessed city of Mecca). In the meantime, twenty Christians from Najran became Muslims. Dimad-i-Ezdee became a believer before the tenth year of Bi'set. Tufayl Ibn Amr 'radi-allahu anh' became a Muslim together with his parents and all the people of his tribe before the Hijra. In Medina al-munawwara (the blessed city of Medina), the Bani Sahl tribe were honored with Islam before the Hijra, owing to the benefic preaches of Mus'ab bin Umayr 'radi-allahu anh'. The inhabitants of Medina-i-munawwara became believers before the Hijra with the exception of Amr bin Thabit 'radi-allahu anh", who became a believer after the Holy War of Uhud. Even the bedouins living in the villages near Nejd and Yaman became Muslims. After the Hijra Buraydat-ul-Eslemi 'radi- allahu anh' and seventy other people came and became Muslims altogether. Najashi, the Abyssinian emperor, became a believer before the hijra. [Abyssinian emperors are called Najashi (Negus). The name of the Negus contemporary with Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wassallam' was As-hama. He was a Christian and then became a Muslim]. Also Abu Hind, Tamim, and Naim became Muslims together with their relatives, and four other respectable persons sent presents bearing the meaning that they believed Rasulallah and then became Muslims. Before the Holy War of Badr, in Medina and in its neighborhood there were already several thousand people who had become Muslims by listening to the merciful, compassionate preaches of our master, Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', who is the most beloved one of Allahu ta'ala, and hearing Qur'an al-karim, which has been admitted by all the Arabic rhetoricians and which has always filled people with feelings of submission and admiration. The number of people who believed Isa alaihis-salam during the period of his invitation was, according to Biblical estimation, only one hundred and two. The number of people having the honor of joining the religion of Isa alaihis-salam upon seeing the extraordinary events that took place after the execution of hadrat Isa, which is the belief held by Christians, reached only five hundred. [The absolute truth is that Isa alaihis-salam was neither executed nor crucified. Allahu ta'ala elevated him alive to the heavens].

It is written in Qisas-i Anbiya [4] that the number of Muslim soldiers that conquered Mecca al-mukarrama in the eighth year of the Hijra was twelve thousand, that more than thirty thousand Muslims from Medina joined the Holy War of Tabuk in the ninth year of the Hijra, and that (the Prophet's) farewell hajj was performed with more than a hundred thousand Muslims in the tenth year of the Hijra.

It is recorded in all books that the number of the Ashab al-kiram 'radi-allahu anhum ajmain' who had the honor of believing Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' before his honoring the hereafter with his blessed presence reached hundred and twenty-four thousand (124,000). After Rasulallah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' honoring the next world with his blessed presence, the event of Museylemet-ul-kezzab took place. Abu Bakr as-Siddiq 'radi-allahu anh', who was the first Khalifa, sent more than 12,000 Islamic soldiers against Museylemet-ul-kezzab. In this Holy War more than nine hundred hafidh al-Qur'an reached the rank of martyrdom. How many Muslims, men and women, should there have been under the command of a caliph who sent

twelve thousand soldiers to a place which is a distance of a few stages? Which spread wider and faster, Christianity or Islam? Owners of wisdom should draw their own conclusions!

Three or four years after the passing of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', 'Umar-ul-Faruq 'radi- allahu anh', the second Khalifa, sent forth an army of forty thousand Muslims and conquered the whole of Iran up to India; Asia Minor up to Konya; and Syria, Palestine and Egypt. Most of the people living in these places saw the justice and beautiful morality in the Islamic religion and thus were honored with becoming Muslims. Very few remained in their former wrong religions such a Christianity, Judaism and magi. Thus, as unanimously stated by historians, the number of Muslims living in Islamic countries reached twenty or thirty million in such a very short time as ten years. On the other hand, as is asserted by Christian missionaries, Constantine I accepted Christianity three hundred years after Isa alaihis- salam. With his help and reinforcement, the number of Christians reached only six million. The comparison between the number of Muslims reaching thirty million in ten years and the number of Christians reaching six million in three hundred years elucidates which religion spread more rapidly.

Their assertion that "Islam spread only by the sword, by fighting" is equally unfounded. For, when 'Umar-ul- Faruq 'radi-allahu anh' conquered a place, he would give its inhabitants the freedom to accept Islam or to remain Christians and pay the tax called jizya. So they would choose the way they liked. The highest rate of jizya they paid was no more than a few pounds when compared with today's money; having to give such a small amount of tax could not compel those that were rich to renegade from their religion. The property, the chastity and the religious freedom of those who paid the jizya were like those of Muslims, and all were treated equally and with justice. Giving a few pounds of jizya yearly was in return for the protection of their property, chastity and rights; is it possible to find a few people that will renegade from their fathers' and grandfathers' religion in order not to pay this amount?

[It is said as follows in the (Turkish) book *Herkese Lazim Olan Iman* (Iman That is Necessary for Everyone): the history professor Shibli Numani, the chief of India's Nadwat-ul-'ulama assembly and the author of the well-known book, *Al-Intiqad*, died in 1332 (A.D. 1914). His book *Al-Faruq*, in Urdu, was translated into Persian by General Esedullah Khan's mother, (who was at the same time) the sister of the Afghan ruler Nadir Shah, and (the translation) was printed in Lahor city in 1352 (A.D. 1933) with Nadir Shah's command. It is written in its hundred and eightieth page: "Abu Ubaida bin Jerrah, the commander-in-chief of the Islamic army that routed the great armies of the Byzantine Greek Kaiser Heraclius [5], when he conquered a city, would have someone shout out the Khalifa 'Umar's commands to the Byzantine people. When he conquered the Humus city in Syria, he said, 'O thou Byzantine people! By Allah's help, obeying the command of our Khalifa 'Umar, we have taken this city, too. You are all free in your trade, work, and worship. No one shall touch your property, lives, or chastity. Islam's justice shall be practiced equally on you, all your rights shall be observed. We shall protect you, as we protect Muslims, against the enemy coming from without. In return for this service of ours, we ask you to pay jizya once a year, as we receive zakat and 'ushr from Muslims. Allahu ta'ala commands us to serve you and to take jizya from you'. [The rate of jizya is forty grams of silver from the poor, eighty grams from those of moderate means, and hundred and sixty grams from the rich, or the amount of property or grain equal in value. Women, children, invalids, the destitute, old people, men of religious service are not liable to jizya.] The Byzantine Greeks of Humus delivered their jizya willingly to Habib bin Muslim, the superintendent of Bayt-ul-mal. When the intelligence came that the Byzantine Greek Emperor Heraclius was recruiting soldiers throughout his country and making preparations for a huge crusading campaign against Antioch, it was decided that the

army in Humus must join the forces in Yermuk, Abu Ubaida 'radi-allahu anh' had his officials announce his following statements: 'O thou Christians! I promised to serve you, to protect you. And in return for this I collected jizya from you. But now I have been commanded by the Khalifa to go and help my brethren that will be performing Holy War against Heraclius. I will not be able to abide by my promise to you. Therefore, take your jizya back from the Bayt-ul-mal, all of you! Your names and how much you each have given are registered in our book'. The same thing happened in most cities of Syria. Upon seeing this justice, this mercy in Muslims, Christians were delighted for having been saved from the cruelty and oppression of the Byzantine emperors that they had been suffering for years. They wept with joy. Most of them became Muslims willingly. They volunteered to spy upon Byzantine armies for Muslim armies. Thus Abu Ubaida was informed daily with all the movements of Heraclius' armies. In the grand Yermuk campaign, these Byzantine spies were of much help. The establishment and spreading of Islamic states was never based on aggression or killing. The greatest and the most essential power maintaining and enlivening these states was the power of iman, justice, rectitude and self-sacrifice]".

Russians have been taking one gold yearly for every individual Muslim, from the smallest children to the oldest people alike, in Kazan, Uzbekistan, Crimea, Daghistan and Turkistan, which they have been invading for a hundred years. With all this and, in addition, various kinds of torments and oppression such as compulsory military service, prohibition from speaking Turkish in schools and coercion to learn Russian, how many Muslims in Russia have become Christians throughout all these years. In fact, a result of the peace agreement made after the Crimean War, the Christians that had remained in the Ottoman land were allowed to migrate to Russia and the Muslims being in Russia could go to the Ottoman territory. Thus, more than two million Muslims migrated from Russia to the Ottoman country. On the other hand, though the Russians offered to pay 20 rubles as the traveling expense for each Christian to migrate to their side, the Christians that were used to living in comfort and ease under the Ottoman government could not be taken in by Russia's promise; they did not barter away Islam's bestowments of rights and freedom for going there.

The statement, "Hadrat 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' had four thousand churches demolished", is an apparent slander against all the historical facts. According to Christian historians, when 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' conquered Jerusalem, the Christians suggested that he could choose any of their churches as a temple for themselves (Muslims). 'Umar refused this offer vehemently. He performed his first prayer of namaz outside, instead of in a church. He had the site called Haykal-i-muqaddas [the site of Bayt al-muqaddas], which had been a rubbish heap for a long time, cleaned, and had a beautiful mosque built there.

The course of action that Muslims are obligated to follow in their dealings with Christians and Jews is prescribed in the following letter which Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' himself wrote in a manner to address to all Muslims in general:

"This Letter has been written to inform of the promise that Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', the son of Abdullah, has given to all Christians. Janab-i Haqq has given the good news that He has sent him as His compassion; he has warned people of the wrath of Allahu ta'ala, and He has given him the task of safekeeping the deposit entrusted to mankind. This Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' has had this letter recorded in order to document the promise he has given to all non-Muslims. If anyone acts contrary to this promise, whether he be a sultan or someone else, he will have rebelled against Janab-i-Haqq and made fun of His religion, and will therefore deserve His condemnation. If a Christian priest or tourist is fasting with the intention of worship in a mountain, in a valley, in a desert, in a verdure, in a low place

or in the sand. I, on behalf of myself, my friends and acquaintances and all my nation, have revoked all sorts of obligation from them. They are under my protection. I have forgiven them all sorts of taxes they have had to pay as a requirement of the agreements we made with other Christians. They may not pay jizya or kharadj, or they may give as much as they wish. Do not force or oppress them. Do not depose their religious leaders. Do not evict them from their temples. Do not prevent them from traveling. Do not demolish any part of their monasteries or churches. Do not confiscate things from their churches or use them in Muslims' mosques. Whoever does not obey this will have rebelled against the command of Allah and His Messenger and will therefore be sinful. Do not take such taxes as jizya and gharamat from those persons who do not do trade but are always busy over worshipping, no matter where they are. I will preserve their debts on sea or land, in the east or in the west. They are under my protection. I have given them quarter. Do not take kharadj or 'ushr [tithe] for the crops of those who live in mountains and are busy with worships. Do not allot a share for the Bayt-ul-mal [the State Treasury] out of their crops. For, their agriculture is intended merely for subsistence, not for making profit. When you need men for Jihad (Holy War), do not resort to them. If it is necessary to take jizya [income tax] (from them), do not take more than twelve dirhams yearly, however rich they may be and however much property they may have. They are not to be taxed with troubles or burdens. If there should be an argument with them, they shall be treated only with pity, kindness, and compassion. Always protect them under your wings of mercy and compassion. Wherever they are, do not maltreat Christian women married to Muslim men. Do not prevent them from going to their church and doing the worships prescribed by their religion. Whoever disobeys or acts contrary to this commandment of Allahu ta'ala will have revolted against the commands of Janab-i-Haq and His Prophet 'sall- allahu alaihi wasallam'. They shall be helped to repair their churches. This agreement shall be valid and shall remain unchanged till the end of the world and no one shall be allowed to act contrary to it".

This agreement was written down by Ali 'radi-allahu anh' in the Masjid as-saadat in Medina on the third day of the month of Muharram in the second year of the Hijra. The signatures appended are:

Muhammad bin Abdullah Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaih wasallam'.

Abu Bakr bin Abi-Kuhafa

'Umar bin Hattab

'Uthman bin Affan

Ali bin Abi Talib

Abu Huraira

Abdullah bin Masud

Abbas bin 'Abd-al-muttalib

Fadl bin Abbas

Zubair bin Awwam

Talha bin Abdullah

Sad bin Muaz

Sad bin Ubada

Thabit bin Qays

Zayd bin Thabit

Haris bin Thabit

Abdullah bin 'Umar

Ammar bin Yasir

'radi-allahu anhum ajmain'.

[As is seen, our exalted Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' commands that people of other religions should be treated with utmost mercy and kindness and Christians' churches should not be harmed or demolished].

Now we are writing the quarter granted by 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' to the people of Jerusalem.

"This letter is the letter of quarter given by Abdullah 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh', the Amir of Muslims, to the inhabitants of Jerusalem and has been written so as to comprehend their existence, their lives, churches, children, the invalid ones as well as the healthy ones, and all other peoples; as follows:

Muslims shall not intrude into their churches, burn or destroy their churches, demolish any part of their churches, appropriate even a tiniest piece of their property, or use any sort of enforcement to make them change their religion or modes of worship or convert to Islam. No Muslim shall give them the smallest harm. If they want to leave their hometown by their own accord, their lives, property and chastity shall be protected till they have reached their destination. If they want to stay here they shall be in total security. Only they shall pay the jizya [income tax] which is incumbent upon the inhabitants of Jerusalem. If some of the people of Jerusalem and Byzantines want to leave here together with their families and portable property and evacuate their churches and other places of worship, their lives, churches, travel expenses and possessions shall be protected till they reach their destination. The aliens shall not be taxed at all till harvest, no matter whether they stay here or go away.

The commands of Allahu 'azimush-shan and Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and the promises given by all Islamic Khalifas and all Muslims are as is written in this letter."

Signatures:

Muslims' Khalifa 'Umar bin Hattab

Witnesses:

Khalid bin Walid

Abd ar-Rahman bin Awf

Amr ibn il-'As

Muawiya bin Abi Sufyan:

'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' attended the siege of Jerusalem with his blessed presence. Christians accepted to pay the jizya and went under the protection of Muslims. [They handed the keys of Jerusalem to 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' himself.] Thus they were free from the heavy taxation, persecutions, torments, oppression and cruelties of their own state, Byzantium. soon they saw the justice and mercy in Muslims, whom they were looking on as their enemies. They realized that Islam was a religion commanding goodness and beauty and guiding people to happiness pertaining to this world and the next. Without the least compulsion or threatening, they accepted Islam in large groups which were mostly the size of a quarter of a town. You can now estimate the multitude of people who became Muslims in all other places.

In ten years' time Islam spread far and wide and the number of Muslims reached millions; this was never done by force or with the threat of sword. On the contrary, it is based on such facts as Islam's inherent characteristics of justice and respect for human rights, the revelation of Qur'an al-karim as the greatest miracle by Allahu ta'ala, its superiority to the other heavenly books.

It is written in the sixty-seventh page of the third volume of Tabari's [6] history, "During the caliphate of 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' Musanna bin Harisa 'radi- allahu anh', one of the Ashab al-kiram, was sent onto Iran as the commander-in-chief of an Islamic army. When he came to the place called Buwayd where he was to fight against the Iranian army, the Muslim army was small

in number and weak in weaponry. For many Muslim soldiers had been martyred in the previous wars. The Iranian army was numerous and had elephants with them. Musanna 'radi- allahi anh' went to the Christians living in the neighborhood and asked for help. They accepted to help willingly. In fact, one of them, a youngster named Hamus, said, 'Show me the commander of the Iranian army'. When they showed him Mihran the Iranian commander, he attacked him and shot an arrow at him. The arrow went into Mihran's abdomen and jutted out of his back and he fell dead. The Iranian army scattered". As is seen in this example, because Christians living in that period were never treated with hostility or compulsion by Muslims, they never hated Muslims. Let alone hate, they were pleased with Muslims. They helped Muslims without a monthly salary or any sort of allotted payment, and even sacrificed their lives in doing so. More often than not Christians joined Muslims in their wars against other Christians, their co-religionists. This event took place in a lot of wars between the Ottoman Empire and the Byzantium Empire. Those who study history know this fact well.

Another claim put forward by Protestans in order to prove that Christianity is superior to Islam is as follows:"When Christianity arose, Jewry took the field against it and persecuted those who accepted the religion of Isa alaihis-salam. For this reason terrible calamities fell upon Jewry. They were despised, abased, and deprived of the gratification of making up a nation. Christians who attacked Muslims after the arising of Islam did not undergo such great disasters".

This assertion of theirs is thoroughly contrary to facts. Disasters that fell upon Jewry not only occurred after the rising of Christianity. As it is written in Ahd-i-Ateek (the Old Testament), and in history books, various calamities fell upon Jewry daily before the prophethood of Isa alaihis-salam, too. From the time of Yusuf alaihis-salam up to the time of Musa alaihis-salam, they remained captives of the Egyptian pagan gypsies, who inflicted all sorts of insults on them till Musa alaihis-salam rescued them from the oppression of gypsies. In the times of Dawud and Sulaiman alaihis-salam they underwent various kinds of nuisance and chaos, which once again scattered them and caused them a lot of afflictions. For example, Nabukodonosor II, an Assyrian ruler, captured Qudus-u-Sharif (Jerusalem). He caused a great genocide there. He killed thousands of Jews. He captivated the surviving Jews and some of the Prophets appointed to the Sons of Israel and took them to Babylon. In fact, during those tumults all the copies of the Taurah were torn to pieces and not even one copy was left. Everyone knows about the sorts of distresses that Jewry suffered in the hands of Assyrians and the multitude of Jews massacred during MaccAbi revolts. [(Judas) Maccabeus is the name of the Jewish military leader who revolted against the paganizing policy of Antiochus IV 'Epiphanes', the Seleucid king. He defeated Antiochus' army and captured Jerusalem, but later lost it again. He obtained, however, religious freedom for Jewry. Numbers of Jews were put to the sword during these wars]. Eventually, seventy years before Christ, the well-known Roman general Pompey captured Palestine and took it under his control. All these disasters that fell upon Jewry were because they denied Prophets and murdered most of them. It is written clearly in history books that these disasters preceded the prophethood of Isa alaihis-salam.

When the Roman Emperor Titus entered Jerusalem seventy years after the ascent of Isa alaihis-salam to heaven, he burned Jerusalem and massacred all the Jews; those who want to know its reasons should have recourse to history books. The disgraceful and miserable situations that they into after Isa 'alaihis-salam' were only local, not universal. The rulers of some fortresses such as Hayber, which were situated between Medina-i-munawwara and Damascus, were Jews, e.g. Qa'b bin Eshref, Merhab, and Ismail [Samuel], in the time of our Prophet 'sall- allahu alaihi wasallam'. When they acted with hostility and treachery towards our Master Rasulullah, the last and the

highest Prophet, the wrath of Allahu ta'ala fell upon them. The sixty-first ayat of the sura of Baqara purports, "They have been given humility and poverty". As is declared in this ayat al-karima, they were scattered completely. They could never establish a formal state.

When Allahu ta'ala sends a new religion, are the believers of wrong religions to be sent some great disasters? If it were the case, within the several thousand years during which the Sons of Israel lived up to the religion of Musa alaihis-salam, magians who were much weaker but more numerous, should have been destroyed with successive disasters. However, the peoples of China, India, Turkistan and America continue to be as they have been. [Contrary to Protestants' assertions, they have not been sent any kind of catastrophe].

Another proof Protestants put forth to prove the rectitude of Christianity is that 'the number of Christians is greater.' This statement is not much of a proof, either. Although the statistical data published in Europe indicate that the Christian population is bigger, these data are inconsistent. The statistics concerning the number of Christians differ by millions. For, at that time no research was done as to what religions the people living in various parts of Asia and Africa belonged. The so-called statisticians registered the populations of these places by guesswork, which was merely based on a dimensional comparison of those places. In fact, it is written in a geography book translated by Sayyid Rufa of Egypt and printed in Egypt that the estimated population living on earth are nine hundred million; half of this number are magians, of which fifty per cent are pagans; the remaining half are Muslims, Christians and Jews, each making up one-third of the whole half. This calculation is just a guesswork and cannot be admitted as a proof. Besides, even if we were to take for granted that Christians formed the majority, this would not show that Christianity were the true religion. For, if quantitative advantage were to be admitted as a testament to the trueness of a religion, magi and idolatry would necessarily be true religions. Magians and pagans outnumber the Christians on the earth today.

Within a period of three hundred years after the ascent of Isa alaihis-salam to heaven, Jews massacred Nazarenes a number of times. They burned or tore to pieces the books and pamphlets Nazarenes held sacred. They persecuted the Nazarenes under their domination, increasing their insults every day. According to the proof put forward by Christians, -which they have based on the assumption that the number of Christians is larger-, Christianity must be wrong and idolatry true.

Another proof that Protestants put forth in their claim that Christianity is superior to Islam is that "Christians are more advanced in science and technology."

This question should be studied cautiously, too. The scientific, technological and industrial improvements in Europe began only three hundred years ago. Until, 900 [A.D. 1494], Europeans led a life of savagery, ignorance and dirtiness; this is an obvious fact known publicly. While Europeans were in this state, Muslims living in Asia, Iraq, Hedjaz, Egypt and Andalusia [Spain] at that time had reached the zenith of the time's technology and industries. In fact, the bases for the laws valid in today's Europe are books that were written by Islamic 'ulama and were found in libraries in Spain and Egypt. It is written in history books that even Sylvestre II, who was the Pope of his time, acquired knowledge from Muslim professors. Roman numerals, which Europeans had been using, were not convenient for mathematical computations which were the bases for all sciences. When they saw that such processes were easily done with Arabic numerals during their education in Muslim schools, they began to use these numerals. This was one of the reasons for their scientific progress. When all these facts are known, it will be seen what effects they have had on religious and scientific improvements; and this, in its turn, will prove to the advantage of Muslims, not Christians. For, none of the existing four Gospels contains such

media of civilization as international law, art, trade, or agriculture. On the contrary, these things are prohibited vehemently. Islam, on the other hand, commands knowledge, art, trade, agriculture, and justice. Because all Islamic states are administered with these essential principles, Islamic countries have always been the only civilized and the most prosperous countries in the world. [Aspiring to attain the riches in Islamic countries. Christians organized the crusading expeditions that came one after another like waves. The real purpose of crusades was to plunder Islamic countries of their riches, in addition to spreading Christianity]. In our century, however. Muslims and Christians are in a state counter to the commandments of their religions. Its reason, when searched for, will be found in the fact that neither Muslims nor Christians are good at doing the commandments of their religions. That is, the reason is not fulfilling religious requirements. In fact, a European philosopher states as follows in one of the booklets he has published: "The fact that Islamic religion is the true religion and Christianity is not, is proved by their worldly effects. As Muslims slackened in doing their religious duties, that is, in obeying Islam, they weakened and remained behind in knowledge and science. As for Christians; the more they deserted their religion and the farther away they got from it, the stronger they became and the more progress they made in knowledge and science. The direction followed by Christian states lately is quite the opposite of the direction shown by their holy book, the Bible."

Another Protestant assertion forwarded in order to prove the trueness of Christianity is that "There are not any pagans in Europe, but there are Jews and Christians in countries under Islamic domination." They interpret this state as an outcome of the influential power in Christianity. This assertion of theirs prove the stupendous degree of justice in Islam, rather than proving the trueness of Christianity. For, a person, of whatever religion, had the same rights throughout Islamic countries and was equal with a Muslim according to (Islamic) laws. Non-Muslims were quite comfortable under the protection of the Islamic state. They were not meddled with in their religious matters or prevented from doing their worships. They could freely busy with whatever art or trade they liked. On the other hand, in many European countries, none of the Christian sectarians had security of life, property or residence in an environment under the control of any other sectarian group, be it a Protestant group. Armenians and Byzantine Greeks lived in all parts of Islamic countries, but they did not settle in any European country. In places where Byzantine Greeks live, e.g. Greece and Mediterranean islands, there are no more than a couple of Armenian, Catholic or Protestant families. [Byzantine Greeks are Orthodox]. In such countries as France, Italy, and Spain, which are Catholic, it is impossible, for Protestant priests to build schools, churches or monasteries, or to publish a book against Catholicism, which is the accepted sect in these countries. So is the case with Catholic priests in places with Protestant and Byzantine Greek inhabitants. In no Islamic country has there been an event like the massacre of St. Bartholomew or the cruelties of inquisition. [The massacre of St. Bartholomew is the carnage of sixty thousand Protestants living in Paris and in its neighborhood on account of their creed with the orders of King Charles IX and Queen Catherina on the twenty-fourth day of August, which was St. Bartholomew's Day, in 980 (A.D. 1572)]. Nor has history recorded such a bloody and horrible event as the crusading expeditions on the part of any Islamic nation. In each crusading expedition, hundreds of thousands of innocent people were slaughtered in such wild manners as cannot be conceived or imagined; among those people were Muslims. Protestants, Jews, and even relations of the Catholic murderers, who killed them because of some passed enmity. During the crusades, which continued for some two hundred and fifty years, Europe went to rack and ruin. It is impossible to detail the savageries and inquisitions which the bigoted

crusaders dared to do in the name of Isa 'alaihi-salam', who had given the advice, "If you get slapped on one cheek, offer your other cheek, too", and in the very country where he had lived. It is written in history books how millions of Europeans and Asians were slain unjustly and how so many countries were barbarously devastated throughout the continuance of the crusades. Everybody knows about the distresses still suffered by the helpless Jews in Walacia and Odyssey and the persecutions, oppression and torments Muslims living in countries under the domination of British and Russian Christians are being subjected to.

Now, turn your attention to those Christians living in comfort, welfare, luxury, freedom and peace in Islamic countries, and then decide for Allah's sake whether it is Christianity or Islam that will justfully observe the rights and peace of those under its protection and will render service to humanity and civilization.

Another deed causing consternation and derision is their attempt to prove Christian superiority over Islam by indicating the fact that "Europe is more advanced in knowledge, industry, wealth, prosperity, and in the multitude of its public institutions such as schools and hospitals." Until the Middle Ages, Europe had full adherence to Christianity and obeyed the existing Gospels; therefore they were in a miserable and abject state. There existed none of the signs of civilization such as scientific and industrial progress, building hospitals and schools, which they point out as proofs; and the relics of Roman civilization had already perished. Europeans, acting upon the Gospels, especially the twelfth chapter of the Gospel of Luke, ignored art, trade and agriculture, ate whatever they happened to find and sat wherever they came upon, like birds in the sky; so the European continent was thoroughly in darkness, ignorance, savagery, and bigotry. They were totally unaware of such things as hospitals, schools and charitable institutions. Qur'an al-karim, on the other hand, puts due emphasis on worldly affairs, orders knowledge, art, trade and agriculture, and warns against dangers. The ninth ayat of Zumer sura purports: "Can the cognizant and the incognizant ever be the same? Certainly the cognizant is more valuable." The twenty-ninth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "O thou who have iman; do not take each others' property illegally. That is, do not take away things from each other by such means as interest, gambling, theft and usury, which are prohibited by Islam. This exchange of things must be done only by both sides' consent, i.e. trade." The meaning of the two hundred and seventy-fifth ayat of Baqara sura is: "Allahu ta'ala has made buying and selling halal and interest haram." The thirty-sixth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "Worship Allahu ta'ala. Do not attribute any partner to Him. Do favors to your parents [by words and actions], to your relations [by visiting them], to orphans [by pleasing them somehow], to the poor [by alms], to your relations who are your neighbors at the same time [by mercy and compassion], to your next-door neighbors [by goodness and by protecting them against harm], to your friends and acquaintances [by observing their rights and being friendly], to your visitors or guests [by offering them food and drink], to your slaves and servants [by buying them new clothes and being kind to them]. Through many such ayat al-karimas and hadith-i- Sharifs, Allahu ta'ala and Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', command knowledge, art, and trade. In addition, they command to do kindness to parents, to relations, to orphans, to the weak, to the destitute, to neighbors, to travelers, and to servants, to observe their rights, and not to disobey laws. While the grandfathers of today's Europeans were unaware of all these media of civilization, there were well-arranged schools, madrasas, charitable homes for the poor and the destitute, cook-houses, inns, public baths and many other charitable institutions all over Islamic countries. In addition, Muslims had established private aid organizations, pious foundations (waqf), for the maintenance and financing of these charitable institutions. [There were even pious foundations for the indemnity of losses caused by slaves and

servants and for the purging of things that would cause disease]. Art was very popular all over Islamic countries. Europeans did not know what an alarm clock was, when Muslims' Khalifa Harun-un-Rashid presented an alarm clock to the French King Charlemagne. Pope Sylvestre [7] received education in the Andalusian Islamic schools. Chanso, the Spanish king, had recourse to Muslim doctors for the disease he had caught, dropsy, [which Europeans could not cure in those days], and soon recovered. Various ayat al-karimas of Qur'an al-karim repeatedly refer to helping the poor, the destitute, and travelers. Therefore, it has become an important traditional duty among Muslims to help the poor, the weak, and travelers. Even in a small Muslim village of a few families, no visitor [even if he was a non-Muslim] has been left to himself. In fact, in places under Islamic domination the same custom settled among the non-Muslims owing to their living with Muslims. In Europe, on the other hand, quite a number of people are still dying of hunger despite the whole multitude of wealthy people, hospitals, and charitable homes for the poor. Three to four hundred thousand poor people living in England, and about the same number in Germany, being tired of the trouble they have had finding food, have migrated to America, India, and other countries.

[According to a news program that appeared in the (Turkish) newspaper called Turkiye on 3 February 1988, it is informed by the French newspaper Figaro that 2.5 million people in France live in full destitution, and 1.5 million of this number sleep in the streets without any known address. According to the same newspaper, there are ten million old people over the age of sixty in France. Two and a half million of these people do not have a known home. They end up in misery and loneliness. Of these old people, 7 : of women and 14 : of men commit suicide. The number of suicides is five hundred thousand. Joseph Wresinsky, a priest and the president of ATD, an institution established to help such wretched and lonely people in France, says, "There are 2.5 million people too poor to meet their immediate needs in France today. There is no source to help them. Europe, whose daily topic of conversation is the human rights, should look for solutions not only for economical and military problems but also for misery, which will escalate to huge numbers in a few years' time. A nation-wide activity is incumbent to rescue French people from this misery." Even a priest avows these facts]. If knowledge, technology and civilization are to prove the trueness of a religion, the should be strong documents for Islam, rather than for Christianity. [For, Muslims made progress when they acted upon Islam, and they made no progress and even dispersed when they slackened in this obedience and began to imitate Christians].

Nor can a nation's wealth be an evidence strong enough to prove the trueness of the religion its people believe in. As a matter of fact, Rotschild, once the richest person in the world, is one of the Jews who Protestants claim have undergone various calamities because of not believing in Christianity. Lord Israili, an English deputy, is both a Jew and one of the richest people on the earth. It can be predicted by now that the European gold markets will be obtained by Jews. In accordance with the Christian argument, the Jewish religion is superior to the Christian religion. And this in turn shows that all those poor Christians who live in various parts of Europe and all over Russia and who are unaware of art, trade and wealth have been holding a wrong belief. According to the so called claim of Christians, the correctness of any religion must be dependent on the wealth and fortune of its believers, which will not support the Christians' objection to Islam, [on the contrary, it will rebut it].

European schools are of two types:

The first type of schools are under ecclesiastical control, and the second type are controlled by people, i.e. by governments. In schools under clerical authority, only tenets of Christian creed are

taught. Therefore national assemblies are discussing the matter of releasing these schools from the disposal of priests. It is believed that in the near future the training of Christian children will be transferred from clerical administration to public and governmental administration. None of the schools administered and controlled by people, by governments in Europe teach religious knowledge; science and mathematics are taught in these schools. For this reason, the majority of young European graduates of these schools are against Christianity. The number of these graduates increases every day, and they establish societies and publish newspapers and periodicals in which to declare to the whole world that Christianity is aberration. It is doubtless that one day these schools, which the so-called priest points out as an evidence in his endeavor to prove the correctness of Christianity, will cause Christianity to collapse.

There have been some Muslim states that have collapsed and even ceased to exist because of the absence of an administration that would treat knowledge more seriously and hold it higher than anything else. Furthermore, the innumerable schools and madrasas and their subservient pious foundations and kitchens that exist in Islamic countries today must be observed with common sense. When the deeds of trust of the pious foundations of only the madrasas in Istanbul are studied, it will be seen that these pious foundations (waqfs) undertook the salaries of the professors (mudarris), the doorkeepers and other personnel of each madrasa, the pay of the students and even the carpets they sat on when studying. I wonder if there is so much motivation, so much facility in any European school? If it should be questioned why today's schools and madrasas do not have their original brilliance and order, there cannot be found anything that has to do with religion among its causes. We see, with regret, that these pious foundations which had been established for goodness and charity, have been deprived of worthy administration since they fell into the hands of incompetent, hypocritical and religiously ignorant people. Nevertheless, the students educated in the madrasas not only study science and mathematics like European student, but they also study such religious sciences as 'ilm-i- kalam, 'ilm-i-fiqh, and 'ilm-i-tafsir. Therefore, there are not any enemies of religion among these students; like in Europe. For, improvement in science will add clarity to the realization of the trueness of religious commandments. That is, the more scientific knowledge a person learns, the more powerful will his faith in Islam become. In Christianity the case is quite the opposite. A person cannot be a full Christian unless he is so asinine and so ignorant as to take for granted the doctrine of trinity, which means, "Three make one, and one is three", and which is the basis of the Christian faith.

As for the Protestant priest's question, "While Christians send forth missionaries and various books in order to spread Christianity everywhere, why don't Muslims endower to call pagans and Christians to Islam? Why don't they send forth translations of Qur'an al-karim or 'ulama to various places in order to call to Islam?", fulfillment of this very important religious service is Muslims' duty, as we have said above. In the time of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', much emphasis was placed on this duty, and this state went on for years. Islam's spreading over nearly half of the earth was due to the emphasis it has placed on justice, beautiful morality, knowledge, and science. Later, as deviated holders of bidat came to the fore, the duty of emr-i-maruf, that is, recommending goodness, which is Islam's most important command, loosened. There was not any effort to spread Islam over the world. The duty of calling people to Islam was neglected with such considerations as "Islam has already spread over many countries throughout all these years. From now on, let those who have reason and discernment find the way to happiness and salvation themselves. Islam is as obvious as the sun." These considerations were supported with the untenable reasoning that "If a jeweller has a genuine brilliant diamond, he

need not take it from one shop to another in order to find a customer. But if the ware is a false one, he will have to go from door to door and tell such lies as will deceive the ignorant, such as. 'By this very precious ware. It is hard to come by', in order to get rid of it." They should be reminded that, though it is unnecessary to look for a customer for the diamond, it is certainly a must to offer it to the customer, to advertise it. When the customer knows about the diamond, he will certainly want to buy it. A diamond which is not shown or advertised will not get a customer.

Our final words to the Protestant priest are as follows: The books of a religion or sect must be studied well. No religion or sect can be criticized by sheer obduracy or only with ideas that one assumes to be true within the purview of one's restricted knowledge. Islamic religion has a special branch of knowledge called 'Ilm-i- kalam, which teaches the principles of iman, protects them (against interpolation, etc.), and removes doubts (by powerful argumentation). In the centuries when Islam was flourishing and spreading far and wide, there were profound scholars in the knowledge of Kalam. These scholars wrote a great number of valuable books in order to counteract the refutations directed towards Islamic religion and to eliminate the doubts aroused by such attacks. They sent forth their books to all countries. They proved the trueness, the genuineness of Islam by using mental evidences alongside traditional evidences such as ayat al-karimas, hadith ash-Sharifs, and the documentary statements of religious authorities. They answered not only Jews and Christians, but also imitators of Greek philosophy and those deviated parvenus who made up false religious principles and practices called bidat in the name of religion. For, according to Islamic religion, Allahu ta'ala does not command His born servants anything against common sense. [But comprehending the hidden divine causes and uses in the commandments of Allahu ta'ala requires common sense ('aql-i-Salim). Statements that some ignorant idiots passing for sages, philosophers or scientists make out of their sensuous desires or emotions, have nothing to do with true knowledge or science. People of common sense will take no heed of their corrupt words and writings. Thus they will have no effect other than misleading a few idiots like themselves. Islam contains many facts beyond the capacity of mind, but nothing contrary to mind. Kinds of mind and its interpretation are given in the Arabic book Tariq-un-najat and in Turkish Se'adet-i Ebediyye] [8]. Giving reasonable information about Islamic religion requires a minute study and an accurate comprehension of renowned books of 'ilm-i-kalam, such as Maktubat, by hadrat Imam-i-Rabbani, and Sharh-i-mawaqif and Sharh-i-maqasid. Such statements as "Paul said so", "Such and such Gospel writes so", "This matter is a divine mystery and should be believed as such", which Christians utter instead of giving convincing proofs, will testify no matter. With such statements it will be difficult to explain the truths in Islamic knowledge, even to those Christians wise enough, let alone to us. We shall explicate this later on.

FOOTNOTES

[3] Ibn Ishaq passed away in 151 [A.D. 768], in Baghdad, Muhammad Waqidi passed away in 207 [A.D. 822]

[4] Ahmad Jawdat Pasha, the editor of Qisas-i Anbiya, passed away in 1312 [A.D. 1894].

[5] Heraclius died in 20 [A.D. 641].

[6] Muhammad Tabari passed away in Baghdad in 310 [A.D. 923].

[7] Sylvestre died in 1003 [A.D. 1594].

[8] Se'adet-i Ebediyye (Endless Bliss) was partly translated and published in fascicles in English.

OBSERVATIONS ON THE FOUR BOOKS CALLED GOSPELS

Protestant priests argue as follows in one of the pamphlets they have published: "Muslims, unaware of the history of Gospels, assert that the Gospels kept by Christians are not genuine, and that Christians defiled and changed the Bible in order to conceal the verses testifying the prophethood of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'. They will be answered as follows: scholars such as Imam-i-Bukhari, Shah Waliyyullah Dahlawi, Fakhr-ud- din-i-Radhi, Sayyid Ahmad, an Indian scholar, and others declare that the Gospels used today are the same as those that were used before the time of hadrat Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', and so they are not changed. Several very old copies of the Bible existing in some well-known European libraries bear witness to the truth of our claim. Therefore, if Muslims have any proofs to buttress up their assertions that the Bible was interpolated, be it in the Gospels they have or in the versions that were translated to various languages before 'Asr-i-saadat (the time of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and his four rightly-guided Khalifas), we challenge that Muslims disclose all such proofs."

It is a pleasure for us Muslims to accept this invitation of theirs and put forward all the proofs they want, one by one.

As is known, the Holy Bible, the basis of Christian creed, is of two divisions: Old Testament, and New Testament. The division called Old Testament consists of chapters said to have been taken from the heavenly book Taurah and episodes ascribed to some Israelite Prophets. The New Testament consists of the four Gospels and some letters and pamphlets claimed to have been sent forth by some apostle, e.g. Paul. It is admitted by Christians also that the books of Old Testament were defiled. Those who would like to get detailed information in this respect may have recourse to the book *Iz-har-ul-haq*, by Rahmatullah Effendi 'rahmatullah-i-alaih'. We shall not give detailed information concerning the Old Testament here. [Jews augmented the persecutions and torments they have been practicing over the Nazarens. In addition to these persecutions and murders, they calumniated Isa 'alaihi-salam' and his blessed mother, hadrat Maryam (Miriam, Mary). So much so that they went so far as to call that exalted Prophet an illegitimate child and his blessed mother a fornicator. In order to prove that the Holy Book of Taurah, which was revealed by Allahu ta'ala, did not contain such abominable, detestable slanders, the Nazarenes translated the Taurah to Latin. In the final part of our book, detailed information will be given about the inner nature of the Jewish religion and the slanders and enmities that Jewry has done to Muslims and Christians, i.e. in the chapter headlined Judaism, the Taurah, the Talmud.

Strauss, a Protestant historian, [Strauss, (David Friedrich), is a German historian. He died in 1291 [A.D. 1874]. He published such works as *The Life of Christ*, *Instruction on Christianity*, *The New Life of Jesus Christ*] states as follows: "During the early years of expansion of Christianity the Christians made a Greek translation of the Old Testament, which had already been interpolated a number of times by Jewry. The Jews protested, with the pretext that the translation did not agree with the Israelite books that they had then. In order to find such answers as would rebut the Jews, the Christians made some new additions to the Greek version of the Old Testament. For example, several names which were supposed to be the names of Isa's 'alaihi-salam' ancestors were inserted into the Zabur (Psalter, Book of Psalms in the Old Testament, the heavenly Book revealed to Dawud 'alaihi-salam'). The section on Isa's 'alaihi-salam' entering hell, was placed in the book of Jeremiah. The Jews, upon seeing these interpolations, clamored, "These things do not exist in our book." The Christians answered, "You cheaters have no fear of Allah! You dare to change the holy books," and attacked the Jews. By and by these quarrels between the Christians and the Jews intensified. The Christian priests began to doubt and falter.

Thus the Christians were fractured into a number of groups. The disagreements caused many wars among them. Three hundred and twenty-five years after Isa 'alaihi-salam' three hundred and nineteen priests came together at the Nicea council with the command of Constantine the Great, the Byzantine Greek Emperor. They started a collective deliberation and consultation on the copies of the Holy Bible, each of which contained a number of uncertainties and inconsistencies. In this council, those who believed in the divinity of Isa 'alaihi-salam' were in the ascendant. Adding some translations from the Israelite books, they reshaped the Holy Bible. They decided that all copies, other than the one they had just sanctioned, were doubtful. This decision is stated in the introduction which Jerome wrote for this new version. [Jerome, Saint, is called Irunimus by the Arabs. He stayed in Istanbul for three years. He went to Rome in 382. He became the Pope's secretary. He translated the Holy Bible to Latin. His day is celebrated on September 30 th. His translation became the church's official Bible]. In 364 another council, called Lodisia, was convened. This council, after sanctioning the books of the Old Testament, also sanctioned the authenticity and dependability of the Book of Esther, which had been repudiated in the Nicene council, and the six epistles that were attributed to the Apostles. These six epistles are the epistle of Jacob, the two epistles of Peter, the second and the third epistles of John, the epistle of Judah, and the epistle written to the Hebrews by Paul. They publicized the authenticity of these books and epistles. John's Book of Revelations (the Apocalypse) was not sanctioned in either of the councils convened in 325 and 364; so it remained doubtful. Later, in 397, a council of hundred and twenty-six members was convened in Carthage. This council sanctioned the authenticity of a few of the books that had been found dubious or false, and so rejected, by the previous two councils. These books are Tobit (Apocryha). Baruch, Ecclesiasticus, MaccAbis, and John's book of Revelations. After the sanctioning of these books by the Carthaginian council, all those books that had been said to be doubtful, became acceptable to all Christians. This state lasted for a period of twelve hundred years. With the emergence of Protestantism, grave hesitations arose concerning the books Tobit, Baruch, Judith, Wisdom (of Solomon), Ecclesiastes (Ecclesiasticus), I MaccAbis, and II MaccAbis. The Protestants claimed that these books, accepted by the earlier Christians, were to be rejected as uncanonical. They repudiated some chapters of Esther, and sanctioned some others. They proved these repudiations and sanctionings through various evidences. One of these evidences was that the originals of these books, which were in Hebrew and Caledonian (Celtic) languages, did not exist then. The historian priest Vivibius writes in the twenty-second chapter of the fourth volume of his book that all the books mentioned above, particularly II MaccAbis, were changed."

Protestans themselves admitted the fact that the councils, that is, the clerical assemblies, who had been looked on as inspired with the Holy Spirit and whose decisions had been considered the basis of Christianity by all Christians for twelve hundred years, had been agreeing in error and aberration. Nevertheless, they accepted many of the quite unreasonable and inadmissible decisions of those councils. Thus they took an unprecedented course that was based on contradictory principles. What a surprising event it would be for millions of discreet Christians to look on a religion whose essence is covered with doubts and uncertainties as a means of happiness and salvation, alluring the hearts towards itself; one would bite one's finger with astonishment.

Christians obtain the principles of belief both from the Old Testament and from the New Testament. These books are not free from doubts and hesitations. Neither of them has been proven to have survived to our time through a sound document. In other words, they have not been transmitted through a series of true people from Isa 'alaihi-salam' to our time. As is known,

a book's authenticity and heavenliness, that is, its admittance as a book revealed by Allahu ta'ala depends on an authoritative declaration such as, "This book has been written (revealed) through Prophet so and so and is free from being changed or defiled and has reached us by being transmitted through sound documents and true people." Unless this is firmly documented to people with common sense, doubts and hesitations concerning the book in question will not go away. For, a book that is attributed to a person considered to be endowed with divine revelations will not prove by itself the fact that it has been arranged by that person himself. Nor will a few Christian groups' claims, based on sheer bigotry and zeal, suffice to prove the book's validity. Christian priests do not have any documents to prove the soundness of their Holy Bible, except that they attribute it to one of the past Prophets or Apostles. These claims of theirs are not a proof, persuasive enough, to lay down the principles of belief [iman] or to remove doubts as to their authenticity. No one who is wise enough would feel safe and peaceful if his religion, which would guide him to comfort and peace in this world and save him from torment and take him to eternal felicity in the next world, were based on precarious essentials. As a matter of fact Christians deny and reject, as fictitious, lies in most of the books in the Old Testament and more than seventy of the New Testament books which tell about hadrat Isa and hadrat Maryam (Mary) or events in their time and which partly exist still today. There is detailed knowledge in this respect in the book Iz-ah-ul-haq.

Christian priests, the early ones and the modern ones alike, unanimously state that Matthew's Gospel was in Hebrew. Later, during their factious fractioning into sects. Christians lost that original version. The existing version of Matthew's Gospel today is a translation of the original Hebrew version, the translator being anonymous. Even Jerome, an outstanding Christian priest, concedes that its translator has remained anonymous so far.

Thomas Ward, a Catholic, says in an article of his, "Some early Christian scholars had suspicion about the authenticity of the last chapter of Mark's Gospel, some about a few verses of the twenty-second chapter of Luke's Gospel, and some others about the first two chapters of Luke's Gospel. The version of the Bible possessed by the Marcion group of Christians does not contain these two chapters." Norton (Norton) states about Mark's Gospel as follows in the seventieth page of his book, which was published in Boston in 1253 [A.D. 1837]: "This Gospel contains paragraphs that need scrutiny, e.g. the part from the ninth verse to the end of the sixteenth chapter." Norton says that though the text does not have any signs to arouse doubt, the so-called verses were inserted in its interpretation, and gives a series of evidences to prove it, and then states: "When we study the habits of the scribes, who copied from the books, we see that they tried to insert their own ideas into the texts rather than trying to understand and write the paragraphs. When this fact is known, it will be understood why the paragraphs in the Bible are doubtful."

NORTON, Andrews, American Biblical scholar and educator. He was born in 1201 [A.D. 1786]. He died on September 18, 1853. He graduated from Harvard in 1804, and after studying theology was a tutor in Bowdoin College in 1809. He returned to Harvard, in 1811, as a mathematical tutor there; and became, in 1813, librarian of the university and lecturer on Biblical criticism and interpretation. From 1819 to 1830 he was Dexter professor of Sacred literature. He was among the most eminent exponents of unitarianism [which rejected trinity and upheld the belief in the Unity of Allah], equal strong in his protests against Calvinism and the naturalistic theology represented by Theodore Parker. He published *A Statement of Reasons for not Believing the Doctrines of Trinitarians* (1833). [Encyclopedia Americana, Volume: 20, p. 464].

The Gospel attributed to John does not have a sound document of transmission, either. Like Mark's Gospel, it contains ambiguous and contradictory paragraphs that need scrutiny. For example:

Firstly, this Gospel does not contain any evidence to prove that John wrote what he had seen. A judgement will remain invalid unless it is proven to the contrary.

Secondly, it is stated in the twenty-fourth verse of the twentieth chapter of John, "He [John] is the pupil who wrote and who bears witness to the authenticity of these statements. [i.e. John's Gospel]. We know that his testimony is true." As is seen, this statement about John belongs to the scribe that wrote John's Gospel. In this verse John is mentioned with the third person (absent) pronoun 'he', and the scribe who wrote (fabricated) the book mention himself with the pronoun 'we', which signifies the writer. This comes to mean that the writer of John's Gospel is someone other than John. The writer claims to have knowledge of the trueness of John's testimony. In conclusion, the man that wrote this Gospel obtained possession of some of John's epistles and wrote this book after rendering some excisions and additions.

Thirdly, in the second century of the Christian era, when controversies and objections as to the authenticity of John's Gospel appeared, Iranaeus, a pupil of Polycarpe who was a disciple of John, was still alive. Why did he not answer the objectors by proving the authenticity of the Gospel he had transmitted by documents? If his transmission (the Gospel of John taught by him) had been true, he would have cried out and said, "My transmission is true." The predication that "the matter of authenticity should not have been discussed between Polycarpe and his pupil Iranaeus" would be far from factual. Would it have been logically possible for Iranaeus not to have learned anything about the authenticity of the Gospel they were reading by at least asking, "Is this Gospel John's?", while asking and learning about many useless matters from his master? His having forgotten would be an even weaker probability. For Iranaeus is well-known for full cognizance of his master's way and habits and his strong memory to keep well what he learned. Eusebius (of Caesaria), in the two hundred and nineteenth page of the twentieth chapter of the fifth book of his history, which was published in 1263 [A.D. 1847], quotes Iranaeus' statements about the languages in which John's Gospel was transmitted, as follows: "As a bestowment of Allahu ta'ala, I heard and memorized these words. I did not write them down. This has been my habit since long ago. Thus I have been saying and reciting what I learned." As is seen, the Gospel was denied even in the second century and such denials could not be answered by proving its authenticity. Celsus, a Christian scholar, cried out in the second Christian century that "Christians clanged their Bible in a manner as to defile its meaning three to five times or even more." Faustus, an outstanding Manichaen scholar, said in the fourth Christian century, "Changes were made in Biblical books. It is true. The Old Testament was not compiled by Isa 'alaihissalam' or by the Apostles. It was represented in the name of evangelists or their colleagues with a view of gaining popularity. Books containing many errors and paradoxes were published and thus Christians were hurt."

Fourthly, Herald, a Catholic, citing from an editor named Estadlen in the two hundred and fiftieth page of the seventh volume of his book published in 1844, states that he does not doubt the fact that John's Gospel was written by one of the pupils of the Alexandrian school.

Fifthly, Bretschneider says that John's Gospel, or John's epistles, does not belong to John as a whole, and that it may have been written by an anonymous scribe in the second century, [Bretschneider (1776-1848) was a German Protestant theologian who wrote a book to criticize the Bible].

Sixthly, Cirdinius said that "John's Gospel had twenty chapters. Later the twenty-first chapter was added by the church of Ephesus."

Seventhly, this Gospel of John, together with all its contents, was rejected by the group of Alogence in the second Christian century.

Eighthly, eleven verses at the beginning of the eighth chapter of John's Gospel have been rejected by all Christian men of knowledge.

Ninthly, during the compilation of the four Gospels, many erroneous transmissions without any documents were inserted into them. These transmissions do not even have any documents to testify the authenticity of the existing four Gospels. Thomas Hartwell states in the second chapter of the fourth volume of his interpretation published in 1237 [A.D. 1822], "The information reaching us concerning the times of edition of the Gospels is insufficient and inconclusive. It gives us no help as to the dependability of the Gospels. The early Christian men of religion continued to write wrong transmissions that they accepted and took for granted. Their successors, because of the respect they felt for them, unanimously accepted their writings without even considering whether they were true or not. Thus, all these careless and superficial transmissions passed from one scribe to another, from one version to another, and reached our time. And now, after so many centuries, it is very difficult to purify the Gospels of wrong transmissions." He says in the same volume, "The first Gospel, i.e. Matthew's Gospel, was edited in the thirty-seventh, thirty-eighth, forty-first, forty-seventh, sixty-first, sixty-second, sixty-third, sixty-fourth or sixty-fifth years of the Christian era, and the second Gospel, i.e. the Gospel of Mark, was edited in the fifty-sixth. According to a more dependable view, it was edited in the sixtieth or sixty-third year. The third Gospel, the Gospel of Luke, was edited in the fifty-third, sixty-third or sixty-fourth years of the Christian era, and the Gospel of John in the sixty- eighth, sixty-ninth, seventieth or ninety-eighth years." There is no document or proof to testify that the epistle to the Hebrews and the second epistle of Peter and the second and third epistles of John and the epistle of Jacob and the epistle of Judah and the Revelation of John were transmitted by the Apostles. Their soundness was doubtful till the year 365. Some of their parts were rejected as erroneous by Christian religious scholars, until that time. In fact, the versions translated into the Syrian language do not contain those parts. All the Arab churches rejected the soundness [authenticity] of the second epistle of Peter, the second and third epistles of John, the epistle of Judah and the Revelation of John. Horn, a Biblical scholar, says in the two hundred and sixth and two hundred and seventh pages of the second book of bin interpretation. "Peter's epistle Judah's, epistle, the second and the third epistles and the Revelation of John, the nine verses from the second verse to the eleventh verse of the eighth chapter of the Gospel of John and the seventh verse of the fifth chapter of the first book of John, never existed in the Syriac copies of the Bible." This means to say that the translator, who wrote the Syriac version, knew that the sections we have just mentioned could not be documents for an authentic religious principle, and did not translate these parts which he noticed during translation. Ward, a Catholic, in the thirty-Seventh page of his book published in 1841, quotes Rogers, a Protestant, as saying, "Because the Hebrew epistle contradicted the creed taught in the epistle of Jacob, in the second and third epistles of John and in his Revelation, the ecclesiastical authorities excised these epistles from the Holy Bible." Dactrice states that, till the time of Josneys, not every book was accepted as authentic, and insists that the epistle of Jacob, the epistle of Judah, the second epistle of Peter, the second and third epistles of John did not contain information compiled and written by the Apostles. He adds that, "The Hebrew epistle was rejected until a certain time, and the second and third epistles of Peter, the Revelation of John and the epistle of Judah were not accepted as

authentic by the Syrian and Arab churches; yet we take them for granted, that is, we accept them as authentic."

Dr. Nathaniel Lardner, a Christian Biblical scholar, states in the hundred and seventy-fifth page of the fourth book of his interpretation, "The book of Revelations of John was not accepted as authentic by Serl and his contemporary Orshilim, that is, by the church of Jerusalem. The index of the book 'Canon', written by Serl, does not even contain the name of this book." He gives more detailed information in the three hundred and twenty-third page, and writes, "The Revelation of John does not exist in the Syrian translations of the early Gospels. They do not contain any marginal notes written on them by such editors as Webar Hiberios or Jacob. Also, Waybidicsou did not include the second epistle of Peter, the second and third epistles of John, the Revelation of John or the epistle of Judah in his index of books. The Syrians are of the same opinion."

Herald, a Catholic, says in the two hundred and sixth page of the seventh volume of his book: "As Raus states in the hundred and sixtieth page of his book, most of the notables of the Protestant church do not accept the authenticity of John's Revelations," Prof. Rabwald states, "John's Gospel and John's epistles and Revelations cannot have been written by the same person," and proves this by strong documents. Vivisbius, quoting from Webunisicheen in the twenty-fifth chapter of the seventh volume of his 'History', says that the early priests tried to excise the Revelations of John from the Holy Bible, and adds: "This book of Revelations is thoroughly nonsensical. It is quite wrong to attribute it to John, who was one of the Apostles. It is ignorance and being unaware of the facts. The person who wrote it was neither an apostle nor a follower of the Messiah, nor was he a true person. Perhaps this book of Revelations was written by a Roman named Sern Tehsin (Cerinac) and was attributed to John." Further on he says, "But ' do not have the capacity to excise this book, i.e. John's Revelations, from the holy Bible. For thousands of our Christian brothers revere this John. I confirm that the person who wrote this book had

inspirations. But I do not admit that he was the Apostle John, who was the brother of James, an apostle, and the son of Zebedee and the writer of the Gospel of John. It is inferable from his words and manners that he was not an apostle. Nor is the person who wrote the book of Revelations the same John mentioned in the Book of Acts, which tells about the Actions. For he never went to the country of Isaiah. The person who wrote that Gospel was another John, who was an inhabitant of Isaiah. Again, as is inferred from the paragraphs and expressions in the Gospel of John, in the epistles and in the Revelations, John, who is the editor of John's Gospel and the epistles, is not the same John who compiled the Book of Revelations. For the paragraphs in the Gospel and in the epistles are well arranged and have a smooth language in Greek. They do not contain erroneous expressions. The case is not so with the discourse in the Book of Revelations; it is written in a queer, unusual style unwonted in Greek. John the Apostle does not mention his name overtly in his Gospel and epistles; he writes of himself as 'the speaker' or in the third person singular. He directly gets into the matter under question without giving lengthy information of himself. As for the author of Revelations; he uses quite a different style. For example, the first verse of the first chapter of John's Revelations reads as follows: 'This is the revelation of Jesus the Messiah; it is the revelation that God gave unto the Messiah. He gave him the revelation so that he would show His servants the things that will certainly happen soon. And He sent it through John, His own servant.' The ninth verse says, 'I am John, your brother, who shares with you the afflictions, the saintliness and the patience undergone by Jesus.' The eighth verse of the twenty-second chapter says, 'I am John, who has seen and heard these events.' As is

seen, these verses, unlike the style followed by the Apostles, mention the speaker's name clearly. If it is suggested that unlike his past habit, he (John) might have mentioned his name clearly here in order to make his people know about him, the following answer is appropriate: If his purpose had been so, he should have written the nickname and title belonging to him. For example, he should have used such expressions as, 'I am John, the brother of James and the son of Zebedee and own qualification and differentiating himself from other people, he used such expressions as 'your brother', 'who has seen the events', etc. Our purpose here is not to make fun of reasonable people, but to clarify the distinction between the styles of expression and writing of the two people."

Again, it is written in the third chapter of the third book of the history of Eusebius, "The first epistle of Peter is authentic. But his second epistle cannot be from the Holy Bible. Paul's fourteen epistles are read. But some people excised his epistles to Hebrew's from the Holy Bible." Eusebius states in the twenty-fifth chapter of his same book that there is disagreement on the epistle of Jacob, the epistle of Judah, the second epistle of Peter, and the second and third epistles of John, and that their real authors are unknown. Eusebius says in the twenty-fifth chapter of the sixth book of this same history, "Airgin's account of the Hebrew epistle is as follows: this epistle, which is very popular among the Christians, was written by some Gulnaht in Shab-i-room. Some people said that it was translated by Luke." Irenaeus (140-220), an early theologian, Polinius, one of the dignitaries in 220, and Pontius, in 251, rejected the Hebrew epistle entirely. Tortilin Bersper of Carthage, one of the dignitaries of A.D. 200, says: "The Hebrew epistle belongs to Barnabas." Kis Bertsper, one of the notables of 212, says: "The epistles of Paul are virtually thirteen; the fourteenth, the Hebrew epistle, is not one of them." Saey Pern Bashb of Carthage, in 248, did not even mention the name of this epistle. The Syrian church has not accepted, so far, the authenticity of the second epistle of Peter and the second and third epistles of John. Aiscalcen, a notable Christian, says: "The person who wrote the second epistle of Peter wasted his time by doing so." It is written as follows in the Biblical History published in 1266 [A.D. 1850]: "A writer named Critius says that the epistle of Judah belongs to John, who was the fifteenth usqf (priest) of Jerusalem during the reign of Aydernick." [Usqf: a ranking clergy responsible for reading the Bible]. Airgin, an early writer who interpreted the Gospel of John, says in the fifth book of this interpretation of his: "Paul did not write epistles to every church; and the epistles he wrote to some churches consisted of a few lines." As is inferred from this statement of Airgin's, none of the epistles said to be Paul's belongs to him; all of them belong to some other writer, but are attributed to him. The second chapter of the epistle that Paul wrote to Galatians contains the following statements, from the eleventh verse to the sixteenth verse: "But when Peter came to Antioch, when he deserved being scolded, I confronted with him. He was guilty. For he had been eating with some people [pagans] before some people that came from Jacob. But when they came, he left because he feared the circumcised people [Jews]. Other Jews followed Peter's example and dissembled to withdraw. So much so that even Barnabas was taken in by their dissimulation. But when I saw that they were not walking in the right path as shown by the essence of the Bible, I said to Peter in front of all the others, 'You are a Jew, but you are living as if you were not a Jew, as if you belonged in another nation [pagans]! Why are you forcing other people to act like the Jews? Let alone the sinners of those people, we who are already Jews have had belief in Jesus the Messiah because we know that a person becomes a true one, not through the canonical deeds but by believing in Jesus the Messiah. Let us make ourselves true not only through the canonical deeds but by having belief in the Messiah. For no one can be true by doing the canonical deeds."

Because the beginning part of these statements contradicts the final part, one of the parts, (that is, either the beginning part or the final part), must have been added afterwards. For, although Paul writes in the beginning of his epistle [eleventh verse] how he scolded Peter in Antioch, the guilt he blamed him for was his eating with other people, i.e. pagans, which was against Jewish customs. [Supposing it were not an insolence for him to direct the insults we have mentioned above towards such a person as Peter, who had been inspired by the Holy Spirit and served the Messiah]. In fact, his scolding him was based on the following reasoning: "A Jew as you are, you slight the commandments of your religion like pagans. How can you have the face to call them to (follow) the Jewish canon?" But after this (reasoning) Paul changes his course and begins to explain the futility of the canonical commandments. In the third chapter, after long discourse on the needlessness of worships, he says that he has entirely adapted himself to the canonical laws of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. As a matter of fact, the seventeenth verse of the twenty-first chapter of the Book of Acts reads as follows: "When Paul came to Jerusalem and visited Jacob, together with his pupils, all the old ones were there. They said unto Paul, "Brother, you see how many thousands there are of the believing Jews. All these people take pains to follow the canonical laws of Musa 'alaihi-salam.' They have heard that you have been teaching all the Jews living among the pagans not to circumcise their children, not to follow their own customs, and to leave the way of hadrat Musa. What shall we do now? For they have heard of your arrival. Now do as well tell you to; there are four vowed people with us. Take them with you, clean yourself together with them, have them shave their heads, and follow the canonical laws yourself so that they will realize that you have been acting upon the commandments of the canon and what they have heard about you is untrue. As for the peoples who have believed; we have decided and written (to them) that they should avoid (eating) what has been sacrificed to idols, blood, the strangled, and fornication.' Then Paul took these men, cleaned himself together with them the following day and entered the temple, announcing that the days of cleaning would be over when a sacrifice has been offered for each of them."

As seen, Paul, who kept saying that "The body will not be clean by (following) the canon. Though accursed for us, the Messiah has saved us from the commandments of the canon", follows the old people's advice, adapts himself to the canon by cleaning himself and enters the temple.

Three verses from Paul's epistle tell us a few subtle facts about the mysteries of Christianity:

First: It was rumored among the Jews believing the Messiah that Paul was saying, "Circumcision is unnecessary." This comes to mean that the Jews, who had believed Isa 'alaihi-salam' on condition that they would not desist from the canon of Musa 'alaihi-salam', did not approve the changing of the canonical laws of Musa 'alaihi-salam.'

Second: At that time it was not considered important whether the canonical laws would continue to exist. The person, who was one of the apostles of Isa 'alaihi-salam', said, "The people must be gathered together whatever the cost"; hence it is inferred that his real purpose was to bring the people together in their own religion by using all sorts of methods. This suggestion, which an apostle of Isa 'alaihi-salam' had the courage to make to Paul only in order to bring the people together, reveals the basis on which Christianity was founded.

Third: Papias, who was the bishop of Hiraspolis towards the middle of the second Christian century, referred to two short treatises pertaining to the words and acts of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. One of them is a treatise by Mark, who was the interpreter of the Apostle Peter, the other is Matthew's treatise, a compilation of Hebrew commandments and rules. Papias stated that the treatise belonging to Mark was very short, inadequate, not written in chronological order, consisting of

some stories and traditions. This signifies that, in the middle of the second century. Matthew and Mark had a treatise each; Papias saw them and wrote about them, describing them and pointing out the differences between them.

As for the Gospels of Matthew and Mark existing today; they are quite alike, both being detailed in such a manner as if they were copied from each other. It is apparent that these are not the versions seen by Papias and that those versions were later enlarged by additions.

On the other hand, Papias never mentioned the Gospels of Luke and John. Papias, who was in Hiraspolis and, naturally, met John's disciples and learned some facts from them, did not even say a single word about the Gospel of John. This fact shows that the Gospel of John was written some time afterwards.

THE GOSPEL OF MATTHEW

The ninth verse of the ninth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew reads as follows: "And as Jesus was passing there he saw a man who was sitting at the customs place and whose name was Matthew; when he said to him, 'follow me and come after me', he stood up, followed him and went after him." Now, please pay close attention to this point: if Matthew himself wrote these statements, why did he use the name Matthew in the third person instead of speaking as Matthew himself? [If the author of this Gospel had been Matthew himself, he would have said, "As I was sitting at the customs place Isa 'alaihi-salam' passed by. When he saw me he told me to follow him, to walk behind him. So I stood up and followed him, walked behind him."]

In the Gospel of Matthew, every speech quoted from Isa 'alaihi-salam' is so long that it is impossible to say any one of them at one sitting, at one time. In fact, the advice and the directions that he gave to the apostles in the tenth chapter, his continuous words in the fifth, sixth and seventh chapters, his scolding of the Persians in the twenty-third chapter, his continuous exemplifications in the eighth chapter are absolutely not short enough to occur within one sitting. A proof of this is that these same speeches and exemplifications of his are divided into various sittings in the other Gospels. This means to say that the author of this Gospel is not Matthew, the customs officer, the faithful companion of Isa 'alaihi-salam'.

In the Gospel of Matthew, miracles (mujiza) of Isa 'alaihi-salam' such as his curing the poor people who were blind, leprosy or paralyzed, his feeding large numbers of poor people, are mentioned at two different places each. The Gospels of Mark and Luke, on the other hand, mention each of these events at one place. Hence, the author of the Gospel attributed to Matthew probably consulted two sources when writing the book and saw the same event in both sources. Then, perhaps, thinking the two events were different, he wrote them as such in his book.

It is written in the fifth verse of the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that hadrat Isa commanded his messengers, i.e. the Apostles, not to go to [call] the pagans [to their religion] and not to enter the city of Samaria, Further ahead it is said that he cured a pagan captain's servant and cananite woman's daughter.

On the one hand, the sixth verse of the seventh chapter says, "Do not give sacred things to the dogs [pagans]. Do not throw your jewels to the swine"; and the nineteenth verse of the twenty-eight chapter, on the other hand, declares, "Go and make all peoples your pupils. Baptize them [each them your religion], in the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit."

While the fifth verse of the tenth chapter commands, "Do not follow (other) peoples' ways. Do not enter any of the Samaritan cities", the fourteenth verse of the twenty-fourth chapter declares, "The Gospel shall be preached to all nations, and the end shall be salvation." [Such verses, like the ones before, are quite contradictory].

Countless contradictions and oppositions of this sort are repeated in this Gospel. These additions leave no doubt as to the fact that the Gospel of Matthew was interpolated. Some important episodes contained by the other Gospels do not exist in the Gospel of Matthew. For example, the episodes such as the selection of seventy pupils by Isa 'alaihi-salam', his ascension in the Mala-i-hawariyyun, his coming to Jerusalem twice for celebrating the Bayram (Holy Day), and LuAzar's resurrection from his grave do not exist in this Gospel. Therefore, it is doubtful that the Gospel of Matthew was written by Matthew the Apostle.

THE GOSPEL OF MARK

All historians agree that Mark was not one of the Apostles. Perhaps he was in interpreter to the Apostle Peter.

Papias states, "Mark was in interpreter to Peter. Mark wrote the words and acts of Isa 'alaihi-salam' as correctly as he could recollect them. But he did not write the words and acts of Isa 'alaihi-salam' in a regular order. For he had not heard them from Isa 'alaihi-salam', nor had he ever been with him. As I have said, Mark was only a friend of Peter's. In order to have a book containing his conversations with Peter and the words of Isa 'alaihi-salam', he related the events in a haphazard way, choosing the right time and the appropriate gathering for each event he was to tell about. For this reason, Mark should not be blamed for having written some parts of his book in a manner as if he had learned them from his master, Peter. For Mark did not consider it important to write what he had heard without forgetting or changing any parts."

The early Christian scholars wrote explanations to the Gospel of Mark daily. Iren, one of them, states: "After the deaths of Peter and Paul, Mark wrote what he had memorized before." Calman of Alexandria says: "As Peter was in Rome yet, Peter's pupils asked Mark to write his Gospel. He did so. Peter heard of the writing of the book. But he did not say whether he should write it or not." Eusebius, a historian, says: "Upon hearing of this, Peter was pleased about this effort of his pupils. He ordered that it be read in the church." Nevertheless, the Gospel of Mark appears to be an imitation of the Gospel of Matthew, rather than the epistles of Peter. Accordingly, the book that Papias says was written by Mark must be another one, other than the existing second Gospel. The seventeenth verse of the sixth chapter of the Gospel of Mark reads: "But Hirodes married Hirodia, the wife of his brother, Philippus. Therefore he had Yahya [9] arrested and shut him up in a dungeon. For Yahya had been saying it is not permissible to marry a brother's wife." This is completely wrong. For the name of Hirodia's husband is given clearly as Hirius, not as Philippus, in the fifth chapter of the eighteenth book of the history of Eusebius. This error exists in the Gospel of Matthew, too. In fact, the translators who wrote the Arabic version which was edited in 1821 [1237 hijri] and 1844 changed this verse by having excised the word 'Philippus' from the Gospels of Matthew and Luke, though it exists in the translations done in other years.

Again, the two statements in the twenty-fifth and twenty-sixth verses of the second chapter of the Gospel of Mark bear the following meaning: "Hadrat Isa said unto his pupils: Haven't you ever read about how Dawud (David) and those who were with him, when they were hungry and in need, entered the home of God and he and also those who were with him ate the sacred bread, which was not permissible for anyone except the rabbis to eat, in the days of the head of rabbis, Abiatar?" These statements are wrong, erroneous for two reasons:

Firstly, at that time hadrat Dawud was alone. No one was with him. Secondly, in those days the head of rabbis was not Abiatar, but perhaps his father, Ahimlik. [Members of the Congregation of Seventies that administer the Jews' affairs are called Rabbi. Their preachers are called Scribes.]

THE GOSPEL OF LUKE

It is a certain fact that Luke was not one of the Apostles. It is written in the beginning of the Gospel of Luke: "O thou the virtuous Theophilus; as those who were the deputies and servants of the Logos saw with their own eyes and narrated to us, many people attempted to arrange and write a chronology of the happenings that took place among us; so I have deemed it appropriate to scrutinize all the events from the beginning and write them to you just as they are in chronological order." [Luke, Chapter I, verses 1-4].

This paragraph has several denotations:

First; Luke wrote this Gospel as many other people contemporary with him wrote Gospels. Second; Luke points out the fact that there is no Gospel written by the Apostles themselves. For he distinguishes the Gospel writers from those who have seen with their own eyes, with the expression "As it is narrated to us by the deputies of the Logos who have seen with their own eyes..."

Third; he does not claim to be a disciple of one of the Apostles. For in his time there were numerous publications, articles and epistles attributed to each of the Apostles; he did not hope that such a documentation, i.e. claiming to be a pupil of one of the Apostles, would cause others to trust his book. Perhaps he thought it a more dependable document to point out that he had observed every fact in its original source and learned everything by personal scrutiny. One point should be noted: recently it has become a customary practice on the part of the Protestant clergy to replace the criticized expressions with some other appropriate expressions, each time a Gospel is reprinted. In fact, with permission, registered with the date 1371 and number 572, given by the (Turkish) Ministry of Education, the British and American Bible companies transformed this paragraph, too. By substituting the expression "As I know all the facts to the most minute details,...." with "Since I, too, am fully cognizant of all the facts from the outset...", they adapted the meaning to their own goals. But the French versions and the versions printed in Germany retain the meaning we have translated above.

In giving the genealogy of Isa 'alaihi-salam', the twenty-seventh verse of the third chapter of the Gospel of Luke writes as follows: "John, the son of Risa the son of Zerubabel the son of Shelteil the son of Niri." There are three errors here:

First; the children of Zerubabel are written clearly in the nineteenth verse of the third chapter of the first history section of the Old Testament. There is no one by the name of Risa there. This writing of his contradicts Matthew's writing, too.

Second: Zerubabel is the son of Fedaya. He is not the son of Shelteil. He is the son of Shelteil's brother.

Third; Shelteil is the son of John, not the son of Niri, Matthew writes so, too.

Again, the thirty-seventh verse of the third chapter of the Gospel of Luke reads, "Salih the son of Kanan the son of Arfahshad", which is wrong, too. For Salih is not the grandson of Arfahshad; which is wrong, too. For Salih is not the grandson of Arfahshad; he is his son. This fact is stated in the first chapter of the first history section and in the Genesis [in its tenth, eleventh and twelfth verses].

Also, the first and second verses at the beginning of the Gospel of Luke, "In those days a firman commanding a worldwide census was issued by the Kaiser, Augustus. This was the first census executed when Kirinius was the governor of Syria", are wrong. The Romans never dominated the whole world; how could they have issued a firman concerning a worldwide census? In fact, the Protestant priests, in order to dodge this question as usual, changed these statements in the

version of the New Testament and wrote as "In those days a firman concerning the registering of the whole world was issued by the Kaiser Augustus." On the other hand, in the Turkish version issued by the British society in Paris in 1243 [A.D. 1827], this passage is written as "In those days it befell so that a firman concerning a census of the world was issued by the Kaiser Augustus. Even John went up to the city of Dawud called Bayt-i-Lahm with his fiance Maryam in order to be registered." Afterwards, when scrutinies on the passage about the census began, it was seen that neither the historians contemporary with Luke nor those a short while before him said anything concerning the census. As for Kirinius; he became the governor of Syria fifteen years after the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam'; it is an obvious fact, therefore, that the census could not have taken place in his time, supposing after all the doubtful census did occur.

THE GOSPEL OF JOHN

As for the Gospel of John; as is known, till the emergence of the fourth Gospel which is attributed to John, the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam' was based on the principle of unity, no different from the canonical laws of Musa 'alaihi-salam' in its fundamentals. For it is the Gospel of John that first mentioned the word 'trinity' and which misled the believers of Isa 'alaihi-salam' by inserting the doctrine of trinity (believing three Gods) into their belief. For this reason, it is extremely important to search into the facts about the Gospel of John. Various quotations from the books of early Christian men of religion about the Gospel of John have been given above.

This book does not belong to John the son of Zebedee. It was written by an anonymous author after the second century. Contemporary European orientalist historians have proved this fact by various evidences.

First evidence: It is written as follows at the beginning of the Gospel of John: "Logos existed in the beginning, Logos was with God, and Logos was the God." These words are of the subtle matters of the knowledge of Word and do not exist in any of the other Gospels. If these words had been heard from Isa 'alaihi-salam', they would exist in the other Gospels, too. Hence, the author is not John the apostle but another person, who must have studied the Platonic philosophy of three hypostases in Roman and Alexandrian schools. As a matter of fact, this will be explicated below.

Second evidence: The writings about the adulteress, from the first verse to the eleventh in the eighth chapter of the Gospel of John, are repudiated by all Christian churches, who say that those writings are not Biblical. This means to say that the author compiled a number of Gospels he came across, adding many other things he happened to find here and there; or someone after him added these verses. According to the first case, the author wrote a compilation without distinguishing between the true and the untrue. So the compilation he wrote consists of unacceptable things. According to the second case, it must be admitted that his Gospel was interpolated. In either case, it is of doubtful origin and does not deserve trust.

Third evidence: Some examples, occurrences and miracles narrated in the other Gospels do not exist in this Gospel, which in its turn contains a number of things non-existent in the others. Episodes such as LuAzar's coming back to life, the water's changing into wine, his (Jesus) confiding his beloved disciple and his mother to each other, exist only in the Gospel of John and not in the others. Later on we shall give detailed information in this respect.

Fourth evidence: Of the early Christians, neither Papias nor Justinien mentioned seeing this Gospel. Justinien, especially, who admitted that the author of the Gospel of John was not John himself, did not say anything about this Gospel.

Fifth evidence: The way of expression in the narration of the events compiled in the other three Gospels is quite contrary to the style of discourse used in the Gospel of John. For example, in the other three Gospels Isa 'alaihi-salam', like a tutor who wants to train the people, disapproves the hypocritical behavior of the other three Gospels Isa 'alaihi-salam', like a tutor who wants to train the people, disapproves the hypocritical behavior of the Pharesees. He commands to purify the heart, to approach Allahu ta'ala, to love people, to form beautiful habits, and prohibits inclinations contrary to the Sharia of Musa 'alaihi-salam' (Mosaic laws). His teachings and advice to the people are quite clear, natural, and comprehensible to anyone. Although these three Gospels contradict one another in some of their narratives, they are apparently based on common sources in those that agree with one another. The Gospel of John, on the other hand, is quite dissimilar and uses an altogether different style both in its discourse and concerning the moral and habitual conduct of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. In this Gospel, hadrat Isa is represented as a person who has knowledge of Greek philosophy and whose elegant and eloquent language expresses his personal nobility rather than such values as the fear of Allahu ta'ala and beautiful morality. And the way of expression chosen is not the Messianic style common to the public but the lexical and syntactical dialect peculiar to Alexandrian schools. His statements, though thoroughly clear and plain in the other three Gospels, are ambiguous in this Gospel. It is full of well-organized iterations mostly with important double meanings and arranged in a singular way. The style used in John arouses one's feelings of denial and hatred instead of alluring one's heart. If this Gospel had appeared all of a sudden, recently, after having remained concealed somewhere, no one would believe it was written by one of the Apostles. Because it has been known for centuries, Christians cannot realize these oddities.

Sixth evidence: More mistakes are noticed in this Gospel. For instance, the fifty-first verse of the first chapter of the Gospel of John reads as follows: "Indeed I tell you that from now on you shall see the heaven open up and the angels of God descend repeatedly unto mankind." In actual fact, these words of Isa 'alaihi-salam' took place after his baptism in the water of Eden and the descension of the Holy Spirit; after that no one saw the opening of the heaven or the descension of angels unto Isa 'alaihi-salam'.

The thirteenth verse of the third chapter of this Gospel states, "No one has ascended to heaven. Only the mankind who descended from heaven, that is, who is in heaven, has ascended there." This verse is wrong in several respects:

First; the part interpreted with the phrase (that is) was added afterwards. Thus the verse was changed. For the beginning part of the verse purported that "No one other than who descended from heaven has ascended to heaven"; but the author of the Gospel or one of its editors inserted an explanatory phrase in order to point out that mankind, i.e. Isa 'alaihi-salam', is meant by this verse. Careful observation will show at once that this phrase is an addition. For when we separate the initial part of the verse from this explanatory phrase, its correct meaning, "No one other than the angels who descended from heaven has ascended to heaven", will become clear. On the other hand, if it is asserted that "It is mankind who descended from heaven", the fact that hadrat Isa did not descend from heaven but was conceived by hadrat Maryam (Mary) through the Holy Spirit [the Archangel Jabrail 'alaihi-salam'] will have been disavowed. Moreover, it will be necessary to reject that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was on earth and not in heaven as he said, "...who is in heaven...". Furthermore, it is impossible for Isa 'alaihi-salam' to have uttered both expressions, i.e. "who descended from heaven" and "who is in heaven", at the same moment.

Second; the initial part of the verse is wrong, too. For it is stated in the twenty-fourth verse of the fifth chapter of Genesis and in the twelfth verse of the second chapter of Kings IV that Ahnuh

and Ilya 'alaihimus- salam' also ascended to heaven. There can be no doubt as to the fact that this verse has been interpolated.

FOOTNOTES

[9] Christians call this exalted Prophet John the Baptist.

CONTRADICTIONS AND DIFFERENCES AMONG THE FOUR GOSPELS

The errors, contradictions and interpolations seen in the existing Gospels are uncountably numerous. Many of them are explained in the book *Iz-har-ul-haq*. Also, there is extensive and detailed information in this respect in books that were written and are still being written and published by a number of German orientologists such as Joizer, Davis, Miel, Kepler, Maie, Bred Schneider, Griesbach Hüge, Lesinag, Herder, Straus, Haus, Tobian, Thyl, Carl Butter, and many more. Here we shall only mention of few of them.

There is a great difference between the Gospels of Matthew and Luke concerning the ancestors of Isa 'sall-allahu ala Nabiyyina wa alaihi wasallam'.

In the Gospel of Matthew, the following names are written as the ancestors of Isa 'alaihi-salam': "Ibrahim, Ishaq, Yaqub, Yahuda, Faris, Hestron, Aram, Aminadab, Nahshon, Salmon, Buaz, Obid, Yassa, Dawud, Sulaiman, Rehobeam, Abiya, Asa, Yehashafat, Yoram, Uzziya, Yotam, Ahaz, Hazkiya, Manassa, Amon, Yoshiya, Yaqonya, Shaltoil, Zerubabel, Abihood, Alyakim, Azor, Sadoq, Ahim, Eliud, EliAzar, Mattan, Yaqub, Yusuf (Maryam(s husband))."

On the other hand, in the twenty-third and later verses of the third chapter of the Gospel of Luke the following names are written: "Taruh, Ibrahim, Ishaq, Yaqub, Yahuda, Faris, Hasron, Aram, Aminadab, Nahshon, Salmon, Buaz, Obid, Yasee, Dawud, Natan, Mattasa, Minan, Milya, Alyakeem, Yonan, Yusuf, Yahuda, Sem'un, Lavee, Metsad, Yoreem, Eliazar, Yusa, Eyr, Almodam, Qosam, Addi, Melkee, Neyri, Shaltoil, Zerubabel, Risa, Yuhanna, Yahuda, Yusuf, Shemi, Mattasiya, Mahat, Nadjay, Hesli, Nahum, Amos, Metasiya, Yusuf, Yanna, Melki, Lavi, Metsat, Heli, Yusuf (Maryam's husband)."

1 - According to Matthew, Yusuf (who is said to be the father of Isa 'alaihi-salam) is the son of Yaqub. According to Luke, he is the son of Heli. Matthew is a person close to Isa 'alaihi-salam'. And Luke is a disciple of Peter's. These are the people to study and observe a person close to them, and yet they seem to fall short of making investigation wholesome enough to write correctly the name of a person who they say was the grandfather of Isa 'alaihi-salam'; now, who on earth will trust or believe their other narratives?

2 - According to Matthew, Sulaiman 'alaihi-salam' is the son of Dawud 'alaihi-salam'. And according to Luke the son of Dawud 'alaihi-salam' is Natan, not Sulaiman 'alaihi-salam'.

3 - Matthew says that Shaltoil is the son of Yaqunya. But Luke says he is the son of Neyri. In Matthew, the name of Zerubabel's son is Abihood, whereas in Luke it is Risa. What is equally startling is that in the nineteenth verse of the third chapter of the *Ahbar-i- eyyam Safar-i-ula*, that is, of the First Chronicles, the names of Zerubabel's sons are written as Meshullam and Hananye. There is no mention of Abihood or Risa there.

4 - According to the seventeenth verse of the first chapter of Matthew, the grandfathers attributed to Isa 'alaihi-salam' from Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' to Yusuf-u-Najjar, make up forty-two generations. The names given above, nevertheless, count only forty. According to Luke's account, on the other hand, the number reaches fifty-five.

From the time when the Gospels first appeared to our time, Christian scholars have remained in utter perplexity as to this question. Some of them made such untenable explanations as would not

be admitted by anyone with common sense. For this reason, scholars such as Ekharh, Keeser, Haysee, Ghabuth, Wither, Fursen, etc. admitted the fact by saying that "These Gospels contain lots of contradictions pertaining to meaning." This is the truth of the matter. For inconsistencies and errors are not only in this matter but also in all the other matters.

Isa 'alaihi-salam' came to this world without a father. Nevertheless, while Jews persistently calumniate him by calling him an illegitimate child [May Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so!], Christians attribute a paternal case history to him and accept Yusuf as his father, though he is not his father; this is a consternating ignorance and a paradoxical state. In the Qur'an al-karim, the ayats concerning Isa 'alaihi-salam' use such terms as "Isa ibn Maryam," which means "Isa the son of Maryam." It is declared clearly in the Qur'an al-karim that Isa 'alaihi-salam' did not have a father.

5 - It is written as follows in the twenty-second and twenty-third verses of the first chapter of Matthew: "Thus all these happened so that what the Creator said, through the Prophet, came about. For thine Creator said: So the virgin shall conceive and shall have a son, who shall be named Emanuel, which means Allah is with us." According to Christian priests, by saying 'Prophet', Ishaya (Isaiah) 'alaihi-salam' is meant. As an evidence for this, they put forward the fourteenth verse of the seventh chapter of the Book of Isaiah, which purports, "The Creator shall give you a sign for this. The sign is this; the virgin shall conceive and shall have a son. He shall be called Emanuel." Rahmatullah Effendi explains this matter in detail in his book *Iz-har-ul-haq*. He states that their inference is wrong for three reasons:

First; the word which the translators of the Gospel and the translator of the Book of Isaiah translated as azra(=virgin) is 'ilmaturun, which is the feminine gender of the word 'ilm (=knowledge). According to Jewish scholars the meaning of this word is young woman. They say that this term is used to mean married woman, whether virginal or not, in the thirtieth chapter of the (Matters of Solomon) in *Sirf-ul-emsal*. In the three Greek versions of the look of Isaiah translated by persons named Ikola, Thedusien, and Semiks, this term is interpreted as (young woman). these translations, according to Christian clergy, are quite old; it is narrated that the first was translated in 129, the second in 175, and the third in 200. All these translations, especially the Thedusien, were warmly accepted by the early Christians. Therefore, according to Jewish scholars and the interpretations of these three translators, the expression used by Matthew is apparently wrong. Fery, in his discourse on the Hebrew lexicon in a book of his which is popular and accepted among Protestant priests, says that this word, i.e. (Azra), means (young woman). They (Protestants) say that according to his explanation the two meanings are common in this word. Yet the native speakers of the language, i.e. the Jews, in response to this interpretation of the priests, state that firstly Matthew's expression is wrong and secondly translating the word as Azra (=virginal woman), which runs counter to the early translations of the Jewish interpretations, requires sound proofs. The priest who wrote the book *Mizan-ul-haq* says in his book *Hall-ul-eshqal* that the meaning of the word is certainly Azra; he is wrong. The two evidences we have mentioned above would suffice to refute him.

Second; the twentieth verse of the first chapter of Matthew reads of follows: "The angel of God was revealed to him in his dream and said: O thou Joseph, do not be afraid to accept Mary as thine wife! For she will have a son by the Holy Spirit; name Him Jesus (Isa)." And the twenty-fourth verse says: "When Joseph woke up he did as the angel said and named the child Jesus."

The first chapter of Luke, on the other hand, states that the angel was seen by hadrat Maryam herself. According to the thirty-first verse of the same chapter, the angel said to hadrat Maryam that "soon you shall become pregnant, have a son, and name him Jesus."

While Matthew states that the angel appeared to Joseph in his dream, Luke says that hadrat Maryam saw the angel in person.

Furthermore, it is written as follows in the twenty- third verse of the first chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "Thus the virgin shall become pregnant and have a son and they shall name him Emanuel." This is the fourteenth verse of the seventh chapter of the Book of Isaiah. It is wrong, because Isa 'alaihi-salam' never said that his name was Emanuel.

Third; the following episode prevents the naming of Isa 'alaihi-salam' as Emanuel: When Rasin (Rezin, or Rasun), the Aramean ruler, and Faqah (Pekah), the Israelite ruler, brought their armies together in Jerusalem in order to fight the Judah ruler, Ahaz bin Yusan was alarmed by their alliance, Janab-i Haqq inspired Isaiah 'alaihi-salam' to calm Ahaz. So he gave Ahaz the good news: "O thou Ahaz! Don't be afraid! They cannot beat you. Their sovereignties will soon be destroyed and perish." He also stated its harbinger as follows: "A young woman shall become pregnant and have a son. Before this boy distinguishes between good and bad the empires of these two monarchs shall become annihilated." Faqah's sovereignty was destroyed exactly twenty-one years after this news. Then this boy must have been born before the annihilation of Faqah's sovereignty. On the other hand the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam' took place seven hundred and twenty-one years after the annihilation of Faqah's country. Therefore, people of the book fell into disagreement as to the authenticity of the narrative. Some Christian clergy and Bens [Dr. George Benson], a doctor of history, stated that by 'young woman' Isaiah 'alaihi-salam' meant his own spouse and told the story accordingly. This explanation seems to be the most acceptable and the most plausible.

6 - It is narrated in the second chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Yusuf-u-Nejjar (Joseph the Carpenter), for fear of Hirodes (Herod), took Maryam and Isa 'alaihi-salam' and went to Egypt. And the fifteenth verse of the second chapter reads as follows: "He remained there till Herod's death. Thus God's word, 'I called my son from Egypt', which was said through the Prophet, was fulfilled." The Prophet meant here is Yusha'. Thus the author of the Gospel of Matthew refers to the first verse of the eleventh chapter of the Book of Yusha (Joshua) in the Old Testament. This is wrong, because this verse has nothing to do with Isa 'alaihi-salam'. The correct form of the verse exists in the Arabic translation printed in 1226 [A.D. 1811], and reads as follows: "I loved Israel since his childhood and invited his children from Egypt." This verse is a sign of the favor Allahu ta'ala conferred upon the Israelites in the time of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. The author of Matthew changed this verse in the Old Testament by replacing the plural form 'children' with the singular 'son' (ibn) and using the first person singular pronoun (my) instead of the third person (his). Following his example, the author of the Arabic version published in 1260 [A.D. 1844] made [intentional] changes, [thus changing the meaning altogether]. However, when the verses following it are read, the reason for this change becomes clear. As a matter of fact the next verse, the second verse of the eleventh chapter of the Book of Yusha', purports: "When they are called they turn away. They have sacrificed victims to the Bu'al [idols worshipped by the people of the time of Ilyas (Elijah) 'alaihi-salam']". This cannot be the case with hadrat Isa, nor with the Jews contemporary with Isa 'alaihi-salam' or even with the Jews that lived five hundred years before the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. For it is written clearly in history that five hundred and thirty-six years before the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam', that is, after their salvation from slavery in Babel, Jews gave up worshipping idols and turned away from idols in penitence. It is a recorded fact that after that time they kept off idols.

7 - It is written in the nineteenth and following verses of the second chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, "After Herod's death the angel of God entered Joseph's dream in Egypt and said unto

him: Get up, take the mother and the child and go to the land of Israel. So he and the other two went to the region of Jelila (Galili) and settled in the town called Nasira (Nazareth) so that the word of Prophets saying that he would be called the Nazareth would come true." This is wrong, too. None of the books of Prophets contains a word of this sort. Jews reject this word and say that it is a lie, a slander. [In fact, Jews hold the belief that no Prophet lived in the region of Galili, let alone Nazareth. As it is narrated clearly in the fifty-second verse of the seventh chapter of John, "Answering him they said: Are you from Galili, too? look and see; no Prophet has ever come out of Galili." This verse of John's contradicts the verse of Matthew we have mentioned above]. If the Christian priests have other information in this respect, they ought to declare it.

8 - As is written at the beginning of the fourth chapter of Matthew; the devil wants to test Isa 'alaihi-salam'. He is taken to the desert by the Spirit. Fasting for forty days and nights, he gets hungry. Then the devil takes Isa 'alaihi-salam' to the blessed city and makes him mount the dome of the temple, and says, "If you are the son of God throw yourself down! He shall command His angels, and they shall carry you on their hands," Isa 'alaihi-salam' answered the devil: "God is not to be tested". Then he took him into the mountains and said: "If you prostrate yourself in front of me I shall give you all the countries of the world." Isa 'alaihi-salam' said to the devil: "Get out of here and leave me alone. Allah, alone, is to be worshipped, and towards Him do we prostrate ourselves."

It is written in the twelfth and later verses of the first chapter of Mark: "The Spirit took Jesus to the desert, where he remained for forty days, being tested by the devil. He stayed with wild animals. Angels served him." No remark is made here as to the manner of the devil's testing or the forty days' fasting by Isa 'alaihi-salam'.

9 - The sixth and seventh verses of the twenty-sixth chapter of Matthew purports: "As Jesus was sitting at the meal table in the home of Simon the leprous in Beyt-i-Unya, a woman came with a white marble receptacle containing very precious oil. She poured it on His head."

The third verse of the fourteenth chapter of Mark purports: "As Jesus was sitting in the home of Simon the leprous in Beyt-i-Unya, a woman came with very (precious) pure spikenard in a white marble container. She broke the container and then poured the oil on His head."

As it is purported in the thirty-sixth and later verses of the seventh chapter of the Gospel of Luke, "One of the Pharisees requested Jesus to eat with them. So He went to his home and sat at his meal table. A sinful woman living in that city heard that Jesus was sitting at the meal table in the Pharisees's home. She brought some very precious oil in a white marble container and standing behind Him very near His feet began to weep and wet His feet with tears. Wiping His feet with her hair, she kissed His feet and smeared the oil on His feet. Upon this Jesus redeemed her of her sins."

On the other hand, the same episode is narrated as follows in the twelfth chapter of the Gospel of John: "Jesus came to Beyt-i-Unya six days before the Passover. LuAzar, whom Isa 'alaihi-salam' had resuscitated from among the dead, was there. They gave a feast to Jesus there. Mary, the sister of LuAzar, brought some very precious spikenard and smeared it on Jesus' feet. Then she wiped His feet with her hair." [As it is seen, the same one episode is narrated differently in the four Gospels].

10 - It is written in the nineteenth, twentieth and twenty-first verses of the first chapter of John: "When the Jews sent kahins (Kohen, Jewish priest) to Yahya (John the Baptist) to ask him who he was, Yahya said, 'I am not the Messiah'. And when they said, 'Who are you then? Are you Elijah?', Yahya said, 'I am not Elijah.'"

On the other hand, according to the fourteenth verse of the eleventh chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi-salam' stated about Yahya in front of the people: "If you want to accept him, he is the promised Elijah." And again Matthew writes in the tenth, eleventh, twelfth and thirteenth verses of the seventeenth chapter: "His disciples asked hadrat Isa: Then, why do the scribes say that Elijah should come first? Isa 'alaihi-salam' replied to them: Yes, Elijah shall come and rearrange everything. But I am telling you that Elijah has come already. Yet they have not recognized him. They have done whatever they have wanted to him. Thus mankind also shall suffer grief from them. Then the disciples realized that these words said to them by Isa 'alaihi-salam' were about Yahya." As is understood from this final passage, Yahya is the promised, expected Elijah. According to the Gospels of John and Matthew, the statements of Yahya 'alaihi-salam' contradict those of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. [For in the Gospel of John, Yahya 'alaihi-salam' declares that he is not Elijah. One of the reasons why Jews did not accept Isa 'alaihi-salam' was because they had been expecting the coming of Elijah before him. The contradiction here is as obvious as the sun].

11 - In the first chapter of the Gospel of Luke, the angel who gives the good news of hadrat Yahya to Zakariyya (Zachariah) 'alaihi-salam' recount the qualities of Yahya, and says in the seventeenth verse: "A son that shall be given to you in the soul of Elijah shall have merits and virtues in common with Elijah and walk in front of the Israelites to turn the hearts of fathers to their sons and the disobedient to the pious." This verse runs counter to the verses of Matthew narrated above, For it would be paradoxical for Yahya both to be Elijah himself and to have virtues and merits common with Elijah.

12 - The twenty-fourth, twenty-fifth and twenty-sixth verses of the fourth chapter of Luke state: "Jesus said: Indeed I tell you that in the days of Elijah, when the sky remained closed for three years and six months and there was famine all over the earth, there were many widows in Israel. But Elijah was not sent to any one of them. He was sent to a widow in Sarepdaya in the vicinity of Sayda (Sidon)." Since this event did not take place in the time of Yahya 'alaihi-salam', this narrative is obviously contrary to the narrative of Matthew. [For it is stated in the Gospel of Matthew that Yahya 'alaihi-salam' lived in the time of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and that He was Elijah. On the other hand, contrary to the narrative in the Gospel of Luke, the event of the sky remaining closed three years and six months did not take place in the time of Isa 'alaihi-salam' or Yahya (John) the Baptist, who is represented as Elijah].

13 - The fifty-third, fifty-fourth and fifty-fifth verses of the ninth chapter of Luke purport: "As Jesus was going to Jerusalem, the Samaritans did not admit Jesus. When Jacob and John, two of His apostles, saw this, they said: O Lord, do you want us to order [as did Elijah] so that fire shall descend from heaven and destroy them?" Hence, even the apostles of Isa 'alaihi-salam' knew that Elijah had lived before them and that Yahya was not Elijah. This narrative contradicts the narrative of Matthew, too.

14 - It is written in the first, second and third verses of the twenty-first chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam' sent forth two apostles of his to a nearby village and ordered them to bring back with them a donkey tied there and its foal. The other Gospels do not mention the donkey and defer only to the foal.

15 - The sixth verse of the first chapter of Mark writes that Yahya ate grasshoppers and wild honey. The eighteenth verse of the eleventh chapter of Matthew, on the other hand, says that Yahya did not eat or drink anything. [Their statements are quite opposed to each other].

16 - The fourteenth and fifteenth verses of the third chapter of Matthew narrate that "When Jesus left Galili and went to Jordan to visit Yahya (John) and be baptized there, Yahya wanted to

dissuade Jesus saying: Are you coming to me while I need to be baptized by you? Jesus replied to him: Let me do it, as it is necessary for us to do every kind of salah (pious act). Then Yahya let him go ahead. So Jesus was baptized and went out of the water. And the heavens were opened for him. He saw God's Spirit descending onto him like a dove. And a voice was heard to say: Here is my beloved son. I am pleased with him." Again, the second, third and fourth verses of the eleventh chapter of Matthew state that "When Yahya was in a dungeon he heard of the miraculous acts of the Messiah, and sending his disciples to Him (Jesus), he asked Him: Are you the promised person [Messiah], or are we to expect someone else"

Yahya 'alaihi-salam' did not get out of the dungeon. He was killed there. Baptism of Isa 'alaihi-salam' by Yahya 'alaihi-salam' was before his imprisonment. According to Matthew, Yahya 'alaihi-salam' knew of Isa 'alaihi-salam' before the baptism. [In the thirteenth, fourteenth and fifteenth verses of the second chapter, as we have quoted above, Yahya 'alaihi-salam' asks Isa 'alaihi-salam' to baptize him and says, "I need to be baptized by you." and yet in the eleventh chapter it is narrated that when Yahya 'alaihi-salam' was in the dungeon he did not know Isa 'alaihi-salam' was the Messiah and that "he sent his disciples to find out who he was." But the actual fact is that Yahya 'alaihi-salam' did not get out of the dungeon and was martyred there by Herod. This fact is stated also by Matthew in the fourteenth chapter. Accordingly, the verses on this subject in the third chapter and those in the eleventh chapter belie each other].

17 - On the other hand, this episode, is narrated in an altogether different way in the Gospel of John. The thirty-second and later verses of the first chapter state that "Yahya bore witness and said: I saw the Spirit descend from heaven like a dove. The Spirit remained on Him [Jesus], I did not know Him. But as he sent me to baptize others with the water he said to me: On whoever you see the Spirit to descend and remain, he is the person who baptizes with the Holy Spirit." According to this narrative, Yahya did not know Isa 'alaihi-salam' before. He learned of him when the Spirit descended on him. This narrative is contrary to the thirteenth, fourteenth and fifteenth verses of the first chapter of Matthew, which we have cited above.

18 - In the thirty-first verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of John, Isa 'alaihi-salam' says: "If bear witness for my own self, my witness shall not be true." Isa 'alaihi-salam', again, says in the eleventh verse of the third chapter: "We say what we know and bear witness to what we see." These two statements are absolutely irreconcilable.

19 - In the twenty-seventh verse of the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew he says: "What I say in the dark you are to say in the light. What I say into your ear you are to cry out on roofs." And in the third verse of the twelfth chapter of Luke he says: "Everything you say in the dark shall be heard in the light. What you say secretly into the ear shall be announced on roofs." As is seen, the statement was derived from the same one source but was changed afterwards.

20 - It is stated in the twenty-first and later verses of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that "As hadrat Isa was eating with the Apostles he said unto them: One of you will betray me. They grieved, and one of them began to say unto him: O our lord! Am I the person you mean? Hadrat Isa said unto them: the one who dips his hand into the dish with me will give me away. Judas, who (later) betrayed him said: O Lord, is it me? And Jesus said unto him: it is as you say."

The twenty-first and later verses of the thirteenth chapter of the Gospel of John say: "After saying these words to his Apostles at the meal table, Jesus' soul felt rather uneasy. He said: Indeed I tell you that one of you will give me away. The Apostles looked at one another in suspicion as to who was meant. One of them. Peter, beckoned to the Apostle most beloved to the Messiah to ask Jesus who the man was. He did so. Hadrat Isa (Jesus) replied: He is the one I give

the piece of bread to after dipping it (into the food). And he gave the piece of bread to Judas after dipping it." The difference between the two narratives is apparent.

21 - The twenty-sixth chapter of Matthew, while narrating how the Jews caught and imprisoned hadrat Isa, writes as follows beginning in the forty-eighth verse: "Judas had given the mute signal to those ordered to arrest Jesus and had said: Catch the person that I kiss. Presently he approached Jesus and said: I pay my salutations to you, o my Lord. Then he kissed Jesus. Jesus said unto him: Why did you come here, companion? Then the officials came and caught Him (Jesus)."

The third and later verses of the eighteenth chapter of John narrate that "Judas took a company of soldiers, some chief kahins (Jewish priests) and officials of Pharisees, and carrying lanterns, torches and weapons they came to the garden [where Jesus and His Apostles were]. Jesus, though he knew what he was going to undergo, went forward and asked them who they were looking for. When they said they were looking for Jesus the Nazarene, Jesus said to them: I am Jesus. Judas, His betrayer was standing with them. This reply of Jesus' made them withdraw and fall down. Jesus repeated his question: Who are you looking for? And they said: Jesus the Nazarene. Jesus replied: I have told you I am Jesus. If you are looking for me now, let these people go." Contradiction between the two narratives is manifest.

22 - There are many opposite narratives as to Peter's denial of knowing Isa 'alaihi-salam' in the Gospels. The sixty-ninth and later verses of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew states that "As Peter was sitting out in the yard, a concubine [girl servant] approached him and said: You were with Jesus of Galili. But he denied in the presence of all others and said: I do not know who you are talking about. When he went out of the yard another maid saw him and said to the people there: This (man) was with Jesus the Nazarene. But he denied again, swearing that he did not know the man. After a while people being there came to Peter and said: Indeed you are one of them. For your expression gives you away. Then Peter began to curse and swear, saying: I don't know the man. At that moment a rooster crowed. Thus Peter remembered Jesus telling him he would deny Him three times before the cock's crowing and went out and cried bitterly."

On the other hand, it is narrated as follows between the sixty-sixth and seventy-second verses of the fourteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark: "As Peter was down in the yard, one of the maids of the chief kahin came and saw Peter warming himself. She looked at him and said: You were with Jesus of Nazareth, too. But he denied and said: I do not know or understand what you are saying. He went out into the vestibule, when a rooster crowed. The maid saw him again and began to say to the people there: This is one of them. But he refused again. A while later the people who were present said to Peter: Indeed you are one of them. For you are a Galilean. And he began to curse and swear that he did not know the man they were talking about. The rooster crowed a second time. Peter remembered Jesus saying that he would deny Him three times before the cock's crowing and went out and cried bitterly."

The fifty-fifth and later verses of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Luke narrates that "When they made a fire and sat in the middle of the yard Peter was among them. When a concubine [girl servant] saw Peter by the fire, she looked at him carefully and said: This (man) was with him, too. But he refused, saying: O you woman, I don't know him. After a while someone else saw him and said: You are one of them, too. But Peter said: O man, I am not. An hour later another person insisted: Indeed this man was with him. For he is a Galilean. But Peter said: O man, I do not know who you are talking about. Just as he was saying so, a rooster crowed and Lord (Isa 'alaihi-salam) turned to look at Peter. Peter remembered Lord's saying unto him, Today you shall deny three times before the cock crows, and he went out and cried bitterly."

The twenty-fifth and later verses of the eighteenth chapter of the Gospel of John writes that "As Peter stood there warming himself, they said unto him: Aren't you one of his disciples? He refused to accept and said he wasn't. Someone, who was a relation of the person whose ear had been cut by Peter and was also one of the servants of the chief kahin, said: Didn't I see you with him in the garden? Peter denied again and presently a rooster crowed." These kinds of contradictions in these four narratives are palpable to men of reason.

23 - In the thirty-sixth verse of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Luke, hadrat Isa, on the day he would be caught, says to the Apostles: "He who has a purse should take it with him and he who has a bag should take it with him; he who does not have it should sell his clothes and buy a sword." In the thirty-eighth verse the Apostles say to hadrat Isa: "Here are two swords." And hadrat Isa says to them: "That will be enough." In the forty-ninth, fiftieth, fifty-first and fifty-second verses: "Those who were around him saw what was going to happen and said: O Lord, shall we strike with the swords? In fact, one of them struck the servant of the chief kahin and cut his ear off to the ground. Jesus answered: Stop; that's enough. And touching his ear he cured him." Nevertheless, the other three Gospels do not contain the events of buying swords and curing the excised ear.

24 - It is narrated as follows in the fifty-first and later verses of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "At that moment one of the companions of Jesus, an apostle, drew his sword and struck the servant of the chief kahin on the ear, cutting it off. Then Jesus said unto him: Put your sword back in its place. Those who draw swords shall perish by the sword. Do you think it would be impossible for my Father to send me more than twelve regiments of angels if I requested of Him? But in that case how would foreordained events happen?" The other Gospels, on the other hand, do not contain anything concerning these spiritual soldiers, angels.

25 - In the Gospels of Matthew, Mark and Luke, as Isa 'alaihi-salam' was being taken away for crucifixion, they had a person named Simon of Cyrene carry the cross, [Matthew: 27-32; Mark: 15-21; Luke: 23-26]. But John says, in the seventeenth verse of the nineteenth chapter, that Jesus carried the cross himself.

26 - According to the writings of Matthew and Mark, two of the culprits who were to be hanged with Isa 'alaihi-salam' kept swearing at him. In the Gospel of Luke, though. "One of them swore, but the other disapproved of the swearer and asked him for healing." [Luke: 23-39, 40, 41, 42, 43].

27 - The writings about the resurrection of Isa 'alaihi-salam' are contradictory in the four Gospels. Since it would be tiresome to the reader to tell them one by one, we shall give a summary of the contradictory verses in each of the Gospels for advisory purposes:

In the fifty-seventh and later verses of the twenty-seventh chapter of the Gospel of Luke: "In the evening of the day when Jesus died on the cross, a rich disciple of Jesus' named Joseph of Arimathaea went to Pilate and asked for Jesus' corpse. Pilate commanded that he should be given the corpse. Joseph took the corpse, wrapped in the clean linen, put in into the tomb that he had hewn in rock, rolled a stone in front of the entrance of the tomb, and left. Mary of Magdala and the other Mary were there, sitting by the tomb. The following day, Saturday, the chief kahins and Pharisees met together in the presence of Pilate. About Jesus they said to Pilate: When he was alive he said he would resurrect three days later. Command that his tomb be guarded for three days lest his disciples steal him away at night and then tell the people he has resurrected from among the dead. The aberration to follow will be even worse than the one we have had before. And Pilate said to them: You have your own guards. Go ahead and guard it as you like. So they went, sealed the rock, and put guards by the tomb. By the end of Sabbath (Saturday, as dawn

broke on the first day of the week, Mary of Magdala and the other Mary came to see the tomb. There was a very violent earthquake. For an angel of God descended from heaven, rolled the rock off the entrance, and sat on it. Awed by him (the angel), the guards began to tremble and verged on death. The angel said to the women: 'Don't be afraid. For I know you are looking for Jesus, who was crucified. He is not here. For he has resurrected, as he had said, Come and see where he was lying and then go and inform his Apostles. He is going to Galili before you. You will see him there. So I have told you.' And they, frightened and greatly pleased, presently went out of the tomb and ran away to inform the Apostles. But Jesus came in their way and said: Peace be on you. They went near him, supplicated and prostrated themselves before him. Then Jesus said unto them: Do not be afraid. Go and tell my brothers to come to Galili. They shall see me there. Some of the guards went to the city and informed the chief kahins of what had happened. And they (kahins), after coming together and consulting with the old people, offered a large sum of money to the guards and said to them: Say that his disciples stole him away as you were asleep. The guards accepted the money and did as they were told, so that this news has been widespread among Jewry ever since. But the eleven Apostles went up the mountain described by Jesus, and upon seeing Him there they prostrated themselves before Him. But some of them hesitated. Jesus approached them and said: I have been given domination over everything in heaven and on earth. Now you go and baptize all nations in the name of the Father, Son and the Holy Ghost and teach them to obey the advice I have given."

On the other hand, it is narrated as follows in the forty-second and later verses of the fifteenth chapter and in the sixteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark: "It was yet before the evening of the Friday when Jesus was crucified. There came a person named Joseph of Arimathaea, who was one of the notable members of the Jewish assembly and who had been (expecting the creation of God); he courageously went to Pilate and asked for Jesus's body. He (Pilate) let him take it. Joseph got Jesus down and wrapped him in linen. He put him into a rock-hewn tomb and rolled a stone in front of its entrance. Mary of Magdala and Mary the mother of Jacob saw where he was put. After the Sabbath day the two Marys and Salome bought incense to fumigate him with. As the sun rose on the first day of the week they came to the grave, asking each other who would roll the rock off the entrance of the tomb, but they saw that the rock had been rolled off. When they entered the tomb they saw a youth in a long white garment sitting on the right hand side. They were very much scared. He said to them: Do not be afraid. You are looking for Jesus the Nazarene, who was crucified. He has resurrected. He is not here. There is the place he was put. But now you go and tell His Apostles and Peter: He shall go to Galili before you do. You shall see Him there as He said. So they left the tomb and ran away. They were trembling with awe. They did not tell anyone, because they were terrified. When Jesus resurrected early on the first day of the week, he was first seen by Mary of Magdala, out of whom he had taken seven genies. So she went and informed those who had been with Him before, and who were still weeping and mourning. They did not believe the resurrection of Jesus. Then he showed himself in a different guise to two of them as they were going to the village. And they went and informed the others. They did not believe them, either. Then he showed himself to the eleven people sitting at the meal table. Because those who saw him resurrect did not believe (what they saw) on account of their hearts hardened by unbelief, he said unto them: Go all over the world, preach to every creature, and give the good news that he who believes and gets baptized shall be saved. After saying so, Lord was taken up to heaven and sat on God's right."

In the fiftieth and later verses of the twenty-third chapter and in the twenty-fourth chapter of the Gospel of Luke: "And lo, there was a good, pious and faithful man named Joseph, who was a

member of the assembly, who was from the Jewish city of Arimathaea, and who was waiting for the creating of God. This person did not approve of their councils and deeds. He went to Pilate and asked for Jesus's corpse. He got him down and wrapped him in linen. He put him in a rock-hewn tomb in which no one had been put before. It was Friday. Women who had come with him from Galili followed him. They went to the tomb and saw how he was put in the tomb. Then they went back and prepared fumigants and fragrant ointments. They rested on the Sabbath day, as it was a commandment. But by the dawn of the first day of the week they went to the tomb, taking with them the fumigants they had prepared. There were other people with them. They saw that the stone had been rolled off, and when they entered the tomb they could not find Jesus's body. During this bewilderment of theirs two men in luminous garments stood by their side. As they turned their faces to the ground with fear, the men said to them: He is not here. He has been resurrected. Remember what he told you in Galili. They left the tomb and told all these events to the eleven and to others. Those who told these to the Apostles were the two Marys and the other women who were with them, and they did not believe what they said. But Peter stood up and ran to the tomb. He bent down and saw his (Jesus's) shroud empty. Bewildered, he went home. That day two of them were going to a village called Emmaus, which was a distance of sixty arrow throws from Jerusalem. They were talking about these events. It so happened that while they were talking and asking questions to each other Jesus himself came near them and walked with them. But they did not recognize him, their eyes failing to function properly. As Jesus walked with them he asked them what they were talking about so sadly. One of them, by the name of Cleopas, replied. Are you the only person who has been visiting Jerusalem but has not heard of the recent happenings? He said to them: What happenings? And they said to him: Happenings concerning Jesus the Nazarene who was a powerful Prophet of God and of all the people in words and deeds. They crucified him. We had thought he would be the savior of Israel, and it is the third day today since these things happened. Some of our women-folk went to his tomb at dawn but could not find His body. Then angels came and said that Jesus was alive. This news bewildered us. Some of us went to the tomb and found that it was as the women said. But they could not see Him. So Jesus said unto them: O you idiots! O those whose hearts are late to believe all the sayings of Prophets! Wasn't the Messiah to suffer all these to enter His own glory? Then he explained what all the books have written about him beginning with Moses and all the other Prophets. When they came close to the village they intended to go, he pretended to be going farther. They pleaded: Stay with us. The evening is quite near, it is nearly the end of the day. He went in to stay with them. When he sat at the table with them, he took the bread, said the blessing, broke the bread and gave them the pieces. Then their eyes opened and they recognized him. He disappeared. they talked to each other: Didn't our hearts burn inside ourselves as he talked to us and interpreted the books to us on the way? Then they immediately went back to Jerusalem. They found that the eleven and those who were with them had come together and were saying: The Lord has really resurrected and shown himself to Simon. And they in their turn narrated the events that took place in their trip, his breaking the bread, and their recognizing him. While they were saying all these, Jesus himself stood in the middle and greeted them. They were surprised and thought what they saw was a ghost. And he said unto them: Why are you suffering pain and bearing doubt in your hearts? look at my hands and feet. I am my very person. Touch me with your hands, I have flesh and bones, but a ghost does not have these. Saying so, he showed them his hands and feet. As they were too pleased and too astonished to believe, he said: Have you something to eat here? They gave him a piece of fried fish. He took it and ate it before them. [After giving them some admonitions and advice], he led them out as far as opposite the

Beyt-i-unya. Raising his hands, he blessed them, and then he left them and was lifted up to heaven."

On the other hand, in the thirty-first and later verses of the nineteenth chapter and also in the later chapters of the Gospel of John: "The following Sabbath day was a sacred day. So, lest the crucified corpses should remain on their crosses on the Sabbath day, the Jews begged Pilate to have the corpses removed having their legs cut off [broken]. Then soldiers came and cut off the legs of the two. But seeing that Jesus was dead, they did not cut his legs off. Yet one of the soldiers thrust a spear into his flank. blood and water came out. Then Joseph of Arimate (Arimathaea), who was a disciple of Jesus but was keeping it as a secret because he feared the Jews, removed Jesus's corpse. Nicodimos, who had been formerly visiting Jesus at nights, joined him, bringing some hundred liters of a mixture of a fragrant resin called murr-i-safi (myrrh) and aloe. They fumigated him and wrapped him in shrouds as it was a Jewish custom. The place where he was crucified was a yard, where there was a tomb that had not been used to bury anyone else before. It being the preparation day [Friday] for the Jews, they put Jesus there. For the tomb was close. [Chapter 20]. On the first day of the week, in the darkness of the morning Mary of Magdala came to the tomb and saw that the stone had been removed from the tomb. Presently she ran to where Simon, Peter and the Apostle most beloved to Jesus were, and said to them: They removed the Lord from the tomb, and we don't know where they put him. Peter and the Apostle went out and made for the tomb. They ran together, but the Apostle reached the tomb before Peter did and looked inside. He saw the shrouds left on the ground, but he did not enter. Then Peter arrived, and entered the tomb. He saw that the shrouds were left on the ground, but the headgear on Jesus's head was not left with the shrouds, but it was wrapped up and left somewhere else. Then the other Apostle entered, saw it, and believed. For they did not know of the writing that said: 'Jesus should resurrect from the dead.' Then the (two) Apostles went to their homes. But Mary stood by the tomb and wept. When she stooped and looked inside the tomb, she saw the two angels in white garments sitting where Jesus's body had been lying, one by the head side, and the other by the feet. They said to her: O woman, why are you weeping? She said to them: I am weeping because they took my Lord away and I don't know where they put him. After saying so she turned around and saw Jesus standing, and she did not know it was Jesus. Jesus said to her: O woman, why are you weeping? Who are you looking for? She thought he was a gardener and said to him: O sir, if you took him away tell me where you put him, so that I will take him with me. Jesus said to her: O Mary! And she turned and said: O Master! And Jesus said: Do not touch me, because I have not been up with my father yet. But go to my brothers and tell them. I am going up to my father and your father, my Lord and your Lord. Mary of Magdala went to the Apostles, related how she had seen 'the Lord' and what He had told her. It was the first day of the week and in the evening, although the Apostles had closed the doors of their meeting place for fear of the Jews, Jesus came in, stood in the middle, and greeted them. He showed them his hands and flank. And the Apostles were pleased to see the Lord. Jesus greeted them again and said: As the Father has sent me forth, so am I sending you forth. After saying so he breathed on them, and said: Here, take the Holy Spirit. Whoever you redeem of sins he shall be pardoned. And whosever sins you detain [do not pardon], he shall be detained. But one of the twelve, viz. Thomas, was not with them when Jesus came. The Apostles said to him: We have seen the Lord. Thomas answered them: I shall not believe unless I see the nail-holes on his hands and touch them with my finger and unless I put my hand on his flank. Eight days later the Apostles were at the same place again. Thomas was there, too. Closed as the doors were, Jesus came, stood in the middle, and greeted them. Then he said to Thomas: Put your finger here and

see my hands and insert my hands in my flank. Do not be an unbeliever, be a believer, Latter Peter and some of the Apostles went out fishing on a boat in the Taberiyeh (Tiberias, the sea of Galili). They did not catch any fish that night. The next morning Jesus was standing at the shore. But His Apostles did not know he was Jesus, who said to them: Boys, do you have something to eat? When they said No, he said to them: Cast the net on the right hand side of the boat and you will get fish. When they cast the net, it was so heavy with the abundance of fish that they could hardly pull it up. Then Jesus's beloved Apostle said to Peter: He is the Lord. Upon hearing this Peter, who was undressed, put on his clothes and jumped into the water. The other Apostles pulled the net up and they got to the shore. When they were on the land they saw fish placed on coal embers and bread. Jesus said to them: Now bring some of the fish you have caught. Peter got on the boat and pulled the net filled with a hundred and fifty-three big fish to the land, and the net was not torn despite such a heavy weight."

These are four different narratives. They differ from one another very much. These four Gospels, which form the basis for the Christian creed, are full of such contradictory narratives. A little attention will suffice to see how one narrative is the opposite of another. Furthermore, more often than not, a matter narrated by one of them does not exist in the others. The contradictions and differences in the Gospels are not only on the resurrection of Isa 'alaihi-salam' but also on all the other matters alike. For instance, such events as the manner of the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam'; Herod's having the children killed; the arrival of kahins from the east; Isa's 'alaihi-salam' going to Egypt in his childhood; the Nazarenes' refusing Isa 'alaihi-salam'; his curing a (military) captain's ailing servant, resuscitating a judge's dead daughter, enjoining on his Apostles to buy swords; his various admonitions and exemplifications; his invocation on the cross, "O my God; o my God! Why hast thou forsaken me? (=Eli, eli, lama sabaktani)"; his carrying his own cross; guards' waiting on his tomb; his resurrecting from among the dead and showing himself to his Apostles in various guises; and many more, exist only in one or two of them, while the others do not contain them.

The fourth Gospel, John's Gospel, is altogether different from the other three Gospels in manner and style. Isa's 'alaihi-salam' insulting his mother and turning the water into wine, narrated in the second chapter; his talking to a woman by a well, in the fourth chapter; his curing a patient who had been Badridden for thirty-eight years near the pool of Beyt-i-Huda, in the fifth chapter; the dispute he had with the Jews of the Messiah's own flesh and blood, in the sixth chapter [the fifty-second and later verses]; his trial of an adulteress and the conversations he had with the Jews on the origin and genealogy of the Messiah, in the eighth chapter; his curing a blind man's eyes with the mud he made with his spittle and put on his eyes and sending him for a bath in the pool of Siloam and the Pharisees' various attempts and their disputes with Isa 'alaihi-salam', in the ninth chapter; the Jews' beginning to stone Isa 'alaihi-salam' and the conversations he had with them concerning his divinity, in the tenth chapter; his resuscitating LuAzar (Lazarus), in the eleventh chapter; the anointing of Isa's 'alaihi-salam' feet, in the twelfth chapter; his talking to Philip and Judah, in the fourteenth chapter; the curious supplication of Isa 'alaihi-salam', in the seventeenth chapter; the following events narrated in the nineteenth chapter: the label put on his chest when he was crucified was written in Hebrew, Latin and Greek and as Mary, his mother, and Mary, his mother's sister (his maternal aunt) and the wife of Aeklaviya (Claopasa), and Mary of Magdala stood by his cross, Jesus saw his mother with his most beloved Apostle and said to his mother: "O woman, this is your son. Then he turned to his Apostle: This is your mother", in the seventy-seventh verse; a spear was thrust into his flank when he was on the cross; the cross was erected in a yard; Isa 'alaihi-salam' resurrected from his tomb and said to Mary of Magdala:

"Do not touch me, I have not been to my father yet"; he showed himself to his Apostles at different places three times; and many other similar narratives do not exist in the Gospels of Matthew, Mark, and Luke.

Quite a number of the matters existing in the Gospels of Matthew, Mark and Luke do not exist in the Gospel of John. An example of this is Isha-i-Rabbani, which is one of the tenets of Christian religion. It exists in the three Gospels, but not in John. [Isha-i-Rabbani means evening dinner. It symbolizes a belief based on the following event: As is narrated in the twenty-sixth verse of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, in the twenty-second and later verses of the fourteenth chapter of Mark, in the nineteenth verse of the twenty-second chapter of Luke, "After saying the prayer of thanks at the last evening dinner which Jesus had with his Apostles, he divided the bread and said: Take and eat it. It is my body. And then, giving them wine, he said: Take and drink it. It is my blood. You will remember this after me." So it has been held as a belief that when priests in churches breathe a certain prayer on a piece of bread it will become Jesus's flesh, when they break the loaf of bread to pieces Jesus will have been sacrificed, when they breathe a prayer on some wine in a container it will become Jesus' blood, and those who eat the morsels of bread after dipping them in the wine will be united with God. This matter will be explained in the ninth chapter of our book].

As for the Gospel of Matthew; such events as Peter's walking on water towards Jesus, a fish holding a coin in its mouth, the dream of Pilate's wife, the resurrection of all saints with the resurrection of Jesus, the posting of guards before Jesus's tomb exist only in the Gospel of Matthew, and not in the others.

The four Gospels not only contradict one another in number of matters, but also each Gospel contains various inconsistent matters. This can be exemplified as follows:

1 - In the Gospel of Matthew, when Isa 'alaihi- salam' sent forth the twelve Apostles on their first religious mission, he prohibited them from going to the cities of pagans and Samaritans and meeting them [Matthew: 10-5]. In his preaching on the mountain, he prohibited his disciples from giving sacred things to the dogs and throwing their Gospels to the swine [Matthew: 7-6]. The same Gospel of Matthew commands something quite contrary to this commandment: In the eighth and twenty-first chapters, it is commanded that the pagans be called to christianity instead of the Jews and the Jews are complained about for their infidelity. In the fourteenth and other verses of the fourth chapter, it is professed that the end of the world shall not come before the Bible has been communicated and taught to all tribes and peoples on earth. In the twenty-eighth and other chapters, the Apostles are ordered to admit others to christianity through a single baptism and without any discrimination.

2 - There is contradiction between the verses concerning the military captain who came to Jesus [the fifth and later verses of the eighth chapter] and the twenty-second and later verses of the fifteenth chapter, in which the story of a woman is narrated. For Jesus helps the pagan captain's ailing servant in the eighth chapter. On the other hand, though the Cananite woman dealt with in the fifteenth chapter is not a pagan, Jesus first refuses her openly, then helps her as an exceptional gift upon the woman's earnest supplication.

3 - It is written at the beginning of the seventh chapter of John that "Jesus was taking a stroll in Galili and the Jewish Feast of Tent [Hayme] was soon to come. His brothers said unto him: Leave here and go to Judaea, so that your disciples will see your deeds. For a person who wants to publicize himself does not act secretly. If you are doing these things, show yourself to the world. For even his brothers did not believe him. Jesus replied them: It not time for me yet. But it is always time for you. The world does not hate you. But they hate me. For I always bear witness

to the fact that worldly deeds are evil. You go and attend this feast. I shall not go because I must not appear at this feast yet. But after his brothers left he, too, went to the feast, not openly but in, as it were, a secret way." If it should be said that the Gospel of John was not altered, how can this imputation of mendacity which it makes on Isa 'alaihi-salam' be explained? [For it says that Isa 'alaihi-salam' first said he would not go to the place of the feast and then went there secretly, which would be mendacious. Isa 'alaihi-salam' could never have such a blemish.]

4 - The Gospel of Matthew narrates Judas's suicide as follows in the third and later verses of its twenty-seventh chapter: "Then, Judas the betrayer of Jesus, seeing that he (Jesus) was sentenced to death, repented and took the thirty silvers he had been given back to the chief kahins and old people, and said: I have committed a sin by betraying an innocent person. They said: What does that have to do with us? It is your problem. Judas threw the silvers into the temple and left, and hanged himself. The chief kahins took the silvers and said: It is not permissible to put these in the treasure of the temple, because blood has been their cost. After some consultation, they spent them for buying the potter's field to make it a cemetery for outsiders. Therefore it has been called 'the field of blood' ever since."

But Luke narrates from Peter in the eighteenth verse of the first chapter of his Book of Acts (of the Apostles), and says: "Judas acquired a field with the payment for his sin [of betraying Isa 'alaihi-salam']. He fell headlong and split in the middle. All his intestines fell out. All the inhabitants of Jerusalem know about this. In fact, that field is called Haql, that is, the field of blood, in their language." These two narratives are contradictory in two respects:

First: according to Matthew's narrative, Judas repented and returned the silvers he had taken, and the kahins bought a field with it. And according to Luke's narrative, he (Judas) bought the field himself.

Second: according to Matthew's narrative, Judas committed suicide by hanging himself. According to Luke's narrative, he fell headlong and his abdomen split.

5 - It is written in the second verse of the second chapter of the first epistle of John, "He is the expiator of our sins, not only for us but for the whole world." This comes to mean that only Isa 'alaihi-salam' is impeccable and he is the redeemer of all the sinful people.

On the other hand, the eighteenth verse of the twenty-first chapter of Sifr-ul-emsal [Psalms of Solomon] purports: "The evil man is a ransom for the pious man, and the hypocrite is the ransom for the righteous." Accordingly, the sinner will be sacrificed for the innocent and the hypocrite will be sacrificed for the righteous. [This passage contradicts John's writing.]

6- It is written in the eighteenth and nineteenth verses of the seventh chapter of the Hebrew Epistle: "A former commandment was cancelled on account of its weakness and futility, because the canonical law had not perfected anything"; and in the seventh verse of the eighth chapter, "Because the canons of former Prophets were weak, useless and imperfect, they were invalidated with the advent of Jesus." Nonetheless, Jesus says in the seventeenth verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "I did not come to demolish the canon. I came not to demolish but to perfect them."

7 - Jesus says unto Peter in the eighteenth verse of the sixteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "You are Peter and I shall build my church on this rock. The doors of Hell shall not be dominant over it. Also I shall give you the keys of heavens. Everything you will tie on earth shall be tied in the heavens, too; and everything you will undo on earth shall be undone in the heavens, too." However, it is written in the same chapter, beginning in the twenty-first verse: "He then began to show his disciples that he would get killed and resurrect three days later And Peter took Jesus aside and began to reprove him: O Lord, may this be far from you, may this never befall on

you. But Jesus turned and said to Peter: Get back, o devil. I am tired of you, I hate you. For you do not sense the goal that is for the sake of God. You sense and consider only things that are for people." Again, in the thirty-fourth verse of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, it is reported that Jesus predicted about Peter that "You will deny me three times before the rooster crows", and that Peter swore that he would not deny him. It is reported in the sixty-ninth through seventy-fifth verses of twenty-sixth chapter of Matthew that Peter forgot this promise of his and denied three times, with swearing and curses, that he knew Jesus. Accordingly, in the sixteenth chapter of Matthew, Jesus praises Peter, adding that Allahu ta'ala shall forgive whomever he forgives. In the twentieth chapter, however, he dismisses him calling him "devil"; and in the twenty-sixth chapter he predicts that he (Peter) will deny him. Christians believe that Jesus is God [May Allahu ta'ala protect us from believing so]. Can the name God be reconciled with such an error? It is this very Peter that the Popes living in Rome today claim to represent, thus assuming to be the universal monarchs to whose disposal the earth has been bequeathed. And some people, believing in the Pope as such, have had the dream of entering Paradise.

8 - Again, when the episodes of Isha-i-Rabbani [the last evening dinner] narrated in the twenty-sixth verse of the twenty-sixth chapter of Matthew, in the nineteenth and twentieth verses of the twenty-second chapter of Luke and in the fourteenth chapter of Mark are compared, it will be seen that one of them says that it was before night prayer, while another one says it was after night prayer, and that all the three Gospels state that there was wine on the table. It is stated in the sixth chapter of the Gospel of John that the so-called event took place and that there was only bread, no mention of wine being made.

Nevertheless, one of the dogmatic and practical principles of Christianity is eating the dinner of Isha-i-Rabbani and believing that the bread is Jesus's flesh and the wine is his blood. John, who is more careful and more solicitous than the others on such matters of creed, does not mention the wine; this shows clearly that this dogma of theirs is another superstition.

AN OBSERVATION OF THE EPISTLES

Christians accept Isa 'alaihi-salam' as [Allah forbid] God, and the Apostles and Paul as a Prophet each. They accept the epistles and letters written by them as heavenly books and epistles revealed through wahy. Therefore, these epistles come right after the four Gospels in the New Testament of the Holy Bible.

A close look at these epistles will show that, though the epistles are said to be the complementaries and supplementaries of the four Gospels, there are so many inconsistencies within themselves and so many contradictions between them and the four Gospels that an attempt to explain them one by one would end up in huge volumes of books larger than the Holy Bible itself.

Here are some examples:

Rahmatullah Effendi's account of the event of Paul's conversion in his book *Iz-har-ul-haq* is as follows:

There are many paradoxes on how Paul believed in the ninth, twenty-second and twenty-third chapters of the Book of Acts (of the Apostles). I explained them in ten paragraphs in my book titled *Izalat-ush-shuqaq*. But in this book of mine I shall mention only three of them:

1 - In the seventh verse of the ninth chapter of the Book of Acts (of the Apostles): "The men who were traveling with them were tongue-tied. They heard the voice. But they did not see anyone."

In the ninth verse of the twenty-second chapter, on the other hand: "Those who were with me saw the halo actually. But they did not hear the voice speaking to me."

And in the twenty-sixth chapter the question whether the voice was heard or not is passed over without any mention. The opposition between these three expressions is apparent.

2 - In the sixth verse of the ninth chapter of the same book: "The Lord said unto him: Get up and enter the town. You shall be told what you are to do."

In the ninth verse of the twenty-second chapter: "The Lord said unto me: Get up and go to Damascus. You shall be told what you are to do there."

On the other hand, in the sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth verses of the twenty-sixth chapter: "Get up and stand. For I have shown myself to you to make you my servant and a witness of the things that you see and the things that I shall show you. I shall save you from the tribes and nations upon whom I have sent you. This is in order that you open their eyes and convert them from the dark to the light, from the devil's molestation to God, and they believe me and attain redemption and a share of the blessings." The conclusion to be drawn from these is that according to the verses in the ninth and twenty-second chapters he is told that what he will do will be explained to him after arriving in the town. And according to the verses in the twenty-sixth chapter, at the place where he hears the voice he is told what he is to do.

3 - In the fourteenth verse of the twenty-sixth chapter: "We all fell down when the hale appeared." However, according to the seventh verse of the ninth chapter those who are with him get tongue-tied, they cannot talk. And in the twenty-second chapter, no mention is made concerning tongue-tiedness.

It is also written in Izhar-ul-haq that the contradictions in the other chapters of the Book of Acts (of the Apostles) are even worse.

It is written in the first and later verses of the tenth chapter of the first epistle written by Paul to Corinthians: "Our ancestors were underneath the cloud. They crossed the sea. They were baptized by Moses in the cloud and in the sea. Don't you be pagans or adulterers like some of them. In fact, some of them committed fornication and twenty-three thousand of them died in one night." It is written in the first and later verses of the book Numbers in the Old Testament: "The Israelites began to commit fornication. God sent plague upon them. The number of those who died of plague was twenty-four thousand," Since there is a difference of one thousand between the given numbers of the dead, one of them is certainly wrong.

Again, in the fourteenth verse of the seventh chapter of the Book of Acts: "Yusuf (Joseph) sent an envoy to invite his father Yaqub (Jacob) and all his relations, seventy-five people, [to Egypt]." In this passage, Yusuf 'alaihi-salam' himself and his two sons in Egypt are not included in these seventy-five people. The number mentioned gives only the number of the people in Yaqub's 'alaihi-salam' tribe.

Nevertheless, the twenty-seventh verse of the forty-sixth chapter of Genesis states that "The number of the sons of Jacob that came to Egypt was seventhly. The passage from the Book of Acts is apparently erroneous.

Such is the matter with the four Gospels, which form the basis for the Christian creed, and with the epistles. As we have remarked above, these are not the only contradictions in these Gospels or in the Old Testament and the New Testament. Since an explanation of all these contradictions one by one would take volumes of books and some of them have been explained in the books Izhar-ul-haq and Shams-ul-haqiqa, we have not given detailed information here. Those who would like to obtain more information in this respect ought to consult the book titled Tahrirat-i-enaji, which was written and published in 1233 [A.D. 1818] by Giesler, a Protestant scholar; Sellirmagir's Muqaddima-i-kitab-i-Ahd-i-jedeed, published in 1817; Seefirs's Birinci Incilin Asli (The Real Origin of the First Gospel), published in 1832; the book-Inciller uzerine Mulahezat (A

Criticism of the Gospels), written by Your, one of the contemporary orientalist; the orientalist ShuAzar's Yuhanna inceeli uzerine inceleme (An Observation of the Gospel of John), published in 1841; the book written by Gustav Ichtel, a contemporary writer, to describe the manners of Isa 'alaihi-salam'; and [any of the countless books] written by historians such as Strauss.

As for the Qur'an al-karim, to which Muslims adhere, [and attain felicity in this world and the next by obeying it]; as foreordained by the blessed meaning of the ninth verse of the sura (chapter) Hijr, which purports, "We sent the Qur'an al-karim down, and we again shall protect it", it has been protected under the divine guard of Allahu ta'ala for twelve for twelve hundred and ninety-three years [fourteen hundred and ten years for today], i.e. from the time of hijra-i-nabawiyya (the Hegira) to our time, without the slightest addition or subtraction even in its punctuation marks, though its copies have been possessed by Muslims of various nationalities ever since; this is a universally verified fact. And now a few priest, who are in Islamic countries on a mission of several golds' salary, are indulging in a dream in which they enjoy having a true religion by contrasting it [Christianity, whose inner essence we have explained above,] to Islam, which was founded on firm bases and which has reached us today with its pristine authenticity and soundness; aren't their assertions too preposterous to be answered? If their attempts were intended to disclose the truth as they claim, they could be tolerable to some extent, for they have not studied Islamic books with due attention. But it is not the case; their real purpose is to wheedle the ignorant out of Islam by means of various sophistries and tricks. Being unable to answer the books written by Islamic 'ulama or the questions they are asked by them, they have been attacking Islam with their usual insolent ignorance [and obduracy] as if they had not seen those books. They have been secretly writing and publishing books and pamphlets full of lies and slanders and spreading them in a clandestine way.

AN ANSWER TO THE BOOK GHADA-UL-MULHAZAT

It is written in the third chapter of the second section of the book Ghada-ul-mulahazat, which was written by a priest: "This chapter covers the explanation of the curious fact that Muhammad's religion appeared amongst the heathens of Arabia instead of rising in the horizon of Christianity as Christianity had spread among the Israelites. All the worlds are the property of Allahu ta'ala, and we do not doubt that He can dispense of His property as He wills. All His divine deeds come about through some causes full of divine wisdom. As a requirement of His divine wisdom, He first sent down the canon of Musa 'alaihi-salam' as a preparation for the spiritual and complementary religion of hadrat Meseeh (the Messiah). It takes a little reflection to realize how compatible it is with divine wisdom that Musa 'alaihi-salam' appeared at the expected place and time and established his church, i.e. his community, on fundamentals capable of this (preparatory role). By the same token, if abrogation of Christianity had been the will of Allahu ta'ala, the tree of perfection to be planted in its place should have emerged from the root of Christianity, that is, at a place geared to yield a new religion, which is the involuntary conclusion both from the syllogistic point of view and as a requirement of the natural course of events. But the person who established Islam was not born in a Christian country, nor did he arise from the Israelites. On the contrary, as is shown clearly in historical documents, he emerged from among the nescient Arabs, who had filled Kaba al-muazzama with nearly three hundred idols. It is a fact, especially known by people cognizant of the Arabic history, that when Hadrat Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' declared his prophethood and began to publicize his religion the Meccans were not disposed to accept the so-called religion. They opposed his

prophethood, objected to his teachings, and continuously insulted him, so much so that had it not been for the powerful support of Abu Talib and his dynasty and his personal talents, which were reinforced by the consequent tribal rivalry and zeal which he adroitly exploited to attain his goal, the so-called religion would have been impaired by the aggression of its adversaries, thus perishing in its budding period yet. The using of so many material agencies and worldly means at liberty for the promotion of the new so-called religion, i.e. Islam, is a vigorous proof of the fact that Islamic religion is not so spiritual as the Christian religion and that Arabia was not ready for its emerging yet. If Islam had been a spiritual religion and Arabia had been ready to receive it, it would have spread quietly and peacefully without recourse to worldly media, like the spreading of Christianity.

Since it would have been possible to send the most perfect and the highest religion at once for the spiritual guidance of the pagans and the ignorant, why didn't Allahu ta'ala the most compassionate of the merciful send Islam instead of Christianity six hundred years or instead of Judaism two thousand years before that; why didn't He send Islam before them? What was the reason for such a long postponement? Muslims can infer from this proof of ours whether their religion is a true one sent by Allahu ta'ala."

In summary, this writing of Gadha-ul-mulahazat contains three claims:

First: The reason for the virtue and superiority of Christianity, the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam', is that it emerged among the Israelites, who had religious education before and were ready to embrace it, versus Islam, the religion of Muhammad 'sallallahu ta'ala alaihi wasallam', which emerged among pagans who had not had any religious education and were not ready to receive it.

Second: While Christianity spread mildly in peace, Islam's spreading was through violence, force and worldly means.

Third: It is possible for Allahu ta'ala to send a Prophet and He is the most merciful of the merciful; so it would have been incompatible with His justice not to send a religion superior to the others, i.e. Islam, before the others.

THEIR FIRST CLAIM: "Isa's 'alaihi-salam emerging from a tribe with previous religious education, and Muhammad's 'alaihi-salam' emerging in a tribe without previous religious education."

ANSWER: These assertions of theirs are answerable in various ways.

The sons of Israel were fit to receive the heavenly rules taught by Isa 'alaihi-salam', and they had the experience of obeying canonical rules before. And yet eighty-two people believed and followed Isa 'alaihi-salam' throughout his life. On the other hand, Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' called the heathen Arabs, who had not had any canonical or religious education and therefore were not inclined to accept any religion, to a new religion, i.e. Islam, which was entirely contrary to the religion of their fathers and grandfathers and ran counter to their sensuous desires and flavors. From the time when Rasulullah 'sallallahu ta'ala alaihi wasallam' declared his prophethood to his death, more than a hundred and twenty-four thousand sahabas accepted his invitation and became Muslims willingly. We refer it to the wisdom of our readers to decide whether superiority belongs to Christianity or Islam. It is true that Abu Talib did his best to protect and guard our Prophet 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam'. But this protection and assistance of his did not contribute considerably or as much as it is believed to have done to the spreading and promotion of Islam. This protection of his was not because he believed in our Prophet's 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' religion. It was because he was his relation and lest he would be killed or tormented. For Abu Talib was one of the unbelievers. At that time some of the Ashab al-kiram

'alaihimir-ridwan' could not endure the polytheists' persecution and migrated to Abyssinia. Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and the Ashab al-kiram remained confined in Mecca for three years, being prohibited from all sorts of correspondence. Allahu ta'ala commanded our Prophet twice to call his kith and kin together and to invite them to Islam. The two hundred and fourteenth ayat (verse) of the Shu'ara sura (chapter) purports: "Warn your close relations of the torment of Allahu ta'ala." To carry out the command of this ayat al-karima, Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' invited His relations to becoming Muslims. [When Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' convened His relations he stated: "Believe and obey Allahu ta'ala and save yourselves from His torment. Or else your being my relations will do you no good."] None of them believed. In fact, his paternal uncle Abu Lahab and his wife the wood-carrier went so far in their harassing and tormenting Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' that they and some notables of the Quraish went to Abu Talib to complain about Him; they requested him to give up protecting Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Upon this Abu Talib called Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and advised him to give up the business of inviting people to the Islamic religion. It is an established fact testified with this proof and hundreds of other similar proofs that Abu Talib's protection, [contrary to the assertion of the protestant priests], did not cause Islam's acceptance by the Quraish tribe.

Muhammad 'alaihis-salam' arose in a tribe not liable to believe him and was sent as a Prophet to them, whereas Isa 'alaihis-salam' had emerged among the Israelites, who had been expecting a Prophet. Like the other Prophets 'alaihimus-salam', Isa 'alaihis-salam' suffered many troubles and afflictions caused by the Jews. But the enemies of Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' perished when that Serwer (Rasulallah) was alive yet, and the blessed Prophet left this transient world and honored the eternal hereafter with his presence as he was in his bed in Aisha's 'radi-allahu ta'ala anha' home in Medina-i-munawwara.

It is written in the four Gospels existing today that when Isa 'alaihis-salam' was caught, members of a tribe who had religious education and were ready to receive the new religion, i.e. Peter and the other apostles, were so thoroughly preoccupied in their own troubles that they immediately decamped, leaving Isa 'alaihis-salam', and that the same night Peter, who was the closest Apostle of Isa 'alaihis-salam', swore and denied to know Isa 'alaihis-salam' with curses before a rooster crowed.

Abu Bakr as-Siddiq 'radi-allahu anh' was one of the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimir-ridwan' who were living in pagan tribes not ready to accept a religion [and without any previous religious education] but accepted Islam and were honored with the blessed sohbat of Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. During the hijra he accompanied Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in the cave. [Lest Rasulallah should get hurt, he tore his waistcoat and plugged the snake nests with the pieces. There was no piece left for the last hole, so he closed it with his foot. The snake bit his foot. He neither pulled his foot back nor made the slightest murmur. When a tear coming out of his eyes dropped on Rasulallah's blessed face, Rasulallah woke up, and put this blessed spittle on Abu Bakr's 'radi-allahu anh' foot. The wound healed as a mujiza]. He dispensed all his property for Islam. Later, he fought against the apostate Arabs and brought them to Islam.

Omar 'radi-allahu anh', the first day he became a Muslim, placed himself in front of the Ashab al-kiram and fearlessly announced his becoming a Muslim despite the persecutions and oppression of the Meccan polytheists. There were great conquests throughout the period of his caliphate. Islam spread far and wide. And in justice no other commander, no other evenhanded person equalled him. These facts are written in history books.

And Ali 'radi-allahu anh' sacrificed himself for our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' by lying in His bed on the night of His hijra. In a number of combats he acted up to his given nickname, (the Lion of Allah).

As for Uthman-i-Zin-nureyn "radi-allahu anh"; he was one of the richest Meccans. All the property he had he spent for the reinforcement of Islam. [We shall mention only the amount he gave in the Ghazza (Holy War) of Tabuk here: Our Prophet "sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' encouraged the Ashab al-kiram to donate for the Holy War of Tabuk in the mosque. Uthman 'radi-allahu anh' stood up and said: "O Rasulullah! I undertake to donate a hundred camels together with their back-cloths and pack-saddles." Rasulullah went on with his encouragement. Uthman 'radi-allahu anh' stood up again and said: "O Rasulullah! I undertake to give another hundred camels together with their back-cloths and pack-saddles." Rasulullah said as he alighted from the mimbar (pulpit in a mosque): "Uthman shall not be called to account for what he will do from now on." As He went on admonishing the Ashab al-kiram, Uthman "radi-allahu anh' said: "O Rasulullah! I undertake to give another hundred camels together with their back-cloths and pack-saddles for the sake of Allah". Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "There is the Paradise for the person who has equipped the army of Tabuk!" Upon this Uthman 'radi-allahu anh' brought a thousand golds and poured them on Rasulullah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' lap. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' invoked: "O my Allah! I am pleased with Uthman. May you be pleased with him too!" Uthman 'radi-allahu anh' equipped half of the army of Tabuk (Sunan-i-Darakutni: 4-198) [10]. Uthman-i-Zin-nureyn "radi-allahu anh' donated nine hundred and fifty camels and fifty horses together with their harnesses to this army, provided their cavalry accoutrements, and in addition sent them thousand dinars or seven rukyas of golds. All the other Ashab al-kiram Guzin "radi-allahu anhum ajmain' never hesitated to sacrifice their lives and property upon each commandment of our Master Rasulullah. Islam's superiority over Christianity, and the difference between the believers of these two religions and between the people who saw these two Prophets, is as visible as the sun.

As for our Prophet's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' arising from amongst the Arabs, who were the descendants of Ismail (Eshmael) 'alaihis-salam', instead of emerging among the Israelites; there is many a use, virtue and superiority in this fact.

First: Allahu ta'ala sent an angel to hadrat Hajar (Hagar) and gave her the good news: "O Hajar, I have brought you the good news from Allahu ta'ala that your son Ismail shall own a great ummat and your offspring shall be superior to that of Sara (Sarah)." It was this promise of Allahu ta'ala that manifested itself on Muhammad Mustafa 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', who was a descendant of Ismail 'alaihis-salam'. Allahu ta'ala, while nominating many of the descendants of hadrat Sara as Prophets, sent only Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' out of the offspring of Ismail 'alaihis-salam', thus fulfilling His promise. Doesn't this signify the virtue and superiority of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'? The priest who is the author of Mizan-ul-haqq distorts this good news by interpreting it that "the gist of this (promise) was giving Hagar the good news concerning the [heathen] Arab bey". If a zealous and pious Christian is told: "Your offspring will be rich beys. But they will be magians and idolaters", will he be pleased with this news, will he be happy? [Off course not. He will be sorry]. Likewise, it would mean that Allahu ta'ala gave hadrat Hajar the news that she would have polytheistic descendants instead of consoling her (May Allahu ta'ala protect un from such belief).

Furthermore, the passage about the good news does not contain the phrase (Arab begs). But it expresses that the descendants of Ismail 'alaihis-salam' shall be a great ummat and they shall be dominant over the Israelites. It is quite obvious that before the rising of Islam there was no event

on the part of the Arabs significant enough to overpower the Israelites and that the real crunch came with Islam.

Second: The Israelite Prophets had been learning and teaching the rules in the Torah (Pentateuch) and Zabur (Psalms of hadrat Dawud) until the advent of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. If Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' had been of the Israelite descent, there is no doubt he would have been slandered to have learned the Qur'an al-karim and all the heavenly teachings from the Israelite 'ulama. Our master Rasulullah, who is the highest of Prophets, always lived in his tribe, never went away even for a short while, never learned even a letter from anyone, never held a pen in his blessed hand, and there were no Jews or Christians in the blessed city of Mecca. Despite this fact, in Mizan-ul-haqq and other books of theirs, priests profess that our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' learned from a monk named Bahira or from some notable Christians when he honored Damascus with his blessed presence for trade. In actual fact, our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was twelve years old when he went to Damascus with his paternal uncle Abu Talib. All books of Siyar (biographies of our Prophet) report this fact unanimously. And his conversation with the monk Bahira took only a few hours, Bahira, after looking at our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' carefully, realized that He was the would be Prophet of the latest time. Then he said to Abu Talib: "If the notables of Christians and Jews sense that this child is the Messenger of Allah, they may attempt to kill him." Upon this warning of the monk's, Abu Talib took his advice, sold his merchandise in Busra and in its neighborhood, and returned to Mecca al-mukarrama. As for the monk who is said to have taught to our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'; wouldn't he just as soon announce his own prophethood instead of teaching so much knowledge to our Prophet? Moreover, from what rich source had the so-called teacher Bahira acquired all this endless lot of knowledge which emerged in our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'? For the knowledge that Allahu ta'ala communicated to Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' did not only cover the Bible and the Torah but also contained numerous pieces of information that did not exist in them. Consisting of more than six thousand ayats (verses), the Qur'an al-karim covers many rules and marifat (spiritual information). Moreover, the pieces of information and marifat uttered through Rasulullah's blessed language; i.e. seven hundred thousand hadith-i- Sharifs concerning sunnat, wajib, mustahab, mendub, nahy, makruh, and other narratives are recorded, narrated and published by the 'ulama of hadith. Imam-i-Nasai [11] 'rahmat-ullahi alaih' confirms this: "I had compiled seven hundred and fifty thousand hadith ash-Sharifs. But fifty thousand of them were from unsound sources, so I left them out, and recorded seven hundred thousand of them." As for the existing copies of the Pentateuch and the Bible, which are the word of Allah according to Jews and Christians; if you leave aside the episodes and bring together all the verses concerning the commandments, prohibitions and other religious precepts, the number will not reach seven hundred all in all. We shall explain this fact in detail in the chapter about Qur'an al-karim and Today's Gospels. We wonder what kind of knowledge Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' learned from which of the Christian monks? Is it possible to make an ocean from a small pool? This signifies the following fact; this slander is brought against Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' despite the fact that there were no monks in his tribe; the kinds of slanders that would have been brought against him had he been sent among the Israelites are beyond imagination. It is for this reason that Allahu ta'ala, who is wajib-ul-wujud, protected His most beloved one by not sending him among the Sons of Israel.

Third: A retrospection into the history of today's existing peoples and a meticulous observation of their traditions, customs and deeds will show that the Arabs, even when they were nomadic Bedouins, had superior and high qualities and habits such as patriotism, nationalism, hospitality,

charity, bravery, heroism, cleanliness, nobility of pedigree; generosity, goodness, modesty, and love of freedom. Is there another race to equal the Arabs in these qualities and in such merits as intelligence, eloquence and rhetoric. It is written throughout the Torah what a bad character the Israelites have. It is a plain fact that they are the worst race. Which of these cases would be better for our master the Fakhri-kainat 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', the most virtuous, the highest of races, or from the Israelites [Jews]? The Israelites attained the blessings of Allahu ta'ala and were superior to other nations as long as they obeyed their Prophets and acted upon the canonical laws of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. But later, when they betrayed their Prophets 'alaihi-mus-salam' and killed most of them, they were degraded and became the most ignoble, the basest people. This fact is known by Christians, too. On account of Isa's 'alaihi-salam' malediction, they shall lead a detestable, abhorrent and base way of life and are doomed to an everlasting life of disgrace. Now, what an astonishingly, contradictory objection it would be to say, "If Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' were the highest of Prophets, he would have descended from these Israelites who shall never be rescued from this state of ignominy and contemptibility." The second ayat (verse) of Hashr sura purports: "O you owners of reason! Learn what you do not know by inference from what you have been taught."

Fourth: Isa 'alaihi-salam' was sent as the Prophet among the Israelites through various miracles, and some of his blessed statements comprised the figurative elements of his time's current language; so the priests that came some time later, being unable to interpret his symbolic expressions, established a system of creed called Trinity, that is, believing in three gods, which could never be accepted by anyone with common sense and which had existed in the ancient Indian cults and in Plato's philosophy. On the other hand, those kinds of our master Rasulullah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' teachings that are called mutashabihat (symbolic, parabolical, ambiguous teachings), which include ayat al-karimas, hadith ash-Sharifs and other, teachings, are explained at length in books of tafsir and hadith, which report also that such teachings contain countless other ultimate and subtle divine denotations, connotations, nuances, and inner, essential meanings. [Mutashabihat are those ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs with occult, hidden meanings whose facade meanings do not agree with the established meanings of the popular types of narratives and which therefore need to be interpreted]. Their number is very much larger than those in the teachings of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. If our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' had been chosen and sent from among the Israelites, they would have altogether denied the divinity of Allahu ta'ala, saying, "There is no God but hadrat Muhammad." Who on earth will doubt this?

THEIR SECOND CLAIM: The second assertion put forward in Ghada-ul-mulahazat is that "While Christianity spread gently through kindness, Islam spread by violence, force, and by giving worldly advantages."

ANSWER: This assertion of theirs is, like the others, false, groundless, as follows:

First: It is a fact declared in the Bible and confirmed by Isa 'alaihi-salam' that Christianity was not a religion other than Judaism, but it was a complementary of Judaism. The only difference was that it (Christianity) did not command jihad-i-i-fi-sabi-l-illah (Holy War only for the sake of Allah). Absence of jihad in Christianity is a proof of its deficiency, rather than proving its superiority. To assert that a religion that spreads through physical means [violence, force, power] is not a true religion would mean to confess that

Christianity, before any other religion, is a false one.

Second: If a religion's spreading by physical media is to be asserted as a proof for its falsity, it will be necessary to take a look at the methods resorted to for the spreading of Christianity. Take

the following examples: as Isa 'alaihi-salam' invited the people to his religion, he hid himself for fear of a probable assassination on the part of his adversaries; he advised that his miracle be kept in secret; he ordered his Apostles not to tell anyone that he was the Messiah; he advised his disciples that anyone without a sword should buy himself a sword even if it would cost him his clothes; he ordered them to pay tax as a sign of homage to the pagan Romans; many wars broke out and millions of people were killed because of the controversies among the Christian sects after Isa 'alaihi-salam'; popes caused a number of revolutions and conflicts in Europe; millions of innocent people were massacred by Christians in the events of Templier and Saint Bartholomew and during the tribunals of inquisition; in the continent of America and in the other lately discovered islands, the turbulences instigated by missionaries caused millions of people to be put to the sword; when you read about these events and many other similar events in history books, how can you claim that Christianity spread gently through kindness without resorting to physical means, that is, to force, violence, power, or worldly advantages? The cruelties, massacres and savageries exercised during the crusading expeditions, which continued in eight waves for 174 years, from 489 [A.D. 1096] to 669 [A.D. 1270], could not be tallied. The crusaders burned and demolished all the places they went by, including Istanbul, which was the capital city of the Byzantine Greek Empire, their co-religionists. Michaud, a Christian who wrote a book of five volumes about the crusading expeditions, says: "In 492 [H. 1099] the crusaders managed to enter Jerusalem. When they entered the city they jugulated seventy thousand (70.000) Muslims and Jews. They cruelly killed even the Muslim women and children who had sheltered in mosques. blood flowed through the streets. Roads were blocked by the corpses. The crusaders were so savagely ferocious that they jugulated the Jews they came across on the banks of the Rhine in Germany." These facts are written by Christian historians, who are their own men. When Christians routed the Andalusian Omeyyeds [12] in 898 [A.D. 1492] and entered Qurtuba, they attacked the Qurtuba mosque first. They entered this beautiful, magnificent mosque on horseback. They pitilessly jugulated the Muslims who had taken refuge in the mosque. So much so that blood poured out through the doors of the mosque. They massacred the Jews in the same manner. The barbarous Spaniards christianized all the Muslims and Jews at the point of the sword. Those who managed to escape took sanctuary in the Ottoman country. The Jews living in Turkey today are their grandchildren. After annihilating all the Muslims and Jews in Spain, Ferdinand the Spanish king bragged of his victory and said, "There are neither any Muslims nor any unbelievers left in Spain." Here is the Christianity that is said to have spread through tenderness and kindness and here are the cruelties of Christians who claim to be tender and affable!

The cruelties inflicted by the Christian sects upon one another are no less in severity. But the most notorious cruelties are the persecutions exercised by Christians over Jewry, who are praised by the priestly author of the book Ghada-ul-mulahazat on account of their familiarity with the canonical laws.

It is written as follows in the twenty-seventh page of the book Kashf-ul-asar wa fi qisas-i-anbiya-i bani Israeel, which was written by priest Dr. Alex Keith, translated into Persian by priest Merik, and published in 1261 [A.D. 1846] in Evenbourgh: "Three hundred years before the Hegira, Constantine the Great ordered that the ears of all the jews be cut off and persecuted them by deportations and banishments."

It is written in the twenty-eighth page: "In Spain the Jews were oppressed to choose one of the following three alternatives:

a) Accepting Christianity;

b) Imprisonment for those who refused Christianity;

c) Deportation if none of these two choices are taken. Similar methods were used in France. Thus Jews traveled from one country to another. At that time there was no home for them, neither in Europe nor in Asia."

And in the twenty-ninth page: "Because Catholics reckoned Jews as unbelievers, they persecuted them. The most notable priests came together and took some decisions:

1 - If a Christian defends a Jew, he has made an error. He is to be excommunicated. That is, he must be excluded from Christianity.

2 - Jews are not to be assigned any official duties in any Christian states.

3 - No one can eat or cooperate with Jews.

4 - Children born among the Jews shall be raised by the Christians. The oppressiveness of this article is obvious. In the thirty-second page: "When the Portuguese caught Jews, they threw them into fire and burned them. When they did so, their men and women came together and celebrated the events. Their women danced, sprang and jumped with happiness."

It is written as follows in the book Siyar-ul- muteqaddimin, which was written by priests: "In the Christian year 379, Gratinaus the Roman emperor, after consulting with his commanders, ordered the Christianization of all the Jews in his country. Accordingly, those who refused Christianity were to be killed." These writings belong to eminent Christian priests.

The torments inflicted upon Protestants by Catholics and vice versa are no less cruel than the ones related above. It is written as follows in the fifteenth and sixteenth pages of the thirteenth fascicle of an Arabic book which was published in thirteen fascicles in Beirut in 1265 [A.D. 1849]] "The Roman church inflicted numerous persecutions, torments and massacres upon Protestants. The witnesses to prove this fact are in the European countries. In Europe, more than 230,000 people were burnt alive because they did not believe in the pope though they believed Jesus and made the Holy Bible their guide in belief and worship. Likewise, thousands of them were either put to the sword or annihilated in prisons or through various tortures such as disjuncting their bones or extracting their teeth or nails with pincers. Only on the day of Marirsu Lemavus thirty thousand people were killed in France.

The massacre of Saint Bartholomew and many other massacres that would take a long time to relate are the witnesses of the cruelties Catholics inflicted upon Protestants. Sixty-five thousand Protestants were killed in the massacre of St. Bartholomew. Catholic priests publicize this event as something to take pride in. Henry IV, who came to the throne of France in 1011 [A.D. 1593], stopped the massacre of Protestants. The bigoted Catholics who did not like this had Henry IV killed. In 1087 [A.D. 1675] the persecutions and massacres were resumed. Fifty thousand families fled from their country to escape death.

The Protestants were no less cruel to the Catholics than the Catholics were to them. It is written as follows in the forty-first and forty-second pages of a book which was translated into Urdu from English by a British Catholic priest named Thomas and was published with the title Miratus-sidq in 1267 [A.D. 1851], and which was widely sold in India: "The Protestants first usurped 645 monasteries, 90 schools, 2376 churches and 110 hospitals from their Catholic owners and sold them very cheaply, dividing the money among themselves. They evicted thousands of the poor residents into the streets, leaving them destitute." In is written in its forty-fifth page: "The Protestants' grudge and hostility reached the dead lying in their graves with equal savagery. Exhuming the corpses, they tormented them and robbed them of their shrouds." In the forty-eighth and forty-ninth pages: "Also the libraries disappeared among the other property usurped from the Catholics. Cyl Birl's doleful account of these libraries is as follows: The Protestants

plundered the books they found in the libraries. They burned the books to cook on them, cleaned their candlesticks and shoes with them. They sold some of the books to herbalists and soap makers. They gave most of them to bookbinders overseas. They were not only fifty or a hundred books. They amounted to countless shiploads. They were annihilated in such a manner as to consternate the foreign nations. I saw a merchant buy two libraries, each for twenty rupees. After these cruelties, they robbed the treasuries of churches, leaving them in bare walls only. They thought they were doing something good." In the fifty-second and later pages: "Now we shall relate the cruelties that the Protestants have done so far: In order to torture the Catholics, the Protestans passed hundreds of laws far from justice, mercy and ethics. The following are some of them:

- 1 - A Catholic cannot inherit his/her parents' property.
- 2 - No Catholics past the age of eighteen can buy property, unless he accepts the Protestant sect.
- 3 - No Catholic can set up in business for him or herself.
- 4 - No Catholic can be a tutor (in any branch of knowledge). He who opposes this shall be sentenced to imprisonment for life.
- 5 - The Catholics shall pay double the taxes.
- 6 - Any Catholic priest who conducts a (religious) rite shall pay a fine of 330 sterling. If a lay Catholics does this he shall be fined 700 sterling plus one year's imprisonment.
- 7 - If a Catholic sends his son abroad for education, he and his son shall be killed. His property and livestock shall be confiscated.
- 8 - No Catholic can be employed in the Civil Service.
- 9 - If any Catholics does not attend Sunday masses or other religious celebrations in a Protestant church he shall be fined 200 sterling monthly and shall be dismissed from the society.
- 10 - If a Catholics goes five miles away from London he shall be fined 100 sterling."

It is written in pages sixty-one through sixty-six: "With the command of Queen Elizabeth most of the Catholic monks and other clergymen were taken out on ships and thrown into the sea. Then the soldiers of Elizabeth came to Ireland to protestanize the Catholics. The soldiers demolished the Catholic churches. Wherever they came across a Catholic priest they killed him immediately. They burned towns. They destroyed crops and animals. But they treated non-Catholics well. Then, in 1052 [A.D. 1643-44], the parliment sent forth men to a number of cities to expropriate all the property and land belonging to the Catholics. These cruelties inflicted upon the Catholics went on till the time of king James I. In his time these cruelties became less severe. But the Protestants were angry with him. In 1194 [1780] forty-four thousand Protestants petitioned to the king for the maintenance of the laws concerning the Catholics so that they could go on tormenting them through the parliamentary power as before. But the king turned down their proposal. Upon this some hundred thousand Protestants came together in London and burned the Catholic churches. They devastated the districts where the Catholics lived. They started conflagrations at thirty-six different places. This vandalism lasted for six days. Then the king passed another law in 1791], giving the Catholics the lights they have been enjoying ever since." It is written as follows in the seventy-third and seventy-fourth pages: "You probably have not heard about the event of Cortiraskuln in Ireland. The stories telling about his doings in Ireland are true. Every year the Protestants collected two hundred and fifty rupees and the rentals of various places and with this money bought the children of poor Catholics. They sent these children away to live with Protestants in other places so that they would not recognize their parents (on returning to their home town). When they grew up, they were sent back home and

did not recognize their parents, brothers and sisters, as a result of which they sometimes married their brothers, sisters, and even parents."

[The most inhuman, the most ferocious of the cruelties inflicted upon Muslims by Christians were done by the English in India.

Allama Fadl-i-Haq Khayr-abadi, one of the greatest Islamic 'ulama in India, says in his book *As-sawrat-ul- Hindiyya* (The Indian Revolution), which is explained by Mawlana Ghulam Mihr Ali in the 1384 [A.D. 1964] Indian edition of its commentary *Al-yawaqit-ul-mihriyya*:

In 1008 [A.D. 1600] the English first received the permission of Akbar Shah to open business places in the Calcutta city of India. In the time of Shah-i-Alam they bought land in Calcutta. They brought military forces to protect their land. Upon curing Sultan Ferruh Seer Shah in 1126 [A.D. 1714], they were given this right all over India. In the time of Shah-i-Alam-i-Thani they invaded Delhi, took control of the administration, and began to exercise cruelty. In 1274 [A.D. 1858] the wahhabis in India said that Bahadir Shah II, who was a Sunni, a Hanafi, and a Sufi in fact, was a bidat holder and a disbeliever. With their help, which was reinforced by the support of Hindu unbelievers and the treacherous vizier Ahsanullah Khan, the English army entered Delhi. They raided homes and shops and plundered goods and money. Even women and children were put to the sword. The people could not find water to drink. They very old Bahadir Shah, II who had taken refuge in the tomb of Humayun Shah, was taken towards the fortress together with his household with their hands and feet fastened. On the way the Patriarch Hudson had the three sons of the Shah undressed, leaving them in underwear, and then martyred them by shooting them in their chests. He drank their blood. He had their bodies hanged at the entrance of the fortress. The following day he took their heads to the English commander Henry Bernard. Then, boiling the heads in water, he made a soup and sent it to the Shah and his wife. Being extremely hungry, they (the Shah and spouse) immediately put the meat into their mouths. But they could not chew it, nor could they swallow it. They took it out and left it on the soil, though they did not know what sort of meat it was. The traitor named Hudson said, "Why don't you eat it? It is very delicious soup. I had it made from the flesh of your sons". Then they banished the Shah, his wife and close relations to the city of Rangon and put them in dungeons. The Sultan passed away in the dungeon in 1279. They martyred three thousand Muslims by shooting and twenty-seven thousand by slaughtering in Delhi. Only those who fled at night managed to survive. The Christians massacred countless Muslims in other towns and villages, too. They ruined historical works of art. Peerless, invaluable pieces of ornamental goods and jewelry were loaded on ships and sent to London. Allama Fadl-i-Haq was martyred in his dungeon on the island of Endoman by the English in 1278 [A.D. 1861].

In 1400 [A.D. 1979] Russians invaded Afghanistan and began to destroy the Islamic works of art and martyr the Muslims. They first shot to martyrdom the great alim and wali Ibrahim Mujaddidi together with his hundred and twenty-one disciples, his wife and daughters. This savage and ignoble attack was caused by the English, too. For in 1945 the German commander Hitler, who had routed the Russian armies and was about to enter Moscow, cried to England and America through the radio: "I admit the defeat. I shall surrender to you. Let me go on with my war against Russia, rout the Russian army and remove the nuisance of communism from the earth." Churchill, the prime minister of England, refused this proposition. They went on helping the Russians and did not enter Berlin before the arrival of the Russians. They caused Russians to be a pestilence over the world.

AbdurRashid Ibrahim Effendi states as follows at one place of the chapter called "Hostility of the British against Islam" in the second volume of his Turkish book *Alam-i-Islam* (The Islamic

World), which was published in Istanbul in 1328 [A.D. 1910]: "Extirpation of Khilafat-i-Islamiyya (the Islamic Caliphate) is the primary British goal. Their causing the Crimean war and helping the Turks there was a stratagem to destroy the Caliphate. The Paris Treaty divulges this stratagem clearly. [They state their enmity overtly in the secret articles of the Lausanne Peace in 1923]. All the disasters the Turks have undergone so far, whatsoever the cover, have come from the British. The British policy is based on the annihilation of Islam. The reason for this policy is their being afraid of Islam. In order to deceive Muslims, they use saleable consciences, and introduce these people as Islamic scholars or heroes. In short, Islam's biggest enemy is the British."

For those who desire more detailed information about the treacheries and murders carried on by the English on various dates in various parts of the world, especially those which were done against Muslims and the Islamic religion; we recommend that they read the book *Jinayat-ul-Ingiliz* (The Murders of the English) by Es-Sayyid Muhammad Habib Ubaidee Beg, which was published in Beirut in 1334 [A.D. 1916].

Bryan William Jennings, an American lawyer and politician, was a renowned writer and lecturer, and at the same time was a U.S. Congressman between 1913-1915. He died in 1925. He gives detailed information about the English people's enmity against Islam and their barbarisms and cruelties in his book (*The English Dominion in India*).

The English sent their own men to their colonies whom they had been tyrannizing. These men started, so to speak, the movement of independence and in appearance broke their right of independence away from the English. They always used men of this sort for invading their colonies morally and inwardly while giving them their independence materially and outwardly. In other words, they imposed these men, whom they trained or bought for their own purposes, as leaders or saviors to such countries. And the inoffensive people of these countries, without even having time to consider the matter to sense the English lie, delivered their younger generations to the awful methods of propaganda. These countries had national anthems and flags. But morally and spiritually they were never independent. They had parliaments, prime ministers, ministers. But they never had authorities.].

We have mentioned only a few of the cruelties of Christians here. These are only a few examples of the barbarisms and savageries of Christians, who are said to have had a religious background and who claim to believe in the advice of Isa 'alaihi-salam': "If someone slaps you on one cheek, offer him your other cheek." We do not presume that the priest who wrote the book *Ghada-ul-mulahazat* is too ignorant to know about these cruelties and savageries. Thinking Muslims unaware of these historical events, he pretends not to know of them in order to reinforce his assertion.

Third: If the spreading of a religion were possible only through physical media, that is, by violence, force and power, the whole world would have been christianized by now and there would be no Jews left after all these combats, barbarisms and massacres.

Fourth: The *jihad-i-fisabil-illah* commanded by Islam does not mean to compel (others) to become Muslim by the sword. Jihad means to announce and spread the *kalima-i-tawhid* all over the world and to reveal the superiority and the merits of the true religion of Allahu ta'ala to the other religions. This jihad is done by teaching and advising first. That is, it is stated that Islam is the true religion commanding all sorts of happiness, justice, freedom, and human rights. Those non-Muslims who admit this are given the right of citizenship and enjoy all sorts of freedom enjoyed by the Muslims. War is opened to those obstinate states and tyrannical dictators who turn down this invitation. If they lose the war, the former invitation is repeated once more. That

is, they are invited to accept Islam. If they accept it they become free like the other Muslims. IF they refuse they are proposed to pay the income tax called jizya. Those who accept to pay the jizya are called zimmi. They can by no means be forced to change their religion. [The old, the invalid, the women and children, the poor, the clergy are not liable to the jizya]. They are completely free as to their religious duties, rites and ceremonies, and their property, lives, chastity and honor are, like the property, lives, chastity and honor of Muslims, protected by the state. Muslims and non-Muslims are held equal in all sorts of rights.

THEIR THIRD ASSERTION: The third assertion put forward by the priests is that "Though it would have been possible for Allahu ta'ala to send down a Prophet without any preparatory canonical education, Allahu ta'ala, who is the most compassionate of the merciful, (is said to have) sent such an exalted religion (as Islam) not before the religions of Jesus and Moses; this is paradoxical with His justice."

ANSWER: These words of the priests are answerable in various ways.

One of them is this: Allahu ta'ala has infinite power. For Him there is no difference between creating the seven layers of the earth and the heavens and creating an ant, [a cell, an atom]. Nothing is beyond the creative power of Allahu ta'ala, except having a partner, which is impossible. [May Allahu ta'ala protect us from such a belief!] If, as they assert, it were impossible to send a Prophet without any preparations, this would be another mujiza of Rasulullah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in addition to his other mujizas (miracles). For the number of all the Israelites who believed Isa 'alaihis-salam' was eighty-two by the time of his ascension to heaven though they were ready to accept a new religion and had been expecting a Prophet who would be their savior. On the other hand, our Master Fakhr-i-kainat 'alaihi efdalut-tahiyat', before his decease, had already guided to iman (belief in the true religion) more than a hundred and twenty-four thousand of the Arabs, who had no religious education whatsoever and therefore were not ready to receive a new religion; this means to make the impossible possible, and is therefore a mujiza. Also, their statement that it is incompatible with the mercy, compassion and justice of Allahu ta'ala not to send the better and superior one before" is contrary to all sorts of reason. For the Christian creed is as follows: "The reason why Jesus was killed after various insults and then burned for three days in Hell was because all people, including all Prophets, were smeared with the original sin committed by Adam 'alaihis-salam' and hadrat Hawwa in Paradise, and therefore Allahu ta'ala willed to forgive them by shedding the blood of His beloved son (may Allah protect us from such belief)." Now we ask them: since Isa 'alaihis-salam' is, according to Christian creed, the son of Allah, or perhaps the same as He (may Allah protect us from this belief), would it not have been better if he had been sent immediately after Adam 'alaihis-salam', so that the whole lot of these Prophets and so many innocent people would not have gone to Hell? It is a rule of protocol among rulers and presidents that the one with the highest rank position arrives last. It is a social custom that in big speeches the most important part is mentioned finally. The same rule applies in everything. For instance, skillful artists have their novices rough out the layouts of their works first and then finish their works by doing the final, important and delicate parts of their works themselves. This procedure is natural. Then, it is more suitable with the divine law of causation of Allahu ta'ala who is the absolutely wise creator to send the Sayyid-al-mursalin 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', the most superior, the highest of Prophets, as the last Prophet, thus ringing His religion to perfection.

The book Ghada-ul-mulahazat, again, makes the following comment concerning the matter whether Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' had mujizas (miracles), in the fourth chapter of the second section: "Jesus and Moses displayed various miracles in order to prove to the people

that they were Messengers sent down by Allah. Had it not been for such a touchstone as this to distinguish between the true and the false, many mendacious and immodest liars would have dared to profess being Prophets. And there would not be a gauge to test whether Allahu ta'ala had given His Word to a person, whether He had chosen him as His Prophet. Therefore, if you test Muhammad's 'alaihi-salam' claim for prophethood by rubbing it against this touchstone, you will see that it is not so firm or so proven as the claims of Moses and Jesus 'alaihimas-salam'!

"Even if we believe the testimonies of historians and the scholars of siyar and suppose that Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' displayed many miracles to prove his prophethood, we will not be convinced. For when we compare the wonderful, extraordinary events that they ascribe to their Prophet with the miracles of Jesus the Messiah and other Prophets, it is too difficult to believe that the so-called wonderful events are from Allah, on account of the discrepancies and similarities among them. Let us take the following examples: With the command of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' a tree left its place and walked towards Him and a voice from its middle part said: Esh-hadu an la ilaha illallah wa esh-hadu anna Muhammadan abduhu wa Rasuluhu, thus bearing witness to His prophethood; animals, mountains, stones and even a bunch of dates expressed the word of testimony we have given above; whatever clothes he put on, whether they were shorter or longer (than his size in appearance), suited him perfectly; now, is it possible not to doubt when we hear such events? For these events are imaginary. They are obviously contrary to the proofs and signs put forward by all the past Prophets." In short, at the end of all this long, roundabout writing of his, the priest means to say that our master the Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' did not have miracles although other Prophets had miracles.

ANSWER: It should be known well that one of the methods used by priests to mislead all Christians against Islam has been the slander that Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' did not show any miracles. (May Allahu ta'ala protect us against believing them!) These lies are answered convincingly and by definite proofs in the books Iz-har-ul-haq and Shams-ul-haqiqa. Various answers are given to each of their questions. These priests pretend not to have seen these books and not to have heard of these answers. To be more precise, because they do not have any proofs sound enough to rebut the answers and evidences put forward to them, they ignore them as if they were unaware of them and repeat their former objections and lies in their books Mizan-ul-haq, Miftah-ul-asrar, Ghada-ul-mulahazat, and other books full of lies and slanders which they published as against Muslims. These books of theirs bear their evil intentions of deceiving the ignorant and spoiling their belief by changing the names of those they wrote before. Yet we have considered it appropriate to write a few of the answers given to the missionaries in the books Iz-har-ul-haq and Shams-ul-haqiqa, which we have mentioned above:

All Prophets 'alaihimus-salam', as a witness for the authenticity of their prophethood they were appointed, put forward as miracles some extraordinary, preternatural, superhuman events that were at the same time valued and accepted by the people they were appointed to (as Messengers). It is written in books of Siyar that the number of miracles that occurred through Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was more than three thousand. The existence of these miracles, which are stated in the Qur'an al-karim and hadith ash-Sharifs and which were narrated by those who saw and heard them, thus reaching us by passing through generations, is beyond the reach of any sort of doubt. We shall explain some of these miracles (mujizas) in two different categories:

THE FIRST CATEGORY: This category contains the miracles that occurred through Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' on past and future events.

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' related episodes about the past Prophets. Without reading the books of the Old Testament and the New Testament or learning from anyone, he gave information about the past peoples that perished thousands of years ago and whose signs have already disappeared. As a matter of fact, it is written in the fourth paragraph of the first chapter of the fifth section of the book Iz-har-ul-haq: "Rasulullah

'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' related the episode of Nuh 'alaihis-salam'. This mujiza is mentioned in the Qur'an al-karim. The forty-ninth ayat of hud sura purports: "This narrative of Nuh's (Noah)'alaihis-salam' is one of the ghayb (unknown) pieces of information which we reveal (wahy) to you [through Jabrail]. Until now, neither you nor your tribe knew about it." But some differences between the Qur'an al-karim and the past (heavenly) books are explained in the second chapter of the fifth section of the book Iz-har-ul-haq. The Qur'an al-karim contains many unknown narratives about past tribes." The third paragraph of the first chapter of the fifth section of the same book quotes twenty-two of the narratives given in the Qur'an al-karim:

1 - The two hundred and fourteenth ayat of the Baqara sura purports: "O believers! Do you expect to enter Paradise right away? You have not undergone the despair experienced by the beloved ones of Allah before you. I sent vehement poverty, ailment, hunger and affliction upon them. They were so badly worried by the afflictions they were suffering that the Prophet and his believers were saying: When will help come from Allahu ta'ala? Be careful, be on the alert, for the help of Allahu ta'ala is soon to come." The help promised in this ayat-i karima includes Muslims in general; and the help promised soon came about. Islam spread first in Arabia and then all over the world.

2 - Before the Holy War of Badr Allahu ta'ala gave the good news of victory to the Ashab al-kiram, and declared in the forty-fifth ayat of Qamer sura:"They will soon be routed, run away and turn their backs (to the battlefield)" Just as it was declared, the Quraish tribe were routed and destroyed at Badr.

3 - As is purported in the first, second, third and fourth ayats of room sura, Allahu ta'ala declares:"The room were beaten [by the Iranians] at the closest place [to the Arabs, in the vicinity of Damascus]. Three to nine years after the defeat, they will beat their enemies [the Iranians] here. Beating or being beaten, [be it known that], is within the command of Allahu ta'ala in the beginning and at the end. The believers will be pleased at the victory of the room over the Iranians." The fact on which the mufasssirs (interpreters of Qur'an al-karim) and the 'ulama of Siyar agree as to the interpretation of these ayats is as follows: It is predicted that the room will beat the Iranians after being beaten. And everything occurred just as it was predicted. In fact, when this ayat al-karima descended, Ubayy bin Halef, one of the outstanding disbelievers of Quraish, denied it. In the conversation he had with Abu Bakr 'radi-allahu anh', he affronted him and insisted on refusing that the other side will win. Upon this they made a contract to wait for three years and then for the losing party to give fifteen female camels to the party whose prediction came true. Abu Bakr as-Siddiq 'radi-allahu anh' came to Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and submitted the matter. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated that the word (bid') [13] in the ayat al-karima included the numbers from three to nine and ordered him to go to him (the bettor) and increase both the duration of time and the number of camels. Upon this, Abu Bakr 'radi-allahu anh' renewed the contract they had made, prolonging the duration to nine years and augmenting the number of camels to one hundred. In the seventh year of the Hegira, the news about the room's victory over Iran reached them at Hodaybiyya. But Ubayy bin Halef had been killed with a spear which Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' picked from the ground and threw at him. So Abu Bakr-i Siddiq "radi-allahu anh' took the mentioned hundred camels

form his inheritors. [Obeying our Prophet's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' command, he distributed the hundred camels to the poor].

As for the other mujizat-i-nabawiyya (the Prophet's miracles) on the information about the ghayb (unknown), which are reported in hadith ash-Sharifs; they are countless. We shall give a few examples of them:

In the beginning of the call to Islam some of the Ashab al-kiram migrated to Abyssinia because of the polytheists' persecutions. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and those of the Ashab al-kiram who remained in Mekke-i-mukarrama were deprived of all sorts of social activities such as buying and selling, visiting or talking to people other than Muslims for three years. The polytheists of Quraish had written a contract announcing these decisions of theirs and posted it on the Kaba-i-muazzama. Allahu ta'ala, the omnipotent, sent a wood-boring maggot called arza unto that notice. The maggot ate up all the written part except the phrase Bismikallahumma (=in the name of Allahu ta'ala). Allahu ta'ala let our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' know of this event through Jibril-i-Amin (the Archangel Gabriel). And our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in his turn related it to his uncle Abu Talib. The following day Abu Talib went to the notables of polytheists and said, "Muhammad's God told him so. If what he said is true, cancel this prohibition and do not prevent them from going around and seeing other people like before. If he didn't tell the truth, I shall no longer protect him." The notables of Quraish accepted this. They all came together and made for the Kaba. They took the contract down from the Kaba, opened it up, and saw that, as Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' had said, all the written parts had been eaten up, with the exception of the phrase, Bismilkallahumma.

WARNING:

[Dost Muhammad Qandihari [14], a great Islamic scholar in India, states in his twenty-ninth letter: "The polytheists of Quraish used to write the phrase Bismilkallahumma at beginning of their letters. In the early years of Islam, our Master the Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' followed the Quraishi custom and had the phrase Bismilkallahumma written at the head of his letters. Later, upon the revelation of the ayat of Bismillah, he had the phrase Bismillah written as the starting phrase of his letters. Afterwards, when the ayat al-karima containing the word Rahman descended, he had the phrase Bismillah-er-rahman written. Finally, when the phrase Bismillah-er-rahman-er-rahim descended with the sura of Naml, he began to have this phrase written. As matter of fact, the letter he sent to the Byzantine Greek emperor Heraclius with (his private messenger) Dihya-i-Kalabi began with Bismillah-er-rahman-er-rahim. It is sunnat to begin a letter with this phrase of Basmala even if it is written to a disbeliever. In the peace of Hdaybiyya, he ordered hadrat Ali to write Bismillah-er-rahman-er-rahim. Suhayl, the Quraishi representative, said, "We don't know what Bismillah-er-rahman-er-rahim is. Write Bismikallahumma." As it is seen, since Adam 'alaihis- salam', Allahu ta'ala has taught His name as (ALLAH) to all Prophets, and even disbelievers have used this name].

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "The fortress of Hayber will be conquered with Ali bin Abi Talib." So did it happen. Also, he predicted the conquests of Iran and Byzantium by stating, "Muslims will share the treasures of Ajam (Iran) and room (Byzantium) and the Iranian girls will serve them."

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "My umma will part into seventy-three groups. All of them will go to Hell. Only one of them will be saved." He also stated, "The Ajams will beat the Muslims once or twice, the Iranian state (Sassanians) will be annihilated." And he stated, "Many room (Byzantine Greek) generations will prevail. As each of them perish, those in the

following era, that is, the next generation will take their place." All these events took place as Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' predicted.

The east and the west were rolled up and shown to him. He predicted that his umma would possess the places that were within his sight and that his religion would spread over those places. So Islam spread in the east and west, exactly as he had predicted. [In fact, there is no country where Islam has not been heard of in today's free world].

He stated, "As long as 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' lives, fitna (instigation) will not arise among Muslims." So the Ummat-i-Muhammad (Muslims) lived in safety till the end of the caliphate of 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh', as he had predicted. Later instigation began to break out.

Again, Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' predicted that Isa 'alaihis-salam' will descend from the heaven, that Mahdi 'alaihir-rahma' will appear, and that Dajjal also will appear.

He predicted that 'Uthman-i-Zin-nurayn 'radi-allahu anh' would be martyred when reading Qur'an al-karim, and that Ali 'radi-allahu anh' would be wounded with the stroke of Ibn Muljam's sword and would be martyred. As a matter of fact, whenever Ali 'radi-allahu anh' saw Ibn Muljam, he would show his head and say, "When are you going to make this bleed all over?" Ibn Muljam would commit himself to the protection of Allahu ta'ala from this, and would request, "Since such a base and evil deed has been predicted by our Prophet, o Ali, then you kill me. I don't want to be the cause of this atrocity and be accursed till the end of the world." Ali 'radi-allahu anh' would answer, "One cannot be punished before murder. You will be retaliated after the action." So all these events took place exactly.

In the Holy War of Hendek (Trench), he said to Ammar bin Yasir 'radi-allahu anh', "You will be killed by baghis (rebels)." Later, he (Ammar bin Yasir) was martyred in Siffin by those people who were on the side of Muawiya 'radi-allahu anh'.

He said about Bera bin Malik 'radi-allahu anh': "Some people that have dishevelled hair and who are repelled from doors are so (valuable) that if they stated something on oath Allahu ta'ala would create it to confirm them. Bera bin Malik is one of them." In the war of Ahwaz the Muslim soldiers besieged the fortress of Tuster for six months and fought for eighty days in front of its gate. Lots of people died in both sides. This statement of Rasulallah's was known among the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan'. So they gathered around Bera bin Malik 'radi-allahu anh' and begged him to swear that the fortress would be conquered. Upon this, Bera bin Malik 'radi-allahu anh' swore both the conquest of the fortress and his own martyrdom. That day he attained the rank of martyrdom. And the same night the fortress was conquered, so the Muslims attained victory with the help of Allahu ta'ala.

One day Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' slept in Umm-i-Hiram's 'radi-allahu anha' house. When he woke up he was smiling. She asked, "O Rasulallah, why are you smiling?" Rasulallah said, "I saw some of my umma getting on board ships and going out for Holy War against disbelievers." Umm-i-Hiram said, "O Rasulallah! Pray for me so that I may be one of them!" Rasulallah said, "O my Allah! Make her one of them!" It came about as Rasulallah predicted. In the time of hadrat Muawiya, Umm-i-Hiram and her husband joined others getting on ships and sailed to Cyprus for jihad. There she fell down from a horse and attained martyrdom 'radi-allahu anhumah'.

Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated about his blessed daughter, Fatima 'radi-allahu anha': "Of my Ahl al-bayt, you will be the first to meet me (in the next world)." Six months after his honoring the next world, Fatima, our mother, 'radi-allahu anha', honored the next world with her presence.

He predicted that Abu Zer-i-Ghifaree 'radi-allahu anh' would pass away alone at a solitary place. It happened exactly so. [He passed away lonely as he was at a place called Rabaza. Only his daughter and his wife were with him. Shortly after his death Abdullah ibn Masud and some other high persons arrived. They washed, laid out, and shrouded his corpse 'radi-allahu anhum ejmaeen'].

He said to Suraqa bin Malik 'radi-allahu anh', one of the Ashab al-kiram: "How will you be when you put on the Chosroes' bracelets?" Years later, during the caliphate of 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh', the riches that were gained by the conquest of Iran were brought to Medina-i-munawwara. Among the gains were the Chosroes' fur coat and bracelets. Dividing the gains, 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh' gave the Chosroes' bracelets to Suraqa 'radi-allahu anh'. Suraqa put the bracelets on his arm. Being too wide, they went up to his elbow. He remembered what Rasulullah had said years ago, and wept.

Lots of actual miracles came about from Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Since the capacity of this book is not convenient for a detailed account of these miracles, we will mention a few of them:

1 - The event of Miraj (Ascent to Heaven), which took place both physically and spiritually, and as he (the Prophet) was awake. The disbelievers of Quraish did not believe this miracle. And some Muslims, being weak both in faith and in mind, fell into the mischief of doubt and confirmed only after asking Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' various questions and getting their answers. Those who want to know what the disbelievers' questions and their answers were may consult to the book *Iz-har-ul-haq*. If Miraj had happened only spiritually, there would be no reason to deny it. For the soul travels between the east and the west in an instant when asleep. If a person's dream takes place in exactly the same way, it may be admitted as true; it cannot be denied.

Miraj happened both spiritually and physically. Allahu ta'ala is capable to move anything He wishes very fast. For this reason, those wise people who believe in Miraj and those who narrate it can by no means be censured. Yes, Miraj is incompatible with the normal course of events. But all miracles are incompatible with the normal course of events. Ibn Sina [15], a notable philosopher, proves by reasonable evidences the possibility of this miracle, which is contrary to the normal course of events, and describes its occurrence in his book *Shifa*. Those who have doubts may consult to the book. [Principles of (Islamic) belief should be learned not from philosophy books, but from the books of the 'ulama of Ahl as-sunnat].

Furthermore, bodily ascent to heaven is not impossible according to the people of the book, either. For it is written in the twenty-fourth verse of the fifth chapter of Genesis and in the first verse of the second chapter of the second book of Kings of the Holy Bible that Ehnuh (Enoch), Elia, and Elijah 'alaihimus-salam' physically ascended to heaven. And it is written in the mineteenth verse of the sixteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark: "Jesus the Lord, after talking to them, was taken up to heaven and sat on the right of God." It is written in the second verse of the twelfth chapter of the epistle written to Corinthians by Paul: "I do not know whether the man called Messiah is in the body or outside the body; God knows. I know him as the third man that was taken up to heaven." As is seen, Isa 'alaihis-salam' also was taken up to heaven (miraj).

2 - The miracle of Shaqq-i-qamer, the splitting of the moon, which is related in Qur'an al-karim. In this respect, the objections of the deniers, i.e. the Christian priests, are written at length in the books of *Iz-har-ul-haq* and *As'ila-i-hikamiyya*.

3 - The miracle of Remy-i-turab. In the Holy War of Badr, the number of the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur- ridwan' was one-fourth of that of the polytheists. At a vehement time of the combat,

as the polytheists augmented their offensive, Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' put his blessed head on the ground in prostration under the trellis and invoked (Allah) for victory and said: "O my exalted Allah! If you do not lead these handful of Muslims to victory, no one will be left on the earth to promulgate Thine unity." Then he kept silent for a while. Presently signs of joy appeared in his blessed eyes, and he informed Abu Bakr-i-Siddiq 'radi-allahu anh', who was with him and who had been his companion in the cave, that he had been given the good news of victory and the aid of Allahu ta'ala. He left the trellis, honored the battle field with his presence and, taking a handful of sand from the ground, threw it towards the polytheist soldiers. Each grain of sand went to an enemy soldier's eye like a lightning of disaster and utter defeat, and they were destroyed without any apparent reason. The seventeenth ayat al-karima of the Enfal sura descended to describe this miracle. The meaning of the ayat al-karima was: "What you threw to the disbelievers was not thrown by you. They were thrown by Allahu ta'ala." This ayat al-karima was recited in all the native and foreign languages. None of the polytheists attempted to say, "No such soil came to my eye." Perhaps they thought it was magic. (May Allahu ta'ala protects us against such belief).

4 - The miracle of water gushing out from between Rasulullah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' fingers at various places. Several hundred Sahabis drank from that water and satisfied their thirst. On the day of Hudaibiyya the number of the Ashab al-kiram that were there and drank that blessed water was more than a thousand. In addition, they filled their water-bottles. This miracle was seen at the market of Medina, at the Holy War of Buwat, at the Holy War of Tabuk, and at many other places. In fact, at Hudaibiyya the water poured from his blessed fingers like pouring from fountains. After the thirsty ones drank, the water sufficed even their animals. These facts are narrated unanimously by very trustworthy 'ulama of Siyar through very sound documents.

5 - The miracle of Barakat-i-tam. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' gave a woman and her husband a quarter bushel of barley. Their guests and children ate from it for a long time but could not finish it.

Once, he fed a thousand people with a piece of barley bread and a young goat, and the amount of the food did not decrease at all.

Once, a hundred and eighty people ate from a piece of bread, and the bread became even bigger.

Once, he fed a hundred and thirty people with a piece of bread and a cooked lamb. The remainder was loaded on a camel and taken away.

He fed an Abyssinian with a few dates. This miracle took place a number of times.

He fed those who were with him, all his household, and all his relations with one portion of food.

6 - The miracle of Tekseer-i-derahim, i.e. increasing the amount of money. Selman-i-Farisi 'radi-allahu anh' was the slave of a Jew. When he was honored with Islam, his Jewish owner said he would be emancipated from slavery on condition that he would plant three hundred date saplings, they would give fruits, and he would give him (the Jew) 1600 dirhams (drachm) of gold.

[The Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan' helped Selman 'radi-allahu anh' in digging the holes for the saplings. When the holes were dug, our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' honored the place with his presence and] planted the three hundred saplings resolved upon with his blessed hands. All of them came to maturity in a year and began to yield fruits. [One of the saplings had been planted by 'Umar-ul-Faruq 'radi-allahu anh'. The sapling did not give any fruits. When Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' replanted it with his blessed hands, it gave fruits at once].

He gave Selman 'radi-allahu anh' a gold that was the size of an egg and which had been gained in a Holy War. Selman-i-Farisi 'radi-allahu anh' said to Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam',

"This is too small to weigh sixteen hundred dirhams." He (Rasulullah) took the gold in his blessed hands and gave it back, and said, "Take this to your owner." When his owner weighed it, it was exactly the weight (decided upon); so Selman-i- Farisi 'radi-allahu and' joined the free Muslims.

7 - The miracle of Tekseer-i-Barakat. Abu Huraira 'radi-allahu anh' relates: "We were starving in a Holy War. Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said, 'Is there anything?' I said, 'Yes, o Rasulallah! I have some dates in my bag.'" He said, "Bring them to me." When I took them to him he put his blessed hand into my bag, took out a handful of dates, placed them on a handkerchief which he laid on the ground, and prayed for bereket (abundance). The Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan' being there came and ate dates. They were fully fed. Then he said to me: 'O Abu Huraira! Take a handful of the dates on this handkerchief and put them in your foodbag.' I took a handful and put them in my bag. The dates in my bag were never finished. We both ate and offered to others from them during the life time of Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and later, during the caliphates of Abu Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthman 'radi-allahu anhum'. They were still not finished. When 'Uthman-i-Zinnurain was martyred during his caliphate, my foodbag was stolen."

Many other similar miracles occurred through our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Books mention miracles like these about other Prophets, too. It is written in the fourteenth chapter of the second book of Kings of the Old Testament [and in the seventeenth chapter of the first book of Kings, beginning with the tenth verse] that some of these miracles occurred through Elijah 'alaihis-salam'. A similar miracle occurred through Isa 'alaihis-salam'; it is written in all the Gospels that he fed four or five thousand people with a few pieces of bread and fish. [Matthew, chapter 14, verse 15 and further on. Mark, chapter 6, verse 35 and further on.]

8 - The miracle of Salam and Shahadat-i-ashjar. When a nomad Arab asked Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' for a miracle, he (Rasulullah) summoned a tree by the road. The tree pulled up its roots and shuffled towards Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'; when it came in front of him it testified to his prophethood and then went back to its place.

And once a date tree also bore witness to the prophethood of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and resumed its place.

[There was a date-stump in the Masjid an-Nabi in the blessed city of Medina. Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' made his hutbas (speeches) leaning on the stump. When a minber (pulpit used in a mosque) was made for him, he stopped going to the Hannana. This date stump began to moan with the loss of Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. That is, a voice of crying was coming from the stump. All the assembly heard it. When our Master the Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' got down from the new minber and hugged Hannana, the voice stopped. He (Rasulullah) stated, (If I did not hug it, it would cry with the loss of me till the end of the world.)"

9 - The idols in the Kaba-i-muazzama fell face downwards when he made a signal with his blessed finger. There were three hundred and sixty idols (statues) erected in the Kaba. When the blessed city of Mecca was conquered and Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' entered Harem-i-Sharif, he pointed to them one by one with a date branch in his blessed hand and at the same time recited the eighty-first ayat of Isra sura, which purported: "When the right came, the wrong disappeared, it was gone." The idols fell on their faces. [Most of the idols were tightly fixed to the ground by lead and tin poured into holes made in the rock.]

10 - The miracles of Ihya-i-Mewta, redd-i-ayn and keshf-i-basar. One day a nomad Arab came to Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam.' Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' invited him to Islam. The nomad said that his neighbor's daughter had died, that he loved her very much, and

that he would become a Muslim if he (Rasulullah) resuscitated her. [Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said, "Show me the girl's grave." They went together to the grave]. When they were by the grave, Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' called the girl by her name. A voice said from the grave: "Yes, sir", and the girl came out of the grave. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' asked her, "would you like to come back to the world?" The girl answered, "No, o Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. I swear by the name of Allah that I feel more comfortable here than I did when I were in my parents' home. A Muslim will be better off in the next world than he is in this world. So I will not come back." Then she went back into her grave.

Jabir bin Abdullah 'radi-allahu anh' cooked a sheep. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan' ate it together. He said, "Do not break the bones." He put the bones together, put his blessed hands on them and prayed. Allahu ta'ala resuscitated the sheep. And the seep went away wagging its tail. [These and other miracles of our Prophet are written in detail in Mawahib-i-ledunniyya by Imam-i-Qastalani; in Shifa-i-Sharif by Qadi Iyad; in Hasais-un-nabi, by Imam-i-Suyuti; and in Shawahid-un-nubuwwa by Mawlana Abdurrahman Jami [16] 'rahmatullahi alaihim ajmain'].

In the Holy War of Uhud one of the eyes of Abu Qatada 'radi-allahu anh' came out and fell on his cheek. They took him to Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. He placed his eye in its socket with his blessed hand and said: "O my Allah! Make his eye beautiful!" This eye was now more beautiful and keener in sight than the other. [One of Abu Qatada's grandsons came to the caliph 'Umar bin Abd-ul-aziz. When he asked who he was, he recited a couplet saying that he was the grandson of the person whose eye Rasulullah had restored with his blessed hand. Upon hearing the couplet, the caliph respected him highly and gave him presents].

One day a man whose both eyes were blind came up and said: "O Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Pray for me so that my eyes will open." Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said to him: "Make a faultless ablution. Then say this prayer: O my Allah! I beg Thee. I ask of Thee through Thine beloved Prophet Muhammad 'alaihis-salam'. O my most beloved Prophet, hadrat Muhammad! I beg my Allah through you. I want Him to accept my prayer for your sake. Make this exalted Prophet my intercessor! Accept my prayer for his sake." This person made an ablution and said this prayer for the opening of his eyes. His eyes were immediately opened. [Muslims have always said this prayer and obtained their wishes].

There was an old man whose eyes had become too white to see clearly. When he (Rasulullah) breathed to his eyes with his blessed breath, his eyes immediately healed, so that he could sew for himself.

Iyas bin Seleme says: in the Holy War of Hayber Rasulullah sent me to call Ali 'radi-allahu anh'. Ali 'radi-allahu anh' had an eye sore. Holding his hand, I took him with difficulty. He (Rasulullah) spat on his blessed finger and put it on Ali's 'radi-allahu anh' eyes. Handing him the flag, he sent him to fight at the gate of Hayber. Hadrat Ali unhinged the door, which they had not been able to open for a long time, and the Ashab al-kiram entered the fortress. Ali 'radi-allahu anh' never had an eye sore again the rest of his life.

They brought him (Rasulullah) a child that was dumb and insane. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' made an ablution, and they made the child drink the remaining water. The child immediately healed, began to talk, and became sane.

Muhammad bin Hatib says: When I was a small boy boiling water was poured on me. My body was scalded. My father took me to Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. He put his spittle on the scalded parts with his blessed hands and prayed. The scalds immediately healed.

The inner part of Shurahbil-il-Ju'fi's 'radi-allahu anh' hand was swollen, and this case hindered him from holding his sword or the halter of his animal. He petitioned to Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Rasulallah massaged his palm with his blessed hand. He raised his hand, and there was not a sign of the swelling left.

Anas bin Malik 'radi-allahu anh' is reported to have related the following event: My mother said to Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam': "O Rasulallah! Anas is your servant. Ask a blessing on him." Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' supplicated: "O may Allah! Make his property plentiful and his children numerous. Make his lifetime long. Forgive him his sins." In the process of time there was an increase in his property. His trees and vines yielded fruits every year. He had more than a hundred children. He lived a hundred and ten years. [Towards the end of his life he said: O my Allah! You have accepted and given me three of the blessings which Your Most Beloved One asked for me! I wonder what will become of the fourth one, the forgiving of my sins? Upon this he heard a voice that said: "I have accepted the fourth one, too. Do not worry about it."]

He (Rasulallah) sent a letter of invitation to Islam to the Persian King, Husraw tore the letter to pieces and martyred the messenger. When the Messenger of Allah 'alaihi-salam' heard this he was very sorry, and prayed as follows: "O my Allah! Tear his sovereignty to pieces as he has torn my letter!" Rasulallah was still living when Husraw was stabbed to pieces by his son Shirawayh. During the caliphate of 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh', Muslims conquered all the Persian land, and Husraw's descendants and sovereignty perished completely.

[Esma binti Abu Bakr 'radi-allahu anh' stated: "Whenever we washed the blessed robe worn by Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', we gave the water left to ailing people, and they recovered."]

If the priestly author of the book Gada-ul-mulahazat meant some wonderful events that were seen on Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' as he was just a child and which have not been transmitted through sahih (technically acceptable) narrations, we might be silent. [For, one of the stipulations for a mujiza (miracle of a Prophet) is that it must happen after the Prophet has disclosed his prophethood. Isa 'alaihi-salam' spoke in the cradle; when he asked for dates from a dry tree, dates came into his hand; as Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was a child, his chest was incised and his heart was taken out, washed and purified; there was always a cloud over his blessed head for shade; stones and trees saluted him: these and other such extraordinary events, which happened before his prophethood was publicized, were not mujizas. They were karamats (miracles that happen on people that are loved by Allahu ta'ala and yet who are not prophets). They are called Irhas (beginnings). They are intended to confirm the prophethood. These miracles may happen on the Awliya (people loved by Allahu ta'ala), too. Prophets are never inferior to the Awliya, nor even before they are informed with their prophethood. Karamats are seen on them. The mujiza takes place a short time after the declaration of prophethood. For instance, if (the Prophet) says such and such an event will happen in a month and if the event does happen, it is a mujiza. But it is not necessary to believe his prophethood before the happening of the event. Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', showed thousands of mujizas after the declaration of his prophethood].

Some of his miracles of this kind, such as the pouring of water from his blessed fingers, the moaning of the date-stump in the mosque, the idols' falling down on the floor upon his beckoning, his curing the blind, his curing many kinds of illnesses, took place in the presence of thousands of Sahabis, were transmitted from generation to generation, were spread and heard everywhere, and their veracity was taken for certain. These miracles of Rasulallah's 'sall-allahu

alaihi wasallam' have reached the highest degree of tawatur. [Tawatur is a narrative that is told unanimously by those who are the most reliable people of their times and who can by no means agree on a lie, and which therefore forms a piece of absolute knowledge]. For instance, such facts as the bravery of Ali bin Abi Talib 'radi-allahu anh' and the generosity of Hatem-i-Tai have become widespread and known in the forms of tawatur; no one, therefore, could deny them. Christianity, on the other hand, has been founded on a narrative told by only one person, i.e. it is the personal account of either Matthew, or Mark, or Luke, or John. The pieces of information which they gave about themselves and the times they lived in teem with suppositions and doubts, and they mostly contradict one another. None of the four Gospels would be accepted as documentary knowledge if they were scrutinized according to the rules of the knowledge of Usul-i-hadith which the scholars of Hadith have laid as conditions to be fulfilled by every individual hadith ash-Sharif narrated for being accepted. [The conditions which Muslims observe in narrating Rasulullah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' hadiths are very stringent. Since there is no authenticity of narration in the existing Gospels, they cannot be compared with hadiths with respect to authenticity. Christian priests also have virtually admitted this fact by publishing a number of books proving that the Bible has been defiled by way of implantation, mutilation or miscopying]. As a matter of fact, if such miracles as curing the born blind, healing the skin disease called leprosy, and enlivening the dead, which occurred through Isa 'alaihi-salam', were not verified by Qur'an al-karim, no Christian would ever be able to prove that they actually occurred.

In an attempt to deny the miracles of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', priests put forward the ninetieth and ninety-first ayats of Isra sura as a proof, which purport:

"We shall not believe you unless you make a spring well up for us in this place [Mecca]. Or you should have date orchards and vineyards amidst which you make rivers flow, [said the inimical polytheists when they were thwarted by the eloquence and grandeur of Qur'an al-karim and the miracles that they saw clearly]." While this proof foils their own purpose, they still claim to prove that Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' did not show any miracles. And this, in its turn, is never compatible with reason or justice. [In fact, in the ayats that we mention and which thy (priest) offer as documents, the polytheists ask for more and more miracles because they have felt amazed, disqualified and incapacitated upon seeing the various miracles, especially that of Qur'an al-karim. This case reveals the priests' mendacity, let alone supporting their thesis]. It is so strange that while there is no certain or even dependable information as to the real authors or dates of the epistles appended to the four Gospels, and despite the apparent oddities and contradictions in the narratives written in the Biblical copies kept by Christians, they still accept each of their verses as a principle of creed. On the other hand, not even a single letter of Qur'an al-karim has been smeared with interpolation for twelve hundred [fourteen hundred] years; the zaif hadiths, and the fabled ones have been distinguished from one another by way of scientific and authentic documentation; each of the narratives in the Islamic religion has been proved through numerous evidences; and yet they (the priests mentioned above) insist on protesting the believers (of Qur'an al-karim).

[Those who wish to get informed on the miracles of

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'; we recommend that they read the (Turkish) book Herkese Lazim Olan Iman].

FOOTNOTES

[10] Ali Dara Kutni passed away in Baghdad in 385 [A.D. 995].

[11] Nasai Ahmad passed away in Ramleh in 303 [A.D. 915].

[12] The Andulusian Islamic State was founded in 139 [A.D. 756], and demolished in 898.

[13] [Bad'].

[14] Muhammad Qandihri passed away in 1284 [A.D. 1868].

[15] Ibn Sina Husain passed away in Hemedan in 428 [A.D. 1037].

[16] Molla Jami passed away in Hirat in 898 [A.D. 1492].

QUR'AN AL-KARIM and TODAY's GOSPELS

Protestants are trying to prove that the Biblical commands and injunctions are superior to the commands and injunctions of the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam' (Mosaic Laws) by comparing them from their own unilateral viewpoints. Then, attempting to test whether the commandments in Qur'an al-karim are superior to the Biblical commandments and injunctions, they say: "The value and the significance of any cause is proportional to the soundness and the convincing power of the evidences put forward [to prove the cause]. All the owners of wisdom have adapted their daily affairs to these rules. For instance, if an expert claims that he has invented a new weapon which is stronger and has a longer range than the old ones, a country that must improve her weaponry will not accept the weapon without testing it. The assertion that Islam is superior to Christianity is exactly like this. It is unreasonable, unwise to accept Islam blindly in haste without giving it a test on a weighing apparatus. Therefore, it is necessary to subject the commandments in Qur'an al-karim to accurate experimentation to see whether they are superior to and better than those declared in the Bible. If the result is that Qur'an al-karim is greater as has been presumed, it will be necessary to abandon the Bible and to embrace Qur'an al-karim."

ANSWER: If we knew that the person who wrote these statements wrote them with the sheer purpose of revealing the truth instead of carrying out the duty assigned to him by the Protestant missionary organization, we would thank him for his final words, which are rather reasonable. But, as everyone knows, and as he himself admits, we must warn him not to add any dissimulation to his real motive, which is to earn a living by working for the Protestant missionary society. Nevertheless, since the gauge he propounds is true, it is a pleasure for us to agree with him. Yet some ayats in Qur'an al-karim must be collated with their counterparts in the Bible in such a manner as their comparison will indicate the following evidences.

If we leave aside the episodes and statements in the four Gospels, their teachings on ethics, on worldly affairs [muamalat], on the knowledge pertaining to the heart and to next world consist in the following:

"Turning completely away from the world, being contented with poverty and destitution. Loving Allahu ta'ala with all your heart and more than your own life and wishes. Loving your neighbor as well as your own self and sympathizing with him in times of sorrow and trouble. Pitying the oppressed. Sympathizing with children. Repelling evil thoughts from the heart. Reconciling two estranged believers to each other. Putting up with troubles patiently for the sake of your faith. Not committing homicide. Not stealing. Not getting angry. Not saying bad words. Not uttering expletives or profanities. Being aware of your own faults, even if they are small, and ignoring others' faults, even if they are big; not blaming others. Being patient when you are pelted by others because you give them advice. Not defiling or changing the commandments of Allahu ta'ala; not hurting your brother in religion; not committing fornication; not looking at women

[except your spouse] lustfully; not divorcing your wife without any reason; not swearing; not standing against malice; when you are slapped on one cheek, offering your other cheek (to be slapped); when you are asked to give your shirt, giving your coat, too; uttering benedictions on people who utter maledictions on you; in short, doing favors to everyone who bears malice against you; avoiding hypocrisy in alm giving, fasting and praying; not praying too long; not saving money so much as to keep your heart busy with it; not worrying about your subsistence or clothing. Whatever you ask sincerely from Allahu ta'ala He will give you. He who obeys the commandments of Allahu ta'ala will enter Paradise." The Gospels contain the following pieces of advice, too: "Do not take money for teaching others their religious commandments. When you enter someone's place greet (people being there). Do not stay where you are not wanted. When teaching a commandment, (remember that) the commandment is given by Allahu ta'ala, not by you. Do not fear anyone when you teach the (religious) rules; do not try anyone or pass judgement on anyone. Forgive any fault and be modest. I have come to make peace among people; I have not brought faction or word; I have not come to make dissension or war. He who loves his parents more than me is not with me. In the next world good deeds will be rewarded and bad deeds will be punished with torment. He who obeys Allahu ta'ala is my brother. He who admits the true word upon hearing it shall be rewarded in the next world, and he who denies it shall be tormented. Be good to your parents. A person will not become foul or dirty with the dirty words he utters. But he will be dirty if he actually does the dirty acts he utters, i.e. if he kills someone or commits adultery or bears false witness. Do not refuse to give tax when you are asked to. He who is modest will be exalted Allahu ta'ala. The conceited one will be downgraded. Give alms from your property, and you will be paid back by Allahu ta'ala; entering Paradise will be difficult for those rich people who hoard property. We have come not to be served, but to serve.

All the commandments, prohibitions, and the rules of good and bad conduct in the Gospels consist in the matters written above. Qur'an al-karim, the highest, the most superior of the heavenly books sent down by Allahu ta'ala, also covers all the teachings in the Bible in the most immaculate style. If we were to collate all the commandments, prohibitions, and the rules pertaining to worldly matters and ethics with those in Qur'an al-karim, we would need to mention and explain only a minority of the rules in Qur'an al-karim. We will therefore exemplify just a few of them:

Qur'an al-karim, the highest, the most superior of the heavenly books sent down by Allahu ta'ala, also covers all the teachings in the Bible in the most immaculate style. If we were to collate all the commandments, prohibitions, and the rules pertaining to worldly matters and ethics with those in Qur'an al-karim, we would need to mention and explain only a minority of the rules in Qur'an al-karim. We will therefore exemplify just a few of them:

1 - It is written in the Gospel of Matthew: "Glad tidings be to those who are poor in soul. The heavenly domination belongs to them." [Matthew: 5-3. Here good news is given to those who do not esteem the world and it is stated that the world is worthless].

In Qur'an al-karim, on the other hand, this fact is expressed in the best and the most compendious style and in such a choice of vocabulary as will be understood by anyone:

The twentieth ayat of Hadid sura purports: "Be it known that worldly life is la'b, which means playing and lahwi, which means revel and adornment, that is, ornamentation and arrogance, that is boasting, and increasing (one's) property, money and progeny."

The thirty-second ayat of En'am sura purports: "Worldly life is playing and trivialities. For those who fear Allahu ta'ala the life to come is certainly auspicious. Why don't you realize this?"

The forty-sixth ayat of Kahf sura purports: "Property and children are the ornaments of worldly life. The rewards for those good deeds that are to survive eternally are more useful in your Rab's (Allah's) presence."

"It is purported in the thirty-ninth and fortieth ayats of Muminun sura: "O human beings! This worldly life consists in a life and utilization that ends rapidly. But the next world is the place where to stay eternally. A person who commits a sin shall be punished only with the equal (of his sin). Of men and women, any believer who does a good deed shall enter Paradise and shall be rewarded with sustenance beyond estimation there."

The twelfth ayat of Shu'ara sura purports: "The keys to heavens and to earth [to the treasures of rain] belongs to Allahu ta'ala. He gives sustenance scantily to some and abundantly to others, as He wills. For He knows best what will be useful for His born servants (men) [whether to give little or plenty]."

The thirty-sixth ayat of Shura sura purports: "What has been given to you of property and of the world is (intended for you) to utilize it only as long as you live. For those who have iman and who put their trust in Allahu ta'ala there are rewards that are more useful and everlasting in the presence of Allahu ta'ala in the next world." Besides these ayats and many other similar ayats stating that the world is evil, there are quite a number of hadith ash-Sharifs uttered by our Prophet, Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'. [The (Arabic) words dunya (world) and adna, which are written in (the original versions of) the ayats that we have quoted above and the hadiths that we shall quote below, mean harmful, evil things. In other words, Qur'an al-karim and hadith-i-Sharifs (the blessed utterances of our Prophet, Muhammad 'alaihi-salam') prohibit from harmful and evil things. People who have aql-i-Salim (real common sense) recognize harmful and evil things. People with imperfect wisdom, especially if they are short-sighted, cannot distinguish harmful and evil things from useful and good ones. They confuse them with one another. Allahu ta'ala and His Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', being extremely merciful upon human beings, have also explained what the world they have prohibited from is, that is, they have stated clearly what the harmful and evil things are. Accordingly, world (dunya) means things that are prohibited by Allahu ta'ala and which are said to be makruh by our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. As it is seen, those worldly matters that are not prohibited by Allahu ta'ala, and some of which are even commanded by Him, are different from the world that is harmful and evil. Hence, it is not worldly to work and earn as much as you can, to learn and utilize science, medicine, arithmetics, geometry, architecture, means of war and, in short, to make and earn all sorts of means of civilization that will provide ease, peace and happiness for mankind. It is a worship to make and use all these things in manners, ways and conditions prescribed by Allahu ta'ala. Allahu ta'ala likes Muslims who do so. He will give them endless blessings and felicities in the hereafter]. The following are some of the hadiths (mentioned above):

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' states in a hadith ash-Sharif, which is narrated by Abdullah Ibn 'Umar 'radi-allahu anh': "If a person is given a small worldly thing [which is more than he needs], he will lose some of his esteem in front of Allahu ta'ala, even if he is a valuable person according to Allahu ta'ala."

Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "Setting one's heart to the world is the origin of all sins."

Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' invokes in a hadith ash-Sharif, which is narrated by Abu Huraira 'radi-allahu anh': "Ya Rabbi (O my Allah) Send the subsistence of Muhammad's household as much as will suffice for them."

Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "Be like a destitute person or a wayfarer in the world; consider yourself dead."

There are other hadith ash-Sharifs, as follows:

"The fortunate is the person who has forsaken the world, that is, dismissed its love out of his heart, before the world has forsaken him."

"If a person wishes the next world and works for the next world, Allahu ta'ala makes this world his servant."

"If a person believes that the next world is eternal, it will be extremely consternating if he sets his heart to this world."

"The world has been created for you, and you have been created for the next world! In the next world there is Paradise and Hell fire, and no other place."

"Curse the person who worships money and food!"

"I am not anxious about your becoming poor. But I fear that, as was the case with your predecessors, taking possession of plenty of the world, you will disobey Allahu ta'ala and become hostile to one another."

"The damage of greed for wealth and fame to a person is more than the harm of two wolves attacking a flock of sheep."

"Do not be inclined to the world so that Allahu ta'ala will love you. Do not envy others' property so that people will love you."

"Life in this world is like a bridge to be crossed. Do not try to adorn this bridge. Cross it fast and go on your way!"

"Work for this world as much as is necessary for your stay here; and work for the next world as much as will be necessary for your stay there!"

Beside those ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs which prohibit from setting the heart on the world and advise sparing more energy for the hereafter, the Islamic religion contains numbers of commandments, ayat-i- karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs promoting knowledge, science, techniques, sculpture, arts and commerce and encouraging to work for them. For the salvation and welfare of a civilized society or nation is not possible in poverty. On the contrary, wealth is indispensable for establishing institutions of charity, public kitchens, schools, madrasas, cookhouses, hospitals, for helping the disabled, the poor and the destitute, [and for serving humanity by making fountains and bridges and founding factories]. As a matter of fact, the twenty-ninth ayat of the Nisa sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "O believers! Do not spend your wealth on corruptions [such as interest and gambling, which are forbidden by Islam]. It should be done only by mutual consent [by trade]."

The two hundred and seventy-fifth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala has permitted buying and selling and prohibited riba [interest]."

The fourteenth and the fifteenth ayats of Al-i-'imran sura purport, "Sensuous desires and loves, such as inclination towards women, weighty golds and silvers, most beautiful horses, sheep, [cattle and camels], and corps, have been adorned for mankind. These things are the transient advantages of worldly life; man's final destination, on the other hand, is the presence of Allahu ta'ala. O My Messenger, say to believers: Shall I inform you on what is better than these worldly ornamentations? For those who refrain from those worldly ornamentations, there are Gardens containing trees with streams flowing below [in front of] them, in the presence of their Rab (Allahu ta'ala). They shall stay there eternally. Therein are immaculate and extremely clean wives, and the love of Allahu ta'ala, which is the greatest blessing. Allahu ta'ala sees perfectly how His born servants are and what they are doing."

The eleventh ayat of Naba' sura purports: "We have made the day the time for earning [so that you may earn your living during the day]."

The tenth ayat of Araf sura purports: "We have placed you on the earth and prepared very many means of living for you there. [We have created sustenance necessary for you to live by agriculture, trade and working]. You pay little gratitude for the blessings you are given."

Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "The best, the most auspicious food a person eats is that which he earns with his wrist. Dawud 'alaihi-salam', who was a Prophet of Allahu ta'ala, ate what he earned with his hands."

"For a devout person who spends (his property) for beneficial purposes, property earned through halal (through means prescribed to be legal by Islam) is so beautiful."

"The righteous merchant shall be included in the assembly of Siddiqs and martyrs on the Day of Judgement."

"For those who make things easy in selling and buying, Allahu ta'ala will make things easy in whatever they do."

And another: "May Allahu ta'ala have mercy upon those who make things easy in selling and buying."

One early morning, Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was talking to his Ashab 'Companions), when a robust youngster walked by and made for his shop. Some of the company said he might as well join them and learn a few things instead of going to work so early in order to earn what is worldly. Upon this Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "Do not say so! If he is going lest he, his parents and household be in need, each of his steps is worship. If his purpose is to assume an arrogant air to others or to live in luxury, he is with the Shaitan (Satan)." Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "If a Muslim earns through halal and does not need anyone's help and helps his neighbors and relations, on the Day of Judgement he will be as luminous as the full moon."

[It is declared in (other) hadith ash-Sharifs: "Allahu ta'ala likes a skilled believer." and "The most halal(legal) things is an artisan's earning." and "Do trade! Nine-tenths of (your) sustenance are in trade." and "If a person makes himself so poor as to beg others for alms, Allahu ta'ala will inflict seventy kinds of needs upon him."

It is declared in other hadith ash-Sharifs: "Those who suffer hardships for earning through halal deserve Paradise." and "After performing the daily five prayers of namaz, it is incumbent on each Muslim to work and earn through halal." and "The best trade is drapery, selling textile fabrics. The best handicraft is that of a tailor."]

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' has commanded and promoted craftsmanship and trade, and lots of ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs have explained in full detail the prohibitions and the permissions in trade together with the reasons for each of them.

In the Bible, on the other hand, trade or working for the world is never permitted; on the contrary, you are commanded to sell whatever you have and donate the earnings as alms.

2 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for those who are sad and grieved! For they shall be consoled." [Matthew: 5-4]

As for Qur'an al-karim; there are numerous ayat al-karimas that were revealed to explain the rewards that will be given to those who put up with the distress that befell them. For example:

The hundred and fifty-fifth, the hundred and fifty- sixth and the hundred and fifty-seventh ayats of Baqara sura purport: "O believers! I test you with [a little] fear [of the enemy in the Holy War], with hunger [caused by fasting or famine], with insufficient property [caused by catastrophes and damages], with lack of health [because of illness or weakness], with deficiency in your crops, [in your fruits or in your children, which are like fruits; which may have been caused by celestial or terrestrial catastrophes]. O May Beloved One; give the good news [of May

blessings and kindnesses] to those who are patient. They are the kind of people that meet a calamity befalling them with submission and contentment and say: We are the born servants and creatures of Allahu ta'ala and [after death] we shall return to Him. There is forgiveness and compassion [and Paradise] from their Rab (Allah) on those who submit and commit themselves to their Rab and they have attained salvation."

3 - Again, it is written in the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for those who are meek [soft]. For they shall attain the eternal inheritance." [Matthew: 5-5]

The hundred and thirty-fourth ayat of the Al-i-'imran sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "Those who overcome their wrath forgive people's faults. Allahu ta'ala loves those who show kindness."

[The fortieth ayat of Shura sura purports: "He who forgives and makes peace with a person who has been cruel to him shall be rewarded by Allahu ta'ala." The forty-third ayat purports: "If a person is patient and forgives his oppressor, Allahu ta'ala will give him a great reward."]

The hundred and fifty-ninth ayat of Al-i-'imran sura bears the following meaning: "Your treating your companions gently and mildly is a kindness and mercy which Allahu ta'ala has bestowed upon you. If you were bad tempered and treated them harshly, people around you would disperse."

Our master Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' would say this prayer: "O my Rab (Allah)! Make me rich with knowledge, adorn me with hilm [mildness], bless me with taqwa, and beautify me with health." [We shall quote some hadith ash-Sharifs about mildness below].

4 - Again, it is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for the merciful, for they shall be mercied." [Matthew: 5-7]

[There are many ayats about mercy, compassion and tenderness] in Qur'an al-karim. The hundred and twenty-eighth ayat of Tawba sura purports: "O human beings! A Prophet has arisen from among you; your sins and foul deeds hurt Hilm; He is very affectionate over you; He is very merciful over believers and asks blessings on them."

[Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "Allahu ta'ala is suave. He likes blandness. He gives to the soft-mannered believer as He has not given to the rough-mannered or to anyone else."]

It is stated in hadith ash-Sharifs: "He who does not behave gently is not beneficent." and "A believer who has been endowed with softness has been gifted with the goodnesses of this world and the world to come." and "I am pronouncing the person who is forbidden from Hell and whom Hell is forbidden to burn. Pay attention! This person is the believer who makes things easy for people and shows them affability."

Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "If a person is able to do anything when he gets angry and yet does not get angry, Allahu ta'ala will call him among all other people. He will say unto him: Go to the houri you like." Another hadith ash-Sharif: "As aloes will decompose honey, so anger will spoil iman."

When a person asked Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' for a piece of advice, He stated: "Don't get angry [don't get nervous!]." When he repeated his question several times, He (Rasulallah) gave the same answer, "Don't get angry!"

It is written in Qur'an al-karim that Ashab al-kiram loved one another and were kind and compassionate to one another. The final ayat of Fateh sura purports: "Muhammad' sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' is the Messenger of Allahu ta'ala. His companions [Ashab al-kiram] are very severe against unbelievers and yet very merciful [very compassionate] towards one another."

Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated in a hadith ash-Sharif: "He who does not respect our elders and is not compassionate to our youngsters is not one of us."

5 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for the pure hearted. They shall see Allahu ta'ala. [Matthew: 5-8).

[Many ayats in Qur'an al-karim and very many hadith ash-Sharifs of our Prophet's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' command beautiful moral qualities and being pure hearted. Islam assigns great emphasis to purity of heart.]

The eighty-eighth and the ninetieth ayats of the Shu'ara sura of Qur'an al-karim purport: "On the Day of Judgement no one will get any use from his property or children. Those who come to Allahu ta'ala with a pure and faultless heart are excepted. [They shall attain blessings]."

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "Pay attention. I am informing (you)! There is a piece of flesh in man's body. If it is good all the (other) limbs are good. If it is evil all the (other) limbs are evil. This piece of flesh is the heart." [This piece of flesh is the home of an essence that is called the heart and which cannot be seen or perceived through the sense organs. Purity of this piece of flesh means purity of the heart. This piece of flesh has been metaphorically called the heart, too].

6 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for those who make peace and conciliate people. They shall be summoned: You who are the beloved servants of Allah." [Matthew: 5-9]

Qur'an al-karim declares in the tenth ayat of Hujurat sura: "All believers are brothers. When there is disagreement between them conciliate them, and fear Allahu ta'ala so that you will be mercied."

The hundred and fourteenth ayat of the Nisa sura purports: "Their secret deeds will do no good. Yet the believer who advises giving alms, doing good and reconciling (other) people from hostility is exempted. Whoever performs these deeds in quest of the approval of Allahu ta'ala, We shall give him a great reward in the world to come."

The fortieth ayat of Shura sura purports: "The retribution for malefaction is the same amount of injury (caused by the malefactor). If a person forgives (the malefactor for his) injury and makes peace [with his adversary], his reward is up to Allahu ta'ala."

7 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for those who are persecuted on account of their goodness. They belong in the spiritual dominion (of Allah). How lucky of you when they treat you inimically, tyrannize, slander and abuse you for me. Be happy. For there is abundant compensation for you in the heavens. First of all, polytheists did the same persecution to Prophets "alaihimus-salam'." [Matthew: 5-10, 11]

Qur'an al-karim contains many ayat al-karimas that were revealed (to inform) on the kinds of patience and the reward for each of them. The hundred and seventy-seventh ayat of Baqara sura purports: "It is not benediction or piety to turn your face to the east or west. The (real) benediction and piety is to believe (the existence and oneness of) Allahu ta'ala, the hereafter, the angels, the (heavenly) Books revealed by Allahu ta'ala, and Prophets; and to give your property to your poor relations, to poor orphans, to the needy, to stranded travelers [and guests], to poor people who ask for it, to the slaves called mukatab [those slaves who have made a contract with their owner and will be free when they pay a certain amount of money], and to captives [in order to set them free], willingly and for [the sake of] Allahu ta'ala; and to perform (the daily prayers of) namaz correctly, and to give the alms called zakat, and to keep your promises in contracts, and to be patient in times of poverty, destitution and straits and in Holy War; and to be loyal to those who have these qualities. Such people are the Muslims with taqwa."

The two hundredth ayat of Al-i-i-'imran sura purports: "O believers! Be patient [with the persecutions of the enemies of religion]. Race your patience against that of your enemies in order

to beat them in Holy War. Keep guard along the borders (of your country) in order to perform jihad (Holy War) against disbelievers, and fear Allahu ta'ala so that you attain salah salvation]."

The ninety-sixth ayat of Nahl sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala will certainly give the rewards of those who are patient, (and these will be) more than what they deserve, (both) in amount and in beauty."

The tenth ayat of Zumar sura purports: "believers who are patient shall attain countless rewards [on the day of Judgement]." The hundred and fifty-third ayat of Baqara sura purports: "O believers! Ask for help from Allahu ta'ala by patience and salat [namaz]. Certainly [the help of] Allahu ta'ala is with patient believers."

The twenty-second ayat of Rad sura purports: "They are the people who are patient in order to attain the approval of Allahu ta'ala. They perform their (daily prayers of) namaz correctly. They give alms secretly and overtly from the sustenance We have given them. They do favors to those who have wronged them. There is felicity and comfort for those believers [in return for their deeds] in the hereafter."

Allahu ta'ala declared in a hadith-i-qudsi: "O sons of Adam! If a person does not approve My qadha (fate), does not endure the misfortunes coming from Me with patience, does not thank for the blessings I have given, is not contented with the worldly blessings I have bestowed, let him look for another Rab (Allah), O the son of Adam! If a person endures My pestering (him) with patience, he has approved Me, that is, he has accepted Me as (his) Rab."

8 - The Gospel of Matthew states about justice: "I say unto there: if your justice does not excel the justice of the scribes and the pharisees, you cannot enter the celestial spiritual domain (of Allah)." [Matthew: 5-20]

Qur'an al-karim contains very many ayats about justice, too.

[The lexical meaning of justice is allocation of something to its right place. There are two definitions of justice. Firstly, "justice is to act within the laws, regulations and limits which a ruler or a sovereign has put and prescribed in order to govern the country. And injustice is to trespass the circle drawn by these laws." The more realistic definition of justice is "to use one's own property." Accordingly, injustice is transgression of someone else's property. Allahu ta'ala, who has created (all) the worlds, is the supreme sovereign of all (other) sovereigns, the real owner, the one and only one Creator of all. Allahu ta'ala is the absolute owner of justice. For He does everything within His property. For this reason, the final and the most perfect religion He has sent upon mankind consists in immaculate justice. And what is beyond this justice is injustice.

Qur'an al-karim not only commands justice, but also prohibits injustice, which is the opposite of justice. There are many ayats pertaining to this. In fact, a person is even prohibited from doing injustice to himself.]

The fifty-eighth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "When you act as a judge between people, Allahu ta'ala commands that you judge with justice."

The ninetieth ayat of Nahl sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala commands you to act with justice, to do kindness [17], and to give (alms) to your relations [who are in need]. He prohibits you from obscenity [from fornication], from munkar [from wrongdoing], and from injustice."

[Doing kindness, according to our Prophet's 'sall- allahu alaihi wasallam' definition for this occasion, is "To worship Allahu ta'ala in such a manner as if you saw Him. He sees you though you do not see Him." Doing kindness is first abstaining from the haram (the forbidden acts and then doing the fardh (the commandments)].

The eighth ayat of Maida sura purports: "O believers! Be judges who keep justice upright and people who bear witness with justice in your deeds and words for Allah's sake. The grudge you feel against your adversaries should not lead you to injustice, (thus making you responsible. That is, do justice even to your enemies). Do justice [to your friends and enemies alike], and this is very close to taqwa. Fear Allahu ta'ala. For Allahu ta'ala is aware of your deeds [whatever you do]."

The thirty-first ayat of Insan sura conveys the following meaning about those who do injustice: "Allahu ta'ala has prepared painful, bitter torment for the cruel." The subject of justice and injustice in Qur'an al-karim is not briefly explained, as it is in the Bible. It is explained in detail in Qur'an al-karim and through hadith ash-Sharifs. It would therefore take a huge book to recount all the examples.

9 - What is told from the twenty-first verse through the twenty-seventh verse of the Gospel of Matthew consists in: "Do not hurt your brother, stop what you are doing (for yourself) and help him when he needs (you), be friendly with him even if he is your enemy; in short, always have beautiful morals, behave gently and do good."

The thirty-sixth ayat of Nisa sura contains all these things, and even more. The ayat purports: "Worship Allahu ta'ala. Do not attribute any partner to Him. Do kindness to your parents [by words and actions], to your relations [by sila-i-rahim, by visiting them], to orphan [by pleasing them], to the poor [by alms], to your neighbors who are (at the same time) your relations [by mercy and compassion], to your neighbors [by doing them favors and protecting them against harams], to your friends and acquaintances [by observing their rights and by being affectionate towards them], to your guests and visitors [by offering them food and drink and by facilitating their ablution and praying], to your slaves and jariyas [by clothing them and treating them gently]. Certainly Allahu ta'ala does not like those who assume an arrogant air and boast instead of doing kindness [to creatures]."

The thirty-fourth ayat of Fussilat sura purports: "Virtue and vice are not equal [in rewarding and punishment], Dismiss vice in the most beautiful manner. [That is, dismiss your indignancy with patience, and vice with forgiveness. If you do this], you will see that your enemy has become like a close friend."

The eighth ayat of Mumtahina sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala does not prohibit you from being kind and doing good, or from doing justice, to those who do not fight you on account of (your) faith and who do not evict you from your land. Certainly Allahu ta'ala loves those believers who do good and justice."

Ubada bin Samit 'radi-allahu anh' stated: Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said to the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan': "Shall I inform you on things that will make you distinguished in the opinion of Allahu ta'ala?" When the Ashab al-kiram said, "Yes, o Rasulullah", He 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "If you want to be distinguished in the opinion of Allahu ta'ala and attain high grades, behave gently towards a person who gets angry with you. Forgive a person who has been cruel to you. Visit also those who do not visit you."

Abu Huraira 'radi-allahu anh' narrates: Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' asked the Ashab al-kiram 'alahimur-ridwan': "Shall I teach you a few words [give you some advice]? Who among you will act upon it and learn it?" When Abu Huraira 'radi-allahu anh' said, "I will, o Rasulullah", Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' held him by the hand and stated: "Refrain from things made haram [forbidden] by Allahu ta'ala, and you will become the best worshipper among men. Be contented with what Allahu ta'ala has given to you [however little it may be], and you will become the richest of those people [to whom Allahu ta'ala has given richness of the

heart]. Be kind to your neighbor and help him [both in your heart and actually], and you will become a mature believer. If you desire something for yourself, desire it for all others, and you will become a [perfect] Muslim."

10 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "Fornication is forbidden, and looking lustfully at a woman other than your spouse is fornication, too." [Matthew: 5-27, 28]

[Qur'an al-karim not only prohibits fornication definitely but also prohibits everything that may cause fornication. For instance, it is forbidden to look lustfully at a woman who is not your wife, and also women are forbidden to look at other men. In addition it is forbidden to stay together with a na-mahram woman in private, to listen to a na-mahram woman's voice, and to talk to na-mahram women without any good reason or in a charming manner. Capacity of our book is not convenient to include (all) the commandments of Allahu ta'ala and the hadith ash-Sharifs of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in this respect. We shall write some examples, however].

The thirty-second ayat of Isra sura purports: "Do not approach adultery. For adultery is a very loathsome deed and an extremely atrocious way."

[The sixty-eighth ayat of Furqan sura purports: "They [believers] do not worship anyone other than Allahu ta'ala, they do not unjustly kill the self forbidden by Allahu ta'ala (they do not commit murder), they do not commit adultery."]

It is noteworthy that the Sharia of Musa 'alaihis-salam' has forbidden fornication clearly by stating, "Do not commit fornication"; and the Sharia of Isa 'alaihis-salam' has not only forbidden fornication but also stated that it is fornication also to look lustfully.

As for Islam, the most superior and the most perfect religion; it has prohibited from approaching fornication, thus covering both (of the two previous religions) in the most comprehensive way. For when you are prohibited from approaching, you are naturally prohibited from the act of fornication and from looking. Another ayat al-karima gives good news to those who refrain and keep away from fornication. This ayat al-karima, namely the thirty-fifth ayat of Ahzab sura, comprehends five to ten verses of the Bible. The ayat al-karima conveys the following meaning: "Men and women who obey the decree [commandment] of Allahu ta'ala; believing men and believing women; men and women who are steady in their worships; faithful men and faithful women [in their actions and promises]; patient men and patient women; men and women who fear Allah; men who give alms and women who give alms; fasting men and fasting women; men and women who protect themselves from fornication; men and women who mention Allahu ta'ala very much; Allahu ta'ala has prepared forgiveness and a great reward for them."

[The thirtieth ayat of Nur sura purports: "O My Messenger 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'! Tell believers not to look at haram and to protect their awrat parts from haram! And tell those women who have iman (who believe) not to look at haram and to protect their awrat parts from haram!"]

The following hadith ash-Sharifs would suffice to show that it is like fornication and forbidden to look at na-mahram women with lust: "May Allahu ta'ala curse those who commit fornication with both eyes" and "A man who looks lustfully and the woman who makes (him) look!"

[Abu Said-i-Hudri 'radi-allahu anh' narrates that Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "A man should not look at (another) man's awrat parts, and a woman (should not look) at (another) woman's (awrat parts)!"]

Aqaba bin Amir narrates: Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "Do not stay alone with a na-mahram woman in a room!"

'Umar ul-Faruq 'radi-allahu anh' narrates: Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "If a man stays together in private with a na-mahram woman, the third person in their company will be Shaitan (Satan)."

Burayda 'radi-allahu anh' narrates: Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said to hadrat Ali: "O Ali! If you see a woman turn your face away from her. Do not look at her again! It is not sinful to see (a woman) unexpectedly, but it is a sin to look at her again."

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "May Allahu ta'ala curse him (or her) who opens his (or her) awrat parts or who looks at someone else's awrat parts."

He declared in another hadith ash-Sharif: "A person who commits fornication is like one who worships idols."

The punishment of hadd (the lash) for fornication is explained clearly in Qur'an al-karim. [The second ayat of Nur sura purports: "Flog each of the adulteress and the adulterer a hundred times [if they are single]. If you have iman in Allahu ta'ala and in the hereafter, do not have mercy on them in carrying out the commandments of Allahu ta'ala."

In the act of fornication, which has to be proven by four eyewitnesses or by the confession of the delinquents themselves, the punishment to be given to a married male or female Muslim for this abominable offense is stoning to death in an open space of ground. This is called Rejm. This punishment is for spreading this ugly deed. This punishment is intended to deter from adultery. This punishment is for jeopardizing a nation and its State. Adultery is nuisance that will destroy and annihilate nations and states. Considering the damage of being a dishonest man's wife, the (probable) damage that the wife also may lose her chastity, the damage that will be given to the husband of the woman with whom the husband has entered into relations, if she is married, the damage that will be caused to the wife of the man with whom the wife may venture into relations, if he is married, the damage of the children that will be destroyed and the healths that will be endangered during all these events, we cannot consider, the penalty given by Islam to those who commit adultery to be too much or unjust. For such pestilences as syphilis and gonorrhoea, [and especially the recent years' fearful, fatal and incurable disease, namely AIDS], which are the results of illegitimate relations, have been threatening the whole world. Isa 'alaihis-salam', who is called Son of God by Christians (may Allahu ta'ala protect us against this belief), prohibited from fornication; yet the parts of the world where fornication is most widespread today are Christian countries.

It is reported in the 11 March 1987 issue of (the daily Turkish) newspaper TURKIYE: "In America, events of AIDS disease have been seen among some members and monks of the Catholic church. Newspapers such as National Catholic Reporter and New York Times have reported that at least twelve priests died of AIDS." AIDS is a fatal and epidemic disease, which appeared in 1980. It has been found out that the disease originates from those who practice the abominable act of the people of Lut (people of Sodom and Gomorrah) and from prostitutes, and spreads rapidly. Its spreading among priests divulges the fact that they have taken to dishonest, shameless practices. It is reported that today great numbers of men, women and girls have desisted from going to church and confessing their sins lest they should catch this disease. The fact that this fatal, infectious and terrifying nuisance has never been seen in Islamic countries or among Muslims is a strong document distinguishing between the right and the wrong. We should not believe those lewd egoists who try to deceive Muslim children by giving such names as modernism and fashion to the immoral and shameless practices of Europeans and Americans. Today research on the treatment of AIDS carried out by spending billions of dollars from state budgets prove fruitless. Fornication is so widespread in America and in England that there are projects to open birth clinics within university campuses for university students. AIDS has become such a nightmare for humanity that tourists from Christian Europe can leave their country only after taking a medical certificate proving that they do not bear AIDS. Please note

the greatness of the hikmat of Allahu ta'ala: He has sent the worst, the most dangerous diseases upon practices without Islam. The children lost in these illegitimate practices should not be considered as children not born. They are killed, murdered children. Islam's command in this respect is very subtle. The command of Rejm, stoning the married adulterer (or adulteress) to death, is the penalty for an intercourse which will bring about an illegitimate child deprived of its right of family and honor as a human being.

We will quote a few more hadith ash-Sharifs which prohibit from doing things that will cause fornication:

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "If a person looks at a na-mahram woman with lust, his eyes shall be filled with fire, and he shall be thrown into Hell. If a person shakes hands with a na-mahram woman, his hands shall be tied to his neck and he shall be put into Hell. Those who talk to na-mahram women lustfully without any good reason to do so shall stay in Hell for a thousand years for each word."

He 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared in another hadith ash-Sharif: "If a person sees a na-mahram girl and turns his head away from her out of his fear of the torment of Allahu ta'ala, Allahu ta'ala will make him enjoy the taste of his worships." Like in every respect, Islam has made the best and the most correct judgement in this respect, too. How lucky for those who read the books of Islamic 'Ulama and who adapt themselves to those great religious men].

WARNING: In the existing copies of the Bible, all the laws of the Taurah (Pentateuch) were abrogated, and only the prohibition of adultery remained. Because the Bible did not declare a certain punishment for those who committed fornication, Christians looked on the prohibition of fornication as an abrogated law; this fact is known by those who are informed on the facts about Europeans. Although Isa 'alaihis-salam' declared clearly that looking (at na-mahram women) with lust is the same as fornication. Christians have not covered their women, [but left them open so that others may look at them with lust. It is haram (forbidden) to do things that will cause haram (forbidden act). Women's showing themselves to men without covering themselves, by ornamenting themselves or putting on perfumes cause men to look at them with lust. Then, today's existing Gospels command Christian women to cover themselves. It is for this reason that girls and nuns in all churches and monasteries cover themselves like Muslim women]. But now priests have allowed women to dance tightly with youngsters they like, let alone sitting together with na-mahram men. Therefore, every Christian may be said to be an adulterer or adulteress according to the statement of Isa 'alaihis- salam'. Yet if they answer that "those are ignorant people, uneducated Christians. Advice has no effect on them. Christian men of religion and priests are displeased with these habits of women"; then why do they not prevent men and women from coming together in churches, wearing all sorts of ornamentations and flirting with one another under the name of worship? Furthermore, when hearing confession, young priests and young women with bare faces sit together in private, knee to knee, the women recounting the sins they have committed and the priests listening to them; and when leaving church young lads offer holy water to young women; such things show that no priest, let alone ignorant common Christians, can escape fornication of the eyes.

These explanations clarify the fact that priests, who have legitimized many a deed that was forbidden by all heavenly books [by all heavenly religions] by their personal interpretation afterwards, should have legitimized fornication likewise. In Islam, on the other hand, a woman is prohibited from showing herself to na-mahram men, with the exception of her face and hands, and from staying with them in private. Those women who obey the commandment of Allahu ta'ala shall be under the divine protection of Allahu ta'ala in this world. [And in the world to

come they shall attain the countless blessings of Jannat-i-ala (the noble place called Paradise). Thus Muslims women are in peace and comfort in the world and shall be given many a blessing in the hereafter]. They are not subjected to the humiliation of being lascivious men's objects of pleasure like European women.

[No other religion, no other system of belief, no other cult, no other doctrine has given the woman the value as has been endowed by Islam. Islam has crowned the woman by honoring her as the mother and the sultan of the home. Europeans, who claim to be civilized, employ women in factories, offices, workshops and stores, thus depriving them of their real office of duty.

In Islam, the woman does not have to work within or without the house or to earn money. If she is married, her husband, if she is not married, her father, and if she does not have father, her closest relative, has to work and meet all her needs. If a woman does not have anyone to take care of her, the house of treasure of the (Islamic) State, which is called Bayt ul-mal, has to subsist her and meet all her needs. In Islam the burden of earning has not been divided between man and woman. A man cannot force his wife to work in the field, in a factory or any other place. If a woman wishes she can, with the permission of her husband, work at places offering work for women without getting mixed with men. But the woman's earnings belong to herself. Her husband cannot seize anything from her forcibly. He cannot even force her to buy what she needs. Nor can he compel her to do housework. A woman does housework as a gift, kindness to her husband. Each of such things is a virtue, an honorable property possessed by Muslim women. In communist countries today women are forcibly employed with men in the heaviest works in return for food, like animals. In the so-called world, i.e. Christian countries, being told that "life is common", women are made to work in factories, fields and commercial businesses like men, and they live in grievances. As it is seen very frequently in daily newspapers, most of them regret having married and law courts teem with divorce suits. If women knew the value, the comfort and peace, the freedom, the right of divorce that Islam recognized for them, women all over the world would become Muslims and endeavor to spread Islam in every country. Islam's giving women very many rights and protecting them against being slaves or playthings in men's hands shows that Allahu ta'ala values women greatly].

After all that has been said so far, we request people with wisdom and reason to say for the sake of Allah which one is compatible with heavenly books and with the proprieties and necessities of humanity; Christianity or Islam?

11 - It is written in the Bible: "It has been said that if a person divorces his wife he should give her a written declaration of divorce. But I tell you this: if a person divorces (his wife) for any reason other than adultery he will have made her an adulteress. And if a person marries a divorced woman he will become an adulterer." [Matthew: 5-31-32]

We shall give detailed information on Christians' criticisms of divorce as prescribed by Islam and their answers in the section sub-titled DIVORCE. Yet we shall direct a few questions to all Christians for the time being:

a) Since it was declared by Isa 'alaihi-salam' that looking (at a na-mahram woman) with lust is the same as committing adultery according to the twenty-eighth verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, which we have quoted earlier; when the reason of adultery takes place it becomes necessary to divorce (the wife) according to, again, the thirty-second verse of the fifth chapter of Matthew. Because there is no question of na-mahram men and women's not seeing one another among Christians today, it has become a daily matter-of-fact event for any Christian woman to see any young man she likes, and vice versa, in public or secretly; Then do Christians manage to shun the looking which is (said to be) fornication?

b) As is written in European histories, (some) European kings divorced their wives [and some of them even married a number of women] though their wives mostly did not commit adultery. Why did priests allow those kings to divorce their wives despite the limitless authority they had?

c) Divorce is written and valid in today's European laws, which recognize other reasons for divorce in addition to fornication, such as exceeding incompatibility and anger, and even agreement between the woman and man (to divorce); and yet they cannot divorce. In the divorce actuated by the husband, by keeping his new woman in his house or by the agreement of husband and wife, the husband and wife can establish a new marriage with someone else only three years later. Yet in the divorce caused by the guilt of adultery it is possible to marry someone else only after at least men months has elapsed. These are some of the articles of European laws. Now, what has become of the Biblical statement: "Divorce the adulteress at once"?

12 - It is written in the Bible: "You have heard that your predecessors were told: Do not swear falsely; you will pay your swearing to Rab (Allah). But I tell you this: Never swear; neither by the heaven, for it is the throne of Allah; nor by the earth, for it is the foothold of Allah; nor by Jerusalem, for it is the city of the Archangel. Do not swear 'by your head', either. For you cannot even make a hair white or black. Let your only word be 'yes, yes', or 'no, no.' For what is more than this is vile." (Matthew: 5-33 and onwards)

As is understood from these verses of the Gospel of Matthew, it is an absolute commandment not to swear at all. Since it would be unreasonable and incompatible with the Hikmat (Divine Wisdom) to annihilate altogether such a means of security, which is one of the greatest media of social dealings, this (verse) is presumably one of the interpolations in the Bible. Like in the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam', there is swearing in Islam. There are three sorts of swearing in Islam:

a) Yamin-i-Ghamus: To swear falsely for something in the past although you know (that is not true). It is one of the gravest sins. Kaffarat is not necessary for this type of swearing. [It is necessary to repent at once and say istighfar (beg Allahu ta'ala for forgiveness)].

b) Yamin-i-Laghw: To swear by mistake thinking that you did something (in the past, though you did not do it). When it becomes clear that you did not do it, the swear lapses. [That is, it is not a sin, nor is it necessary to do kaffarat].

c) Yamin-i-Mun'aqida: To swear falsely to do or not to do something in the future. If a person promises to do something the next day and swears "by the name of Allah" and then does not abide by his promise by doing it, he becomes Hanis (liar), and it is now necessary for him to give kaffarat. Qur'an al-karim contains clear declarations stating that kaffarat is necessary for this type of swear. The eighty-ninth ayat of Maida sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala will not reprove you for yamin-i-laghw, [He will not punish you]. But He will reprove you for swearing by promising [yamin-i- mun'aqida). Its kaffarat (expiation) is to nourish ten poor people by giving each of them the average clothes that you would give your household, or to emancipate a slave; or, for a person who cannot do any of these three, to fast successively [one right after another] for three days. These are the expiations for your swears. Protect your tongues from [swearing falsely] and breaking your swears." As for swearing by something other than the name of Allahu ta'ala, such as (swearing) by the earth, by heaven, by your head or by your children; it has been prohibited through various hadith ash-Sharifs and therefore is not permissible canonically.

13 - As it is written in the Gospel of Matthew, after Isa 'alaihi-salam' narrates the ayat of retaliation in the Taurah, it is stated in the thirty-ninth and later verses of the fifth chapter: "But I tell you this: do not return evil; when someone slaps you on the right cheek, offer him (to slap you on) your left cheek, too. If someone wants to take away your shirt, give him your overcoat,

too. If someone forces you to go a mile's distance with him, go two miles' distance with him. If someone asks from you, give him (what he wants). Love your enemies, and utter benedictions for those who utter maledictions against you. Greet everybody," and it is advised to forgive those who are harmful and cruel to others. Retaliation, that is, punishing the guilty person, it altogether denied.

Retaliation (*lex talionis*) is legalized in heavenly books and commanded in Qur'an al-karim. The forty-fifth ayat of Maida sura purports: "There is life for a life, an eye for an eye, a nose for a nose, an ear for an ear, a tooth for a tooth, and retaliation for injury." The hundred and seventy-ninth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "O owners of wisdom! There is life [and health] for you in talion." Yet there are also ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs stating that it will be better and very beneficent for the inheritors of the victim (of murder) or the injured or mutilated person to forgive (the offender) instead of demanding retaliation. Yet the Bible's forgiving retaliation altogether is a strong evidence of the fact that it has been interpolated. For talion existed in every religion, in every canon. In fact, retaliation was executed even in Christian countries. If Christians had admitted the soundness, the correctness of this Bible, they would not have done retaliation.

Also, the commandments, "If someone slaps you on one cheek offer him your other cheek, too. If someone asks for your shirt give him your overcoat, too. If anyone asks you to go with him, go with him," should be interpolations like the matter of retaliation. For no nation, no society can survive with such a canon as that. The most evident proof of this is the fact that Europeans take no heed of these principles of Christianity.

[The material well-being, the scientific and technical improvements in Europe appeared in the wake of turning away from Christianity. The reason for those developments were the reformations in Europe. The agents of those reformations were those Europeans who had been educated in the Andalusian (Spanish) madrasas. These people took to the field against Christianity, which was an impediment against all sorts of improvement, and proved through mental and scientific evidences that Christianity hinders progress. They wrote books repudiating Christianity and proving the fact that it is an obstruction for improvement. Some ignorant people who did not know Islam read these books written by Europeans and thought that it was the case with Islam, too. This gave them the idea of reforming Islam, which commands knowledge and all sorts of improvement. They deviated from the lightsome way of Islam and caused others to deviate, too, thus showing how ignorant and stupid they were. As we have pointed out before, Muslims have improved as long as they have adhered to Islam, and the farther Christians have withdrawn from Christianity the more progress they have made].

14 - The Gospel of Matthew commands: "Sell whatever you have and give (the earnings) as alms." [Matthew: 15-21]

Qur'an al-karim, on the other hand, encourages alms and favors. [Instead of commanding to give all your property as alms, Qur'an al-karim prohibits from making yourself needy and contemptible in the society by giving all your property as alms]. In fact, the twenty-sixth ayat of Isra sura purports: "Do right by your relations, [which means, depending on the situation, doing *silah-i-rahim* (visiting your relations), giving alms to the poor and needy ones, and getting on well with them]. Do right by the poor and travelers [by giving them *zakat* and food], depending on their situation." And the twenty-ninth ayat purports: "Do not tie your hand on your neck, [that is, don't be stingy]; and do not open your hand too wide, [that is, don't be extravagant]; otherwise you will go bad and need others."

[Qur'an al-karim declares that giving alms will serve as an atonement for a lot of sins and will cause them to be forgiven].

15 - The third verse of the sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew states: "But when you give alms, do not let your left hand know what your right hand is doing! Your Father, who sees secret things, shall pay you."

Although it is specious to give alms secretly in order to avoid ostentation, it will not be wrong to give (alms) overtly, without any intention to make a show, in order to encourage others. Therefore, Qur'an al-karim does not forbid giving alms publicly, though it is declared in an ayat al-karima that it is better to give (alms) secretly. The two hundred and seventy-first ayat of Baqara sura purports: "How beautiful it is if you give alms openly. If you conceal it (that is, your giving alms), and if you give them [the alms] to the poor, this is better for you and will be kaffarat for your sins. Allahu ta'ala is aware of your deeds." [The alms (which we are) advised to give openly in this ayat al-karima is zakat, which is fard (one of the five commandments of Islam)]. It is not ostentatious to give zakat, which is a commandment, openly; there is more thawab (reward in the next world) in it, (in giving zakat openly). Yet it is better to give alms, which is tatawwu' [supererogatory], secretly. It is declared in a hadith ash-Sharif that the alms given secretly will be rewarded with seventy times as much thawab as will be given for the alms done openly]. The reward that will ensue from the property donated in the way loved by Allahu ta'ala is expressed in the two hundred and sixty-first ayat of Baqara sura, which purports: "Those who give their property as alms in the way of Allah are comparable to a grain which, when sowed, produce seven spikes each of which contains a hundred grains."

The alms must be given out of the property that one likes best. In this respect, the ninety-second ayat of Al-i-'imran sura purports: "You shall not attain khayr, goodness [Paradise] unless you give alms from things that you are fond of."

The two hundred and seventy-third and the two hundred and seventy-fourth ayats of Baqara sura purport: "Your alms are for those who perform jihad only for the sake of Allahu ta'ala and those who acquire knowledge and those who are busy with a useful deed such as worshipping and those poor people who do not have [the opportunity or] time to deal in a trade or art on the earth. Because they refrain from begging, ignorant people think they are rich. O May Messenger, you will recognize them by their features. On account of their chastity, they do not disturb people by begging. If you give them alms out of your property, Allahu ta'ala knows that you have given and why you have given. Those people who give their property as alms night and day secretly and openly; their rewards are rewards that will be [the Gardens of Naim] (that will be given) by Allahu ta'ala. There is no fear or sorrow for them." [Abu Bakr-i-Siddiq 'radi-allahu anh' gave a thousand golds in public, a thousand golds secretly, a thousand golds at night, and a thousand golds during the day. It has been narrated that the ayat al-karima (quoted above) was revealed upon that event].

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "There are seven kinds of people whom Allahu ta'ala will shelter in the shade of the Arsh on the Day of Resurrection, when there will be no shade except that which will be bestowed by Allahu ta'ala. One of them is the person who gives alms so (secretly) that even his left hand is unaware of this right hand's giving (alms)." It should not be inferred from this hadith ash-Sharif that giving alms publicly is altogether forbidden. There are situations in which it is better to do something good and auspicious or give alms in public in order to encourage others, provided you will have pure intention and avoid riyā. It is declared in a hadith ash-Sharif: "A person who guides to doing something is like one who does it." According to this hadith ash-Sharif, there are double rewards for giving alms or doing good

publicly; one reward for giving alms and another for encouraging others. From both logical and canonical points of view, goodness or alms done publicly for such a pure intention is for certain more beautiful than concealing it. While the existing copies of the Bible command frankly that alms should be given secretly, most Christians give alms openly, thus disobeying the Bible in this respect, too. As a matter of fact, it is one of the old European traditions for some beneficent people and carefully dressed madams to drive around in streets in order to moderate their selves by collecting alms.

16 - It is written in the sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that one should avoid riya when praying. [5 and later verses]

[Riya means to misrepresent something or, in short, it means ostentation. It is one of the illnesses of the heart. It is a had habit. It means to attain worldly desires by doing the actions pertaining to the world to come, and by pretending to have directed oneself towards the hereafter. The evils of riya have been stated by Allahu ta'ala in Qur'an al-karim, by Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in his hadith ash-Sharifs, and by the Islamic 'Ulama in their books].

The fourth and the fifth ayats of Ma'un sura purport: "There is vehement torment for those who perform namaz in an oblivious or disrespectful manner and those who perform their (prayers of) namaz with evil thoughts and ostentatiously when they are in company and neglect the namaz when they are alone." The hundred and tenth ayat of Kahf sura purports: "He who wants to attain his Rab (Allahu ta'ala) should perform 'amel-i-salih (pious deeds) and should not attribute any partner to his Rab in his worshipping Him." According to this ayat al-karima, riya, that is, doing the worships for ostentation, is equivalent to shirk (attributing a partner to Allahu ta'ala). For the person who makes a show (of his worshipping) attributes someone else as a partner to the Mabud (the One who is to be worshipped, i.e. Allahu ta'ala). Confirming this meaning, Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated to the Ashab al-kiram: "What I fear most for you is your giving yourselves up to shirk-i-asghar [slight shirk]" When the Ashab al-kiram asked, "O Rasulullah! What is slight shirk?", he stated: "It is riya."

[He 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated in another hadith ash-Sharif: "The person who worships with riya in the world will be told on the Day of Judgement: O you evil person! There is no reward for you today. Whoever you worshipped in the world, ask them to give you the reward." The antonym for riya is ikhlas, which means to do your worships only for the sake of Allahu ta'ala without considering their worldly advantages. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' states: "Allahu ta'ala declares: I do not have a partner. He who attributes a partner to Me should ask him (the partner he has attributed to Me) for the thawab [the rewards I have promised]. Do your worships with ikhlas! Allahu ta'ala will accept the deeds done with ikhlas." As he 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was sending off Muaz bin Jabal 'radi-allahu anh' as the governor to the Yaman, he 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "Do your worships with ikhlas! Deeds done with ikhlas, few as they may be, will be enough for you on the Day of Judgement." In another hadith ash-Sharif he 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "good news to those who do their worships with ikhlas. They are the stars of hidayat (the right way of Islam). They will destroy the darkness of fitna (instigation)."]

17 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "As you pray, do not do unnecessary repetitions as idolaters do. For they expect their prayers will be accepted due to excessive repetition. He knows your needs before you ask from Him. You pray as follows: O our Father who is in the heavens; may your name be blessed. May your Supreme Dominion come about. May your will dominate the earth, as it dominates the heavens. Give us our daily bread today, too, and as we forgive those who are guilty, forgive us our gilts [debts]. Do not let us yield to temptation. Save us from evils,

All the creation, the omnipotence, the glory are eternally Thine. Amin." [Matthew: 6-7 and later verses]

[Here, by saying, "May Thine will dominate the earth, as it dominates the heavens," powerlessness is imputed to Allahu ta'ala. And saying, "as we forgive those who are guilty, forgive us our gilts", means to put Allahu ta'ala under obligation. That is, it means to say, "As we have done, you also have to do." (May Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so!) Again, only bread is asked for, whereas all blessings should be asked from Allahu ta'ala].

The Bible does not contain any prayers other than this. Therefore, Christians have to say this prayer daily. Muslims' daily prayer is Fatiha-i-Sharifa, which is recited at every rakat of the five daily prayers of namaz. Thus it is said at least forty times daily. The meaning of the sura of Fatiha-i-Sharifa is as follows:

"Bismillah-er-rahman-er-rahim: I begin by saying the blessed name of Allahu ta'ala, who is Rahman [18] and Rahim [19]. The highest of hamd-u-thena (praise, laud and thanks) belongs to Allahu ta'ala, who is the creator of all worlds, [and who has associated them with one another in perfect harmony]. Allahu ta'ala is very merciful upon His born servants in this world and in the world to come. He, alone, is the owner [and the ruler] of the Day of Judgement. We worship only You. [There is none except You who is worthy of being worshipped.] And only from You we ask for help. Keep us in the right way, [which is the medium way in our beliefs, deeds, words and morals]. [Keep us steady in the sirat-i-mustaqim, which is the Islamic religion and the sunnat-i-enam 'alaihi-salatu wasallam']. Keep us in the way of those whom You have blessed, [i.e. Prophets, Walis, and Siddiqs]; not in the way of those who have subjected themselves to Your Wrath [by not admitting the Truth] and who have deviated [from the right way)! [Ya Rabbi] Amin [O my Allah, accept this prayer]!" Qur'an al-karim contains hundreds of other prayers; books of tafsir (interpretation) explain them one by one.

18 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "When you are to pray, enter your inner room, close the door, and pray to your Father, who is secret! Your Father, who sees in secret, shall pay you publicly. Your Father, who is in heavens, will bestow very many gifts upon those who ask from Him."

There are numerous ayat al-karimas in Qur'an al-karim [explaining the rewards that will be given to those who pray and stating that it is necessary to pray and that prayers shall be accepted]. The sixtieth ayat of Mumin sura purports: "Pray to Me, and I shall respond to you [accept your prayers]." The hundred and eighty-sixth ayat of Baqara sura purports: " [O My Messenger]; If My born servants ask you of Me, I am close (to them) [in knowledge and in accepting]. When they pray to Me, I shall accept their prayers. They should ask for My accepting (their prayers), and they should believe in Me."

19 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "If you forgive people's faults, your Father in the heaven shall forgive you, too. But if you do not forgive people's faults, your Father shall not forgive you, either." [Matthew: 6-14, 15]

The twenty-second ayat of the Nur sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: " [Tell them to] forgive [people's faults], and give up revenging. Pay attention! Don't you like Allah's forgiving you? Allahu ta'ala is forgiving and merciful." The hundred and thirty-fourth ayat of Al-i-imran sura purports: " [The people of Taqwa] are those who [give alms and] subsist (people) in abundance and in paucity; in richness and in poverty. They do away with their anger; [that is, they are patient enough to give up their estrangement while they have the choice and] forgive [those who deserve punishment]. Allahu ta'ala loves those who do kindness." [Muslims have always acted upon these ayat al-karimas. Here is an example of this: As Rasulullah's blessed grandson Husain

bin Ali 'radi- allahu anh' sat at the (meal) table with his guests, his slave, who was bringing in some hot food in a container, tripped over something on the floor and poured the food he was holding on Husain's 'radi-allahu anh' blessed head. When he looked hard at his slave's face in order to warn him to be more careful, the slave said the part stating, "They do not get angry," of this ayat al-karima. When Husain the Imam 'radi-allahu anh' said, "I have done away with my anger," the slave recited the part stating, "They forgive those people who are in fault." Imam-i-Husain 'radi-allahu anh' said, "I have forgiven (you)" Upon this the slave recited the part, "Allahu ta'ala loves those who do kindness." And Imam-i-Husain 'radi- allahu anh' said, "I have emancipated you from slavery. You can go wherever you like."] The seventeenth and eighteenth ayats of Beled sura purport: "Then they become believers and advise patience and mercy to one another. They are among the Ashab-i-yamin, that is, the people of Paradise." Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "He who does not have mercy upon others is not to be shown mercy to."

20 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "When you fast do not sulk like hypocrites. For they sulk so that people will see that they are fasting. Indeed, I tell you that they have received their rewards. But when you fast, put some cover on your head and wash your face, so that you will show that you have fasted not for people but for your Father who is in concealment." [Matthew: 6-16, 17, 18]

Isa 'alaihi-salam' commanded to fast only for Allah's sake and prohibited from ostentation. Since we have already explained the wickedness of ostentation according to Islam and quoted some of the ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs prohibiting from ostentation, we need not repeat them here. It must be noted, however, that while fasting is commanded clearly in these verses of the Bible, many years after Isa 'alaihi-salam', Paul, who had not even seen his face and who, as it is confessed even by Christians, had done many a treason to his companions, changed this (commandment of) fasting, as he changed other commandments in the Bible.

21 - It is stated in the sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "Do not bind your heart by amassing property. Do not be anxious about what you will eat and drink. Put your trust in Haqq (Allah): "And these statements are reinforced with some examples such as lives of birds and natural garments of lily of the valleys. [Matthew: 6-25 and later].

We have already quoted some ayat al-karimas of Qur'an al-karim and hadith ash-Sharifs of our Prophet about not esteeming the world. There are also many ayat al-karimas about tawakkul (putting one's trust in Allahu ta'ala). We will mention only a few of them here.

The second and third ayat al-karimas of Talaq sura purport: "If a person fears Allahu ta'ala, Allahu ta'ala will bless him with a way off (from poverty to well-being) and will give him his subsistence through means that he does not expect. If a person puts his trust in Allahu ta'ala, Allahu ta'ala is sufficient for him."

[If all the ayat al-karimas concerning tawakkul were put together, they would make up a volume bigger than the whole Bible. The twenty-third ayat of Maida sura purports: "If you have iman put your trust in Allahu ta'ala." The hundred and fifty-ninth ayat of Al-i- 'imran sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala loves those who have tawakkul." The eleventh ayat of Ibrahim sura purports: "Those who have tawakkul should have tawakkul only in Allahu ta'ala."

Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "They showed me some of my ummat (Muslims). (They were so many that) they covered mountains and fields. I was astonished and pleased to see that they were so numerous. I was asked if I was pleased. When I said, 'Yes', I was told that only seventy thousand of them would enter Paradise. And when I asked who they were, I was told: They are those who do not mix their doings with magic, sorcery, cauterization or augury and

those who do not put their trust in anyone except Allahu ta'ala." One of the listeners, Uqasha 'radi-allahu anh', stood up and said, "O Rasulallah! Pray for me so that I shall be one of them." He (Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam') prayed: "Ya Rabbi (o my Allah)! Make him one of them!" And when another stood up and asked for the same benediction, he 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "Uqasha has forestalled you."

He (Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam') stated in a hadith ash-Sharif: "If you had full tawakkul in Allahu ta'ala, He would send you (your sustenance) as He gives birds their sustenance. Birds go out with empty stomachs, hungry, in the morning, and come back with their stomachs filled, fully fed in the evening." In another hadith ash-Sharif he stated: "If a person entrusts himself to Allahu ta'ala, Allahu ta'ala will reach him in everything he does. He will give him sustenance through places that he does not expect. If a person puts his trust on the world, He will leave him on the world."

In Islam, tawakkul does not mean to expect everything from Allahu ta'ala by not working at all. The divine law of causation of Allahu ta'ala is such that He creates everything through some means. He is the creator of the means, and He, again, creates the occurrence of events through the means. Islam commands us to find out the means that cause (the creation of) each event and hold fast to the means. In everything we do, we have to hold fast to the means that are known (to cause the creation of that thing) and then pray and supplicate to Allahu ta'ala for the creation of the causative effect in the means. To expect that Allahu ta'ala will make that thing without our holding fast to the means will mean to disobey Allahu ta'ala and to attempt to suspend His law of causation. There is extensive information about the meaning of tawakkul and its kinds in the (Turkish) book Tam ilmihal SE'ADET-I EBEDIYYE (Endless Bliss).]

22 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "Why don't you see the beam in your eye while you see the straw in your brother's eye?" [Matthew: 7-3]

The twelfth ayat of the Hujurat sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "O believers, beware from extensive supposition. For some suppositions are sinful. Do not try to find out [others' faults], and do not backbite one another. [That is, do not make a slanderous statement about someone in his absence]. Would any of you like to eat his dead brother's flesh? You would feel disgusted [if you were offered it]. Fear Allahu ta'ala. Surely, Allahu ta'ala will accept the tawba (repentance) of those who make tawba, and He is very compassionate." Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declared: "If a person conceals people's faults and defects, Allahu ta'ala will conceal his faults and defects." In another hadith ash-Sharif he stated: "Search for the faults of your own self. Do not search for others' faults."

[Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "Backbiting is a sin which is graver than fornication." Backbiting is forbidden vehemently in Islam. As fire destroys wood, so backbiting destroys hasanat [good deeds]. A hadith-i- Sharif declares: "On the Day of Judgement a person's book of thawab will be opened. He will say: Ya Rabbi (O my Allah)! I did such and such worships when I was in the world. They are not recorded on the page (appointed for them). He will be told: They have been erased from your book and recorded in the books of those whom you backbit." And another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "On the Day of Judgement a person's book of hasanat will be opened. He will see worships that he did not do there (in the book). He will be told: These are the thawabs of those who backbit you." There are many hadith ash-Sharifs that forbid backbiting and command to prevent backbiting. Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "The person who helps his brother-in-Islam without his (his brother's) knowing about it shall be helped by Allahu ta'ala in the world and in the hereafter." Again, he 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "If a person's brother-in-Islam is backbitten in his presence and yet he does not help his brother, [that

is, does not prevent his brother's backbiters], his sins are enough for him in the world and in the hereafter."]

23 - It is stated in the Gospel of Matthew: "Enter through the narrow door, for the door or the way that leads to destruction is wide, and many people enter through it. But the door of the way that leads to life is small in width. And few people have discovered it." [Matthew: 7-13, 14]

"It is purported in Qur'an al-karim, in the fourteenth ayat of Al-i-'imran sura: "Love of the nafs's (self's) desires has been made attractive to men." Inclination towards something is natural, and therefore it is a wide way. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "Paradise has been surrounded with things that the nafs does not like, and Hell (has been surrounded) with the desires and lusts of the nafs." In short, the way to Paradise is narrow and onerous, and they way to Hell is wide and adorned.

24 - It is written the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam' said: "Not every man who addresses himself to me, "O my Lord, o my Lord's, can join the heavenly domain of Allahu ta'ala. Only he who carries out the commandment of my Father in the heavens can join them. That day many people will say to me: O Lord, o Lord, didn't we prophesy in Thine name? Didn't we call out genies in Thine name? And didn't we make many miracles in Thine name? Then I shall say unto them: I have never known Thee. Leave me alone, o thou cruel people!" [Matthew: 7-21 and later]

The meaning of the word 'domain' mentioned here is not church organization as Protestant priests interpret it; on the contrary, its meaning is the Mahkama-i-kubra (the Grand Judgement), which will be seen on the Day of Resurrection, and the justice and vengeance of Allahu ta'ala, which will take place meanwhile. Qur'an al-karim contains many ayat al-karimas similar to these verses of the Bible. The two hundred and fifty-fifth ayat of Baqara sura purports:"All the existence in the heavens and on the earth is the property of Allahu ta'ala. Who could intercede for someone or save him on the Day of Judgement unless Allahu ta'ala gave him the permission (to do so)?" [The forty-fourth ayat of Zumer sura is interpreted as, "Tell them; no one can intercede without the permission of Allahu ta'ala." The forty-eighth ayat of Muddassir sura purports: "If those who are permitted to intercede (use his permission to) intercede for disbelievers, their intercession will do them no good."] Rasulullah 'sall-allahu anh', who is the sayyidat-un-nisa: "On the Day of Judgement, I shall not give you any help unless Allahu ta'ala gives (me) permission (to do so)." [Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' is the owner of the Shafaat-i-uzma (the Grand Intercession). At the place of assembly for the Last Judgement, people will have recourse to (Prophets); Adam (Adam), Nuh (Noah), Ibrahim (Abraham), Musa (Moses), respectively; and finally to Isa (Jesus) 'alaihi-mus- salam'. And Isa 'alaihi-salam', saying that he feels ashamed before Allahu ta'ala because Christians made him a partner to Allahu ta'ala, will send them to Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', who is the hatam-ul-anbiya [the last Prophet], and Rasulullah, being Allah's compassion for (all) worlds, will intercede for rescuing all people from the torment of the Judgement Day, his intercession will be accepted (by Allahu ta'ala), and the torment of the Judgement Day will be raised from all people.

It is declared in hadith ash-Sharifs: "On the Day of Judgement, I shall intercede first." and "On the Day of Resurrection, I shall be the first to get out of the grave and the first intercessor." and "I can intercede for any Muslim, except those who calumniate my Ashab." and "Of my ummat, I shall intercede for those who have many sins."]

Such is the belief held by Muslims with respect to shafaat (intercession). Yet Christians believe that after Ascension, Isa 'alaihi-salam' sat on the right hand side of the Father, undertook all the

divine powers, and will be the absolute ruler of the Day of Judgement. [Matthew: 28-19; Mark: 16-19, and the other Gospels] They do not notice that this credo is clearly contrary to the verses of the Bible. Whereas Isa 'alaihi-salam' said to the apostles, "I shall not be useful to those who disobey Allah's commandments. I cannot help those who call out my name and beg me" [Matthew: 7-21 and later], Christians hold the wrong belief that "Hadrat Isa has sacrificed himself for us. Thus we have been saved from Hell."

25 - Again, despite the command of Isa 'alaihi- salam', "Do not demand a price from anybody in exchange for preaching", it has been observed with dismay how Protestant missionaries strive to promulgate Christianity in return for thousands of pounds yearly and how priests of the other Christian sects have made a list of charges for various sins and deliver from each sin in return for a certain price; this practice has reached the extent that some Christians give their land property to priests, part by part, in return for deliverance from their sins, so that thousands of priests have been living in welfare and riches as a result of this trade. What is specially consternating here is the fact that this vicious belief is held by one-third of Europeans, who claim to be superior to other nations of the world in science, techniques and wisdom.

The hundred and eighty-sixth ayat of Araf sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "If Allahu ta'ala has doomed a person to destruction and deprived him of iman, there is no one to guide him to the right way."

26 - According to the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi- salam' made the following nuncupation to his disciples: "When you enter a house, make salam. If the person being there is worthy of it, may your salam be unto him. If he is not worthy of it, may your salam return to you; and if he does not accept you or listen to what you say, leave that house or city and clean your feet of dust." [Matthew: 10-12, 13, 14]

Qur'an al-karim and hadith ash-Sharifs contain many rules about how to make salam, how to knock on a door, and how to enter a house. The twenty-seventh and the twenty-eighth ayats of Nur sura purport: "O believers. Do not enter houses other than yours without getting the host's permission and without making salam. This [your entering with permission and salam] is better for you, [because (in this case) the host will (have time to) stop unsuitable things]. If you consider these you will understand their hikmat (ultimate divine causes). If there is no one in a house, or if you are not permitted, do not go in. If they [do not admit you and] tell you to go back, then go back. This is more beautiful for you [because it will represent your (beautiful) manners]. Allahu ta'ala knows all that you do."

27 - Also, in the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, it is stated that the apostles who are sent forth for calling (people) to Christianity will suffer trouble and persecution as they preach Gospel, that they should flee to another city if they are persecuted in one city, that they should not fear anyone except, Allahu ta'ala, that (when they preach) it is not their selves but it is the Spirit of Allahu ta'ala who speaks [May Allahu ta'ala protect us from believing or saying so], and that if they are killed, the body only shall be killed, the soul being beyond the reach of their (enemies') aggression.

The thirty-ninth ayat of the Ahzab sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "Those people who preach the mission [commandments and prohibitions] of Allahu ta'ala to people; they fear Allahu ta'ala only, and they do not fear anyone except Allahu ta'ala. Allahu ta'ala, alone, is capable of paying for their deeds." The seventeenth ayat of Enfal sura purports: " [Ya Muhammad (O Muhammad) 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'! In the Gaza (Holy War) of Badr], You did not throw it [a handful of soil to the disbelievers' eyes]. But in reality Allahu ta'ala threw it." The hundred and fifty-fourth ayat al-karima of Baqara sura, whose blessed meaning is, "Do not say 'the dead' about those who

have been killed in the way of Allah. In reality they are alive, yet you do not understand them. [Mind is incapable of comprehending how they live]", declares that martyrs' souls are alive, though their bodies are dead.

28 - In the fortieth verse of the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi-salam' says to his apostles: "He who accepts you accepts me and he who accepts me accepts Him who has sent me."

In this verse, Isa 'alaihi-salam' confirms the fact that he has been sent by Allahu ta'ala and that he who obeys him obeys Allahu ta'ala (by doing so). In this respect, it is stated in Qur'an al-karim that obedience to Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' is obedience to Allahu ta'ala. The eighth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "He who obeys the Messenger will have obeyed Allahu ta'ala."

29 - It is written as follows in the forty-sixth and later ayats of the Gospel of Matthew: "Jesus was speaking to the people yet, when his mother and his brothers stood outside, wishing to converse with him. And someone said unto him. There, your mother and brothers are standing outside in order to converse with you. Jesus replied to the person and said unto him: Who is my mother? Who are my brothers? And extending his hand towards his apostles he said: There is my mother and my brothers. For whoever acts upon the will of my father, who is in the heaven, is my brother and sister and mother."

In Qur'an al-karim, on the other hand, Allahu ta'ala commands to respect one's parents. The twenty-third and the twenty-fourth ayats of Isra sura purport: "Be kind to your parents. Do not say 'ugh' to them, [do not insult them or shout at them, and] say polite, kind, beautiful words to them. Be very compassionate to them and lower your wings in humiliation and humbleness. [That is, be kind and affable to them, do not be conceited], and pray for them as follows: Ya Rab (o my Allah), have mercy on them as they gave me (family) education when I was a boy."

30 - At the beginning of the second chapter of the Gospel of John, Isa 'alaihi-salam' and his mother attend a wedding feast given in the city of Kana. During the meal, "As there was little wine left, Jesus' mother said to Him: They don't have wine. Jesus said to her: Woman, what relation are you to me", thus replying (her) in a harsh manner. This woman is hadrat Maryam (Mary), who would be the topic of the discussions on "whether she was the mother of Isa 'alaihi-salam' or of God [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so]", which were held several hundred years later in the ecclesiastical assemblies called Councils and which ended in the decision that she would be the mother of God.

The creed held by priests has been based on contradictory principles such as these. When the facts written above are seen and known, however much thanks Muslims might express to Allahu ta'ala, they would fall short of depicting the happy situation they are in on account of the gift of Islam they have been blessed with.

31 - In the third and later verses of the thirteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi-salam', giving various examples, classifies people who hear the commandments of Allahu ta'ala into four groups, and likens each group to a seed that is sown. Then he says, "Thus, as sower starts to sow the seeds, some of the seeds fall on the roadside, so that birds come and eat them. Some of them fall on rocky ground with little soil on it, and push forth too soon because the soil is not deep enough. So the rising sun scorches them and, having no roots, they wither up. Some of the seeds fall on shrubby ground, so that the growing shrubs choke them. And some of them fall on good soil. Some of them reproduce themselves a hundredfold, some sixty fold, and others thirty fold. Let those who have ears hear." Here, the first group, i.e. those seeds which are thrown on the roadside represent those people who hear the Divine Word but deny it and disbelieve it. The second group, i.e. the seeds that are sown on rocky ground and do not push out roots,

represent renegades, who hear the Divine Word and first believe it but after a time deny it. The third group, i.e. the seeds scattered among bushes represent those who hear the Divine Word and believe it; but afterwards, being absorbed by the world and smitten with the desire of earning property, they neglect worshipping. The fourth group, that is, seeds that are sown on good soil are likened to those who hear the Divine Word, believe it, and act accordingly.

In the Islamic religion, the first group of these characters are called kafirs (disbelievers, unbelievers); the second group are called murteds (renegades) and munafiqs (hypocrites); the third group are called fasiqs [sinners]; and the fourth group are called muttaqi, or salih, Mumins (believers who fear Allahu ta'ala, or pious believers); and these terms have been used so far.

[Those who vie after the love of Allahu ta'ala are called MUTTAQI or SAALIH. Person who has already attained the love of Allahu ta'ala, (i.e. who is loved by Allahu ta'ala), is called WALI [20]. And the person who has attained the love of Allahu ta'ala and who strives to guide others in the way of attaining the love of Allahu ta'ala is called MURSHID.]

Qur'an al-karim contains many ayat al-karimas concerning these four groups of people and the rewards and punishments that will be given to them. Our book would be too small to compile them and to quote them all. We shall therefore content ourselves with the citation of the meaning of one ayat al-karima about each group. The sixth ayat of Baqara sura purports concerning disbelievers: "O My Habib (Most Beloved One). It is equal (it makes no difference) whether you give the warning of torment to disbelievers, [whose hearts are inaccessible to the light of iman, whose hearts have been suffocated by the darkness of disbelief]. They will not have iman. Allahu ta'ala has sealed up, curtained their hearts, ears and eyes. There is great torment for them." Concerning munafiqs (hypocrites), the eighth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "Some people say: We have had belief in Allahu ta'ala and in the Day of Resurrection. Yet they have not had iman." [In Qur'an al-karim there are thirty- two long ayats specially telling about munafiqs. In addition, many, ayats refer to nifaq (hypocrisy, and instigation caused by hypocrites). The fifty-third ayat of Zumer sura purports about sinners: " [O My Messenger!] Tell [believers from Me]: O My born servants who are extravagant of their selves, [that is, who exceed the due bounds], in sinning. Do not give up hope of the mercy of Allahu ta'ala. Certainly, Allahu ta'ala is Ghafur, that is, He is very forgiving. He is Rahim, that is, He is very compassionate." [This ayat al-karima was revealed after the conquest of Mecca. Most of the polytheists were in fear. They did not know what sort of treatment they would be subjected to. For they had persecuted many believers, and martyred many others. When these polytheists became believers, no penalty, not even a slightest one, was inflicted on them. They attained the honor of joining the Ashab al-kiram. In fact, even Wahshi 'radi-allahu anh', who had martyred Rasulullah's most beloved (paternal) uncle Hamza 'radi-allahu anh', was forgiven and became one of the Ashab al-kiram 'radi-allahu anhum ajmain']. Concerning the muttaqi believers, the fourth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "Those people who believe without any doubt in Qur'an al-karim and the Books sent to other Prophets, [that is, the Taurah (Pentateuch), the Zabur (the heavenly Book revealed to hadrat Dawud), and the Injil (Bible) in their original, unchanged forms], and in the world to come [the Day of Judgement]. These people are in the way of hidayat (guided) by Allahu ta'ala, which is the right way, and they shall find salah [salvation] from torment and punishment."

32 - Again, in the thirteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi-salam' illustrates with some examples the situations into which sinners fall because of the scruples caused and the seeds of instigation sown by the devil, and says that on the Day of Judgement they will be punished by burning in Hell on account of their sins.

In Qur'an al-karim there are many ayat al-karimas explaining these feats of the devil and the things that he does in order to mislead people and advising not to be taken in by his tricks. The sixth ayat of Fatir sura purports: "Indeed Shaitan (Satan) is inimical to you. So you should be inimical to him. For he tempts those who obey him [to follow their nafs and to be fond of the world and] to join the people of Hell." The two hundred and eighth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "O believers, do not follow Shaitan's way, [his scruples]."

[The hundred and sixty-eighth and the hundred and sixty-ninth ayats of Baqara sura purport: "Do not follow Shaitan's way. Certainly he is an evident enemy of yours. Shaitan orders you only fahsha, [which means evil, obscenity, being fond of the world, following your sensuous desires]." The two hundred and sixty-eighth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "Shaitan will intimidate you by saying that you will be impoverished [when you are to give alms in the way of Allah], and he will order you not to give alms." The sixtieth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "Shaitan wants to make them fall into a heresy far from hidayat [by tempting them to excess]." The sixtieth ayat of Yasin sura purports: "Do not obey Shaitan. Have I not given you the advice that he is your evident enemy? O sons of Adam!..." The ninety-first ayat of Maida sura purports: "Shaitan wants to leave enmity among you by (means of) wine and gambling. He wants to deter you from making dhikr of Allahu ta'ala and from namaz. Don't you beware from these [after knowing that they are faults]?" The thirty-sixth ayat of Zuhruf sura purports: "When a person obeys his nafs and turns away from the religion of Allahu ta'ala, we send him a shaitan to pester him in the world." Qur'an al-karim contains more than eighty ayat al-karimas telling about the Shaitan (Satan) and intimating his vices].

Now we shall quote a few hadith ash-Sharifs concerning the Shaitan:

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "The inspiration coming through angels is compatible with Islam. The doubt coming from the Shaitan causes one to leave Islam." and "The Shaitan gives doubts to the heart. When the name of Allahu ta'ala is uttered he runs away. If (the name of Allahu ta'ala) is not mentioned, he goes on causing doubts." and "The compassion of Allahu ta'ala is on jamaat (congregation of Muslim). The Shaitan is with the person who does not join Muslims' congregation and who dissents from them." and "Like the wolf carrying off a sheep that has quit the flock, the Shaitan is man's wolf. Beware from forming separate groups. Get together in jamaat. Run to mosques."

Allahu ta'ala commanded the Iblis (Satan) to go to Rasulullah and give correct answers to all the questions that he (Rasulullah) would ask him. The Iblis appeared before Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in the guise of an old person. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said: "Who are you?" "I am the Iblis," was the answer. Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said: "Why did you come (here)?" "Allahu ta'ala sent me forth and commanded me to answer your questions correctly," replied the Iblis. Rasulullah said: "Then, describe the people whom you dislike and to whom you are hostile." The Iblis answered: "Of the (whole) world, I dislike you most, and next (I dislike) equitable sultans (rulers), those rich people who are modest, those tradesmen who tell the truth, those 'ulama (savants, scholars) who have ikhlas and who act compatibly with their knowledge, mujahids who try to promulgate Islam, those who have mercy upon people, those who make tawba (repenting for one's sins and supplicating to Allahu ta'ala for forgiveness) with tawba-i-nasuh (sincere determination not to sin again), those who refrain from harams, those who always have abdest (ritual ablution), those Muslims who always do pious, charitable deeds, those Muslims who have beautiful moral qualities and who are useful to people, those hafizun (people who have committed Qur'an al-karim to their memory) who read Qur'an al-karim in tejweed (rules for reading Qur'an al-karim correctly), those who perform namaz while others are

asleep." Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' said: "State the people whom you like and the Iblis answered: "Cruel sultans, conceited rich people, treacherous merchants, those who have alcoholic drinks, those who sing songs at bad places, those who commit fornication, those who use orphans' property for their personal benefits, those who slight namaz and are late in performing namaz, those who bear tool-u-emel [long term worldly aspirations], people who get angry easily and cannot get over their anger are my friends, I like them."

[There are many hadith ash-Sharifs about the Shaitan. Those who wish may consult books of hadith ash-Sharifs].

33 - In the eighteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihis-salam' prohibits his Apostles from being conceited and commands them to be modest.

[The harms of being conceited and the virtues of modesty have been explained in Qur'an al-karim by Allahu ta'ala and in hadith ash-Sharifs by Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'].

The thirty-seventh and the thirty-eighth ayats of Isra sura purport: "Do not swagger about, [that is, do not walk in an arrogant and pompous manner], on the earth! For you cannot cleave the earth, nor can you increase your stature so as to equal mountains. All of these are makruh, repulsive before your Rab (Allah)." [The hundred and seventy-second ayat of Nisa sura purports: "If a person refrains from worshipping Allahu ta'ala because of vanity, Allahu ta'ala shall get (him and other) such people together [in order to punish them] on the Day of Resurrection." The forty-eighth ayat of Araf sura purports: "The people of Araf shall recognize the chiefs of unbelievers by their faces and shall say unto them: Abundance [of your property and your helpers] and your vanity did not protect you against the torment of Allahu ta'ala."]

Our Master, Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated:

"A person with the tiniest mote of vanity in his heart cannot enter Paradise." and "Allahu ta'ala declares: Pride and grandeur are My properties. If a person (attempts to) share these two with Me, I shall hurl him into Hell without showing any mercy on him." and "On the Day of Resurrection, those who are arrogant in the world shall be resurrected from their graves in a despicable and contemptible manner like ants. Everybody shall despise them. They shall be put into the pit called Bolis, which is the deepest place of Hell and whose torment is the most vehement."

Another hadith ash-Sharif states: "In (one of) the former ummats, (there was) a conceited person (who) walked dragging his skirts along the ground. This (manner of his) annoying the Divine Honor, the earth swallowed him up."

[Modesty is the opposite of arrogance. Modesty means to deem oneself as equal to others, neither superior nor inferior to others. Modesty is a very good manner for a person.] Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "If a person is modest for the sake of Allah, Allahu ta'ala shall exalt him. If a person is arrogant, Allahu ta'ala shall disgrace him."

[It is stated in hadith ash-Sharifs: "How lucky for a person who is modest." and "A person who is modest, who earns (his living) through halal, who has beautiful moral qualities, who is affable to everybody and who never hurts anyone, is a very good person."]

34 - The eighteenth and the nineteenth verses of the nineteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew states: "Thou shalt not steal and thou shalt respect thine parents and thou shalt love thine neighbor like loving thine self."

The thirtieth ayat of Hajj sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "Beware from idols, which are filthy, and from giving false testimony and lying." The seventy-second ayat of Furqan sura purports: "They are (the people) who do not give false testimony, [who do not attend the festivals or revels of disbelievers and polytheists], and who turn away from them and pass by nobly without getting

involved in their atrocities when they come across [their] mendacious and aberrant practices." Allahu ta'ala shall exalt such believers to the highest positions in Paradise on account of their patience. We have related some of the ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs concerning rights of parents and rights of neighbors.

35 - It is written in the twenty-sixth verse of the twentieth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam' stated: "If one of you wants to be great among you, may he be your servant."

The thirteenth ayat of the Hujurat sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "The most superior, the highest person among you before Allahu ta'ala is the person with the most fear of Allahu ta'ala."

Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "The master of a community is the person who serves them." [Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "He who rescues his brother in Islam from trouble will be given the thawab of hajj and 'umra." Another hadith ash-Sharif states: "He who does not help Muslims or work for their welfare and comfort is not one of them."]

36 - It is written in the twenty-first and twenty-second verses of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam', upon being asked about paying tax to the kaiser, said: "Give what belongs to the kaiser to the kaiser and what belongs to Allah to Allah."

The fifty-ninth ayat of the Nisa sura of Qur'an al-karim purports: "Obey Allahu ta'ala and His Messenger and the ulul-emr from among you, [i.e. sultans, rulers, judges, savants, just and equitable commanders]." Yet the obedience to the ulul-emr mentioned here is not absolute obedience and is restricted with the hadith-i- Sharif, "Where Allahu ta'ala is disobeyed creatures are not to be obeyed." The hundred and fifth ayat of Maida sura purports: "O believers! The protection and improvement of your nafs (yourself) is [a duty] on you. After you show the right way [by commanding benefaction and prohibiting malefaction to the best of your abilities], a person's deviation (from the right way) will not do you any harm." For it is fardh in Islam to do emr- i-maruf, i.e. commanding benefaction, and nehy-i-munkar, i.e. prohibiting malefaction. As a matter of fact, the hundred and fourth ayat of Al-i-'imran sura purports: " [O believers!] Among you there should be a group (of Muslims) who invite people to khayr, that is, to obeying Qur'an al-karim and the sunnat of Rasulullah, and who prohibit (people) from munkar [malefaction], that is, from opposing Qur'an al-karim and the sunnat of Rasulullah. They shall attain salvation." [Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' declares: "Teach Islam to one another. If you give up emr-i-maruf, [if no one among you does emr-i-maruf], Allahu ta'ala will molest you with the worst of you and will not accept your prayers."

Again, he (Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam') stated: "The thawab given for all (kinds of) worships is like a drop of water in comparison with a sea when it is compared to the thawab given for jihad (fighting for Islam). And the thawab of jihad, (in its turn), is like a drop of water compared to a sea when it is compared to the thawab (that shall be given) for emr-i-maruf and nehy-i-munkar."]

It is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif narrated from Numan bin Bashir: "The case of those who obey the commandments of Allahu ta'ala and those who disobey them or who are slack in doing the commandments of Allahu ta'ala is like the position of a group of people who are on board a ship: these people threw lots on board the ship. The lot fell to some of them as the lower part of the ship, i.e. the hold of the ship; and the others were allotted the deck. Those who were in the lower part of the ship, whenever [they got thirsty and] wanted to use water, went up (on the deck) and worried the people there by treading on them. (Finally) they said (to themselves): We might as well make a hole in the hold and get the water we need through it without having to disturb those who are (living) above us. [One of them took an axe and began to make a hole in the hold of the ship. Those who were up (on the deck) ran down (to the hold) and said: What on earth are you

doing? He replied: We have been troublesome to you. But we certainly need water]. If those who were upstairs allowed those who were downstairs to make a hole in the ship, they would be destroyed altogether. If they prevented them from holing the ship by holding their hands, all of them would attain salvation." [As is inferred from this hadith ash-Sharif, it is incumbent on every pious Muslim and also on the government to prevent evildoers from malefaction. If they neglect this duty of preventing, the good also shall be destroyed together with the malefactors. Therefore, doing emr-i-maruf and nehy-i munkar is the duty of all Muslims who have the necessary competence].

Another hadith ash-Sharif declares: "By the time you see my ummat (Muslims) being afraid to say to a cruel person: You are cruel!, khayr (benefaction, goodness, doing good) will have forsaken them."

It is declared in another hadith ash-Sharif: "If people see something, evil and yet do not change it, [that is, if they do not prevent it or turn it into goodness], Allahu ta'ala shall make His torment comprehensive of all of them." It is stated in another hadith ash-Sharif: "You must certainly command benefaction and prohibit malefaction. If you cease from emr-i-maruf and nehy-i-munkar, Allahu ta'ala shall make the worst of you (continuously) molest the good ones among you. Then, if the good ones among you pray (to Allahu ta'ala for the expulsion of the evildoers), theirs prayers shall not be heard." [The sixth ayat of Tahrim sura purports: "Protect yourself and your household against the fire." The hundred and tenth ayat of Al-i-'imran sura purports: "You [believers] are a beneficent ummat selected from among people. You command goodness and prohibit evildoing and have iman in the unity of Allahu ta'ala. If the ahl al-kitab [Christians and Jews] also had iman, it would be beneficial for them." And the hundred and fourteenth ayat (of the same sura) purports: "They have iman (belief) in the unity of Allahu ta'ala and in the hereafter, and command people maruf, [that is, to confirm Rasulullah's prophethood], and prohibit them from munkar, [that is, from denying Rasulullah's prophethood]. They race (one another) in benefaction. Lo! They are of the pious."

Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated: "Prevent the sinner with your hand. If you are unable to do this, prevent (him) verbally. If you cannot do this, either, dislike (it) in your heart. And this is the lowest (grade of) iman." There are many ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs concerning emr-i-maruf and nehy-i- munkar. Those who want to read and learn about them may have recourse to the books of tafsir and hadith-i- Sharif and the books of Islamic 'Ulama.

37 - It is stated in the thirty-sixth, the thirty- seventh and the thirty-eighth verses of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "When Jesus was asked, "O teacher, which is the greatest commandment in the canon?", Jesus said unto him: You should love your Rab, who is your Allah, with all your heart, with all your soul, with all your thought."

On the other hand, it is declared in Qur'an al-karim, in the fifty-fourth ayat of Maida sura: " [Those believers]love Allahu ta'ala, and Allahu ta'ala loves them." The hundred and sixty-fifty ayat of Baqara sura purports: "believers' love of Allahu ta'ala is very strong and everlasting."

"Allahu ta'ala declares in a hadith-i-qudsi:"O thou, son of Adam! If thou wantst to love Me, expel love of the world from thine heart. For eternally I shall not bring together love of Me and love of the world in one heart. O thou, son of Adam! How couldst thou ever want love of the world together with love of Me! Then, search for love of Me in desisting from the world [from things prohibited by Allahu ta'ala]. O thou, son of Adam! Whatever you do, do it compatibly with My commandments, and I shall fill thine heart with loving Me."

38 - As Isa 'alaihis-salam' describes the events towards the end of the world in the twenty-fourth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, he relates: "The sun shall darken, the moon shall not emit its

light, stars shall fall down from the sky, the powers of heavens shall be shaken. Then the sign of mankind shall appear in the sky. Then all the tribes of the earth shall wail. They shall see mankind coming powerfully and with great dignity above the clouds of the sky. And He shall send His angels with the great sound of the trumpet, and the angels shall gather those whom He has chosen from the four winds from one end of heavens to the other. This generation shall not perish before all these happen. But no one except the Father knows that day, that hour; even the angels of heavens do not know."

If the ayat al-karimas of Qur'an al-karim concerning the events towards the end of the world were compiled, they would make up a book bigger than the sum of the four Gospels. We shall write a few examples:

The first and second ayats of Takwir sura purport: "When the sun loses its nur and becomes dark and when stars darken and fall down on the earth like rain (drops)." The first, second, third, fourth and fifth ayats of Inshiqaq sura purport: "When the sky hears the command of Allahu ta'ala, obeys the command and cracks, and when the earth rightfully hears the command of its Rab, Allahu ta'ala, and throws out its contents (the dead and treasures]and becomes empty, and when the earth becomes absolutely flat, [people will see their pious deeds and sins]." The eighth and ninth ayats of Naziat sura purport: "That day hearts are distressed with fear. Eyes [of the owners of these hearts]are in a contemptible manner with fear." The fifty-first ayat of Yasin sura purports: "When the sur (trumpet) is blown [the second time], people will get up from their graves and go fast towards their Rab." The sixth-seventh and eighth ayats of Zilzal sura purport: "That day, in order to see the rewards for their deeds, people will go to the place of Judgement in groups. Any person who has done the tiniest amount of good shall see it, [get its reward]. Any person who has done the tiniest amount of evil shall be punished for it." [Everybody, whether a believer or a disbeliever, shall see on the Day of Judgement what has been done in the world. If a believer is Sunni, that person shall be forgiven for the sins (committed and then repented and) made tawba for in the world, and shall be given thawab (rewards) for his or her good deeds. The good deeds of disbelievers and holders of bidat, i.e. those believers who have (blurred their belief with) aberrant tenets, shall be spurned, and they shall be punished for their atrocities. The gravest punishment to be inflicted on them shall be the eternal punishment on account of disbelief. Disbelievers shall stay eternally in Hell]. The sixty- third ayat of Ahzab sura purports: "O My Messenger! Disbelievers will ask you when the end of the world will come. Tell them: Allahu ta'ala, alone, knows it, [He has not intimated it to anybody]. Probably it is imminent."

Qur'an al-karim contains many ayat al-karimas about the rewards that will be given to those who have beautiful moral qualities, those who purify their hearts from vicious traits, those who perform pious deeds and the punishments that will be inflicted on sinners, about law, about ma'amalat [laws and regulations concerning the dealings and relations among people), about the properties of Paradise and Hell, about the events that will take place during the qiyamat (the end of the world, resurrection of the dead, the Last Judgement), and about the Person of Allahu ta'ala, His Attributes and Names. If these (ayat al-karimas) were classified in groups and interpreted, each group would make up a book more than several times as big as the existing Gospels. Comparing Qur'an al-karim to today's Gospels would be like comparing an ocean to a small pool of water. In fact, such comparison would be like that which is done between a person who has a small yard with forty or fifty trees whose branches are broken and leaves shed and another person who has several thousand fruitful trees in his orchard. The forty to fifty trees, which are the contents of the small yard, are, with their healthy, fruitful branches, only a tiny part of the vast orchard which has thousands of extremely green trees with strong branches. Being unaware

of the big orchard, or because of the jealousy (that has suffused him) after seeing only a part of the orchard, the owner of the small yard normally brags about a few kinds of fruits he has and challenges: "The delicious fruits in my yard are not in yours. My yard is better-cared-for and more useful than yours. You, and also all people must believe this." What could be done against such an ignorant and imbecilic assertion? After all, the best thing we could do was be humane enough to pity that person because he was unaware of the fact and show him how his yard and the other one (the orchard) were. If he were still stubborn and insisted on his claim, he would deserve only a smile. [So is the case with Christians. Some of them, being deceived by priests and having no knowledge about Islam, refuse to accept Islam. Those who have true information about Islam become Muslims willingly. But others, being too headstrong and too bigoted to accept Islam and fearing that Islam's spreading will destroy, annihilate Christianity, stir up hostility against Islam. These people have deviated from the right way, and they mislead others, too].

He (Isa) went up to heaven before death,
 For he wanted to be in his (Muhammad's) ummat.
 Also, it was for his (Muhammad's) sake
 That the rod of Musa (Moses) became a dragon.
 They supplicated to Allah so earnestly
 That they might become (Muhammad's) ummat.
 No doubt they also are Prophets,
 But (Ahmad) is the highest of them.
 For he is the most worthy of being the highest.
 He who does not know so must be dumbest."

FOOTNOTES

- [17] The Arabic word used in the original text is ihsan.
 [18] He has mercy upon both Muslims and disbelievers in this world.
 [19] He has mercy only upon Muslims in the hereafter.
 [20] Its plural form is AWLIYA.

TRINITY (Belief in Three Gods) and its FALSITY

Protestants have chosen five criterial bases for comparing Qur'an al-karim with today's Gospels. On the first basis, i.e. trinity, they attribute the nonexistence of belief in three hypostases, or three gods, (which are Father, Son, and the Holy Spirit), in Qur'an al-karim to the deficiency of Qur'an al-karim. They assert that the doctrine of trinity was implied in the former heavenly books. After admitting in some of their own publications that this solemn matter is vague in the Taurah, they cannot forward any documents to prove their thesis, with the exception of the Gospel of John, the Book of Acts and the epistles of the Apostles. And the books and epistles which they refer to as proofs are of no value because they are not founded on dependable facts.

Before explaining the matter of trinity, it is necessary to make some observations and explicatory remarks on Isha-i-Rabbani, As we have already mentioned earlier, Isha-i-Rabbani (the Eucharist) is one of the tenets of the Christian belief. Accordingly, since it is believed by Christians that Isa 'alaihi- salam' is one of the three persons each of which is a true god, Christians, so to say, unite with him by eating his flesh and drinking his blood. Thus the sins they have committed are pardoned, they believe, at the cost of sacrificing the Son of God [May Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying or believing so]. And they believe that when a priest breathes (a certain prayer) on a

piece of leavened or unleavened bread and on some wine, the bread becomes the flesh of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and the wine becomes his blood.

[They say that this fact is written in the twenty-sixth and later verses of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, in the twenty-second and later verses of the fourteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark, in the nineteenth and later verses of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Luke. In fact, an event that was carried out when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was alive is narrated in these Gospels. Yet none of the Gospels contains any written account of a commandment such as, "After me, always do the same and have your sins pardoned by sacrificing me." It is written in the nineteenth verse of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Luke: "After me, do this to remember me." But this does not mean to say, "Practice this as (an event of) deliverance from sins" or "Make this a principle of belief." Christians share and eat and drink bread and wine in churches. Thus, they believe, Isa 'alaihi-salam' is sacrificed, eaten, and drunk. In the matter of bread and wine's changing into flesh and blood, which means the sacrifice of Isa 'alaihi-salam', there are various interpretations among Christian churches. According to the creed held by some of them, "Only bread and wine change into the body and blood of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and eventually become Isa himself."

When several thousand priests breathe on the pieces of bread in their hands and consecrate them, at the same time, the Christs thus made by all these priests are either different from one another or the same as one another. Their being different runs counter to the Christian cult. [For it means that many Messiahs, or gods (May Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so), come into being]. Their being the same, on the other hand, is contrary to the nature of matter. For the substance of each of them is different from that of another. And is an apparent fact that one thing cannot be at different places at the same moment. For this reason, the pieces of bread breathed on and made sacred cannot be one Messiah. This, in its turn, is rejected by Christianity. For Christians believe in the existence of only one Jesus.

When a priest divides a loaf of bread into three pieces and gives each piece to a different person, either the Messiah that came into being by the changing of the bread is broken into pieces, or each piece is an entire Messiah. According to the first proposition, God is broken into pieces. Believing in God's being broken into pieces is not compatible with any religion.

As for the second proposition; the bread has already been changed to one Messiah. Whence do the various Messiahs come when the bread is broken into pieces? According to Christians' belief, Isa 'alaihi-salam' came to earth as the propitiation of people's sins and sacrificed himself. If the sacrifice of Isha-i-Rabbani which priests are practicing in churches today is the same as the sacrifice which was once being performed on the cross by Jewry, then the first Isha-i-Rabbani which was performed when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was alive by making the Apostles eat bread and drink wine would have been enough for the expiation of peoples' sins. So the sacrificial crucifixion of Isa 'alaihi-salam' on a wooden cross by Jewry as it is believed so by Christians would have been unnecessary. Nor would there be any reason for priests to carry out [sacramental] ceremonies all over the world. It is written at the end of the ninth chapter of the epistle to the Hebrews that the self-sacrifice of Hadrat Isa for the expiation of peoples sins is an event that happened only once.

[Ulfat 'Aziz as-Samed, one of the teaching staff of Peshaver University, states as follows in the section (The Source of Christian Creeds) of his book titled A Comparative Study of Christianity and Islam, the third edition of which was published in 1399 [A.D. 1976] in Pakistan:

THE SOURCES OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE

In the foregoing pages it has been shown that the religion of Jesus had very little in common with Christianity as it developed sometime after his passing away and as it is believed by the various Christian churches. Jesus was a prophet, a man who conveyed and preached to his people the truth which had been revealed to him through inspiration by God. He exhorted them to repent and give up their evil ways, Jesus was a reformer and reviver of the true religion of Moses and other Prophets, and not the founder of a new faith. His was the religion of Sermon, and not of Sacrament. He had come to show men the way to the Kingdom of Heaven, which they could attain through the love of God and good deeds, and not to redeem them by deliberately dying on the cross as vicarious sacrifice for their sins. After his departure from this world, his immediate followers formed themselves into a community called the Nazarenes. They lived in Jerusalem and chose James, the brother of Jesus, as their head. The Nazarenes were undoubtedly faithful followers of the religion of Jesus and believed in the single personality of God and in Jesus as the Messenger of God. They strictly observed the Law of Moses in all matters, as Jesus himself had instructed them to do.

Jesus had come, as he had said, for the "lost sheep of the house of Israel." The Jews who lived in Jerusalem were only a small fraction of the total Israelite population of the world. There were large Jewish colonies in lands surrounding Palestine. At the time of Jesus' birth Alexandria was a great center of learning and culture. A large number of religions and schools of philosophy flourished there. The Jews of the dispersion had come under the influence of Greek philosophy and of Mystery Cults, each with its own savior-god. [After the short-lived Prophetic mission of Isa 'alaihi-salam', which had lasted only three years, the Jews who believed in him increased in number]. When the religion of Jesus spread to these Jews and many of them accepted him as the promised Messiah, they interpreted him and his message in the light of Greek philosophy and pagan cults. Thus, quite early in its history the religion of Jesus began to undergo a transformation and several different versions of it emerged. The first sign of change was a shift in emphasis from the teaching of Jesus to an interest in his person, and the consequent attempt to glorify him. Dr Morton Scott Enslin, who is one of the greatest Christian scholars of our time, writes in this connection:

"An interest in the person of Jesus, a desire to explain who he was and to interpret everything in terms of him, came gradually to obscure the fact that he had never made such claims for himself, but had been content to proclaim God's purpose for the nation and to call it to repentance. Thus Jesus became more and more one whose person was to be understood and explained rather than one whose teaching was to be believed and obeyed."

This tendency ultimately led to the identification of Jesus with the Greek Logos, as this concept had been expounded by the Alexandrian Jewish philosopher Philo, and so the consequent deification of Jesus. [We shall tell about Philo in the section "Proving the Falsity of Trinity by means of the Statements of Isa 'alaihi-salam'" of our book]. The writings of the Church Fathers of this period are full of unedifying and, to the modern mind, senseless controversies about the nature of Christ, his relation to God the Father, and attempts to reconcile the Godhood of Jesus with the doctrine of monotheism, on which Jesus had laid so much stress. The religion of Jesus and of the Jerusalem community of his followers was nothing more than a reformed sect of Judaism, but among the Jews of the dispersion and their Gentile neighbors, who had neither seen Jesus nor had firsthand acquaintance with his teaching, and who moreover lived in a totally different social and intellectual environment, a new religion, absolutely different from the original faith of Jesus, began to emerge. It is significant that those who claimed to believe in

Jesus were called Christians and their religion Christianity first of all at Antioch towards the end of the first century. In the words of Dr. Morton Scott Enslin [21]:

"But the transfer from Jewish to Gentile soil brought even more radical changes. Not only did the movement speedily become a separate religion, distinct from Judaism, but, as its message was translated into terms intelligible and appropriate to Gentile bearers it became gradually more and more like the other cults with which it found itself in conflict. By the middle of the second century - and probably much earlier - it had become one of the Graeco Oriental cults, and like the others offered salvation to its converts through its divine Lord." [22]

Perhaps the first and most important person to cut off the religion of Jesus from Judaism and make in into "one of the Graeco-Oriental cults" was St Paul. This is what H.G. Wells writes about him:

"Chief among the makers of Christian doctrine was St Paul. He had never seen Jesus nor heard him preach. Paul's name was originally Saul, and he was conspicuous at first as an active persecutor of the little band of disciples after the crucifixion. Then he was suddenly converted to Christianity, and he changed his name to Paul. He was a man of great intellectual vigor and deeply and passionately interested in the religious movements of the time. He was well versed in Judaism and in the Mithraism and Alexandrian religions of the day. He carried over many of their ideas and terms of expression into Christianity. He did very little to enlarge or develop the original teaching of Jesus, the teaching of the Kingdom of Heaven. But he taught that Jesus was not only the promised Christ, the promised leader of the Jews, but also that his death was a sacrifice, like the deaths of the ancient sacrificial victims of the primordial civilizations for the redemption of mankind." [23]

The religion of St Paul was absolutely different from the simple faith of Jesus is admitted by Dr Morton Scott Enslin:

"It is today perfectly obvious that there is a vast difference between the nature of the messages of Jesus and Paul. At times this has led to unsparing condemnation of Paul and his associates who perverted the simple gospel stream. The slogan, 'Back to Jesus,' has simply meant 'Away from Paul'. But although many of the early Judaizers may well have shared this feeling, their opposition was as futile as Canute's attempt to hold back the waves. To make it concrete: Had Jesus been able to attend a Church service in Corinth in the year 54 A.D., he would have been astounded, and might well have asked himself in amazement: Is this the result of my work in Galili? But it is none the less certain had there been no changes, there would have been no Christianity." [24]

Paul not only brought about a final cleavage between Jews and Christians by making Christianity into a mystery cult and Jesus into a savior-god, but he also declared the Law of Moses to be a "curse," although Jesus had said:

"Whosoever.. shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach man so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven; but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven" [Matthew, V. 19]. There were bitter controversies and charges and counter-charges between Paul and his associates on the one hand and the Jerusalem community of the followers of Jesus on the other. Faint echoes of these controversies can still be heard in the New Testament. It was naturally the Pauline version of Christianity which proved more popular among the Jews of the dispersion and the Gentiles, and spread rapidly over large parts of the Roman Empire. Then with the destruction of the Temple and the expulsion of the Jerusalem community of the followers of Jesus, together with the Jews, from Jerusalem in 70 C.E., the original faith of Jesus received a stunning blow from which it could not fully recover.

It, however, continued to flourish for some time as small sect in Syria. Recently a document has been discovered in the archives of Istanbul, which expounds the religious views of this sect of Nazarenes. This tenth-century manuscript is an Arabic translation of much older Syriac work, probably dating from the fifth century and written by a member of the Nazarene community. Dr. Shlomo Pines and Professor David Flusser (both of the Hebrew University), who have examined the manuscript, are of the view that the text accurately reflects the faith of the first disciples of Jesus. This document refers to Jesus simply as a great Prophet and righteous man. Much of the text consists of polemics against St Paul, charging him with heretically substituting Roman doctrines and customs for the authentic teaching of Jesus and falsely proclaiming him to be God. The influence of the Greek philosophical schools of Platonism, Stoicism and Gnosticism was an important factor in the formulation of the Christian doctrine as Dr. Edwin Hatch has shown in his admirable work *The Influence of Greek Ideas on Christianity*. But the decisive influence was that of the Mystery Cults. There were several mystery cults in the Roman world of those days, having many differences among them, but they appear to have had at least four characteristics in common: (1) Every one of them believed in a savior-god, whose death was an atonement for the sins of men and a means of salvation for those who believed in him, (2) All had some purificatory rite of initiation through which the initiate had to pass. (3) All were essentially mysteries of communion with the deity who, through a rite involving a symbolic eating of his flesh and drinking of his blood, came into union with his votaries. (4) All looked forward to the future life and secured for the initiate a happy reception in the world beyond the grave.

[Encyclopedia Americana gives the following information about the world (Sacrifice):

"The ancient Greeks performed sacramental rites called (thusiai) and (sphagia) in the name of the good of heaven, Olympus. Thusiai was performed always during the day, preferably in the morning. Certain parts of the animals sacrificed were burned as stakes on a rock called (Bomos). The remaining parts were eaten by people that gathered around a tall rock. The rite ended in music and dancing.

The sacrificial rite called Sphagia was performed at night. The rock used for the burning of the meat in this rite was called (eschara).

These Greek names of rites were expressed only with the word (sacrifice) in Latin. And the word (Altars) was used for the words (Bomos), the rock whereon the sacrifices were burned, and (eschara), the rock around which people gathered and ate the sacrifices."

On the other hand, in the sacrament called the Eucharist, which is performed in the Christian religion, the rock used for putting the bread and wine on and gathering around is called (Altar), too. And this sacrament, too, is accompanied by music. When the consecrated bread is broken, (Christians believe), the sacrifice will have been performed, and when it is dipped into the wine and eaten, one will have, so to speak, united with God spiritually. Similarity between the Greek rites (thusiai) and (sphagia) and the sacrament called the Eucharist is quite obvious. We shall continue with this subject]. There can be no doubt about the fact that it was as a result of the influence of the mystery cults that Jesus was made into a savior-god and his supposed death on the cross to be regarded as propitiatory sacrifice which and given satisfaction to the outraged justice of God, reconciled the angry God to sinful humanity and obtained salvation for those who believe in him. The two most important Christian rites or sacraments are Baptism and the Eucharist. The former is an initiatory rite by which a man is purified of the original sin, transformed from the child of wrath into the child of grace and initiated into the Christian fold. In the second of these rites (the Eucharist or the Mass or the Holy Communion) the participant supposedly eats the flesh and drinks the blood of Jesus Christ. The Roman Catholic Church and

also a few orthodox Protestant churches believe that the elements (i.e. the consecrated bread and wine) are literally converted into the flesh and blood of Christ (the doctrine of the transubstantiation). The less orthodox Protestant church consider this rite to be a symbolical eating of the flesh and drinking of the blood of Jesus Christ, which brings the participant into union with God. That Christianity had become and continues to be essentially a mystery cult, like so many others of that age, is frankly admitted by Dr Morton Scott Enslin.

"By the second century Christianity had become one of these cults. Jesus was the divine Lord. He too had found the road to heaven by his suffering and resurrection. He too had God for his father. He had left behind the secret whereby men could achieve the goal with him. The convert was buried with Christ in baptism, was born again. That Christianity was so regarded is perfectly clear from the pains Justin Martyr takes to prove that these resemblances between Christianity and the other religions were all due to the malignity of the demons. These wretched demons had read the Scriptures and had realized, although imperfectly, what was destined to be. They trembled as they saw their coming overthrow and realized their helplessness to prevent it. To salvage as much as possible and to delude men they hastily concocted rites and ceremonies as near as possible to those they foresaw were to be instituted. Thus they hoped that when Christ appeared and instituted his worship men might be deluded into believing that the Christians were borrowing from older pagan ceremonies and beliefs. To the modern student this explanation of Justin may seem most naive; none the less, it is highly important as incontrovertible evidence of the growing likeness of Christianity to the other cults which made such an explanation essential." [25]

In his book *The Origins Religion*, Lord Raglan traces the origin of the mystery cults to what he regards as one of the earliest rituals, a sort of restoration rite. In prehistoric times, he points out, it was the custom in several communities to choose a young man as the destined divine victim and to keep him with divine honors for a year. He was treated as the most privileged guest of the whole community and all his wishes were satisfied. At the end of the year, however, he was ritually slaughtered and his flesh was eaten and blood drunk by some representative men of the community to bring new life to all those on whose behalf this rite was performed. Portions of the flesh and blood of the sacrificed man were also scattered over the field to give it fertility and revive the world. In the course of time the chosen sacrificial victim conspired with the priests to have a substitute slaughtered in his place. He would abdicate for a short while, the substitute would be compelled to take his place and be sacrificed. He would then resume the place of honor, thus making himself a sort of permanent privileged guest or ruler. Lord Raglan traces the ideas of kingship as well as of godhood to this sacrificial victim. The divine sacrificial victim, who had thus managed to become a permanent privileged guest of the community while his substitutes were slaughtered year after year, was the first king as well as the first living god. When later on his divinity came to be regarded as separate from him, though residing in him, he began to be worshipped as the incarnation of the invisible god, or as his son.

[Traditional narratives pertaining to ancient heathen cultures and nations and fabling about their gods, semigods and heroes are called mythology]. Lord Raglan believes that a myth is a story linked with a religious rite. Rites come first and myths are invented later on to "explain" the rites. Thus, following, this restoration rite, several myths of savior-gods were invented. By their deaths and resurrection these savior-gods brought new life and salvation to those who believed in them. The most important ceremony connected with the cult of the savior-god was the symbolical eating of his flesh and drinking of his blood, which was supposed to bring the partaker into union

with the god. It enshrined the memory of the times when the sacrificial victim, the prototype of the savior-god, was actually slaughtered and his flesh eaten and blood drunk.

In the course of years the myth of the savior-god became fused with the myth of the sun-god, and thus every one of them was believed to have been born at the time of Winter Solstice, which, according to the old Julian calendar, was 25 th of December (the Christmas of the Christians). Each one of the savior-sungods met violent death and came back to life at the time of Vernal Equinox (the Easter of the Christians). Edward Carpenter has pointed out the similarities between the myths of the various savior-gods - Dionysus of the Greeks, Hercules of the Romans, Mithras of the Persians. Osiris, Isis and Horus of Egypt, Bal of the northern Semites, Tammuz of the Babylonians and Assyrians, etc. - and the story of Jesus. About all or nearly all of them it was believed that -

- (1) They were born on or very near the Christmas day,
- (2) They were born of virgin mothers,
- (3) And in a cave or underground chamber,
- (4) They led a life of toil for mankind,
- (5) They were called by the names of Light-Bringer, Healer, Mediator, Savior and Deliverer,
- (6) They were, however, vanquished by the Powers of Darkness,
- (7) They descended into Hell or the underworld,
- (8) They rose again from the dead, and became pioneers of mankind to the heavenly world,
- (9) They founded communion of saints and churches to which the disciples were received by baptism,
- (10) They were commemorated by Eucharistic meals [26]. When Jesus was deified and made into a savior-god, all these features of these older savior-gods were included in his story and in the religion which flourished under his name. So much so that even the birthday of Jesus was fixed on 25 th of December, more than five centuries after he was born. According to Wallace K.Ferguson, Professor of History, New York University:

"Christian celebrations were designed to replace pagan feasts and holidays. For example, the date of Christmas was set on the birthday of Mithras (the unconquered Sun), which had long been a day of joyous celebration in the pagan world. The assimilation by Christianity of so much of popular belief and practice was in no small degree responsible for its almost universal acceptance during this period, but it involved the sacrifice of its early purity and simplicity." [27]

Lord Raglan, who has made a detailed study of the stories of mythical heroes in another of his admirable books. The Hero, has tabulated the typical incidents, which occur in the majority of stories, into the following pattern:

- (1) The hero's mother is a royal virgin;
- (2) His father is a king, and
- (3) Often a near relative of his mother, but
- (4) The circumstances of his conception are unusual, and
- (5) He is also reputed to be the Son of God.
- (6) At birth an attempt is made, usually by his father or his maternal grandfather, to kill him, but
- (7) He is spirited away, and
- (8) Reared by foster-parents in a far country.
- (9) We are told nothing of his childhood, but
- (10) On reaching manhood he returns or goes his future kingdom.
- (11) After a victory over the king and/or a giant, dragon, or wild beast.
- (12) He marries a princess, often the daughter of his

predecessor, and

- (13) Becomes a king.
- (14) For a time he reigns uneventfully, and
- (15) Prescribes laws, but
- (16) Later he loses favor with the gods and/or his subjects, and
- (17) Is driven from the throne and city, after which
- (18) He meets with a mysterious death,
- (19) Often at the top of a hill.
- (20) His children, if any, do not succeed him.
- (21) His body is not buried, but nevertheless
- (22) He has one or more holy sepulchres. [28]

Out of these twenty-two points, Lord Raglan informs us that Oedipus scores full marks, Theseus twenty points, Romulus eighteen points, Heracles seventeen points, Perseus eighteen points, Jason fifteen points, Pelops thirteen points, Dionysus nineteen points, Apollo eleven points, and Zeus fifteen points. The story of the Christian Jesus closely conforms to the pattern and he scores fifteen points. His mother, Mary, is (1) a virgin, and his father Joseph is (2) a descendant of the great king David, and is (3) closely related to her; but (4) he is conceived by the Holy Ghost, and so (5) he is regarded as the Son of God (6) soon after his birth king Herod makes an attempt to kill him, but (7) he is spirited away, and (8) reared by Mary and foster father Joseph in the far-off country of Egypt. (9) We are told nothing of his childhood in the Gospels, but (10) on reaching manhood he comes out as a public preacher and finally enters Jerusalem riding on a colt and is greeted by the crowd with the shout "Blessed is the King of Israel that cometh in the name of the Lord" (John, XII, 13). Earlier, before beginning his public ministry, he had (11) gained victory over Satan. (18) He is crucified together with two malefactors, and (19) on the top of a hill (called Calvary/Golgotha. (21) Though he came back to life and ascended in his physical body to heaven to sit at the right hand of God, yet (22) he has a holy sepulchre near Jerusalem.

This leaves no doubt at all in our minds regarding the sources of the Christian doctrine. [We shall give further examples later on, i.e. in the section Proving the Falsity of Trinity by means of the Statements of Isa 'alaihi- salam']. In the words of the well-known philosopher and historian, Winwood Reade:

"Christianity had conquered paganism, and paganism had corrupted Christianity. The legends which belonged to Osiris and Apollo had been applied to the life of Jesus. The single Deity of the Jews had been exchanged for the Trinity which the Egyptians had invented and which Plato had idealized into a philosophic system, [and which had existed in Brahminism, too]. The man who had said "Why callest thou me good? there is none good but one, that is, God' had now himself been made a god or the third part of one." [29]

Gilbert Murray, the great Classical scholar, thus sums up the similarities between the pagan and Christian beliefs, showing Christianity's indebtedness to the pagan religions and philosophies for the most vital and essential features of its doctrine:

"The transition consisted largely in giving an new name and history to some old objects of worship which already had many names and legends attached to it. Nay, more, in the metaphysical and theological doctrines formulated in the Creeds, except where they were specially meant to controvert the old system, he (the Levantine pagan) would at least recognize for the most part ideas which he had heard discussed.

"He believed in God as a 'Father' and would have no quarrel with a Christian as to the exact meaning of that metaphysical term; the attribute Almighty' he accepted, though both Christian

and pagan theologians had the same difficulty in dealing with the implications of that term and explaining how the All-good and Almighty permitted evil. The average Greek did not think of God as the 'maker of heaven and earth'; the thought was Hebrew or Babylonian, but was not strange to the Hellenistic world. The idea of an only begotten Son of God was regular in the Orphic system, and that of a Son of God by a mortal woman, conceived in some spiritual way, and born for the saving of mankind, was at least as old as the fifth century B.C. In a simpler and more natural form it was much earlier. That this Savior 'suffered and was buried' is common to the vegetation or year religions, with their dying and suffering gods; and the idea had been sharpened and made more living both by the thought of Plato's 'righteous man' and by the various 'kings of the poor' who had risen and suffered in the slave revolts. That after the descent to Hades he should arise to judge both the quick and the dead is a slight modification of the ordinary Greek notion, according to which the Judges were already seated at their work, but it may have come from the Savior religions.

"The belief in God as a Trinity, or as One substance with three 'personae' -the word simply means 'masks' or 'dramatic roles'-is directly inherited from Greek speculation. The third person was more usually feminine, the divine wisdom, or Providence, or the Mother of the Son; the 'Spirit' or 'Breath of God' comes from the Hebrews. Belief in the Holy Catholic Church was again not the pagan's own belief, but it was the sort of belief with which he was quite familiar. He accepted belief in some church or community, be it that of Mithras or Hermes-Thoth or some familiar Healer. If the 'communion of the saints' originally meant the sharing of all property among the faithful, that practice was familiar in certain congregations; if it meant, as is now generally understood, the existence of a certain fellowship or community between those who are 'pure', whether dead, living, or divine, it was an idea prevalent in Stoicism." [30] Here we end our quotations from the book of the Professor of Peshaver University.

[As all these show, Christianity is not the Nasrani (Nazarene) religion that was taught by Isa 'alaihi-salam' and which was the continuation of the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. It is an unreasonable and illogical religion, a mixture of idolatry lurking behind the name of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Many Christian men of religion, professors, scholars and scientists frankly write that such Christian ceremonies as Baptism and the Eucharist did not exist in the Isawi religion but were adopted later from idolatry and inserted into it, and that Isa 'alaihi-salam', who was a human being and a Prophet, was divinized afterwards. Instead of answering these writings and the questions directed towards them by Islamic scholars, priests choose to seize and destroy these books (containing such writings and questions), and publish books and pamphlets, adding a number of new lies, errors and absurdities to the old ones. And this shows us that by the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, Christianity has gone entirely bankrupt, and it has been understood clearly that it is empty, void].

Two Jesuit priests went to the city of Kanton for the first time in order to Christianize the Chinese people. [Jesuit is a missionary society founded by Ignatius Loyola in 918 [A.D. 1512]]. They asked the governor of Kanton for permission to preach the Christian religion. The governor took no heed of them. But when the Jesuits annoyed him by coming to him every day (and soliciting for permission), he said at last, "I have to ask the Faghfur [Emperor] of China for permission for this. I shall let him know." So he reported the matter to the Emperor of China. The answer was: "Send them to me. I want to know what they want." Upon this he sent the Jesuits to Peking, the capital of China. This news caused great alarm among the Buddhist priests. [They begged the Faghfur to expel the Jesuits from the country on the grounds that "These men are trying to imbue our people with a new religion which emerged under the name Christianity.

These men do not recognize the Holy Buddha. They are going to misguide our people."] The Faghfur said, "We must listen to them first. Then we will decide." He made an assembly of the eminent statesmen and clergy of the country. Inviting the Jesuits, he told them to explain to the assembly what the principles of the religion they wanted to promulgate were. Upon this the Jesuits made the following discourse:

"God, the Creator of heaven and earth, is one. Yet at the same time. He is three. God's only Son and the Holy Ghost, too, are a God each. This God created Adam and Eve and put them in Paradise. He gave them all kinds of blessings. Only, He commanded them not to eat from a certain tree. Somehow the Satan deceived Eve. And she, in her turn, deceiving Adam, they disobeyed God's command by eating fruit from the tree. Therefore God deported them from Paradise and sent them to the world. Here they had children and grandchildren. They were all sinful because they had been depraved by the sin committed by their grandfather. This state lasted six thousand years. Eventually God pitied human beings, yet He found no other way than sending His own son for the expiation of their sin and immolating His only son as an atonement of the sin. The Prophet we believe in is Jesus the Son of God. There is a city called Jerusalem in a region called Palestine to the west of Arabia. In Jerusalem there is a place called Jelila (Galili), which has a village named Nasira (Nazareth). One thousand years ago there lived a girl named Maryam (Mary) in this village. This girl was betrothed to her paternal first cousin, but she was a virgin yet. One day, as she was alone, the Holy Ghost appeared and put the Son of God into her. That is, the girl became pregnant, virgin as she was. [Then, as she and her fiance were on their way to Jerusalem, she had a child in a stable in Beyt-i-lahm (Bethlehem). They placed the Son of God into the manger in the stable. The monks in the east, who knew that he was born when they saw that a new star suddenly emerged in the sky, set out for him with presents in their hands, and at last they found him in this stable. They prostrated themselves in front of him. The Son of God, called Jesus, preached to God's creatures until he was thirty-three years old. He said, 'I am the Son of God. Believe in me. I came to save you.' He displayed numerous miracles, such as resuscitating the dead, making the blind see again, making the lame walk, curing the leprous, stopping sea-storms, feeding ten-thousand people with two fish, changing water into wine, withering a fig tree with one (hand) signal because it did not yield any fruit in winter, and so forth. Yet very few people believed in him. Eventually, the treacherous Jews betrayed him to the Romans, thus causing him to be crucified. However, three days after dying on the cross, Christ resurrected and showed himself to those who believed in him. Then he ascended to heaven and sat on the right hand side of his Father. And his Father left all the matters of this world over to him. And He Himself withdrew. This is the basis of the religion we are going to preach. Those who believe in this shall go to Paradise in the hereafter, and those who do not shall go to Hell."

Listening to these words, the Chinese Emperor said to the priests, "I shall ask you some questions. Answer these questions." Then he began asking his questions, "My first question is this: You say on the one hand that God is one and on the other hand that He is three. This is as nonsensical as saying that two and two make five. Explain this theory to me." The priests could not answer. They said, "This is a secret that belongs exclusively to God. It is beyond the human comprehension." The Faghfur (Emperor) said, "My second question is this; God is the almighty creator of the earth, heaven, and all the universe, and yet, on account of a sin committed by one person, He ascribes the blame on all his progeny, who are completely unaware of the (sinful) deed (committed by their forefather); is this possible? And why is it that He did not find any other way than sacrificing His own son as an atonement for them? Is it worthy of His Majesty? How will you answer this?" The priests, once again, could not answer. "This, too, is a secret

peculiar to God," they said. The Faghfur said, "And my third question: "Jesus asked the fig tree to give fruit prematurely, and then withered it because it would not give fruit. It is impossible for a tree to give fruit out of season. Despite this fact, would it not be cruelty for Jesus to get angry with the tree and wither it? Could a Prophet be cruel?" The priests could not answer this, either. Instead, they said, "These things are spiritual. They are God's secrets. The human mind cannot comprehend them." Upon this, the Chinese Emperor said, "I give you the permission (you want). Go and preach in any part of China." When they withdrew from the Emperor's presence, the Emperor turned to those who were present, and said, "I do not presume that anyone in China would be so stupid as to believe in such absurdities, I therefore find nothing wrong in allowing these men to preach these superstitions. I feel certain that, after listening to hem, our compatriots will see that there are such idiotic tribes over the world and think even more favorably of their own faith." In order to remind the fact that the priests could not answer any of the questions, we have titled our book Could Not Answer.

FOOTNOTES

[21] Morton Scott Enslin, Christian Beginnings, Part II, p 172.

[22] Ibid Part II p.187.

[23] H.G. Welis, Short History of the World (A pelican Book), pp. 129-30.

[24] Morton Scott Enslin, op. cit., Part II, p. 182.

[25] Ibid , Part 12, pp. 130-91.

[26] Quoted by Ehwajah Kamaluddin in The Sources of Christianity. pp. 29-30.

[27] Wallace K Ferguson, A survey of European Civilization, Part I, p. 112.

[28] Lord Reglan, The Hero, pp.178-79.

[29] Winwond Reade, The Martyrdom of Man, pp. 183-84.

[30] Gilbert Murray, Humanist Essays, pp. 134-35.

PROVING THE FALSITY OF TRINITY BY MEANS OF THE STATEMENTS OF ISA 'alaihi-salam'

The Gospels contain many verses proving the fact that the belief of trinity is wrong.

[Before citing those verses, it will be useful to give brief information on the origin of the belief of trinity [three gods], which was inserted into Christianity afterwards. In all the religions that have been revealed since Adam 'alaihi-salam', Allahu ta'ala has been the [only] creator and owner, and His name has been (ALLAH) in all these religions. Everybody with common sense will know that it is wrong to believe in trinity, three gods. The fact that Allahu ta'ala is one is stated also in the Gospel written by Barnabas, one of the Apostles. The Gospel of Barnabas was published in Turkish in 1987, in Istanbul. As the Bible was being translated into Greek and Latin, the Romans, who had hundreds of gods till that time, were not satisfied with one God, and wanted to multiply the number. They inserted this (theory) into the Gospel of John first. The original copy of the Gospel had already been lost, and they changed it for good this time. This doctrine was validated by force in the council (the ecclesiastical assembly which was convoked by Constantine the Great in 325. Its reason was that the Greeks adhered to the Platonic philosophy. The Platonic philosophy is based on three principles: Morals, mind, and nature. And nature is divided into three: plants, animals, and human beings. According to Plato, the Power that created the world is one, but He may have two assistants. This theory gave birth to the doctrine of trinity. Though the doctrine of trinity was first seen in the Gospel of John, the same

Gospel contains verses proving the fact that Allahu ta'ala is one. We shall mention some of them].

The third verse of the seventeenth chapter of the Gospel of John states: "O Father, the eternal life [life in the world to come] consists in their recognizing Thee who art a real God and Jesus the Messiah whom Thou hast sent." This verse announces clearly that Allahu ta'ala is (ONE), who is the owner of real, eternal life, and that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is a Messenger sent by Allahu ta'ala.

By commanding through this verse to have belief in the eternal life, i.e. life in the hereafter, in the existence and unity of Allahu ta'ala, and in Prophets, the Gospel of John enjoins that a doctrine running counter to this, i.e. trinity, is an everlastingly inadmissible falsity. [This verse of John's declares that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is a Messenger, a Prophet. Thinking and believing otherwise afterwards means apparent aberration that will annihilate the eternal life, the everlasting felicity in the hereafter. In the beginning of the seventeenth chapter of the Gospel of John Isa 'alaihi-salam' is quoted as praying as follows on the cross: "Eternal life is knowing Thee, the only real God, and Jesus the Messiah, whom Thou hast sent" [Verse: 3]. Isa 'alaihi-salam' announces here that Allahu ta'ala is the only being who is to be worshipped, who is worthy of being worshipped, and he himself (Isa 'alaihi-salam') is His born servant and Messenger. He informs that eternal life, life in Paradise is impossible unless it is accepted and believed that Allahu ta'ala is the one Rab and he (Isa 'alaihi-salam') is the Prophet. This is the very fact taught by Isa 'alaihi-salam' and all the other Prophets 'alaihimus-salam' alike. That is, it is to believe in the existence and the unity of Allahu ta'ala and to confirm His Prophets]. Islam, alone, comprehends this belief of the eternal life to come in its entire and correct sense. Since Christians have fallen into the abyss of trinity; Jews do not believe in Isa 'alaihi-salam', [and sordidly traduce that immaculate Prophet, and do not believe in Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', either]; idolaters, [those who do not believe in any religion, atheists] deny all Prophets; there cannot be a real life of felicity, life of Paradise for them. [As a punishment for their denial of Allahu ta'ala and His Prophets and their slanderous and inimical attitude, they shall remain forever in Hell. They shall lead a grievous, torturous life in Hell].

It is written in the twenty-ninth and later verses of the twelfth chapter of the Gospel of Mark that when a Jewish scholar asked Isa 'alaihi-salam' what the first and the most important commandment was, Isa 'alaihi-salam' said, "Listen, o thou Israeli: our Allah, Rab, is the Rab who is one. And thou shalt love the Rab, who is thine Allah, with all thine heart, with all thine soul, with all thine thought, and with all thine might. Secondly, thou shalt love thine neighbor like loving thyself. There is no other commandment greater than this. The Jew said unto him: Very well, o thou Teacher! Thou hast spoken truly that Allah is one. There is no one except He. And loving Him with all thine heart, with all thine thought, with all thine might, and loving thine neighbor like thyself; these are superior to all sorts of worships done and all sorts of sacrifices performed. And when Jesus saw this wise answer of his, he said: You are not far from Allah's creation."

In the thirty-sixth, thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth verses of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Matthew when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was asked, "O Teacher! What is the greatest commandment in the Shariat?", Jesus said unto him: Thou shall love Rab, who is thine Allah, with all thine heart, with all thine soul, with all thine thought. This is the great and the primary commandment. And it is stated in the fortieth verse that all Shariats and Prophets are dependent on this commandment. [The fact that Allah is one is written clearly in the Gospels of Matthew and Mark. The word 'Father' means 'Rab', 'Owner', and 'Lord'. It does not mean biological father].

[Furthermore, the epistles that have been annexed to the Bible and are therefore considered to be its components contain statements expressing that Allahu ta'ala is one.

The twentieth verse of the third chapter of Paul's epistle to the Galatians states: "and ALLAH IS ONE."

The fourth, the fifth and the sixth verses of the fourth chapter of Paul's epistle to the Ephesians state: "The body is one and the soul is one, the Rab (Allah) is one, and iman (faith, belief) is one. ALLAH, the Father above all, with all, in all, and of all is one."

The seventeenth verse of the first chapter of Timothy I states: "Now, may eternal times eternal hurmat (glory) and izzat (honor) be to the ONE ALLAH, who is the Ruler of times, who never ceased to exist, and who is not seen by the eye."

The fourth and fifth verses of the second chapter state: "It is good and acceptable [to pray, supplicate, and pay gratitude to those who are in a high position] according to Allah, who is the savior. He wishes all people to attain salvation and to reach the knowledge of the truth. For ALLAH IS ONE, and there is a communicant [an envoy, a Messenger, a Rasul] between Allah and human beings," The twenty-seventh verse of Yahuda's (Judah) epistle states: "To the ONE ALLAH, our Almighty Savior."]

The first commandment, the first injunction in the Taurah, [in the genuine Injil (the Bible in its pristine purity)], in all the heavenly Books, [and in the Shariats of all Prophets], is tawhid, which means to believe in the existence and unity of Allahu ta'ala. Had the first and the most important commandment been trinity, Adam 'alaihi-salam' and all the succeeding Prophets 'alaihimus-salam' would have announced it overtly. None of those Prophets stated anything like that. This is another proof testifying to the fact that the doctrine of trinity did not exist originally but appeared afterwards.

[These verses from the New Testament definitely rescind the Christian doctrine of (belief in three Gods). Isa 'alaihi-salam' overtly commands here to believe in Allahu ta'ala, who is one, and to love Him more than anything else. Paul also wrote in every occasion in his epistles that Allahu ta'ala is one. If Isa 'alaihi-salam' were a God a Christians believe, he would have said that the primary commandment was to love him and that there were three Gods.

The Taurah, too, announces the unity of Allahu ta'ala in many places.

The thirty-ninth verse of the fourth chapter of Tesniya (Deuteronomy) states: "and today know and place in thine heart and believe certainly that up in heavens and down on earth the RAB IS ALLAH, there is none other."

The fourth verse of the sixth chapter states: "Listen o Israeli: The Rab is the RAB WHO IS ONE, and thou shalt love the Rab, who is thine Allah, with all thine heart and with all thine soul and with all thine might."

The thirty-ninth verse of the thirty-second chapter states: "Now, see that I, I am He, and there is no God besides Me. I kill and I bring to life."

The twenty-fifth verse of the fortieth chapter of (the Book of) Isaiah states: "Who would you liken me to, so that I would be equal to him? [Allah, who is] Quddus (Divine, Holy), says. Raise your eyes and see. Who created these?"

The tenth and later verses of the forty-third chapter state: "The Rab says: Thou art Mine witnesses and Mine born servants whom I have chosen so that thou shalt know and believe in Me and know that I am He. There was no Allah before Me, and there shall not be any after Me, either. I, I am the Rab, and there is no savior other than Me. The RAB says: I AM ALLAH."

The fifth verse of the forty-fifth chapter states: "I am the RAB, and there is none else. There is no Allah except Me."

The tenth verse of the second chapter of Malachi states: "Is not the Father of all of us the same? Did not one Allah create us?" Again, in the Book of Isaiah, in the eighteenth verse of its forty-fifth chapter, it is stated: "For Allah, who is the RAB, the Creator of heavens, who formed the world and created it, who made it firm and who did not create it in vain, and who put it into a liveable shape, says: I am the RAB, and none else is."

The ninth verse of the forty-sixth chapter states: "I am Allah, and there is none else. I am Allah, and there is no one like Me." Inasmuch as the Old Testament section of the Holy Bible is included in the Christian belief, it must be interesting to know what Christians will do about these verses. For these verses reject belief in any god, no matter what it be called, son or holy ghost or whatsoever, except (ALLAAHU TA'ALA). They declare definitely that Allahu ta'ala is one and He has no partner or likeness. [Believing in trinity, Christians deny these verses].

In the thirty-second verse of the thirteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark, Isa 'alaihissalam' says, "But no one except the Father, neither the angels in heaven nor the son, knows about today and this hour." The verse states that only the Father knows.

It is written as follows in the twentieth and later verses of the twentieth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "A woman came to Isa 'alaihissalam' and said: Command that one of these two sons of mine be seated on your right hand side and the other be seated on your left in your dominion. Isa 'alaihissalam' replied: I do not have the authority to bless with sitting on my right or left. Yet this blessing shall be given to those for whom it has been prepared by my Father."

[As is stated in the Gospel of Mark, Isa 'alaihissalam' declared that he did not know when the end of the world will come, and that Allahu ta'ala, alone, knows its time. He did not refrain from saying this publicly. Mustn't a person who is believed to be the son of Allah or Allah himself know this? Some Christians tried to explain this (contradiction) in various ways, but they were not convinced by their own explanations].

The verses we have cited from the existing Gospels and from the Old Testament cry out the fact that the doctrine of trinity is wrong. For these verses take knowledge and power away from Isa 'alaihissalam' and assign them to Allahu ta'ala.

The sixteenth and seventeenth verses of the nineteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew state: "Someone came to Jesus and said: O generous Teacher! What good should I do to attain eternal life? Jesus said unto him: Why do you call me 'generous'? There is no generous except Allah, who is one." This verse extirpates trinity. [These statements of Isa's 'alaihissalam' are written textually in the Holy Bible which was published in Istanbul in the lunar year 1303 [A.D. 1886] by British and American Bible corporations. On the other hand, this seventeenth verse is written as, "Jesus said unto him: Why do you ask me for goodness? There is one who is good," in the Holy Bible published in 1982 by the united Bible societies. As it is seen, the expression, "There is no generous except Allah, who is one," has been excised. The statement about the unity of Allahu ta'ala has been detoured. Thus a new mutilation has been added to the changes that have been exercised on the Bible throughout centuries.]

In the forty-sixth and the fiftieth verses of the twenty-seventh chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihissalam', as he was on the cross, cried out: "Eli, Eli, lima sebaqteni," which means, "My Allah, my Allah, why hast Thou forsaken me?" On the other hand, it is written in the forty-sixth verse of the twenty-third chapter of the Gospel of Luke that he cried, "O Father, I trust my soul to your hands." These verses announce without any doubt that Isa 'alaihissalam' is not divine. [If Isa 'alaihissalam' had been the same as the Rab, he would not have asked for help from anyone. He would not have said, "I trust my soul to Thine hands." Will a God die? Will a God ever ask for help from others, or become sorry or aggrieved? A God must be eternal, permanent, alive

[hayy], immortal, and must not need anyone. It is written clearly in the Old Testament that this is so. It is written in the twenty-eighth verse of the fortieth chapter of the Book of Isaiah: "O Israeli, did not you know? Did not you hear? Allah, who has created the extremes of the world [the earth and heaven], does not become weak or tired. The depth of His hikmat cannot be reached."

It is stated in the sixth verse of the forty-fourth chapter: "The Ruler and Savior of Israel and the Rab (Allah) of the armies of Israel declares: I am the first and I am the last, and there is no God besides Me."

And it is written in the tenth verse of the tenth chapter of the Book of Jeremiah: "But the RAB is the true Allah, the Malik (Ruler) who is alive, hayy and eternal, [to whom death shall never come]. His wrath makes the world tremble, and nations cannot endure His wrath. Those gods who have not created heavens and the earth shall perish under the earth and heavens. The Rab (Allah) created the earth with His might. He established the world with His hikmat (divine wisdom, ultimate divine law of causation). He laid heavens with His omniscience."

As is concluded from these verses in the Old Testament, Allahu ta'ala is one and has infinite power. He is Allah, to whom Isa 'alaihi-salam' entrusted himself and asked for help as, according to the Christian cult, he was being crucified [may Allahu ta'ala protect us against saying or believing so]. While believing in the divinity of Isa 'alaihi-salam', Christians not only acknowledge at the same time that he died, but also believe that after death he will enter Hell as an atonement for people's sins. They put forward the eighteenth and the nineteenth verses of the third chapter of Peter's first epistle as an evidence for proving that Isa 'alaihi-salam' will enter Hell.

Rahmatullah Effendi "rahmatullahi alaih" explains this Christian belief and priests' writings and answers in this respect in his book *Iz-har-ul-haq*, and states: In a meeting the famous priest Martiros said: "No doubt, Jesus had accepted to be human like us. For this reason, he would have to put up with all the calamities and afflictions that have and would come unto human beings. As a matter of fact, he did put up with them all. To this effect he entered Hell and was tormented. As he went out of Hell, he took along all of those who had entered Hell before him out with him." There are creedal differences among Christian sects in this respect. A person in whom they believe as such is at the same time, according to them again, an omnipresent God who dominates over and owns all].

It is stated in the fourteenth and later verses of the twentieth chapter of the Gospel of John: "Jesus showed himself to Mary of Magdala. And he said unto her: Do not touch me. For I have not ascended near my father yet. But go to my brothers [Apostles] and tell them: I am ascending to my Father and your Father, to my God and your God."

It is understood from these verses that Isa 'alaihi-salam' uses the terms son and Father not only when he is concerned. They are a metaphorical pair used as special expressions in the dialect or language he spoke. According to the literal meaning of these words Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the son of Allahu ta'ala, yet by saying, "my God and your God," in the same verses, he acknowledges that Allahu ta'ala is ilah. Moreover, he considers the Apostles on the same status as he is and makes them his partners.

[After saying, "to my Father and your Father," he adds the phrase, "to my God and your God," in order to explain the former phrase and to say that they are the born servants of one Allah. Thus the Apostles become partners to Isa 'alaihi-salam' were to be accepted as a God on account of his saying "to my Father" about Allahu ta'ala, then it would be necessary to accept each of the Apostles as a God partner to him because he says "to your Father." During the life time of Isa 'alaihi-salam' none of the Apostles accepted him as a God or called him the son of God. This

epithet was given to him a long time after his death according to Christians ascension to heaven. And this shows that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is not Allah. He is not Ibn-ullah, that is, the son of Allah, either. He is only Abd-ullah. That is, he is the born servant of Allah].

It is written in the twenty-eighth verse of the fourteenth chapter of John that Isa 'alaihi-salam' said, "The Father is greater than I am." Isa 'alaihi-salam' states that Allahu ta'ala is greater than he is. Christians' calling Isa 'alaihi-salam' 'God' means denying a very obvious fact, [which is also acknowledged even by today's Gospels despite all the interpolations including trinity].

[The Bible's translations into Greek and Latin were done without understanding and therefore with many mistakes. This fact is quite conspicuous in trinity. For the word 'father', in Hebrew, does not only mean 'one's own father'. It also has the meaning 'great, respectable person.' For this reason, Qur'an al-karim uses the expression, "His father called Azar," about Azar, who was the paternal uncle of Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam'. For his father, Taruh, was dead. He had been raised by his uncle and called him 'father' as it was customary in this time. It is written in the Old Testament part of the Bible also that the father of Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' was Taruh. In English as well, originator or designer of something as well as any person who deserves filial reverence is called 'father.' By the same token, the word 'Son', in Hebrew, is more often than not used to mean a person who is younger than or inferior to another person and who is at the same time attached to him with excessive affection. As we have stated earlier, it is written in the ninth verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew: "How lucky for those who make peace ! For they shall be called (Son of God)." As it is seen, the word (Son) means (beloved born servant of Allah). No Christian has used this verse or many other similar verses as grounds for the divinization of the people for whom these terms are expressed. Then, in the original Bible the word (Father) was used to mean a blessed being, i.e. Allahu ta'ala, and the word (Son) was used to mean His beloved born servant. A great majority of Christians, who have come to their senses only recently, have been saying, "All of us are God's born servants, children. God is the Rab, the Father of us all. The words (Father) and (Son) in the Bible should be understood as such." It is a proven fact that when the original Hebrew version of the Bible was translated, many a word was given a wrong meaning, like the words (Father) and (Son). Details pertaining to this fact are soon to follow].

In the twenty-fourth verse of the fourteenth chapter of the Gospel of John, Isa 'alaihi-salam' is reported to have said: "The words you hear are not mine. But they belong to the Father who has sent me." And in the tenth verse: "Whatever I say unto thee, I do not say it from myself."

The twenty-second verse of the second chapter of Acts of the Apostles states: "O soldiers of Israel, listen well to these words: You yourselves know Jesus of Nazareth, that is, the man sanctioned by God."

The twenty-sixth verse of the third chapter states: "In order to bless you each by averting you from malefaction, God made His son rise and sent him to you first."

The twenty-ninth verse of the fourth chapter states: "... so that signs and wonders will happen through the name of Thine sacred son Jesus...". It becomes apparent through these verses that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is a Prophet and he spoke the wah'y of Allahu ta'ala.

It is written in the eighth, ninth, and tenth verses of the twenty-third chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam' stated: "But you should not want to be called 'Rabbi'. For you have one teacher, that is, the Messiah. All of you are brothers. Do not call anyone 'Our Father' on the earth. For you have one Father. And He is in heavens. Do not call anyone 'Our Master', either. For you have one master, i.e. the Messiah." And it is shown by these verses that the word

'Father' has been used in its figurative meaning and that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is not a divine being, but a teacher, educator, and corrector, that is, he is a Prophet.

The thirty-sixth and later verses of the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew state: "Then Jesus and they came to a place called Getsemana, where he took Peter and the two sons of Zebedee along, and said unto his disciples: Sit here till I go over there and pray. He began to feel distressed and grieved. Then he said unto them: My soul is as grieved and as sad as death. Stay here and be vigilant with me. He moved forward a little, prostrated himself, and prayed: O Father! If possible, take this bowl away from me. May it be as Thou likest it (to be). Jesus came near his disciples. He found them asleep. He turned to Peter and gave him advice. He went for a second time, and prayed: O Father, if it is impossible to take away that bowl before me drinking what it contains, may what Thou wishest be. He came near his disciples again. And he found them still asleep. He walked away again, said the same words and made the same prayer for a third time."

Did the Gospels contain no other evidences to disapprove Christians' slandering Isa 'alaihi-salam' by divinizing him, the above given statements of Isa 'alaihi-salam' saying that he himself is a born servant and the Father is Allahu ta'ala, who is one, would suffice to do it. If Isa 'alaihi-salam' had been the only son of God and had come to save humanity as Christians presume, would he have been grieved, sad with the fear of death? Would he have prostrated himself, prayed and invoked, "Take the bow] away from me."? [Isa 'alaihi-salam' in the Gospels calls himself 'human'. Christians, while knowing this fact on the one hand, have fallen into such an illogical belief as (human=God) on the other].

Christians have deduced the doctrine of trinity from the words (Father) and (Son), and fabricated such a wrong belief as unprecedented in history. Isa 'alaihi-salam' never called himself 'son of God', on the contrary, he called himself 'ibn-ul-insan (human)' in many places. [If he had really been the son of God, he would not have called himself 'human.' For a person says his own name, not another name, when he is asked]. Christians' fallacy of trinity was a result of some vague expressions in the Gospel of John. As it is widely known, the Gospel which is ascribed to John was written a long time after the other Gospels, and it was written in Greece. There are many spurious statements in the Gospel of John. In fact, Rahmat-ullah Effendi says in the preface of his book *Iz-har-ul-haq* that the Gospel of John is full of metaphorical expressions, and that very Gospel of John is full of metaphorical expressions, and that very few parts of it can be understood without explanation. Besides, most of the statements of Isa 'alaihi-salam' are written in forms of succinct metaphors and exemplifications like enigmas. They are such statements that even his disciples could hardly understand without interpretation or explanation. As a matter of fact, the thirty-ninth verse of the fifteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark states: "The captain standing against Jesus, upon seeing him deliver his soul like this, said: this man really is the son of God." The forty-seventh verse of the twenty-third chapter of the Gospel of Luke states: "The captain, upon seeing what happened, said: Indeed he was a pious man." This statement in Luke shows that the statement, "This man really is the son of God," in Mark, means, "Indeed he was a pious man."

It is written in the ninth verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam' stated: "How lucky for those who make peace! For they shall be called as the sons of God." And it is written in the forty-fourth verse that he said: "Pray for those who torment you, so that you will be sons to your Father who is in heaven." [In these verses, Isa 'alaihi-salam' uses the expression (sons of God) for those who make peace and forgive and the word (Father) for Allahu ta'ala. It is obvious that these expressions are metaphorical. Likewise, the Holy Bible

(The Old and New Testaments alike) uses such expressions as 'the son of the devil', the son of Satan' for wicked and sinful people]. The thirty-ninth and later verses of the eighth chapter of the Gospel of John state: "The Jews said to Jesus: our father is Ibrahim. Jesus said unto them: If you were the sons of Ibrahim, you would do as he had done. But now you are trying to kill me, that is, the man who communicates to you the fact that he has heard from God. Ibrahim did not do so. You are not doing your father's deeds. They said to him: We were not born from fornication. We have a father. And he is God. Jesus said unto them: If God were your Father, you would love me. For I came out of God. I did not come out of myself. But He sent me. Why don't you understand what I say? For you cannot listen to my words. You are from your father Satan, the devil, and you want to do your father's wishes."

In this context, the Jews' saying, "We were not born from fornication. We have a father. And he is God," does not mean, "our father is God." Their purpose is to object to the fact that Isa 'alaihi-salam' does not have a father by stating that they are the descendants of Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam'. Since the Gospel of John is documentary according to the Christian faith, we use it as testimony [for our argument]. With respect to these verses of John, i.e. that the Jews claim to be the sons of God and Isa 'alaihi-salam' rejects their claim and calls them 'sons of the devil", these expressions are apparently metaphorical.

The ninth verse of the third chapter of the first epistle of John states: "Everyone who was born from God will not commit sins." The tenth verse states: "The sons of God and the sons of the devil are known by that... ." And it is stated at the beginning of the fifth chapter: "They believe in that Jesus is the Messiah. He was born from God, and everyone who loves the Creator loves the one who was born from. Him. Whenever we love God and do His commandments, we know by this that we love the children of God."

The fourteenth verse of the eighth chapter of the epistle to Romans states: "All of those who are guided by the spirit of God are the sons of God."

The fourteenth verse of the second chapter of Paul's epistle to Phillipians states: "Do everything without being told and without refraining, so that you will hold fast to the word of life, be lights in the world, and be the unblemished children of the defectless and pure God amidst the deformed and depraved generation among whom you appear."

[The sixth and seventh verses of the forty-third chapter of the Book of Isaiah state: "I shall say: Bring my sons from far away and my daughters from the end of earth, that is, every man whom I created, shaped and embodied for my greatness and who is called by my name."

The expressions used in these verses of the Holy Bible, such as (son of God), (sons, or children, of God) are metaphors, and Allahu ta'ala cannot be called (Father) by giving these expressions their literal meanings. Christians also interpret the word (Son) in these verses as (beloved born servant of God) and do not attribute divinity to any of the people mentioned in them. So far, all Christians accept the fact that Allahu ta'ala is the only Ruler. Yet when it comes to Isa 'alaihi-salam', they swerve from the right way].

Misunderstandings have taken place not only as to the word (Father), but also in the word (Son). As a matter of fact, the Gospel of Luke, while mentioning the genealogy, fathers of Isa 'alaihi-salam' (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from believing or saying so) in the twenty- third and later verses of its third chapter, states that he was the son of Joseph the Messiah, and enumerates the fathers of Joseph, finally saying, "... the son of Shiet and Shiet the son of Adam and Adam the son of God." Adam 'alaihi-salam' is not the son of Allahu ta'ala in the actual sense of the word. Luke attributes Adam 'alaihi-salam' to Allahu ta'ala because he was created without parents and Isa 'alaihi-salam' to Joseph the carpenter because he was born only without father. [Christians

accept Isa 'alaihi-salam' as a god because God's spirit was breathed into him. Nevertheless, they attribute Joseph the carpenter as a father to him. Isa 'alaihi-salam' was born without a father. On the other hand, Adam 'alaihi-salam' was created without any parents at all.

Accordingly, they ought to accept Adam 'alaihi-salam' as a god greater than Isa 'alaihi-salam'. No Christian has ever said 'god' about Adam 'alaihi-salam'].

The word (Son) exists in the Old Testament section of the Holy Bible, too. For instance, it is written as follows in the twenty-second verse of the fourth chapter of Exodus: "The Rab says: Israil [Yaquub (Jacob) 'alaihi-salam'] is my first son. Let my son worship me."

It is written as follows in the ninth verse of the thirty-first chapter of the Book of Jeremiah: "I am the father of Israil, and Efrayim is my first son." [If the word 'son' entailed godhood, Israil and Efrayim would have become a god each a very long time before Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Furthermore, they have been attributed the appellation of 'the first son', which means that they should have attained divinity long before another son who came later].

The fourteenth verse of the seventh chapter of Samuel II states as follows about Sulaiman (Solomon) 'alaihi-salam': "I shall be a father to him, and he shall be a son to me."

The first verse of the fourteenth chapter of Deuteronomy states: "You are the sons of the Rab, who is your God." The nineteenth verse of the thirty-second chapter states: "And the Rab saw them and loathed them. For his sons and daughters made him angry." The second verse of the first chapter of the Book of Isaiah states: "O heavens, listen; and o earth, hearken! For God said: I fed and raised sons, but they disobeyed me." The first verse of the thirtieth chapter states: "Woe upon those sons who are disobedient." The eighth verse of the sixty-fourth chapter: "And now, o Rab. Thou art our Father. We are the wet clay, and Thou art our potter, we are all Thine handiwork." The tenth verse of the first chapter of the Book of Joshua: "But the number of the Israelites shall become like the immeasurable, uncountable sand of a sea, and it shall befall that where they are told: You are not my tribe, they shall be told: Thou art the sons of God, who is alive." Here, [and at many other places we have not mentioned, all the Israelites, and also many other people, are called (sons of God). If the expression (son of God) actually meant, (son of God), that is, if it were not a metaphor, the Israelites and] the Israelite Prophets, such as Israeel [Yaquub], Efrayim, Sulaiman, and others 'alaihi-salam', and Adam 'alaihi-salam' should have been gods. But Jewry, being fully cognizant of their native language, Hebrew, understood very well that such expressions as (son of God), (the first son), (sons) and (daughters) were metaphorically used, and thus they did not fall into error [by divinizing these Prophets]. After the Hawaris (Apostles), however, copies of the Bible and preachings and admonitions of Isa 'alaihi-salam', in pages here and there, were obtained by this person and that haphazardly, and were translated into other languages. And the translators, in their turn, being ignorant and unaware of the subtleties and the stylistic registers in the Hebrew language, translated whatever they saw, word for word without understanding (the message). Those who saw these translations afterwards did not dare to use the words in the translations in connotations other than their literal meanings. All these eventuated in void arguments, wrong, absurd theories, entirely unreasonable, implausible and bizarre doctrines.

Some hundred years after Isa 'alaihi-salam' there appeared a different creed, a different sect with a different Gospel in every country. While rewriting the codices of the Bible, fanatics affiliated with each sect, with a view to propagating their own sect and disproving other sects, inserted some words suitable with their purposes. So many copies of the Bible, and so many resultant controversies among Christians, appeared that in the Nicene Council alone fifty different copies of the Bible that were being read by Christians had been rescinded. Hence, none of the four

Gospels have the documentary capacity. Yet, as the Christian faith is based on these four Gospels, we, too, base our argument on their testimony in order to convince Christians.

The Taurah, the part of the Bible called Old Testament, contains no document to testify to the Christian doctrine of trinity. [This fact is also avowed by some priests we have met]. Their strongest proof, the Gospel of John, which is the most dubious and complicated of the Gospels, consists of a few ambiguous statements in the details contained in the other Gospels. For instance: They deduce divinity from the twenty-third verse of the eighth chapter of the Gospel of John, where Isa 'alaihi-salam' states: "You are from this world. I am not from this world." They give such explanations as, "He descended from heaven and changed into a body," for their attributing godhood to Isa 'alaihi-salam'. The meaning of this verse is: "You are busy with worldly connections. I am not." This statement cannot be interpreted as divinity. Besides, the Gospels contain verses contradicting this verse. The nineteenth verse of the fifteenth chapter of the Gospel of John states: "You are not from this world. Yet I have chosen you from the world." The sixteenth and eighteenth verses of the seventeenth chapter state: "As I am not from the world, they are not from the world, either. As you have sent me to the world, so have I sent them to the world." This statement contradicts the verse, "I am not from this world," in the eighth chapter of John.

In these verses, Isa 'alaihi-salam' holds himself and his disciples equal. And the statement, "You are from this world," means, "You aspire after this world." Such figures of speech and idioms are used in every language. (In fact, the English language teem with similes, metaphors, synecdoches, metonymies, allegories, symbolisms, hyperboles, litotes, ironies, innuendos, rhetorical questions, etc.) The Arabic language, on the other hand, has the expressions (Ibn-ul-waqt), (Eb-ul-waqt), (ebna-i-zaman), and (ebna-i-sabil), which mean, respectively, (son of the time), (father of the time), (sons of the time), and (sons of the way). [Time or way cannot have a son. These are all symbolic expressions.].

Another evidence which Christians put forward in their endeavor to validate trinity is the thirtieth verse of the tenth chapter of the Gospel of John. This verse quotes Isa 'alaihi-salam' as having said, "I and Father are one." This statement cannot be interpreted as divinity or identity, either. For, supposing that Isa 'alaihi-salam' really made this statement, he was a human being with a (self) when he said it, so it is impossible for him to have united with God. [Christians, who indicate this verse as an evidence to prove the divinity of Isa 'alaihi-salam' ought to read on to see what comes after the verse. It is written as follows in the thirtieth and later verses: "I and Father are one. The Jews raised stones from the ground again in order to pelt him. Jesus replied to them: I have shown you many deeds from the Father. Which of these deeds are you stoning me for? The Jews answered him: 'We are stoning you not on account of a good deed, but because of blasphemy, because you have made a god of yourself, a human being as you are.' Is it not written in your canon, 'I said, you are gods'? While those to whom God's word was revealed are called 'gods', are you charging a person whom Father sanctified and sent to earth with blasphemy because I say I am the son of God? If I am doing the Father's deeds, do not believe in me. But even if you do not believe in me, though I am doing them, believe in the deeds so that you will realize that the Father is in me and I am in the Father. They still tried to catch him. And Jesus fled from them." People who saw Isa 'alaihi-salam' himself did not say he was a god. On the contrary, they attempted to kill him on account of this figurative word. Isa 'alaihi-salam', whom Christians accept as a creative god who always has existed and will exist eternally, flees from the Jews. What kind of a god is he who runs away from his creatures?

Another point here is the thirty-fourth verse, "I said: (You are gods)", which Isa 'alaihi-salam' quoted in order to prove his statement, "I and Father are one." It is written in a footnote of the copy of the Bible we have that this verse is the sixth verse of the eighty-second chapter of the Zabur (Psalms) in the Old Testament. This verse in the Psalms is followed by the statement, "And you all are the sons of the Highest." According to the facade meaning of this verse and the statement made by Isa 'alaihi-salam', in addition to Isa 'alaihi-salam', people who are addressed as, "You are gods", become gods. We wonder if any Christian has ever accepted them as gods. Christians, who have posed the statement, "I and Father are one," of Isa 'alaihi-salam' as a testimony for his divinity, reject the gods who are declared in the continuation of the discourse, thus becoming sinners and rebels by disagreeing with Isa 'alaihi-salam', whom they recognize as a god. Will a god lie? If you ask Christians why they do not accept that part, they will say, "Well, that statement is figurative. The statement, 'You are gods,' cannot be taken in its literal sense." If you ask, "Isn't the statement, 'I and Father are one', of Isa 'alaihi-salam' figurative?", they will answer, "Jesus the Lord is divine. This is the basic doctrine of Christianity"]. Another explanation which Christians make of these statements in the Gospel of John is that "Jesus the Messiah is not only a perfect human being but also a perfect god." Yet, since the human properties cannot be separated from man, actual unity of man and god is out of the question. Moreover, Isa 'alaihi-salam' uses this expression not only for himself, but also for the Hawaris (Apostles). It is stated in the twenty-first verse of the seventeenth chapter of the Gospel of John, "O Father, Thou art in me, and I am in Thee. May they be in us," in the twenty-second verse, "The izzat, the honor Thou hast given me I have given to them also, so that they should be one, as we are one," in the twenty-third verse, "I am in them, and Thou art in me. Thus they shall be united in one and the world shall realize that Thou hast sent me and Thou lovest them as Thou lovest me." The expression (be united) in these verses means 'stringent obedience to religious commandments and doing pious deeds,' in which case nothing pertaining to divinity will even occur to one's mind.

Another document which Christians have recourse to as an evidence for trinity is the following episode narrated in the eighth and later verses of the fourteenth chapter of the Gospel of John: "Philippus said unto him: "O Lord, show us the Father. This will suffice for us. Jesus said unto him: I have been with you for such a (long) time. Haven't you known me, o Philippus? As a person who has seen me will have seen Father, how is it that you ask me to show Him?"

Their-this argument is false from two different points of view:

Firstly: It is a fact admitted by Christians as well that it is impossible to see God in the world. In fact, this marifat (of seeing) is interpreted as 'knowing' in the introduction of the book *Iz-har-ul-haq*. Knowing the Messiah does not mean knowing physically. Hence Christians deduce that it is "Messianic divinity and knowing as regards unification." This deduction is mandatory according to Christians who believe in trinity. Yet this deduction is wrong, too. For deduction should not be contrary to logical proofs and authentic narratives. This deduction is contrary to logical proofs. For, as we have mentioned earlier, Isa 'alaihi-salam' holds the Hawaris equal to himself.

As it is known by historians, the doctrine of three hypostases, or trinity, is not something new; it is a credo adopted from polytheistic cults. As the number of gods increased so as to attract the attention of the nescient populace and stir up feelings of alertness in them, notables of a polytheistic community would arrange the gods in order of superiority, appointing some of them as chiefs and others as their inferiors. They decided to keep the investigation of this arrangement as a secret among themselves. Zerdusht (Zoroaster or Zarathustra), [the founder of magi, the basic religious system of ancient Persia], chose two of their idols, Yezdan (Ormuzd or (Ahura)

Mazda) and Ehremen (Ahriman), as two hypostases, and established an unprecedented system of belief which was based on a curious conflict between Yezdan the god of light and good and Ahriman the god, or spirit, of darkness and evil]. [31]

Mazhar Djan-i-Djanan [32], a great Indian 'alim, states in his fourteenth letter: "Brahminism was a heavenly religion. It was degenerated afterwards." The expression 'three hypostases, was first heard from these people (Brahmins).

[It would be more correct to call it a philosophy, or doctrine, instead of a religion. It is understood that it was founded by the mutilation of a heavenly religion seven hundred years before Isa 'alaihi-salam'. The agent of this mutilation is Brahma. (In Sanskrit) Brahma means holy word. This expression has been used for Isa 'alaihi-salam' in Christianity. When Christians are questioned about the divinity of Isa 'alaihi-salam', their first evidence to prove it is some verses in the first chapter of the Gospel of John, which are, "The word existed in the beginning, and the word was near God, and the word was God" [1, 2, 3], and "And the word became a body and remained silent among us, full of grace and truth. And we saw its honor as the honor of the only son of the Father" [4]. An exact analogue of Brahminism.]. Likewise, members of the Brahministic caste believe in a deity who became a reality in the name of (Brahma). According to their doctrine, a most perfect, ever silent god is the real essence of all. Yet this god does his work through two other gods: Vishnu and Siva (or Shiva). They say that they are one god manifesting in a triad.

According to Brahmins, (Brahma) is the creator of all and the world. He does all the work of creating, and his symbol is the sun. Vishnu is reason. He is a god protecting all. He rules over the time lived in. His symbol is water. And Siva is the god of life and death. He rules over the time lived in and future. Justice and vengeance are his responsibility. His symbol is fire. [Brahmins believe that their god Vishnu lives in heaven. The other gods tell Vishnu that some demons have appeared on the earth and deranged the quietude and order of the earth, and therefore he must be born incarnate on the earth for the chastisement of those demons. Vishnu accepts this suggestion and incarnates as Krishna, the warrior, being born from a virgin of a warrior family in order to purge the earth of evils and demons. The virgin has dreamt of this event beforehand. Krishna learns all knowledge in sixty-four days. He works as a shepherd. He travels far and wide. He displays wonders in places where he travels. Upon seeing this, brahmins accept him as a deity that has descended to earth in a human figure. Many other myths are told about Krishna by the votaries of Brahma. Likewise, Buddhists accept Buddha as a deity. According to Buddhists, Buddha lived in heaven before descending to earth. He looked for a place to appear on earth and eventually decided to be born as a member of the Sudhodana family. (The myth is as follows:) His mother, fasting as she is, falls asleep on the roof of the palace, and has a dream. In her dream a white elephant emitting haloes all around itself descends from heaven and, to her astonishment, enters her womb from her right flank. Many symptoms are seen towards Buddha's birth. His mother leaves her town and delivers her divine son under a tree. Buddhism teems with things which reason or logic could never accept. Brahminism, Buddhism, and the Christian credo, trinity, are analogous, similarities between them, such as a god's entering a virgin and being born from her and people's accepting him as a deity. Here are some of them.

1 - According to Christians, Isa 'alaihi-salam' died, and resurrected three days after death. Krishna, too, resurrected after death, and ascended to heaven.

2 - Isa 'alaihi-salam' resurrected from his grave, and Buddha from his coffin.

3 - Isa 'alaihi-salam' said beforehand that he would be killed, saved the souls in dungeons, that is in Hell, and after resurrecting from his grave sat on the right hand side of God. And Buddha said he would withdraw from the world and go to nirvana.

4 - When Isa 'alaihi-salam' went up to heaven, he took over and began to control all the matters of the universe. Likewise, Buddha established the sultanate of heavens and began to dominate over the universe.

5 - The Gospels unanimously enumerate the fathers of Isa 'alaihi-salam' up to Dawud (David) 'alaihi-salam', whom they call the first Malik (King, Ruler). Likewise, Buddha's genealogy is said to begin with Makavamat the first Ruler.

Trinity and metempsychosis, i.e. belief in the transmigration of a dead person's soul into a new body, existed not only in Indian religions, but also in the ancient Egyptian religions. The best known of the Egyptian deities is (Amonra). His symbol is the sun. He was believed to have created this world with his will and speech. (Osiris), his assistant, is their second deity. Osiris came down to earth, underwent various afflictions, and was killed. He resurrected and ascended to heaven with the help of (Isis), their third deity. Thus Osiris became the god of the dead. Also, in ancient Egypt, kings, or Pharaohs, were believed to be the sons of Amonra (the sun).

Ancient Egyptians believed that when a person died he was called to account by Osiris].

The inventor of the doctrine of three hypostases in the west is the philosopher Time (Timaios), who lived in the city of Lokres some five hundred years before the Christian Era. He was one of the pupils of Pythagoras. He learned this doctrine of three hypostases [beings, bases]. [Pythagoras was born on the Island of Samos in 580 B.C. It is narrated that he died in Metaponte in 500 B.C. There are differing narratives as to the dates of his birth and death. He came to the Kroton city of Italy when he was young yet. Thence he traveled to various places, having long stays in Egypt and the Middle East. During his stay in Egypt he acquired extensive knowledge about the ancient Egyptian religions and cults. Learning the belief in three gods and metempsychosis from the Egyptians, he accepted them. Another thing he learned in Egypt was Hendese (geometry). The theorem known as Pythagoras' proposition (theorem) today was known pragmatically in Egypt in those days. They (such pieces of information as this theorem) had come to Egypt from Babylon, which was at that time very advanced in 'ilm-i-nujum (astronomy), mathematics and astrology. And Babyloneans, in their turn, had been taught these branches of knowledge by the great Prophet Idris [33] 'alaihi-salam', Pythagoras went to Babylon and learned them well. On his returning to the city of Kroton he opened a school, and established a new way, or a new sect, named after him. His votaries have fabled many myths about him and made a Prophet of him, and some of them have professed his deity. Pythagoras said that the essence of being was numbers (arche). He accepted numbers up to ten as sacred. He accepted the numbers of one, two and three as the three essences. Pythagoreans claim that the number one is the unchangeable and eternal source of the universe and therefore the first hypostasis, the number two is feminine and all the world has come into existence through her and she is the second hypostasis, and the number three is the third hypostasis representing the eternal triad in the universe. They assert that these three hypostases are the essence of the world and of the universe. They interpret the essence of universe as (body, life and soul). They say that the universe consists of three worlds, namely (the natural, the human, and the divine worlds). According to the Pythagoreans, as everything is made up of three, creation originates from this triad, which is made up of the creative will, the current of stars, and the ever improving universe. There is detailed information in the book (La Pensee Grecque) by Gomperz about Pythagoras' numbers and other philosophical views. According to Pythagoras, the first hypostasis, i.e. God,

who is able to do whatever He wishes, cannot be comprehended mentally. The Pythagoreans, who believe that soul is eternal [immortal] and that a dying person's soul may transmigrate into an animal, do not eat meat. Time, an outstanding disciple of Pythagoras', followed his master's way].

Time states in his book Ruh-ul-alam (Essence of the Universe) "First of all, creatures have a fikr-i-mithal- i-daimi (the eternal ideal pattern), which is the first word, the first hypostasis, which is spiritual, not substantial, and therefore, cannot be comprehended by mind. The second grade is the madde-i-ghyar-i-muntazima, which is the second word pronounced, the second hypostasis. The third grade is the world of son, or meaning, which is the third hypostasis. All the universe consists in these three classes. The son wanted to make a beautiful god, and made a god which was a creature." These statements, complicated and incomprehensible as they were, reached Plato. [There is a narrative stating that Time was one of Plato's teachers. For Plato says that his great master Socrates and Time had been together in a gathering. Time had three works, namely (Mathematics), (Life of Pythagoras), and (Essence of the Universe). Two of them were lost. His book (Essence of the Universe), the one which was not lost, should have busied philosophers very much. For there is not much difference between the idea derived from the first six chapters of this book and the idea in Plato's speech on Time (Timeios)].

Plato modified this idea coming from Time. Plato proposed existence of three gods. He said:

The first one is Father. He is the highest one and the creator; he is the father of the other two gods. He is the first hypostasis.

The second one is the primordial, visible god, who is the representative of Father, who is invisible. It is named (Logos), which means word, reason, (account).

The third one is the Universe.

According to Plato, the essence of beings is meanings [ideas]. [The word idea, which Plato refers to, means entity, conception, archetype. In Platonic philosophy it means the unchanging, eternally existing pattern of which all classes of beings are imperfect copies. Plato divides the universe into two worlds. The first one is the perceptible world of senses. The other one is the real world, that is, the world of ideas. While the real world, or the world of ideas, is eternal, the world of senses continuously changes.] The existence of ideas is not dependent upon our mind or imagination, but they exist in an immaterial life peculiar to them. Plato refers each reality or idea to higher realities. Thus all realities and ideas are referred to the absolute (ONE). This ONE, which is (goodness) consisting of many high realities, is God himself. Other high ideas or realities are in His command. Lower ideas are (evils) and are the devil himself. Other low, evil ideas are in His command.

[Plato said that what he accepted as (ONE), who comprised ideas in Himself and whom he called 'goodness' and believed to be identical with God, was the (Father god), who had motion and life and who was the father of the universe. This is the first hypostasis. Father god, that is, the unity of ideas, created a spirit, which gave matter its systematic order and which was quite different from matter. This is the son of Father. This spirit is a being which intermediates between the creator and the creature, and is the second hypostasis.

Plato, as well as all the other ancient Greek philosophers like Pythagoras and Time learned their views and observations about the spirit which they called 'the second hypostasis' by reading the books of (the Prophets) Adam and Shiet (or Shis) 'alaihimus-salam', or from religious scholars who had read and knew those books, and attempted to explain them with their insufficient knowledge and short range mentalities, thus distorting them. Plato states in his Menon speech that the soul is immortal, that it has come to earth various times, and that it has seen everything

in this perceptible (world) and in the imperceptible (hereafter). In his Phaidros speech he divides the soul into three parts: The first is mind, which has been inclined towards ideas. The second and the third are the parts pertaining to aspirations and sensations. One of them follows the mind and leads to goodness, i.e. to God, and the other leads to evil corporeal desires]. Carcass, or body, is a dungeon wherein soul has been hurled after a preliminary sojourn in the incorporeal world of ideas. [Thus mankind, composed of soul and body, came into existence.]. The goal of ethics is to free the soul from the shackles tethering it to the dungeon of body, Seframk says that the way to happiness is in attaining virtue and perfection. Plato says, "Perfection of happiness fully exists in virtue. Virtue and perfection are the health, salvation and balance of soul. For attaining happiness, it will be enough to endeavor only for attaining virtue without thinking of worldly advantages or aspiring for the rewards in the hereafter.

According to the philosophy of (Rawaqiyyun), "goodness alone is virtue, and evil alone is sinful. Health, illness, wealth, poverty, and even life and death are neither good nor bad. It is up to man to make them good or bad. Man has to believe in the preordination of Allahu ta'ala, that is, in destiny, and commit his will to the will of Allahu ta'ala. Humanity is like a flock (of sheep). Their shepherd is the common reason, or (Logos), which is the creative power of nature. All men are brothers. Their common father is (Zoz), or (God). Zoz is the soul of all universe. He is eternal, one. Other gods are his component parts. [Philosophy founded by Zenon and followed by some Greek philosophers is called Rawaqiyyun (Stoicism)].

Followers of the philosophy of (Ishraqiyyun) inculcate peace and mercy; so much so that the pleasure that a person takes in doing good to someone else is more than the pleasure felt when one is done good to, they say. [This philosophy is called (Illuminism), which is an extension of the way followed by Pythagoreans and Platonist. The founder of neo-Platonism is Plotin, who adopted Plato's theory of ideas]. The statement, "The flavor in giving is more than the flavor in taking", which the existing copies of the Bible attribute to Isa 'alaihis-salam', is identical with the main principle of the philosophy of Ishraqiyyun. [This means to say that stoicists and illuminist present the pieces of information they acquired from religious books and religious scholars in a manner as if they were their own views and findings. The great Islamic savant Imam-i-Muhammad Ghazali 'rahmat-ullahi alaih' [34] expounds this fact in detail in his books (Al-munqizu min-ad-dalal) and (Tahafut-ul-falasifa).

The philosophical school founded by Plato lived for seven or eight centuries together with its tenets. The views of this school of philosophy extended beyond Italy, having its most dramatic impact on the Alexandrian school in the third century]. Plato's doctrine of three hypostases, along with his other philosophical views, had made its way into the schools of Alexandria and was being taught there, when Isa 'alaihis-salam' appeared. In fact, even Philo, a renowned Judaic scholar in Alexandria at that time, wished to see this doctrine of trinity among the other tenets of the religion of Musa 'alaihis-salam'. With this desire he said, "The Taurah declares that the world was created in six days; it is true. For the number three is half of six. And the number two is one-third of six. This number is both masculine and feminine. God married reason and had a son by reason. This son is the world." Philo called the world 'kelima-i- ilahiyya (divine word)', which was a name he ascribed to angels, too. This was an effect of Platonic philosophy. [Platonic philosophy, which was later renamed as neo-Platonism and went on its way, dealt the severest blow on the Nazarene, or Isawi, religion. In other words, the third century of the Christian era, when neo-Platonism was at the zenith of its power, was at the same time the period in which Christianity was the religion of the Roman Empire. Adherents of that philosophy defiled this religion of tawhid (unity), which was based on the existence and oneness of Allahu ta'ala and the

prophethood of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Later on idolatry, too, was inserted into this religion. Saint Augustine, who lived in the fourth century of the Christian era, (354-430), tried to Christianize Plato. Augustine's views about God, soul, and the universe, which he proposes in his book (de Trinite), which he wrote with a view to proving trinity, are quite identical with Platonic philosophy. Using Plato's statement, "Reason, will, and sensation make up a human being", as a testimony for proving trinity, he says, "Though the Three Persons in Trinity seem to be disparate, they make up one God." He alleges that Plato and his disciples realized the true God. Taking Plato's philosophy of ideas as a fulcrum, he argues that the Word is creative and that the Word is Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Augustine, who is esteemed and accepted as a saint amongst Christians, acknowledges that such Christian tenets as trinity, good, and evil exist in their exact identities in Plato's philosophy. In addition, he cites Plato's views as a document for proving trinity. The views of a person who died 350 years before the Christian era are identical with the tenets of Christianity: a hard question for Christians to answer. This concurrence shows that Plato was contemporary with Isa 'alaihi-salam', which is the truth. And this truth is explained on the 266 th. Letter of the book (Maktubat) by the great Islamic alim Imam-i-Rabbani Ahmad Faruqi [35] 'rahmat-ullahi alaih."

Furthermore, Saint Thomas, one of the ecclesiastical personages of the eighth century of the Christian era, endeavors to prove the Christian tenets, particularly trinity, by taking the philosophy of Aristotle, who was Plato's disciple. This book of ours is too small for us to mention all the ecclesiastical saints who were the true defenders of the philosophy of Plato and Aristotle. Yet we shall touch upon an illuminatory fact, which will give our readers a more realistic insight into the matter: Throughout the Middle Ages, even after the realization of the Renaissance in Europe, opposing the philosophy of Plato and Aristotle, or refusing it, or even slightly contradicting it was requited with penalty of death by the ecclesiastical tribunal called Inquisition. We wonder how today's trinitarian Christians should explain this? It is certain that philosophy of Plato (Platonism), philosophy of Rawaqiyyun (Stoicism), philosophy of Ishraqiyyun (Gnosticism), and other Greek schools of philosophy had a major role in the formation of the tenets of Christianity. This fact is explained in detail and with proofs in the book titled (The Influence of Greek Ideas on Christianity), by Dr. Edwin Hatch].

As is understood from the above statements, such concepts as purging the heart of wicked traits, attaining happiness by adopting beautiful moral habits, acquiescing in destiny, having tawakkul (putting your trust in Allahu ta'ala), accepting human beings as the sons and children of Allahu ta'ala, and Allahu ta'ala as the common father of all, do not belong exclusively to the Gospels. Hundreds of years before the Gospels they were being discussed among Greek philosophers, [and various philosophers were trying to explain them in various ways. For they had been taught about heavenly religions by Prophets]. It is certain that the statements referring to trinity did not exist in the former heavenly religions or in the genuine copies of the Bible, but they were fabricated by Greek philosophers and were inserted into the Gospels that were written after the spreading of Christianity in Greece and Alexandria.

Isa 'alaihi-salam' was born in a place where people lived up to the principles of the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. Until his Ascension, he acted upon the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. The commandments that were assigned to the Israelites he observed with them. He preached in Synagogues and instructed the tenets in the Taurah (Torah). To those who had wandered from the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam' he preached the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam', and taught them the manners of observance as prescribed in that religion. He cherished those Israelites who held fast to that religion. Like Jews, he was baptized in the river of Erden (Jordan) by Yahya

'alaihi-salam' (John the Baptist). [The river of Jordan is in Palestine and is 250 kilometers long]. He was circumcised when he was born. He did not baptize anybody. He fasted. He did not eat pork. He did not say, "God entered me, I am the son of God eternally in the past and eternally in the future. My person is composed of two components; a mature human being; and the son of God, which is divine." Nor did he say, "The Holy Spirit acts upon the common commandment of my father and me. Believe in three deities, who are Father, Son, and the Holy Spirit." He said, "I came to consolidate the Shariat (the canonical law of Musa 'alaihi-salam'), not to change it." All books of history agree to the fact that there was no such notion as trinity among the Nazarites; neither during the lifetime of Isa 'alaihi-salam', nor in the Apostles' Creed.

It was towards the termination of the second century of the Christian era that the expression 'Three Persons' emerged among Christians. Because this doctrine was extremely at loggerheads with the religion preached by Isa 'alaihi-salam', those who believed in Three Persons concealed their belief from Christians for some time; but they strove to disseminate it in a clandestine way. Meanwhile, upholders of trinity [three gods], with a view to popularizing the course they had taken, published the Gospel of John and the so-called Apostolic epistles, e.g. the Pauline epistles, which were written after the Apostles. This gave birth to a number of controversies, disputes, and strifes amongst Christians. Both the unitarian Christians, i.e. those who believed in the oneness of Allahu ta'ala, and the trinitarians embarked upon an assiduous endeavor to popularize their own credo and to get the better of the opposite side, and scribes on both sides daily wrote Gospels and innumerable pamphlets and epistles that were attributed to the Apostles. Eventually the contentions escalated to their zenith, and the Christian world was divided into two major groups by the beginning of the fourth century of the Christian era. A number of Christians professed that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was God Himself without an dissimilitude. their leader was St. Athanasius, the Bishop of Istanbul. Other Christians, on the other hand, asseverated that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was the most elevated of creatures, a Prophet sent down by Allah, and yet a born servant of Allah. And their leaders were a monk named Arius and Eusebius, the Bishop of Izmit (Nicomedia). [Before them Yunus Shammas, the Bishop of Antioch, had declared that Allahu ta'ala is one, and many people had come round to the right course. But later trinitarian priests had begun to worship three gods and tried to spread this doctrine. Thus the number of trinitarians had increased]. The clashes between trinitarians and those who retained their belief in the fact that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the born servant and Prophet of Allahu ta'ala caused mental disturbance among the populace. State administration, on the other hand, could no longer be carried on properly. Upon this, the Emperor, Constantine the Great, decided to put an end to these tumults and convened a far-reaching council in Nicea in 325 (A.D.) Eminent Christian clergy joined this council. After many long debates, the Athanasians gained ascendancy. Three hundred and nineteen priests concurred with full divinity of Isa 'alaihi-salam', which meant that he was the unique son of God, the offspring of God, a God from God, a Light from Light, a true God from the true God. The following statements have been derived and paraphrased from the twenty-third chapter of the eighth book of the history of (Nisfur) and from the fifth volume of the history of (Baruniyus), which give an account of the Nicene Council: "During the debates between the Arians and the Athanasians, two members of the assembly, i.e. two bishops named Karizamet and Mizuniyus, passed away. When the Council ended, they resurrected from their graves, signed under the written decision of the Council, and died again." In those times, when it was easy to resuscitate the dead with the point of a pen, even the ecclesiastical historians, who are expected to be trustworthy, succumbed to the zeal of telling such lies as this one. Inserting a

multitude of other similar oddities into the Nazarene [Isewee] religion, they beat about these mockeries in order to, so to speak, popularize such a religion as true.

[At the end of the Council of Nicea, with the efforts of Alexander, the Bishop of Alexandria, and Athanasius, Arius was declared to be a heretic and was condemned. Arius was born in Alexandria in 270 A.D. [There is a narrative saying that he was born in Binghazi]. He lived several years after his condemnation. In the meantime, by the intercession of Eusebius, the Bishop of Nicomedia, and the coercion of Constantine, the Emperor, he was forgiven by the church. He was invited to Istanbul by Constantine, who had now become an Arian. He was about to overcome the trinitarians despite the adamant obstructions by the Bishop Alexander, when he suddenly died of a vehement pain, in 336 A.D. After his death his sect spread a great deal and was officially accepted and protected by Constantine's son Constance and his successors.

St. Athanasius was born in Alexandria in 296. He achieved fame with his views on trinity, which he proposed during the Council of Nicea in 325. He became the Bishop of Alexandria in 326. He was passionately opposed to the Arian sect and to the fact that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is human and Prophet. He was condemned by the supporters of Arius in a Council held in Sur city (Tyre) in 335. Four years later he was made Bishop again at the Council of Rome. He died in Alexandria in 373. He wrote books against Arianism. St. Athanasius' day is celebrated on 2 May].

According to the minutes of the Council of Nicea, in that century there were numerous Gospels everywhere and it was impossible to tell which ones were correct and which ones were false. In this Council various discussions were made on fifty-four of these copies of the Bible. Upon reading these copies of the Bible, the priests who were present at this Council saw that fifty of the Gospels were unfounded and rejected them. It was decided that four copies were genuine and the others null and void. Since then [325 A.D.], no copy except these four Gospels (Matthew, Mark, Luke, John) has been credited, and those others that had existed, have been done away with. More than two thousand clergy attended this Council, and most of them agreed with Arius and believed that Allahu ta'ala is One and Isa 'alaihi-salam' is His born servant and Messenger; yet because Athanasius was the Bishop of Istanbul, most of those who occupied Bishoprics sided with Athanasius, [for fear of losing office]. Thus Arius and his adherents secured themselves against the jeopardy of being deprived of their posts at the cost of defeat; in such a highly important area as religion, where matters must be settled correctly after minute examinations. Upon this, Arius was excommunicated. Later, Athanasius was deposed from the Bishopric, and Arius was invited to Istanbul. [However, as we have stated earlier, he died before arriving in Istanbul. Constantine the Great had already accepted the Arian sect]. After Constantine's death in 337 A.D., extensive conflicts broke out between the Athansians and the Arians. The winning side was the Arians after these commotions. Arianism remained prevalent for a long time. Afterwards, however, the Athanasians attained ascendancy. They subjected the followers of Arius to various persecutions and torments.

[According to the book (Qamus-ul-alam), "Emperor Theodosius absolutely prohibited Arianism. He ordered that the adherents of this sect be killed"].

The doctrine of trinity was established and adopted in the Council of Nicea; yet Ruh-ul-Quds (The Holy Spirit, or Ghost) was still an uncertain issue. The Holy Spirit, too, ought to be given an import. So this issue also was settled in the Council that was held in Istanbul in 381 A.D.. The principle, "The Holy Spirit as well is a God to be loved. [It has the same essence as Father and Son]. It carries out the Son's orders. It is to be worshipped like the Son", was added to the decisions taken at the Council of Nicea. Later on, the Roman Church forwarded the concept that the Holy Spirit does the orders of Father, thus establishing the tenet "the Holy Spirit does the

orders of Father and Son." This decision was sanctioned first in 440 A.D. by Spanish clergy and then in 674 [A.D. 1274] by the Council held in Lion city.

The position of the Holy Spirit having been thus decided upon, it was now hadrat Maryam's turn. The Council that assembled in Ephesus in 431 A.D. decided that she was truly the mother of God and therefore Isa 'alaihi-salam' embodied two natures, i.e. divinity and humanity, in one person. Nestorius, the Patriarch of Istanbul, who was present at the Council, proposed that hadrat Maryam (Mary) be called "The Mother of Christ the Messiah", which won him the infamous nickname 'Esharyutee Yehooda (Judas Iscariot)'.

[Nestorius is a Syrian priest. He was appointed the Patriarch of Istanbul by Theodosius II. He was extremely cruel to the followers of Arius. He had the houses they used for their assemblages burned, together with their inmates. He was opposed to the expression 'Mother of God=Theotokos', which was used to mean hadrat Maryam. He knew a monk he could trust. His name was Anasthasius and lived in Antioch. He invited this monk to Istanbul and had him make speeches everywhere. Anasthasius said, "Let no one call Mary the Mother of God, for Mary was a human being, and it is impossible for God to be born by a human being." His speeches exasperated his adversaries, Cyrillos (Lucaris) and his adherents. Cyrillos reported the speeches of Nestorius and his adherents to the Pope, Celestine I. The Pope, already jealous of Nestorius's aggrandized influence, and indignant for not having been asked what his opinion was concerning hadrat Maryam, convoked a Council in 430 A.D., whereby he issued a decision in favor of the expression 'The Mother of God' about hadrat Maryam and threatened Nestorius with excommunication. This event augmented the agitations all the more. Consequently, the Council of Ephesus, attended by several renowned clergy, was held in 431 A.D. Priest Cyrillos and his colleagues asked Nestorius to explicate his thoughts in the church called Theotokos. Later, by the unanimous decision of 159 bishops, Nestorius and his credo were excommunicated and condemned. Nestorius was banished to various places. Eventually, he died in a desert-like place called Great Oasis in upper Egypt in 451.

Nestorius had three assertions:

- 1 - Isa 'alaihi-salam' embodies two distinct personalities: divine and human.
- 2 - These two qualities do not unite physically. Their unity is incorporeal.
- 3 - Hadrat Maryam is the mother of the human Jesus, not of God (Word).

The Christian sect founded by Nestorius was called Nestorianism. Today most of the Nestorians live in Syria.

So the tenets and most important principles of a religion which Protestants and other Christians claim has been sent by God can be established by the concurrence of a few hundred clergy. These clergy can freely accept or reject a theory propounded as a religious tenet, or make the changes or alterations they think necessary in their religion. Thus Christianity has become a religion that no one with common sense could accept. It is for this reason that many European men of knowledge and science renounce Christianity and a great majority of them are honored with Islam].

After these convulsions, there arose the question whether it was permissible to worship pictures, statues and idols. For the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam' had forbidden to worship pictures or statues. Therefore, during the early days of the Isawi religion all the Apostles and their disciples avoided worshipping pictures and statues. [Christianity spread over European countries such as Italy and England]. Having been heathens before, the aboriginals of those countries were inclined to worshipping idols. [For they used to make idols and icons for each deity they believed. So the most common and the most improved art among them was making statues, that

is, sculpture]. As Christianity spread over these countries, some priests gave permission to revere and worship [spurious] pictures which were made and ascribed to hadrat Maryam the mother of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Other Christian societies were opposed to this for being incompatible with the essence of religion, and thus disputes and contentions started. The tumults lasted until the 787 th. year of the Christian era. In 171 [A.D. 787], in the Council that assembled in Nicea, it was decided to worship sham pictures and icons [that were mendaciously posited as pictures of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and hadrat Maryam]. Those who did not approve worshipping or revering pictures, idols [or statues], on the other hand, did not acquiesce in this decision. Controversies and conflicts continued till 842 A.D., when another Council was convoked in Istanbul by the Emperor Michael and his mother. It was decided in this Council that worshipping icons, statues and pictures was one of the Christian principles of belief. It was proclaimed that should anyone be opposed to the practice of worshipping pictures and icons, they would be a heretic.

[Ever since the adoption of Christianity by the Roman Empire, the Roman Church, taking pride in the fact that Rome was the place where Peter and Paul had been killed, had maintained its braggadocio as the kernel of the entire Christendom]. In 446 [A.D. 1054], the Eastern Church unleashed itself from the Roman Church, thus pioneering a new sect disparate from the Roman Catholic Church. The Eastern Church disagreed with the Roman Church in most of its principles. For instance, the Eastern Christians reject the Pope's spiritual position, that is, that he is the successor of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and Peter's representative, that the Holy Ghost carries out the orders of Father and Son and the grade of i'rad in the hereafter. They perform the Eucharist with leavened bread. They permit priests to get married. The hatred that the Eastern Christians felt against the papacy and their consequent disunion was an alarm loud enough to wake the popes from their apathy; but they were too conceited and too vain to take any warning. On the contrary, the popes' arrogance and vanity and the cardinals' unawareness and indifference kept on increasing. Thus Protestantism emerged in 923 [A.D. 1517], which meant a second splitting of the Roman Catholic Church. In the year 1510 (A.D.), the Pope, Liyman X (Julius II), following the old custom, gave the duty of hearing the German people's confessions to the Dominican monks. This predilection nettled the Augustinian monks. They chose a Catholic priest named Luther as their leader. [Martin Luther is German. He was born in 1453, and died in Eisleben in 953 (A.D. 1546)]. Luther rejected the Pope's hearing confessions, and proposed ninety-five principles, which formed the Protestant tenets. Most of the German Rulers followed Luther. Protestantism, as founded by Luther, acknowledges no source except the Gospels. It does not accept the Pope, either. It rejects such things as entire withdrawal from the world, matrimonial prohibition for the clergy, and hearing a confession.

Some time after Luther, Calvin came into the limelight and effected some reforms in Protestantism. He established an altogether novel Christian sect. [Jean (John) Calvin is French. He was born in 1509, and died in 1564, in Geneva]. The sect founded by Calvin is called (Calvinism). There is no place for overt (physical) worship in this sect. Nor are there such orders as papacy, bishopric, or priesthood. Calvinists do not believe that the host consumed at the Eucharist is exactly the same as the body or flesh of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. They give permission to worship the past Christian saints, [especially the Apostles]. They totally strip man of his irada-i-juz'iyya (partial will), and hold the belief that whether he will go to Paradise or Hell has already been predestined.

Afterwards, the sects founded by Luther and Calvin were disunited into various subsections. At least five hundred different Christian sects holding the name Protestantism exist in Germany and England today.

As these historical details show, today's Christian tenets, such as trinity and three hypostases, making worships matters pertaining to the heart and soul alone, and consequently not worshipping in a manner as prescribed by the overt commandments of the Bible, are not true, dependable Biblical commandments. They are things fabricated afterwards because of various doubts or for differing purposes or established by the clergy at ecclesiastical assemblies. Great credal discrepancies have come into existence between Catholics and Protestants in the essentials of Christianity, such as the sacrament of (the Eucharist), the Pope's being caliph of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and the representative of Peter, sacredness of the past saints, i.e. the Apostles, various diets and feasts, bogus pictures of Mary with, as it were, Jesus in her arms, worshipping portraits and icons, priests' redeeming sinners from their sins and selling people places in Paradise [in return for a certain amount of money]. The disparities between them have reached such an extent that each party deserves Hell according to the other. According to some other priests, on the other hand, inasmuch as the allegation of deserving Hell made by each party against the other is an inspiration of the Holy Spirit as is believed by both Protestants and Catholics, both parties are true to their allegation. [Both Catholics and Protestants deserve Hell].

The controversies about the Three Hypostases that started two hundred and fifty years after the beginning of Christianity and which have continued among various churches up to our time are beyond calculable numbers. Nevertheless, all Christian sects agree in the doctrine that God is an Essence composed of Three Persons, which are (Father, Son, and Holy Spirit). Each sect holds a different belief as to the natures of these three Essences, the nature of their unity and how they are related with one another. According to some of them, by 'three hypostases', 'three attributes of One Essential Person' is meant, not 'three distinct Persons'. According to some, the hypostasis of knowledge is (Logos), which has united with Christ's body. It is a perfect unity, like the uniting of water with wine. According to the Melekaniyya (Melchite) sect, it is like the shining of the sun on crystalline glass. According to the Nestorians, God has changed into flesh and blood and become Christ. According to the Yaqubiyya (Jacobite or Monophysite) sect, it is God's appearing in man. This sort of appearing is like the appearing of an angel in human guise. According to other sects, God has united with man like the uniting of the nafs (self) with the body. Thus, things that could never be accepted by reason or logic have been inserted into the [Nazarene] religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. It has been proven by the 'Ulama (savants) of Islam's knowledge of Kalam and by owners of sagacity that these creeds are wrong. Those who need more scientific details about the matter may have recourse to the books of those savants. Being unable to answer the responses and objections directed to them in the knowledge of Kalam, Protestants have had no other way than saying, "This is one of the divine secrets which the human mind falls short of comprehending." It goes without saying what this answer would be worth in the eyes of people of wisdom.

Notwithstanding all these facts, some outstanding Protestants have asserted that Qur'an al-karim (May Allahu ta'ala protect us from believing or saying so) is not a true heavenly book because the doctrine of trinity does not exist in Qur'an al-karim. It is like the case of a hashish addict who enters a jeweller's shop and asks for some hashish. Upon the shopkeeper's answering that they do not hold any sort of narcotics and that all their wares are precious articles like jewels, he says, "Then you are not a real tradesman." This statement of Protestants; like their other statements, is of no value.

It is being noticed that this doctrine of trinity is being spread systematically among Muslims by Christian missionaries. And it is being seen with regret that some unlearned Muslims are being deceived by them; for instance, especially when they want to discipline their children by

intimidating them, they use such expressions as 'Allah the Father' and 'Allah the Grandfather', pointing to the sky as if Allahu ta'ala were in the sky. It is declared clearly in the Ikhlas sura of Qur'an al-karim that it is never permissible to call Allahu ta'ala Father or Grandfather. Allahu ta'ala has not been procreated or begotten. He is free from being a father, a son, or a grandfather, and from place. Allahu ta'ala is not in the sky, so one should not point to the sky when mentioning His name. Allahu ta'ala is always Omnipresent and Omnicompetent. He governs and owns all. The credo that Isa 'alaihi-salam' went up to heaven and sat on the right hand side of Allah and that Allahu ta'ala is in heaven is a doctrine that has been interpolated into Christianity later. We Muslims must be extremely vigilant in this matter, and in all such matters alike. We must refrain from words and deeds that may damage, and even destroy our iman (belief). We must teach about belief and disbelief, words and deeds that cause disbelief to our children and relations, and help them refrain from such acts and words. We must not let them see television programs or motion pictures propagating Christianity or read books of that nature. We must tremble, shudder with the fear that our most valuable belonging, iman, may be marred. We must teach our children our blessed religion, Islam, in its pristine purity, as it was handed on to us by our forefathers, who detained it at the sacrifice of their lives, their blood. We must train and educate believing youngsters who will protect this religion and, when necessary, will sacrifice their lives for its sake, and we must entrust Islam only to such youngsters who have iman.

Before terminating our discourse on trinity, we shall give information about Paul, who is accepted as one of the greatest saints in Christendom. For Paul had the most prominent role in separating Christianity from Judaism and converting it into a religion mixed with Greek and pagan elements. H.G. Wells states in the hundred and twenty-ninth and the hundred and thirtieth pages of his book (A Short History of the World) that Paul is the most outstanding figure in the establishment of Christianity. His account of Paul can be paraphrased as follows: "This man had not seen Isa 'alaihi-salam'; nor had he heard his preaches. [Being a Jew of Tarsus], his name was Saul formerly. Then he converted to Christianity and changed his name to Paul. He had an extremely earnest interest in the religious trends of his time. He was perfectly informed with Judaism, Mithraism, and all the religious and philosophical schools of Alexandria. He inserted many philosophical and religious terms and tenets peculiar to them into Christianity. He pretended to be striving to promulgate the way, the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam', which was called God's Spiritual Kingdom of Heavens and which God liked because it guided to Paradise. He did not accept Jesus as the Messiah promised to Jewry. Instead, he considered him to be a sacrifice whose death would be the expiation for the salvation of mankind. This belief originated from heathen cults, wherein the salvation of humanity depended on human sacrifice."

Being a horrendous enemy of the Nazarenes, Paul gathered a horde of rovers around himself, and with them raided the houses of the Nazarenes in Jerusalem, dragging out whomever they caught inside, men and women alike, and imprisoning them in dungeons. He asked the Jewish rabbis to write letters (of permission) that the Nazarenes living in Damascus and in neighboring cities be caught and sent to Jerusalem. The rabbis gave him letters authorizing him to do so.

All sorts of persecution and torture, including massacres, proved futile in the Jews' efforts to hamper the spreading of the Nazarene religion. Luke says in the ninth chapter of Acts of the Apostles, "Paul, a bigoted Jew and a scholar of Judaism, was on his way to Damascus with the letters he had taken from the rabbis in order to take the Nazarenes in Damascus out of their homes and put them in dungeons, when a halo descended from heaven, suffused him all over, and fell on the ground, and then a voice said: Saul, Saul, why are you tormenting me? When he asked (the voice) who he was, (the voice) said: I am Jesus. And then he (the voice) told an

apostle that he (Paul) would render great services to the Nazarene religion." Then Paul declared his conversion to the Nazarene religion. He changed his name from Saul to Paul. He feigned to be a fervent Nazarene, thus taking up an internal position to change, defile the Nazarene religion, which he had not been able to annihilate by means of all sorts of persecution and oppression. Wherever he went, he said that Isa 'alaihi-salam' had given him the duty of guiding non-Jewish people to the Nazarene religion. By telling many other lies, he attached the Nazarenes to himself. He was accepted as the apostle for non-Jewish people. He began to spoil the creeds and worships of the Nazarenes. Up until that time the Apostles and other Nazarenes had been following the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' and doing their worships as prescribed by his canon. Paul asserted that by the killing of Isa 'alaihi-salam' on the cross, [which is a Christian belief], the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' had been nullified, and so it was no longer valid. He announced that from then on salvation for all people depended on believing in Jesus the Son of God. He called Isa 'alaihi-salam' Son of God and Prophet alternatively. He withstood Peter, the most prominent of the Apostles of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Peter, who had continuously accompanied Isa 'alaihi-salam', was saying that the Nazarene religion had not abrogated but perfected Judaism. As a proof for this fact, he indicated Isa's 'alaihi-salam' statement, "I have come not to demolish the Shariat, but to complement it", which is quoted in the seventeenth verse of the fifth volume of the Gospel of Matthew, Paul made all sorts of food and drink permissible for the Nazarenes, and caused them to cease from many sorts of worships, such as circumcision. This fact is written clearly in the New Testament. Paul states in the seventh verse of the second chapter of the epistle which he wrote to Galatians, "As Peter was the apostle for the Bible of circumcision, so I have been entrusted with the Bible of uncircumcision." This means to say that Isa 'alaihi-salam', as he is alive, intimates the injunction of circumcision to Peter, his companion, and says that this is a commandment of the Bible. Peter obeys this commandment and teaches it to everybody who accepts the Nazarene religion. And Paul, too, confirms that Peter has been told so. But he changes this after Isa 'alaihi-salam' leaves the world.

A person named Paul who has never seen Isa 'alaihi-salam' appears, and rejects a commandment of Isa 'alaihi-salam' transmitted by another person who has seen Isa 'alaihi-salam'. He states in his epistle that Peter, the first caliph of Isa 'alaihi-salam', was with two other Apostles, James and John, who, too, heard Isa 'alaihi-salam' enjoin circumcision. He states that Isa 'alaihi-salam', after ascending to heaven, has shown himself to him and enjoined uncircumcision. And afterwards this statement of his is accepted as a religious injunction by all Christians. On the other hand, the injunction transmitted unanimously by Apostles who have seen Isa 'alaihi-salam' in person and who have been his companions is rejected. A single person makes a statement and asserts that it was inspired to him, in his dream or as he was awake, and then this statement of his is accepted and practiced as a religious tenet. What a rational basis for Christianity: it depends on reported inspiration from Isa 'alaihi-salam'!

Dr. Morton Scott Enslin accepts that Paul's credo is quite disparate from the creed of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. His account of the matter in the hundred and eighty-second page of the second part of his book (Christian Beginnings) can be paraphrased as follows:

"It has been understood definitely that Christianity, as established by Paul, greatly differs from the Isawi (Nazarene) religion as taught by Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Later, Paul and his colleagues who had interpreted the Bible erroneously were censured harshly. The inner meaning of movement of (Back to Jesus) was (getting away from Paul). Many old Nazarenes and Jews joined this movement and reprehended Paul, but this movement did not yield much fruit. If Isa 'alaihi-salam' had seen all the things that were being done in a church in the city of Corinth fifty-four

years after his departure from the world, he would have said, 'Is this the result of my endeavors, of my invitation in Galili?' Had Paul not done those changes in the Isawi (Nazarene) religion, there would be no Christianity." [Corinth is a city in Greece]. Paul not only made a discrepancy between Jews and Christians by rendering

Christianity a disingenuous credo and Isa 'alaihi-salam' a savior god, but also declared the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' to be (accursed). This case is entirely counter to the rule that not even a letter of the Shariat can be changed, which is written in the Gospels, [e.g. Matthew: 5-19]. Christianity, founded by Paul, spread to various countries and was accepted by Jewish communities and by non-Jewish pagan nations alike. For Paul had brought Christianity extremely close to Paganism. The demolition of Masjid al-Aqsa in Jerusalem and the evacuation of the true Nazarenes and Jews living there in the seventieth year of the Christian era delivered the Isawi (Nazarene) religion a blow from which it never recovered again.

Another noteworthy fact here is that Paul could never get along well with most of the Apostles and often quarrelled with them. Paul was apposed to Peter, who is called the greatest saint in Christendom by all Christians. He professed this in the eleventh verse of the second chapter of his epistle to Corinthians. And in the fourteenth verse he accused Barnabas of having been taken in by hypocrites. Nevertheless, of the Apostles, he liked Barnabas best. According to the final part of the fifteenth chapter of Acts of the Apostles, Barnabas suggested that they (Paul and Barnabas) visit the Nazarenes in the other cities taking John along with them, but Paul refused. This issue caused a fiery dispute between Barnabas and Paul, which ended up in Paul's abandoning Barnabas.

A close examination of Paul's life and statements will clearly reveal his recurrent efforts to revile, downgrade, and contradict the Apostles. Many Christian clergy have looked upon Paul as the founder of Christianity. For according to these clergy Isa 'alaihi-salam' and his Apostles adhered to Judaism, that is, to the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', with respect to belief and worship. Paul assailed this bitterly. He separated Judaism and Christianity from each other and discarded all the Judaic worships. Thus a religion quite different from the teachings of the Apostles came into being. This religion, being based on Paul's ideas, was quite extraneous to the Nazarene religion which the Apostle Peter tried to preach. Priests, while accusing us of false charges on account of our stating these facts, accept Paul as a Christian (Saint). As a matter of fact, Paul's epistles, which are at the final section of the New Testament of the Holy Bible, constitute a component part of the Holy Bible. The Book of Acts of Apostles, written by Luke, consists is Paul's biography. When this and Paul's epistles are taken into consideration, it will be seen that the space allotted for Paul in the Holy Bible is not smaller than the space allotted for the four Gospels. And Christianity is essentially based on the things which Paul wrote in these epistles of his. An example of these is this belief: "Wrongdoing and death for soul and body are the consequences of Adam's 'alaihi-salam' eating from the forbidden fruit. All people, who are the descendants of Adam 'alaihi-salam', came to the world smeared with the depravity of this (original) sin. God has sent a part of His Essence, His only Son, to the world, thus redeeming (people) of the sin which they had since Adam 'alaihi-salam'. We spoke with a priest on this subject, and asked him, "If God had sent His only Son earlier, millions of people would have been purified of the innate depravity caused by the original sin and come to the world in an extremely pure state; would it not have been better?" The priest answered, "Then the divinity of Jesus Christ would not have been realized, nor would his value have been appreciated." This answer of the priest's reminded us of the paradox that Christians, who on the one hand are said to have appreciated the value of Jesus Christ, have on the other hand held the belief that "He shall

enter Hell (for the expiation of people's sins)." We asked him about it. He denied it. We showed him several passages from the New Testament, which another priest had shown to us and told us that they were evidences to prove it. He read them. Yet he (could not answer). He thought for a rather long time. At last he said that he was the deputy bishop and did not understand Turkish well, adding "This verse is a medjaz (allegory). We knew then that he understood Turkish well enough to know such a (technical) word as medjaz.

Paul wreaked vengeance on the Nazarene religion by turning the Nazarene religion, a true religion, into Christianity, a false religion. Yet Christians still call him (Paul the Apostle) and accept him as one of the most prominent Christian saints. They build their religious tenets pertaining to belief and worship on the words of a person who never saw Isa 'alaihi-salam' and never sat in his blessed presence. And they profess that such a religion is the latest and the most perfect religion sent by Allahu ta'ala. On the other hand, Muslims, who are well aware of Paul's acts of treason against the Nazarene religion, call surreptitious, double, faced, perfidious people 'Paul the Serpent'.

Why should we blame the sun
If the blind do not see.

FOOTNOTES

[31] This corrupt religion is still followed by Pharisees, who read the Zoroastrian book Zend-Avesta.

[32] Djan-i-Djanan was martyred in 1195 [A.D. 1781] in Delhi.

[33] The name of this great Prophet is mentioned in Qur'an al-karim. Christian scholars mostly equate his name with Enoch.

[34] Ghazali passed away in Tus in 504 [A.D. 1111].

[35] Imam-i-Rabbani passed away in Serhend in 1034 [A.D. 1624].

PRIESTS' ATTACKS ON ISLAMIC WORSHIPS AND REFUTATIONS AGAINST THEM

Protestants refer to forms of worship in Islam and in Christianity in the second chapter of the book Ghada-ul- mulahazat. Therein they try to prove that Christianity is superior to and more meritorious than Islam. According to them, "Forms of worship in the Islamic religion consist in a certain number of certain actions and modes at certain places at certain times. Christianity, on the other hand, is based on essentials instructing how to do worships soulfully and heartily, to have belief in salvation, which will take the place of superficial and formal worships, to improve yourself, to purify your heart of vices, and to beautify your moral habits. Qur'an al-karim does not contain any clear and true information concerning the forgiveness of the sinful by their having belief and repenting. Whereas the Gospel of Matthew declares, in the twentieth and later verses of the first chapter, that the Angel of God showed himself to Joseph the Carpenter in his dream, gave him the glad tidings that Mary would have a son, and enjoined him, 'You shall name him Jesus, which means. Who redeems his people of sins'. Qur'an al-karim, while shelving the notion that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the redeemer from sins by hushing up the matter, downgrades him to prophethood and equates him with other Prophets. If a person's sin were no more than ignorance and erring, a Prophet's guidance would suffice for him. Yet, alongside the human deficiencies such as ignorance and being prone to error, man is by his nature vulnerable to wrongdoing and is under the slavery of the devil, which is augmented by his innate depravity, [a

consequence of the original sin committed by Adam 'alaihi-salam'], a teacher or Prophet's coming afterwards would not suffice [for the salvation of human beings]. Freeing the everlasting human soul from slavery and from the burden of sinfulness would certainly require the advent of a savior. Whereas the Bible has announced that mankind could be saved from the dirt of sinning and from the temptations of the devil only at the sacrifice of the blessed blood of Jesus Christ, the one and only Savior, Qur'an al-karim has disregarded this redemptive capacity of Isa 'alaihi-salam', and has made getting rid of sins dependent upon some principles such as uttering the kalima-i-tawhid and kalima-i-shahadat, suffering some chastisement, and obeying the religious commandments. The Bible, while encouraging people to do true penance, to have perfect, superior belief, and to thank and laud Allahu ta'ala who is able to change what is in any heart, has presented reasonable and admissible forms of worship and religious duties by eradicating all forms of worship and custom that were being observed among the Jews in the time of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. None the less for this fact, Qur'an al-karim has re-established the physical and outward worships and customs of such a religion as Judaism, which is far from perfect and deprived of spirituality. Such physical worships as namaz, abdest (ablution), facing the qibla (during namaz), hajj, and fasting have no effect on the heart, and since it is onerous and arduous to observe these worships, the religion of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' is not suitable for every community on the earth. In short, Qur'an al-karim's not confirming the fact that Allahu ta'ala had no other way than shedding the blood of His only Son for the forgiveness of His sinful born servants and for their salvation from the pestering of the devil, proves the fact that Qur'an al-karim has not been revealed by Allah. The rules stated in Qur'an al-karim refer to physical worships only, and there is no injunction pertaining to the purification of the heart of vices or betterment of moral qualities. The commandments in Qur'an al-karim, that is, those injunctions that are termed fard and wajib, are unnecessary."

ANSWER: This impugment [and these slanders] of the priestly author of the book Ghada-ul-mulahazat clearly evince the fact that, either he has never read [Qur'an al-karim or the books of the Islamic savants and therefore is vulgarly incognizant of Islam, or he is bluntly lying though he may know better. This priest likens Qur'an al-karim, which was revealed to our Prophet 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' through the wahy of Jabra'il (Gabriel) 'alaihi-salam', to those books that are ascribed to Matthew or John and which were compiled and fabricated by a number of anonymous priests. Writing sophisms quite contrary to facts, he insolently attacks Islam. This priest, [and all other priests and also the whole world] have to know that Qur'an al-karim is the Word of Allah. It contains no lie, no human interpolation. If Qur'an al-karim contained falsifications like various Christian beliefs, such as that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the Son of God [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so], that Allahu ta'ala, having no other way to forgive the sins of people whom He created, sent him through hadrat Maryam, left him helpless in the hands of a few Jews, who treated him with insults, slapped him on the face, and then crucified him, and that finally He made him accursed by burning him in Hell, it would not be the Word of Allah. Like today's existing Gospels, it would lose its quality of being the Word of Allah. Furthermore, if this priest had read only a few books of Tafsir and Hadith-i-Sharif and thus acquired just a smattering of the styles and technicalities in those books, he would think shame to propose an ambiguous statement derived from a book which was written by Matthew and which is full of insertions as a proof against Muslims in his argument that Isa 'alaihi-salam' were the Savior for all nations. If he were reasonable and did not mean harm as he professes in the preface of his book, he would not be annoyed to see that Qur'an al-karim does not contain any preposterous statements like today's copies of the Bible. He would not have the daring to say, "Qur'an al-karim

hushes up the fact that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the Savior for all mankind", as if it were a fact and Qur'an al-karim concealed it. As for the expression in the Gospel of Matthew which we have mentioned earlier; the word 'Savior' used here is not used in its full sense. [The absolute Savior is Allahu ta'ala, when the word is used in its full sense:.. The word 'Savior', which is used about Isa 'alaihi-salam' in the Gospels, is a hyperbole which denotes through overstatement that he, being a Prophet, shall intercede for his sinful ummat and cause them to be saved in the hereafter. As a matter of fact, Isa 'alaihi-salam' told his companions time and again that he was not a 'savior' but a humble born servant, and no partner or likeness and whose existence is absolutely necessary, that is, who is wajib-ul-wujud. For instance, it is written in the twenty-third verse of the twentieth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi- salam' said about the sons of Zebedee, "Yet it is not within my power to give the privilege of sitting on my right or left. Those for whom my Father has prepared these positions shall be seated there." On the other hand, in the thirtieth verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of John, Isa 'alaihi-salam' is quoted as having said, "I cannot do anything of my choice. I do what I am commanded to do, and my decision is correct. For in everything I do I search not my own will but the will of the One who has sent me." And again, it is written in the twenty-eighth verse of the fourteenth chapter of the Gospel of John that Isa 'alaihi-salam' said, "Father is greater than I am." What on earth could be so ignorant, so blasphemous and so devious as saying, "He is the only Son of God, and is the same as God Himself. He redeemed the sins by shedding his own blood", about Isa 'alaihi-salam', whose statements we have quoted above? Supposing the purpose of Allahu ta'ala were, as Christians allege, to forgive His sinful born servants; what, then, was the point in first creating His only Son through a mother and displaying many miracles through him throughout his prophethood, and then making all the Israelites except five to ten humble devotees enemies, and him fleeing here and there of their fear and then at last succumbing to the Jews' chase and, after being subjected to various insults, being killed yelling with pain on the cross, and after all, scorching him for three days in Hell and tormenting him in other ways? Who was there for Him to fear? If all human beings were by their nature kneaded with wrongdoing and sedition and therefore definitely needed such a (Savior), why did Allahu ta'ala postpone sending him for six thousand years? Would it not have been much better if, for instance, He had sent him as a brother to Cain, the (eldest) son of Adam 'alaihi-salam', in which case Cain, who had been predestinated to commit homicide, would have killed God's only Son, thus saving millions of people from Hell? Is it compatible with the justice and compassion of Allahu ta'ala, who is the most merciful of the merciful, to put into Hell and torment so many pious people, among whom were Prophets who were visited by the Ruh-ul-quds, for thousands of years till the advent of His "only Son" Jesus Christ, on account of a sin that had been innate in them [since Adam 'alaihi-salam'], though they had no share in the sin? If what is meant by the (original) sin' is Adam's 'alaihi-salam' peccadillo of eating the fruit of the forbidden tree, did he not have his deserts by being sent out of Paradise? Was that not enough? What is the contribution of all his descendants to this sin? What other penal code or system of justice imposes retribution on the son for a guilt committed by the father? So many cruel and barbarous rulers lived on the earth. Is there any record in history telling that any of them punished a newer generation for an offense committed by an older generation? Is Allahu ta'ala, who is the most compassionate of all the compassionate, more cruel than all those tyrants and barbarian (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so)? According to this logic (of Christians), the Jews who (are said to have) killed Isa 'alaihi-salam' should have attained the fortune of causing forgiveness for all people. For when these Jews are bid to enter Hell on the Day of Judgement they may say, "O Lord! Since Thou would not have

forgiven the sins of Thine human creatures unless someone had shed the blood of Thine only Son, whom Thou had sent unto the earth to this end only, we killed him to fulfill this decree of Thine. If we had not killed him all these people created would not have been saved. We killed him only in order to execute Thine will and to save people from Hell. Doing this atrocious deed of manslaughter, we evoked general hatred. Is it worthy of Thine justice to castigate, let alone rewarding, us for this self-sacrifice of ours?" If they say so, will they not elicit the compassion or at least the sympathy of even those people gathered for the Judgement? Moreover, being the first man, Adam 'alaihi-salam' was not aware of Satan's adversity and turpitude, and it never occurred to him that Satan, who had been dismissed from the presence of Allahu ta'ala, would enter Paradise to mislead him. As is written in the Taurah, Satan first deceived hadrat Hawwa (Eve) by using various stratagems [and hadrat Hawwa, in her turn, inadvertently caused Adam 'alaihi-salam' to commit an error. Now, (the Christian paralogism takes up the matter at this point), this error, being aggrandized in the view of Allahu ta'ala, spread beyond Adam 'alaihi-salam' and infested all his descendants up to God's only Son. Thus it became inevitable that all should go to Hell and would not be pardoned unless God's only son came to the world and his blood was shed. [For pardoning that sin, Allahu ta'ala had no other way than shedding His only Son's blood (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so). According to the reasoning of some priests we have talked to, "In past religions Allahu ta'ala commanded to make a sacrifice for each sin committed and declared that requital for sinning was shedding blood, dictating the number of animals to be sacrificed for each sin. Expiation for each sin was shedding blood. This fact is written in the Old Testament. Yet animal blood would not suffice for the original sin; human blood would be necessary." On the other hand, as has been mentioned above, according to the Bible, "Allahu ta'ala, having no other way than (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so) sacrificing His only Son, sacrificed His only Son, thus shedding human blood and forgiving the original sin, which had been inherited from the first father."]

Following (today's existing copies of) the Taurah and the Bible, Christians believe that a Christian who has committed one of the forbidden acts, such as murder and fornication, will attain forgiveness by giving a certain amount of money to a priest, who in his turn will say that he has forgiven him, or by uniting with the Lord by consuming His flesh and blood, or by standing bare headed and gazing at the sky. [Since it is so easy to attain forgiveness, would it not have been better if God's only Son, instead of being sacrificed, had begged God, so that God would have forgiven that sin for the sake of His divinized Son?]

Furthermore, sacrificing one's life for something is optional and is therefore dependent on one's full assent. Had the consent of Isa 'alaihi-salam' been obtained for killing him? There is sufficient evidence to prove to the contrary; as is written in the Bible [Matthew: 26-39], Isa 'alaihi-salam' prayed to the Father, "O Father, let this bowl pass from me if possible"; fearing a possible danger, he said (to others), "Do not tell anyone where I am"; and he supplicated on the cross, "Eli, Eli, Lima sabaktani (My God, My God, why hast Thou forsaken me)"; all such events show that his blood was shed, that is, he was sacrificed regardless of his option. For instance, if a person willingly spends some money for the sake of his religion or nation, his case will be an example of self-sacrifice. But a person who has had to give something or has been forced to do so cannot be said to have done

self-sacrifice. [Then, how can Christians, who believe that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so) killed and that he made the above-quoted statements, hold the belief at the same time that he sacrificed himself for the sake of sinful people? This latter belief

of theirs and the statements quoted from Isa 'alahis-salam' in the Gospels are contradictory. "Two opposite facts cannot coexist."

It is written in the existing copies of the Bible that if a person blasphemes the Holy Spirit he shall never be forgiven. There are no prescribed punishments for other sins in the Gospels. On the other hand, Catholic priests deliver from sins in return for a certain amount of money, depending on the gravity of each sin.

According to the ayat-i karimas in Qur'an al-karim, there are three kinds of sins:

1 - shirk [36]: means to worship something other than, or besides, Allahu ta'ala. It means disbelief, unbelief, atheism. Disbelief is forgiven only if the concerned person repents and believes by heart. The hundred and sixteenth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala will not forgive those who attribute a partner (or partners) to Him, that is, disbelief." [Of all the sins and vices, disbelief is the worst. A person who slights one of the commandments and prohibitions of Allahu ta'ala, becomes a disbeliever. None of the goodness, pious and charitable deeds of a disbeliever will do him any good in the hereafter. If a person does not have iman, none of his goodness will be rewarded. There are kinds of disbelief. The worst, the gravest kind is (shirk). It has been a generally accepted rule that when all kinds of something are to be referred to under one common nomenclature, the gravest one is mentioned. For this reason, the word (shirk) used in ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs comprehends all sorts of disbelief. So it is understood from the ayat al-karima cited above that disbelievers will be scorched everlastingly in Hell. A Muslim who abandons the Islamic faith and becomes a disbeliever, is called Murtad (apostate). All the former worships and thawabs (all pious deeds that deserve to be rewarded in the world to come) of an apostate will come to naught. Unless an apostate repents and ceases from his behavior that has made him a disbeliever, he shall not become a Muslim by saying the Kalima-shahadat or by performing namaz. Therefore, one should be very much afraid of disbelief. It is declared in a hadith-i- Sharif, "Always say what is good and useful. Otherwise keep quiet." One should shy away from words and behaviors that are not compatible with Islam. It is declared in a hadith ash-Sharifs, "Beware from shirk. shirk is more stealthy than the sound of an ant's footsteps." Because disbelievers would remain disbelievers if they lived forever, the punishment for their disbelief is to be tormented in Hell forever. Therefore, it cannot be asserted that it would be cruelty to torment disbelievers forever].

2 - Grave sins: are the acts of violating the prohibitions of Allahu ta'ala. Homicide, theft, lying, arrogance, i.e. display of superiority over others, are only a few examples. He who has done these, that is, who has committed a grave sin, if he has not made tawba [37] (before dying) and if he does not attain shafaat (intercession) in the next world, shall be scourged with Hell fire as long as he deserves on account of his sins, and shall attain forgiveness by Allahu ta'ala owing to the iman he has had.

3 - Not to do the worships that are termed (fard) and (wajib) and which have been enjoined by Allahu ta'ala.

There are two kinds of tawba:

Firstly: Tawba for the sins that involve violating the rights of Allahu ta'ala. Examples of sins of this sort are neglecting the worships termed (fard) and (wajib) and committing the acts forbidden by Allahu ta'ala. Not performing namaz (which is fard) and not giving the prescribed alms termed zakat (which is fard under the conditions dictated by Islam) are sins of this category. Those Muslims who have committed sins of this sort shall be pardoned by Allahu ta'ala when they make tawba-i- nasuh. The eighth ayat of Tahrim sura purports: "O believers! Repent for your sins and make tawba-i-nasuh to Allahu ta'ala." Tawba-i-nasuh means to repent for one's

sins, supplicate Allahu ta'ala for forgiveness, and to be determined not to sin again till one dies. The two hundred and twenty-second ayat of Baqara sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala loves those who make tawba." As it can be inferred from these and other glad tidings in Qur'an al-karim and from the hadith ash-Sharif which announces the good news, "A person who makes tawba for his sin is identical with one who has never sinned at all", sinners who make tawba shall attain forgiveness by Allahu ta'ala.

Secondly: Tawba for the sins in which rights of the born servants, e.g. people, are involved, too. Examples of these sins are usurpation, oppression, backbiting, etc. People who have committed one of these sins, [if they have not repaid the wronged person his right or settled the matter with him somehow or obtained the wronged person's consent or renunciation], shall never attain Allah's forgiveness and shall be punished in the hereafter, unless the plaintiff withdraws his action on the Day of Judgement. However, being believers, they shall be tormented as long as they deserve, and then they shall enter Paradise. Or, Allahu ta'ala, the most merciful of the merciful, shall offer such gifts to the wronged party as will wheedle him into agreeing to the waiver. Thus, the wronged party attaining these gifts and renouncing his right willingly, the wrongdoer shall be pardoned.

As it will be understood from the information given above, contrary to the suppositions and calumniations of the demurrant priests, pardoning of Muslims' sins is not possible only by their saying the Kelima-i-tawhid or the Kelima-i-shahadat. Islam has clearly declared that there cannot be a likeness, a partner or a deputy of Allahu ta'ala. Therefore, in the hereafter, sinners will be interceded for only with the permission and decree of Allahu ta'ala. Muslims, putting their trust in the ayats of good news expressed in Qur'an al-karim, look forward to the infinite blessings of Allahu ta'ala in a state of (beyn-al-khawfi wer-raja), which means 'midway between fear and hope. Christians, on the other hand, except that their sin, regardless of its kind, will be pardoned only by the priest's saying, "I have forgiven thee", and thus they will attain God's dominion, that is, Paradise. Now, it only takes honest reasoning to decide which of the two creeds is worthy of the Honor of Divinity and compatible with the humility that born servants must endure themselves with.

The book (Ghada-ul-mulahazat) traduces Qur'an al-karim, especially in its hundred and forty-fifth page, as follows:

"Qur'an al-karim demotes Christ to Prophet by not referring to his grade of Savior. It denies the fact that he is the Savior, the man who fulfilled the desire of his heavenly Father by sacrificing his life for the sake of other people and thus saving men from the slavery of the great sin. Instead, it states that the true and the latest Savior is Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', who, as is written by the scholars of Siyar [38], approved of others' being sacrificed for protecting his life and carrying out his commandments."

ANSWER: The dogma that people have been born sinful since Adam 'alaihi-salam' and are therefore under the slavery of depravity, is a Christian fabrication. The Gospels do not contain such a statement. It would be futile to cudgel the brain trying to solve this enigma.

Islam not only guides people in their outward behavior, [such as deeds and worships], but also teaches them how to cleanse their hearts and souls. The eighty-eighth and the eighty-ninth ayats of Shu'ara sura purport: "On the Judgement Day, neither property nor progeny shall do good. Yet one who comes to Allahu ta'ala with qalb-i-Salim, [with a heart purified of vices], is an exception, [that is, he alone shall be saved]." This ayat al-karima and hundreds of hadith ash-Sharifs commending and advising purification of the heart, doing good and having beautiful moral habits, in addition to manners and actions of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' and the

kindnesses he did even to his enemies, are in the open. When these facts are known, it will spontaneously be seen how mendacious and how illiterate the priestly author of this book is. We have already explained by giving quotations from the Bible that Isa 'alaihi-salam' did not sacrifice his life in order to fulfill the desire of his heavenly father. That is, it is written in the Gospels that before he was crucified he prostrated himself with anxiety and said, "O Father, let this bowl pass from me." [This event is told in detail in the fourteenth chapter of Mark and in the twenty-second chapter of Luke. It is written in the forty-fourth verse of the twenty-second chapter of Luke: "Jesus was in distress and prayed very fervently. His perspiration was like big drops of blood falling unto the ground." All these things are derived from the Christian creed. According to the Islamic creed, Isa 'alaihi-salam' was neither crucified, nor killed at all. It was his hypocritical betrayer Judas Iscariot that was crucified. The Jews mistook him for Isa 'alaihi-salam' and crucified him. Allahu ta'ala elevated Isa 'alaihi-salam' to the third heaven. He prayed very earnestly so that he could be one of the ummat of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', the only comforter, whose good news is given even in today's copies of the Bible and whom Christians call Paraclete, which is translated into English as encourager (or admonisher). Towards the end of the world Allahu ta'ala shall send him (Isa 'alaihi-salam') down to earth again. Then Isa 'alaihi-salam' shall follow the Shariat of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' and shall say halal (permitted) for whatever he said halal, and haram (forbidden) for whatever he said haram. Paraclete means Ahmad. And Ahmad, in its turn, is one of the names of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'. Isa 'alaihi-salam' is one of the Prophets called Ulul'azm (the highest Prophets). He is not the son of Allah (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so). He was not a God from God, or a light from light. Isa 'alaihi-salam' was a human being. He cannot be worshipped].

This slanderous priest, by his statement, "who approved of others' being sacrificed for protecting his life", implies our Prophet's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' ordering hadrat Ali 'radi-allahu anh' to lie in his (the Prophet's) bed during the Hijra (Hegira). Explaining in the next page that this event is what he means, he essays to demonstrate, as it were, that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the last Prophet and therefore superior to and more virtuous than Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'. However, his argument seems to prove to the contrary. For he says in the twenty-ninth page of the same book, "Jesus Christ appeared among the Israelites and found them ready to accept him." And further ahead, from the hundred and twelfth page to the hundred and thirteenth page, he endeavors to prove that the Arabs, being heathens, were not ready to accept a new religion.

According to a narrative, people who believed in Isa 'alaihi-salam' were no more than twenty men, and a few women who had been cured of epilepsy. Supposing these believers had at the same time confirmed, as Christians presume, that he was divine; then why none of these believers complied with his admonitions, such as, "If you had a streak of belief, you should lift up a mountain," which he asseverated in order to instill a mature belief and trust in Allah into them, and "If one of you sacrifices his life for my sake, he shall attain eternal life," the good news he had given them a few days before his (supposed) crucifixion? On the contrary, one of the Hawaris who are looked on as Messengers, [Apostles, that is], by Christians, namely Judas, let alone sacrificing his life, showed the Jews the place where Isa 'alaihi-salam' was in return for a bribe of thirty pieces of silver. The other disciples, who occupied the position of Apostleship, disbanded and ran away when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was caught [Matthew: 26-56]. Peter, who was the highest of all, had sworn an oath to Christ and said, "I shall never deny Thee even if I should die with Thee" [Matthew: 26-35]. Amongst those tumults, as Isa 'alaihi-salam' was being taken away, he followed him from a distance [Matthew: 26-58]. Then, when the

rooster crowed, he denied three times with imprecations that he knew Isa 'alaihi-salam' [Matthew: 26-74].

On the other hand, all the Ashab al-kiram, who belonged to the Arabic nation that this priest asserts were not ready to welcome a new religion, confirmed the prophethood of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' and did not hesitate to sacrifice their lives and property willingly for the sake of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'. Here are a few examples:

The Ghaza (Holy War) of Uhud [39] is one of the greatest and most important holy wars in the history of Islam. This holy war was about to end in a victory of the Ashab al-kiram, when the heathens, making a detour of the valley, circumvented the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan' and attacked them from behind. The Islamic army disintegrated. Many of the Ashab al-kiram attained the rank of martyrdom. The valor and bravery of the Ashab-i-kiram who took part and were martyred in this war made up the most honorable legend of heroism in the history of Islam. We shall relate the states in which some of the Sahabis were:

That day Talha bin Ubaidullah 'radi-allahu anh', seeing that Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was surrounded by the heathens, was at a loss as to where to run, which way to turn. He was now fighting back those who attacked from the right, then grappling with the assailants from the left. Meanwhile he was shielding Rasulallah with his own body and shuddering with the fear that Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' might be injured. Keeping close to Rasulallah, he was fighting, turning about, and fighting on. Among the heathens there was a skilled archer who hit whatever mark he aimed at. This villain, Malik bin Zubair by name, aimed at our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and threw his arrow. It was just about too late to stop the arrow whizzing towards Rasulallah's blessed head, when Talha 'radi-allahu anh', seeing there was no other way to stop it, swiftly opened his hand held it against the arrow. The arrow pierced his palm.

Umm-i-Umara 'radi-allahu anha', one of the woman Sahabis, together with her husband and her son, was fighting beside Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Her son, her husband, and she herself were shielding Rasulallah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' with their bodies. Meanwhile she was bandaging the wounds of her son and the other Sahabis, and fetching water to the thirsty Sahabis. Then, snatching a sword, she began to fight. An unbeliever named Ibni Kamia had sworn an oath to kill Rasulallah. When he saw Rasulallah he assailed. Umm-i-Umara stood before his horse, stopped his horse, and charged against him. The heathen being armor-clad, her blows did not have much effect. Had not he had his armor on, he would have joined the other killed heathens. The heathen made vehement counter-attacks and finally delivered her a fatal wound on the throat. Here is Rasulallah's blessed remark about her: "On the day of Uhud, wherever I looked I always saw Umm-i-Umara, and Umm-i-Umara again."

Mus'ab bin Umery 'radi-allahu anh' was carrying the banner of Muhajirs in the Holy War of Uhud. He had two sets of armors on him. The wicked unbeliever Ibni Kamia set upon Mus'ab 'radi-allahu anh'. For Mus'ab 'radi-allahu anh' was shielding Rasulallah with his body. With one stroke of his sword, Ibni Kamia cut off Mus'ab's 'radi-allahu anh' right arm. So he held the banner with his left hand. In the meantime he was soliloquizing and saying the fourteenth ayat al-karima of Al-i-'imran sura, which purported: "Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah alone." A second stroke, and this time his left arm was cut off. Upon this he pressed the banner on his chest, using what remained of his mutilated arms and at the same time reiterating the same ayat al-karima. He did not let go the Banner of Islam. At last he succumbed to a spear that was thrust into his chest, and attained martyrdom. Yet he was still in possession of the Islamic Banner.

Hubeyb bin Adiy and Zayd bin Desinna 'radi-allahu anhuma' had been entrapped, enslaved, and then sold to the polytheists of Quraish by the sons of Lihyan, who were polytheists, too. Before martyring Hubeyb, they told him that they would set him free if he abandoned his religious faith. He replied, "I swear by the name of Allah that I shall not abandon my religious faith! I would not abandon Islam even if the whole world were given to me in return." Upon this the polytheists asked, "Would you rather put Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' in your place and have him killed, so that you may go home and live comfortably?" Hubeyb 'radi-allahu anh' answered, "I would sacrifice my life even to prevent a thorn from stinging the blessed foot of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' in Medina. The unbelievers marvelled at this excessive love of Hubeyb's. Then they martyred him.

These events and hundreds of other examples that could be written here bear witness to the fact that all the Ashab al-kiram and all the other Muslims that have come to the earth for fourteen hundred years were and have been willing to sacrifice their lives for the sake of Rasulullah and for attaining love of Allahu ta'ala. The Apostles, on the other hand, who are accepted as Messengers by Christians, not only deserted Isa 'alaihi-salam' and ran away at his most grievous time, but also swore afterwards that they did not know him. These cases are written in today's Gospels.]

Every truth is fully known only by Allahu ta'ala; our Prophet's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' enjoining this sacrificial act on Ali 'radi-allahu anh' in the night of Hegira was intended to answer any possible future question as to why the latest Prophet did not arise from a nation who were ready to welcome a new religion, thus silencing those Christians who might ask such a question once and for all. [For though he has arisen among a nation who are not ready for a new religion, an injunction given to a person who believes in him is carried out willingly despite the danger of losing his life. This fact is one of the greatest proofs demonstrating the superiority and virtue of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. This priest contradicts himself]. Another very subtle point of hikmat here is this: it may be considered that Rasulullah's 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' assigning this duty to one of his Companions must have been one of his admonitory miracles (mujizas), for this event makes up a good criterion by which to compare the Apostles and the Ashab al-kiram, and gives a mortifying answer in advance to the objectors and adversaries who assert that "Islamic religion spread through outward advantages and by compulsion." [For Ali 'radi-allahu anh' lay in Rasulullah's bed without hesitation, as opposed to Peter and the Apostles' forsaking Isa 'alaihi-salam' and running away].

Oppugning Islam, Protestant priests say: "The Bible exempted its believers from the worships performed by the Jews contemporary with Jesus Christ, and showed and taught its believers the most reasonable and acceptable forms of worship. However, Qur'an al-karim relapsed into imperfection by commanding the soulless, physical and outward customs and worships of Judaism."

ANSWER: We ask them: What is the meaning of Isa's 'alaihi-salam' statement, "I have not come to demolish the Shariat. I have come not to demolish, but to complement. Unless the earth and heaven perish, not even a letter or a diacritical dot of the Shariat shall perish till everything comes into existence," in the seventeenth verse of the fifth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew? Why was he circumcised as prescribed by the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam'? What was the reason for his celebrating fully all the certain feast days peculiar to the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' all through his lifetime? Why were his disputes with the Israelites about the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', and why did he rebuke them for not following that Shariat? All these facts show that the assertions of this Protestant priest are quite incongruous with the teachings of the

Bible and with the practices of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Qur'an al-karim is never dispossessed of perfection and spirituality. A person who does not perform the physical worships of a religion cannot benefit from the spirituality of that religion. This subject will be dealt with in detail later ahead.

The Christian priests' primary objection is Islam's taharat (cleanliness). Their first target, therefore, is the matter of taharet, where they make their major offensive.

This priest says, "If Islam's ablution were intended for the cleanliness of the people and for the cleaning of the body of its dirt, nothing could be said against it. Yet the soundness of worships, which are performed for the sake of Allahu ta'ala, has been made dependent on making ablution and thus ablution has been made one of the essentials of worship. The predication that "Allahu ta'ala will not accept a namaz without ablution" is something to be dwelt on. Since it is declared in the Taurah, 'The Rab will not look as man looks. For man looks at the appearance, and the Rab looks at the heart', making ablution before namaz will have no effect on the purification of the heart or on the inner essence of namaz. Nor will it be of any use for the soundness and acceptability of namaz. Accordingly, Qur'an al-karim has made the sincerity and the presence of heart, which is the inner essence of worship, dependent on useless norms and customs. Moreover, the washing of hands and feet is useful and suitable for people living in hot climates and going about bare footed. As for those delicate and civilized people who live in cold zones and therefore have to protect their feet by wearing socks and shoes; ablution is an unhealthy obligation for them, especially for people who live in the Arctic regions: how onerous and how enervating it would be for them to break the ice and make ablution five times daily, and how unfair it would be to enjoin this obligation on them. Furthermore, turning towards the qibla is imitating the Israelites."

ANSWER: It should be known that the Islamic religion is the most perfect and the most consummate form of all the religions and Shariats. In other words, it is a religion of unity that has brought together the outward and spiritual perfection. It contains no principle that might give the slightest harm to men. Each of its principles comprises many substantial and spiritual benefits for mankind. An apparent proof testifying to the fact that Islam has been sent by Allahu ta'ala is that all its seemingly outward and formal principles embody many inner ultimate causes and innumerable benefits to mankind. These benefits are coming to the open as scientific and technical progress is made. People with eyes covered with the curtain of ignorance cannot perceive these ultimate truths and judge by appearance only. The seventy-second ayat of Isra sura purports: "A person [whose heart is so] blind [that he cannot admit the truth] in this world, will be blind in the hereafter, too, [and will not be able to see the way to salvation]." The people mentioned in this ayat al-karima are the priests who make such statements as the ones quoted above. People who adapt themselves to Islam shall attain the rewards proportional to their sincerity and intention in the hereafter. High grades pertaining to the world to come have been promised to those whose eyes have been opened with the light of spiritual knowledge and who have gotten their shares from the heavenly blessings sufficing the whole universe as far as their discernment and comprehension would allow them. These promises, these blessings have been announced through ayat al-karimas. What remains to be done on the part of the people of wisdom and sagacity, then, is to hold fast to the worships enjoined by Islam and at the same time, as is explained in detail in books of Tafsir and Hadith-i-Sharif, to purify their hearts of vices. How these will be done has been explicated in books written by thousands of 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat. In addition, those who wish to be guided spiritually should resort to the Awliya-i-kiram, who are the sources and the helmsmen of the voyage leading to Allahu ta'ala.

'Ulama of Tafsir state that abdest (ablution) and taharet, that is, cleanliness, being on the one hand very useful for physical health, as this averse priest also admits and acknowledges, are on the other hand a sign of the heart's purity and peace. Namaz is to stand in the presence of Allahu ta'ala. It is obvious that when you stand in the presence of Allahu ta'ala your heart will be purified of vices. You cannot enter the presence of Allahu ta'ala with a heart that has not been purified of vices. As a matter of fact, this case applies to worldly affairs, too.

Making ablution means physical cleanliness, which deterges the body of germicides five times daily; this is an obvious fact, and everyone with reason and knowledge is aware of this fact. On the other hand, even priests know that ablution invigorates the heart and purges the soul of vices. For instance, while explaining the virtues of ablution, the book (Riyad-un-nasihin) relates the following event: Imam-i-Jafar Sadiq [40] visited a monk in order to give him a piece of advice. The door was opened rather late. When he asked why the monk said, "When I saw you through the chink, I was very much frightened by your awe-inspiring appearance. So I made ablution right away. It is written in the Taurah that when a person fears someone or something he should make ablution, for ablution protects against harms." When Imam gave him some advice, he became a Muslim then and there. His heart was purified with the barakat of ablution.

A person wearing dirty clothes will not be admitted to enter the presence of a sultan. This indicates that, contrary to the antagonistic priest's supposition, ablution and taharet are not inutile for (spiritual) peace and sincerity. People who live in northern countries, when they need ablution, make ablution with hot water only in the morning and then put on their socks and masts (soleless boots made of light leather). For the other four daily prayers of namaz, they may either keep their ablution or, if they cannot keep it, renew their ablution by making masah on their masts. [Thus their feet will not be cold because they will not have to wash them, and at the same time they will be able to perform namaz. Those who cannot use cold water make tayammum by using soil in their snug rooms. The Protestant priest's allegation is out of place because there is no need to break ice five times daily. Do those people lose their health because they have to break ice three times daily for washing their hands before meals?] If a person is too ill to make ablution, that is, if washing with water may impair his health, he can make tayammum. For the real purpose is not only to wash the hands, the face and the feet, but to purify the heart, [that is, to get ready to stand in the presence of Allahu ta'ala, to remember Allahu ta'ala]. In case of strong necessity, Islam never enjoins quandary. As a matter of fact, it is declared in a hadith ash-Sharif: "There is predicament in the religion." Qur'an al-karim purports in the two hundred and eighty-sixth ayat of Baqara sura: "Allahu ta'ala would not enjoin on man something he would be unable to do." In other words, Allahu ta'ala commands an individual what he will be able to do not what is beyond his capacity. [The twenty-eighth ayat of Nisa sura purports: "Allahu ta'ala wishes your worships to be easy. Man is weak, frail by creation." In Islam, there are two ways of worshipping. One of them is called (Ruhsat), and the other is called (Azimet). Ruhsat embodies the facilities recognized and permitted by Islam. choosing the easier way of doing something is acting upon the ruhsat. Preferring the difficult way is called Azimet. Acting upon the azimet is more estimable than acting upon the ruhsat. If a person's nafs does not wish to utilize the facilities, it will be better for him to give up following the azimet and to act upon the ruhsat. However, acting upon the ruhsat should not make way to searching for facilities]. The hadith ash-Sharif, "The most virtuous deed is the one which the nafs feels most averse to doing", makes it quite clear what way would be the most correct to follow in doing the Islamic worships. For this reason, those believers who have iman-i-kamil (perfect belief) prefer doing things that sound

difficult to their nafs in order to attain the approval and love of Allahu ta'ala. By doing so, they wish to attain high grades in the hereafter.

Christians, who worship only by uncovering their heads and gazing at the sky, do not even touch on bodily cleanliness and go to church with stinking bodies and dirty clothes and shoes and then expect, in that dismal, noisome atmosphere, that their hearts will be cleaned and they will (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so) unite with Allahu ta'ala just by consuming a piece of bread and a draught of wine. It must certainly be very difficult for people with such a stupid presumption to comprehend the inner essence of Islam's injunctions. Learning cleanliness from Muslims, they have saved themselves from being dirty, yet they are still maintaining those wrong beliefs and spurious worships of theirs.

Another objection raised by priests concerns namaz. They say, for instance, "Takbir, qiyam, ruku', and sajda are not appropriate outwardly; nor are they spiritual."

ANSWER: They cannot seem to deliberate upon what the purpose of worshipping Allahu ta'ala could be, from both substantial and spiritual points of view. In whatever form, worship means to pay homage to Allahu ta'ala, to thank, praise and laud Him for the countless blessings He has bestowed upon us out of his infinite treasury, to acknowledge your impotence, and to invoke the compassion of Allahu ta'ala. If we are to investigate the elements of paying homage to Allahu ta'ala (in namaz), all the rukns (rules, obligatory actions) in namaz, such as the qiyam, during which one clasps one's hands, stands in khushu (deep, humble, submissive reverence) in the presence of Allahu ta'ala, thanks, praises and lauds Allahu ta'ala by saying the Besmele-i-Sharifa and reciting the Fatiha sura, the ruku (bowing in namaz) and sajda (prostration), in which one makes tasbih of Allahu ta'ala, (that is, recites prayers praising Allahu ta'ala), who is wajib-ul-wujud (being whose existence is indispensable), and affirming the greatness of Allahu ta'ala by uttering the expression (Allahu Akbar) at each change of posture (during namaz); all these actions express homage to Allahu ta'ala.

As it was informed by the Prophets of Bani Israeel (the Children of Israel), the qibla used to be in the direction of (Beyt-i-muqaddes) in Jerusalem. Later it was changed to (Kaba al-muazzama). Because Kaba al-muazzama had been built by Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam', Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' wished to worship in the direction of Kaba al-muazzama. Allahu ta'ala, whose compassion is boundless, granted His beloved what he wished by changing the qibla from the direction of Masjid al-Aqsa (Beyt-i-muqaddes) to Masjid al-haram (Kaba al-muazzama). The hundred and forty-fourth ayat of Baqara sura purports: "Now turn your face towards Masjid al-haram."

The Islamic religion includes a number of the rules that existed in the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', such as sacrificing an animal (at a certain time of the year), circumcision, prohibition of (the consumption of) pork and carcass (animal not killed as prescribed by the religion), prohibition of earning interest, prohibitions of fornication and homicide, lex talionis (retaliation), and many more. Many of the rules that were existent in the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' have been falsified in today's Christianity despite the admonitions of Isa

'alaihi-salam'; yet some of the principles of the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', e.g. the prohibitions of fornication and homicide and the obligation of turning in the direction of qibla, have held on so far. Christians do not follow the Taurah though they say, "All the principles of the Taurah are valid and confirmed." [When they are asked why they do not act upon the rules of the Old Testament (Taurah) though they believe its being a part of the Holy Bible, in which they believe as a whole, and say that the Old Testament also is a heavenly book revealed by Allahu ta'ala, they answer that its rules have been abrogated. On the one hand they believe in the Taurah

as a book of Allahu ta'ala and quote verses from the Taurah whenever they need evidences to testify to the trueness of the Christian cult, and on the other hand, when they are asked why they do not follow its principles, they answer that its principles have been cancelled]. However, although some Christians, following a priest named Luther, who appeared in 923 [A.D. 1517], ceased from turning in the direction of Beyt-i-muqaddes as their qibla, millions of Catholic Christians are still facing Beyt-i-muqaddes (in their worships). They take no head of Protestants' ceasing from turning towards their qibla. For the purpose in worshipping is to pay homage to Allahu ta'ala, to thank, praise, laud, pray and invoke Him. What could be in turning with a peaceful heart in a certain direction associated with a certain spiritual value that could be detrimental to the serenity and honor of worship? On the contrary, the heart will feel more placid when the direction to be faced is known.

Because their worships lack postures symbolizing servitude to Allahu ta'ala, such as qiyam (standing posture), ruku' (bowing down), and sajda (prostration), Christians only look at one another's faces in church services. Young boys and girls, notwithstanding the prohibition of visual fornication, cannot take their eyes off each other. Then, consuming the bread and wine which they believe have, by the breathing of the priest, become the flesh and blood of Isa 'alaihi-salam', their supposed divinity, they celebrate the Eucharist and expect to unite with the Holy Spirit just by doing so. [Protestants celebrate the Eucharist as a memorial].

The purpose of worship is to submit and pay homage to Allahu ta'ala, the Creator of all. It is evident which one of the two religions contains this submission.

In the Islamic religion, first the ezan and then the iqamet is recited before the fard (compulsory) part of the five daily prayers of namaz. The muazzin announces the ezan loudly, as follows:

ALLAHU AKBAR: Allahu ta'ala is great. He needs nothing. He does not need the worships of His born servants. Worships give Him no use. [This expression is repeated four times in order to establish it (its meaning) firmly in minds.

ESH-HEDU EN LA ILAHA ILL-ALLAH: I certainly testify and believe that, though He is too great to need anyone's worship, no one other than He is worthy of being worshipped. Nothing is like Him.

ESH-HEDU AN-NE MUHAMMADAN RASULULLAH: I testify and believe that Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' is the Prophet sent by Him and the instructor of the way of doing the worships enjoined by Him.

HAY YA 'ALES-SALAH, HAY YA 'ALEL FALAH: O believers, run to salvation and happiness, run to goodness, that is, to namaz.

ALLAHU AKBAR: No one can do the worship worthy of Him. He is far too great for any person's worship to be worthy of Him.

LA ILAHE ILL-ALLAH: He, alone, deserves to be worshipped, to mortify yourself in front of. No one can do the worship due to Him, nor is anyone except Him worthy of being worshipped. [Saying these words, he (the muazzin) invites believers to namaz].

[Allahu ta'ala says about His beloved one, as is purported in the fourth ayat of Inshirah sura: "I shall raise thine name [in the east, in the west, all over the earth]." As you go westward the times of namaz become four minutes later at each longitudinal distance [111.1 kilometers]. At every twenty-eighth kilometer the ezan of the same namaz is called again one minute after the one called at a place twenty-eight kilometers eastward. Thus ezan is called every moment all over the earth, and the name of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' is heard everywhere every moment. There is not a moment when his name is not mentioned within twenty-four hours].

On the other hand, Christians' invitation to church is done with bells. It is clear which one of the two methods of invitation to worship is more reverential to Allahu ta'ala and more spiritual; the Islamic method or the Christian method?

Muslims perform namaz after ezan. Before beginning to perform namaz, there are conditions to be fulfilled so that namaz be acceptable. They are six. If one of them is not fulfilled namaz will not be acceptable:

1 - Taharet from hades: Means for a person without ablution to wash his limbs (of ablution) well. [Or for a person who is junub, (in a state that necessitates ritual washing), to make ghusl] (ritual washing).

2 - Taharet from nejasat: Means to clean one's body and clothes (or dress) and the place where one is to perform namaz of the dirt that can be seen. (What these dirt are, the amounts that will cancel namaz, ways of cleaning them have been dictated by Islam).

3 - Istikbal-i-qibla: To turn in the direction of Kaba al-muazzama.

4 - Setr-i-awrat: Means for both men and women to cover the awrat parts of their bodies which Islam commands must be covered when performing namaz. These parts of awrat must always be covered when in company of others; it is fard.

5 - Waqt: Since there are certain times of worship in the religious cult of every community, by the same token, Allahu ta'ala has allotted certain times for Muslims' prayers of namaz. It is a grave sin to call ezan before the prayer time comes, and the namaz performed prematurely will not be acceptable.

6 - Niyet: Means to know the name and the time of the namaz one is to perform, not for a worldly reason or purpose, but for the sake of Allahu ta'ala, and because it is a command of Allahu ta'ala.

Christians go to church without washing up. They annoy one another with their dirty smells. Because they do not have a form of worship that can be performed with a serene heart by turning in a certain direction, they keep looking at one another.

A comparison of the conditions that are to be observed by Muslims and those which Christians observe will reveal which one is more spiritual and more compatible with servitude to Allahu ta'ala.

Now, let us explain what the rukns of namaz are:

1 - Takbir iftitah: For beginning to perform namaz, a Muslim first raises his both hands to his ears (and women to their breast), dispels all kinds of thought except that of Allahu ta'ala out of his heart, imagines himself in the presence of Allahu ta'ala, and says, (Allahu Akbar). Its meaning is, "Allahu ta'ala is far from resembling any figure, any fancy, any creature, and greater than everything qualified with perfection."

2 - Qiyam: Means to clasp the wrist at the navel (and for women on the breast) and to stand in the presence of Allahu ta'ala in a perfectly deep, humble reverence, that is, with khushu' and adab.

3 - Qira'at: Means to say the Besmele and recite the sura Fatiha-i-Sharif, which consists of, as we have stated earlier, thanking, praising, lauding Allahu ta'ala, paying homage to Him, and invoking Him for hidayet and salamet (guidance to the right way and salvation and happiness). [In qiyam, an additional sura or some ayats are recited immediately after Fatiha sura].

4 - Ruku: Means to bow down once, gripping the knees with the hands and holding the back and the head level. The prayer to be recited during the ruku' is: (Subhana Rabbiyel azim), which means, "I know my Rab (Allah), who is greater than everything, as far from all attributes of deficiency and as sacred." [This prayer can be recited three, five, seven, nine, or eleven times.].

5 - Sajda: Means to put your face on the ground with a realization of one's incapability and in humility, supplication, submission and invocation, twice, and to recite, (Subhana Rabbiyel 'ala). Its meaning is, "I know my Rab, who is higher than everything, as exclusively far from all attributes of deficiency." In the Islamic religion, ruku' and sajda are made only to Allahu ta'ala, whose existence is absolutely necessary. When performing namaz, a Muslim stands in the direction of Kaba al-muazzama and makes sajda to Allahu ta'ala. Sajda is made towards Kaba, not for Kaba. He who makes sajda for Kaba will become a polytheist. It is not permissible to make sajda towards a human being or any other creature. For man is the noblest of all the creatures of Allahu ta'ala, and in being human no man is nobler than another. Worldly positions or ranks cannot change man's nature. [Even those people who professed themselves to be deities, i.e. Pharaoh and Nimrod, could not exempt themselves from eating, drinking or the other needs of human beings, or from death finally. Also Prophets 'alaihimus-salam', the born servants whom Allahu ta'ala has chosen from among other people, are identical with other people in being human. That is, they, too, will eat, drink, and feel cold in cold weather. However, Allahu ta'ala has endowed special blessings and various miracles on them. No pious born servant can attain the grade of a Prophet. Prophets are impeccable; that is, they never sin. Some Prophets have committed venial faults called zalla. Zalla does not mean sin. It means not to do something in the most appropriate manner. It is a beautiful act, but not the most beautiful one].

Putting the face on the ground, that is, paying homage by prostration, means to admit one's humility and inferiority and to acknowledge the greatness, the superiority of the person one pays homage to. Reverence of this kind is not justifiable to anyone except Allahu ta'ala, who is the real Sustainer, the Creator of the universe. In fact, our master the Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' had, let alone reverence, prohibited the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan' to stand up when he entered. Nor was there a special seat, a throne or a sofa allotted for him among the Ashab al-kiram. Whenever our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' joined the Ashab al-kiram, he would sit at a vacant and proper place. People who joined them afterwards, if they had not seen him before, would not know who he was, and sometimes they would ask where Rasulullah was. This behavior of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' could be taken as a good parameter to determine how we incapable people should act.

6 - Qada (sitting) as long as (to recite the prayer of) teshehhud: Means, after raising the head from the second prostration, to sit on both knees and recite the prayer of tehiyyat. The meaning of tehiyyat is: "All sorts of reverence and homage paid and all worships made belong to Allahu ta'ala, and to thou Nabiy-yi zeeshan (Muhammad 'alaihis-salam'), may salamat (salvation, happiness, peace) and the Compassion and barakat of Allahu ta'ala be on thee. May salamat be on us and on all pious born servants. I testify that there is no god but Allahu ta'ala to be worshipped, and Muhammad 'alaihis-salam' is the born servant and Messenger of Allahu ta'ala." So these are the six rukns, essential principles of the prayers of fard (obligatory) namaz which Muslims have to perform five times daily. Since Adam 'alaihis-salam', namaz was enjoined on the ummats of all Prophets. And the most perfect form of namaz has been enjoined and bestowed upon the Prophet of the latest time.

Now, is there anything that would detract from the divinity of Allahu ta'ala or from the reverence due to Him in these actions which are the rukns of namaz? It is so strange that Protestants, who assert that the Islamic worships are not spiritual with all their clearly stated principles and conditions, have no established types of worships save Baptism, the Eucharist, and gosselling. According to them, these Christian worships are spiritual, and Muslims' namaz is not (!).

The book (Manaqib-i-jihar-i-yar-i-ghuzin) relates the following event in the ninety-third narrative about Ali 'radi-allahu anh': When Imam-i-Ali 'radi-allahu anh' began performing namaz, he would be quite unaware of what was going on around himself. During a holy war an arrow pierced his blessed foot and stuck into his bone. The surgeon said that it would be impossible for him to endure the pain it would cause while being taken out, and suggested anaesthesia. Ali 'radi-allahu anh' answered, "There is no need for anaesthesia. You can take it out as I perform namaz." So, as he was performing namaz, the surgeon incised his blessed foot, pulled the iron out of the bone, and bandaged the wound. The namaz being over, he (hadrat Ali) asked the surgeon if he had extracted the arrow. When the answer was positive, he remarked, "For the sake of Allah, I felt no pain." There are many hadith ash-Sharifs declaring that the namaz of pious Muslims is identical with this.

Now let us make a brief survey of Christians' worships:

1 - Baptism: [It is the primary Christian worship, or sacrament. Christians believe that baptism was imposed by Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Isa 'alaihi-salam' did not baptize anyone throughout his life. Nor did he ever enjoin baptism. [Christians believe that baptism is compulsory when a person becomes a Christian or changes his church, and carry out baptism in the name of Father, Son, and the Holy Ghost. According to Christians, baptism is the unification of Jesus' spiritual body, that is, his divinity, with his substantial body, and it means rebirth with the Holy Ghost. They believe that the original sin, which they believe to have come from Adam 'alaihi-salam', will be forgiven with baptism. Baptism is administered in church. Different churches hold different manners of baptism. Some of them administer baptism by immersion into water believed to be sacred, and others give it by sprinkling or pouring water upon the person. Also, the age of the person to be baptized differs in accordance with the church that will give baptism. Christians believe that a person who dies without baptism will remain sinful]. There is no spirituality in this.

2 - The Eucharist: We have already explained this sacrament in detail. [According to the Bible, in his last supper with the Apostles, Isa 'alaihi-salam' broke the bread into pieces and gave a piece to each Apostle, saying, "This is my flesh, eat it." Then, holding out a bowl of wine and saying that it was his blood, he made them drink it. Paul interpreted this and thus the Christian church established it as a sacrament. Formerly it used to be celebrated once a year. Later it began to be performed every week. We would like to ask priests: Could a worship be performed by drinking wine and eating bread dipped in wine? From what point of view would such a worship be apt to spirituality?]

3 - Reading the Bible (Gospelling): The pope reads a passage from the Bible and others listen to him without understanding the meanings. This could not be spiritual, either. For today's Gospels are not the real Holy Book that was revealed to Isa 'alaihi-salam'; they consist of human statements. Christians are also opposed to Muslims' binding duty of hajj; they say, "They (worship) is a reminiscence of the Jewish custom of visiting Beyt-i-muqaddes (al-Aqsa), which is in Qudus ash-Sharif (Jerusalem), three times a year. For Allahu ta'ala had promised to manifest Himself at that sacred place. But later Jews were smitten by the scourge of Allahu ta'ala on account of massacres they committed. Their government was annihilated, their enemies invaded their territory and demolished Beyt-i Muqaddes. As a substitute for Beyt-i- muqaddes, Allahu ta'ala appointed the body of Jesus Christ His Beytullah (The Home of Allah). To this end He sent Jesus Christ to His born servants. And, reinforcing those who believed him with the Holy Spirit, He blessed each of them with the grade of living Beytullah. Thus there was no more need for a special manmade home for Allahu ta'ala to manifest Himself at. Allotment of another such home

would run counter to the hikmat (ultimate divine wisdom) of Allahu ta'ala. Jesus Christ's statement, as is quoted in the Bible, 'There shall come such a time when you shall neither offer this worship to Father nor make sajda in Jerusalem. Yet those who make true sajda; let them make sajda with their souls and in devotion everywhere. For Father wishes them to make sajda for Him in this manner,' shall remain valid till the end of the world. This being the case, it would mean to reduce the high spiritual position of Christianity to a very low grade to fabricate a new home for all people to visit, to make the attainment of the infinite blessings of Allahu ta'ala dependent upon that place alone, and to urge people to visit that place. And this, in its turn, would mean to relapse into the obsolete formal, outward Jewish customs."

ANSWER: These objections of theirs are, like others, groundless, as follows:

1 - For one thing, Christians have to specify the verse and the Gospel from which they have derived this argument that the body of Jesus Christ replaced Beyt-i muqaddes. It is a plain fact that the statements of an ecclesiastic who is employed in the church service for a salary of five to ten gold pieces could not be bases for Christian tenets.

2 - As it written in the Gospels, throughout his life Isa 'alaihi-salam' visited Beyt-i-muqaddes and even tried to clean the place by ousting the peddlers in it. As it is seen, if Beyt-i-muqaddes had been annulled and he had superseded it, he would not have visited it continually, nor would he have purged the place of people who had been there to earn their worldly needs. And he would have said to his disciples, "Do not give regard to this Beyt-i-muqaddes any longer. I possess its significance. And each of you is a home of Allah."

3 - Why should it be contrary to the ultimate divine wisdom of Allahu ta'ala to choose another beyt (home) after the demolition of Beyt-i-muqaddes? According to the Islamic belief, Allahu ta'ala does not have a partner or a likeness. He exercises His free will on His property. He appoints Beyt-i-muqaddes as the qibla for a certain length of time, then makes Kaba al-muazzama the qibla. No one can meddle with Him.

In the days when the Gospels were being scribed, all the Nazarenes were acting upon the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' and the Apostles and their disciples were visiting Beyt-i-muqaddes. There is no mention in the Gospels, therefore, as to the place to be visited.

4 - Also, the statement, "Allahu ta'ala has not made the attainment of infinite heavenly blessings dependent upon visiting Kaba al-muazzama," is wrong. It is a prevarication fabricated by the priest in order to support his argument. If Qur'an al-karim or hadith ash-Sharifs contain any narrative purporting that "Attaining plenty of heavenly blessings depends only on visiting Kaba-i-Muazzama," he must state it clearly.

5 - Visiting Kaba al-muazzama is not an injunction upon Muslims in general. A person who is to make hajj has to fulfill the conditions for making hajj. For instance, he must be rich and healthy, the expedition must be safe, etc. The priest's prejudice and antagonism are palpable in this respect, too.

6 - A religion will not necessarily depreciate itself from a high grade and spirituality to the lowest grade simply by appointing a certain place for visit and for qibla. Nor is there any verse stating that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the 'Beytullah=the Home of Allah) in the Gospels. This detraction from merit and spirituality is the priest's personal vagary.

7 - The injunction of visiting Kaba al-muazzama on Muslims is not a relapse into a void formal custom. For the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam' had not abrogated visiting Beyt-i-muqaddes. Both the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam' and the Islamic religion maintain many rules peculiar to the Shariats of past Prophets. Maintaining them does not mean returning to the Shariat of Musa

'alaihi-salam'. Moreover, the priest exhibits his ignorance by qualifying hajj as 'a formal worship' without knowing its essential.

Let us give some brief information on hajj, one of Islam's commandments:

First of all, a believer who intends to make hajj has to make tawba truly and sincerely, (that is, with ikhlas). If he owes anything to other people, he must pay them their dues. He must prepare the subsistence that will maintain his family during his expedition of hajj. He must take with him money enough to meet his needs during his travel to and from Kaba al-muazzama, provided the money will be his halal property, find meritorious fellow- travelers for himself, and he and his fellow-travelers must appoint the best-mannered, [the most knowledgeable and experienced] one among them as their amir (leader), obey his suggestions and carry out his measures. [In addition, the travel must be safe, so that his life and property will not be at risk of destruction. If the travel is not safe, it will not be fard to make hajj].

There are three fard (obligatory) acts in hajj:

1 - To wear (the garment called) ihram: Upon arriving at one of the places called mikat which are at a certain short distance from Mecca al-mukarrama, the hajjis (Muslim pilgrims) take off their clothes and assume the (garb called) ihram. They do not wear anything else. That is, like going to the place of Last Judgement, they disenthral themselves from worldly ornaments and garments and go, all in uniform dress, masters and slaves alike, with bare heads and feet (without wearing socks.)

[It is fard to make hajj in ihram; a hajj done otherwise will not be sahih (acceptable). (Ihram) consists of two white pieces of cloth like bath towels. One piece is wrapped around the part of the body below the waist, and the other piece is wrapped around the shoulders. It is not tied with threads or knotted. Certain things are forbidden for the person wearing ihram. Its details are written in books of fiqh and ilmihal.]

2 - Tawaf: Means to go round Kaba al-muazzama seven times to perform the sunnat-i-Sharifa of Ibrahim and Ismail 'alaihimus-salam'. [Tawaf is done within the Masjid al-haram. It is fard to make a special niyyat (to intend) for tawaf. The tawaf which is fard is called (tawaf-i-ziyaret). It is sunnat to begin tawaf by the (Hajar-ul-aswad)]. During tawaf it is necessary to recite the prayers taught by Allahu ta'ala and His Messenger. The blessed meanings of these prayers are to pay homage to Allahu ta'ala in the most beautiful way and to invoke Him for His Compassion.

3 - Waqfa on Arafat: (To perform the pause on Arafat): All Muslims, young and old, rich and poor alike, with only their ihram on, like people gathering for the Last Judgement, gather on the hill of Arafat and invoke Allahu ta'ala for forgiveness and compassion from immediately after the time of noon prayer begins on the day of Arafat, which is the ninth day of Zilhijja month, till dawn of the following day. [If a person makes this waqfa (pause) on the hill of Arafat one day before or after this date, his hajj will not be sahih]. Here, hundreds of thousands of Muslims recite the formula of Telbiya in Arabic with one accord. The meaning of Telbiya is: "I am Thine obediently, o my Allah, whose existence is absolutely necessary. I am ready for Thine command and I shall obey Thine Divine Will. Thou hast no partner or likeness." As for the spiritual aspect of hajj; connoisseurs of this matter have cited innumerable meanings pertaining to the proprieties and essential principles of hajj. In past religions, for being close to Allahu ta'ala, one would leave society and live alone in mountains. Instead of enjoining this monastic life on the ummat-i-Muhammad, Allahu ta'ala has commanded them to make hajj. When a person makes hajj, his mind retreats from worldly interests such as trade, and he thinks only of Allahu ta'ala. When Muslims, far from ostentation or hypocrisy, leave their families and homes and fall into this valley and desert, they get out of this world and contemplate the place of

Judgement and the hereafter. When they take off their clothes and assume the white-colored ihram, they envisage themselves to be entering the presence of Allahu ta'ala in their shrouds. While reciting, "Leb-beyk", that is, "I am Thine obediently, o my Allah, I am ready for Thine command," between the hope that their prayer will be accepted and the fear that it may be refused, they beseech Allahu ta'ala for mercy and forgiveness. When they attain to Harem-i-Sharif [Masjid al-haram], they know by now that the efforts of those who have come to visit Beytullah shall not come to naught. Because they visit Beytullah (the Home of Allah) for His sake, they are secure from His torment. When they visit the Hajar ul-esved, rub their faces and hands against it and kiss it, they promise themselves that they shall always abide by the oath of allegiance they have made to Allahu ta'ala. When they hang on to the cover of Kaba al-muazzama, they imagine a culprit trusting himself to his benefactor, or a lover abandoning himself to his beloved one. All these are the proprieties of hajj.

On the other hand, Christians protest, "Some pilgrims' hometown are close (To Mecca), while others live in places far away. Therefore, the injunction of hajj upon all the ummat-i-Muhammad runs counter to the justice of Allahu ta'ala.' This statement can never be justified. For it is written in the Gospel of Matthew that Isa 'alaihi-salam' stated, "The gate to the eternal life is extremely narrow, and the road leading to Hell is wide." Its meaning is this: "The deed that will guide to Paradise comes extremely difficult to the nafs. And the deed that will lead to Hell feels very sweet to the nafs." Our Prophet "sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "The most virtuous deed is the one that comes most difficult to the nafs." The worse the difficulty, the better the reward; therefore, those hajjis who come (to Mecca) from remote places shall attain many rewards. And this, in its turn, is not injustice, but it is the very justice itself. The Islamic religion does not contain any injunction impossible for man to do. People for whom hajj is not fard will not be sinful for not making hajj. As it is stated in the hadith ash-Sharifs, "Deeds are dependent upon intentions" and "The believer's intention is more virtuous than his deed," those who have not had the opportunity to make hajj though they have wished to do so shall attain the rewards their intentions deserve. The priests, who are opposed to fasting in (the month of) Ramadan, too, assert that it has been adopted from the Israelite traditions and add, "The Bible, which has no injunction pertaining to fasting, has conferred freedom upon people in this respect."

Protestant priests allege, "There is a kind of dietary fast among some Catholic, Byzantine, Armenian and other Christian communities; yet this is an imitation of Jewry. The Bible has no such commandment. Protestants avoid advise people to refrain from evil intentions and superstitions. Thus, a religion that leaves people to their options with respect to outward and trivial worships such as these is certainly more virtuous than a religion which compels people to formal and outward worships. For worshipping of one's own accord is the habit of a child that obeys its father willingly. Compulsory obedience to canonical injunctions, on the other hand, is the attribute of a slave who has to obey his master. It is extremely unhealthy, especially in summertime, to shift, the habit of eating and drinking during the day to eating and drinking at night and to continue this one month. It is averred by medical doctors that it may cause many illnesses. Moreover, because the durations of days and nights differ from one country to another, performance of this binding duty takes a longer time in some countries of the world than it does in others. This, in its turn, is incompatible with the justice of Allahu ta'ala. Daytime takes one month in countries with sixty-nine degrees of latitude and three months on latitude 733. For this reason, fasting is impossible for Muslims living in countries with these latitudinal degrees. It would obviously be incongruous with the ultimate divine wisdom and the absolute divine justice

of Allahu ta'ala to enjoin a religion which is not suitable in all cases and for people all over the world upon all mankind. On the other hand, thousands of people in such countries are following Christianity and performing its tenets without any difficulty. And this, in its turn, is a palpable evidence to prove the fact that Islam could not be more virtuous than Christianity."

ANSWER: All these objections [and vilifications] have been rebutted with innumerable evidences; as follows:

1 - Fasting existed in the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. It maintained its original form in the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam', too. We shall explain this later. Existence of fasting the Islamic religion cannot be censured.

2 - The statement, "The Bible does not contain any commandment pertaining to fasting; it leaves everyone to his (or her) option," would be a bare lie. For there is no Biblical verse giving people the option between fasting and not fasting by clearly stating, "Everyone is free to fast or not to fast." If there is one, let the priests quote it.

3 - The diet existent in the tenets of Christians belonging to Catholic, Byzantine and Armenian churches was originally fasting. Yet later, along with the interpolations and abrogations pertaining to worships, which Paul executed [in order to sever the Nazarene religion from Judaism for good and to turn it into idolatry], it was brought into its status quo. To say that the Bible did not contain any commandment pertaining to fasting is to slander the Bible outright. It is written in the Gospels that "As Isa 'alaihi-salam' was being tempted through the devil in the desert, he fasted forty days and then became hungry," at the beginning of the fourth chapter of Matthew; that he ordered, "When you fast, do not sulk like hypocrites," in the sixteenth verse of the sixth chapter; and that he said, "Likewise, fasting will take the devil out," to the astonished lookers-on when he exorcised the devil out of a paralytic person. Hence it is understood clearly that Isa 'alaihi-salam' both fasted himself and commanded to fast with ikhlas and only for Allah's sake. As Paul tormented, persecuted, and executed true believers of Isa 'alaihi-salam', fabricated a chimerical lie, which we have detailed above, established the so called Christianity, either distorted or abrogated the rules of the Shariat of Isa 'alaihi-salam', such as fasting and circumcision, now with the pretext that they would mean to wallow Judaism, then likening them to inexplicable abstractions, Peter tried to prevent him. Yet Paul's men, being too aggressive for Peter, thwarted him. It is stated clearly in the Gospels and other books written by Christian dignitaries that Peter, though highly meritorious and virtuous, was weak-hearted enough to fear Jews and deny knowing Isa 'alaihi-salam'.

4 - Protestants have no right to say, "Instead of imposing such a heavy burden as fasting on mankind, we advise all people only to keep away from depraved, evil intentions and superstitions." For the principles of a true religion sent down by Allahu ta'ala cannot be changed by people. It is for this reason that many priests objected to all the decisions taken in ecclesiastical assemblies. Also, Protestants refuse and rebut most of the decisions of these councils. Therefore, such pieces of advice given by the priestly founders of Protestantism such as the priestly author of the book (Ghada-ul- mulahazat), who are hired by Protestant organizations, cannot be of any value. Fasting is not only abstinence from eating and drinking. There are many spiritual virtues and uses in fasting. No one, a priest or otherwise, has the authority to change or interpolate a fard based on divine principles.

5 - Fasting is not an outward or trivial worship. As is known by people of sagacity, the body is the abode of the soul and the place where sensuous desires circulate freely. The more victorious the physical desires of the nafs, the fewer the spiritual manifestations. [In fact, no spiritual manifestations take place in such cases]. This rule applies to all religions and sects. In all of

them, abridging sensuous desires, i.e. austere self-discipline, will bring one closer to Allahu ta'ala. Ascetic discipline will mortify the carnality innate in the nafs. It is for this reason that all religions and sects have prized ascetic discipline. Islam prescribes three standards for fasting:

- 1) Fasting of Awam (the common people): It is the fasting of those who abstain from eating, drinking and sexual intercourse within the time dictated by Islam [in the month of Ramadan].
- 2) Fasting of Hawas: It is the fasting of those people who, along with observing the obligatory requirements of fasting, perform all the commandments of Allahu ta'ala involving the eyes, ears, tongue, hands, feet, and all the other limbs, and refrain from what He has declared to be haram or makruh.)
- 3) Fasting of Hass-ul-hawas, (that is, of the Awliya): It is the fasting of those who, in addition to observing all the conditions existent in the fastings of awam and hawas, which we have mentioned above, desist and protect their hearts from all sorts of mundane thoughts, even from any thought other than that of Allahu ta'ala. In a hadith ash-Sharif narrated by Imam-i-Bukhari 'rahmat-ullahi alaihi' [41], our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' states, "If the fasting person does not abstain from lying, Allahu ta'ala does not need his ceasing from eating and drinking." People of haqiqat (inner, real essence of worships) have already realized that defective fasting performed without observing these conditions would be an outward and trivial deed, and declared this fact. [Those who commit sins while fasting should not give up fasting with the qualm that their fasting is worthless. Instead, they should go on fasting, invoke Allahu ta'ala for forgiveness, and turn away from sinning. In fact, going on with fasting will protect one against sinning.]

6 - Also, the comparison, "Worshipping of one's own accord is the habit of a child that obeys its father willingly. Compulsory obedience to canonical injunctions, on the other hand, is the attribute of a slave who has to obey his master," is wrong for various reasons, such as:

- a) Man has two great enemies: (his own) nafs, and the devil. Therefore, had it not been declared that those who ignored the religious commandments and prohibitions would be tormented, that is, if they had been made optional, it is doubtless that many people would not obey the injunctions.
- b) While leaving all people to their options as regards fasting, why do not these Protestant priests give all people the same freedom in such tenets as Baptism and Eucharist? Why do they compel people to follow their instructions?

The Islamic religion classifies worships in accordance with their grades:

First grade: The most valuable and the most virtuous worship is to avoid harams (Islam's prohibitions). When a person turns his face away upon seeing something forbidden for him to look at, Allahu ta'ala fills his heart with iman. If a person intends to commit a haram and yet does not commit it, he will not be recorded (by angels) as having committed a sin. Because committing a haram means revolting against Allahu ta'ala, avoiding it has been made the most virtuous worship. According to the Islamic religion, no one is born as a sinner or disbeliever. In addition, such a theory would be quite unreasonable.

Second grade: is to do the (commandments that are termed) fard. It is grave sin to omit these commandments. Things that Allahu ta'ala commands us to do are called fard. It is very meritorious to do the fard. It is all the more valuable to do these commandments at a time when they are being forgotten and the harams are being spread far and wide. People who do the fard shall be rewarded greatly.

Third grade: is to avoid doing (those prohibitions called) makruh tahrimi, which are virtually close to harams. Avoiding the prohibitions called makruh tahrimi is more meritorious than doing the wajibs (explained below).

Fourth grade: is to do the wajibs. Doing the wajibs deserves much thawab (rewards in the hereafter), though not so much as doing the fard does. Wajibs are those types of worships about which there is doubt whether they are fard or not.

Fifth grade: is to avoid doing (those prohibitions called) makruh tanzih, which means makruh (action, speech, behavior, etc. not approved by our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam') which is closer to halal (permission).

Sixth grade: is to do the sunnats (actions, words, attitudes liked and commended by our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam') that are (called) mukammal. It is not sinful not to do the sunnats. Yet it is a venial sin to make it a habit to omit them without any good reason to do so. And it is kufr (disbelief) to dislike a sunnat.

Seventh grade: is (to do) the nafila (supererogatory) and mustahab (recommended, laudable actions). Muslims are free to do or not to do the supererogatory, yet those who do them with good intentions shall be rewarded (in the hereafter).

Since it is declared definitely by the ayats of Qur'an al-karim that fasting is fard, it can never be optional. For the Islamic religion is based on the commandments and prohibitions of Allahu ta'ala. No man can have the authority to change the form or the time of fasting. Christianity, on the other hand, was changed and interpolated very many times, and all these changes gave birth to other successive arbitrary changes.

c) We are not the sons of Allahu ta'ala (may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so). We are His impotent born servants. He is our Creator, Sustainer. Acting upon His commandment can never be embarrassing for us. Turning away from worshipping Allahu ta'ala is an attitude that would become antagonistic, vain, conceited people.

The statements, "It is extremely unhealthy, especially in summertime, to shift the habit of eating and drinking during the day to eating and drinking at night and to continue this one month. It is averred by medical doctors that it may cause many illnesses," are not vindicable, either. [They are quite contrary to facts, slanderous]. For one of the proprieties of fasting is not to fill the stomach at the time of iftar (breaking the fast) and to stop eating as you still have appetite for food. All medical doctors unanimously acknowledge that those who observe this propriety will heal, rather than become ill. It is a definite fact that fasting in this manner is extremely hygienic. If these Protestant fallacies were true, all Muslims in Islamic countries would become ill, and most of them would die, in Ramadan. On the contrary, medical statistics indicate no adversities in the month of Ramadan. Moreover, for rational reasons, many people eat only twice daily, in the morning and in the evening. What sort of change may take place in one's body by making a few hours' change in one of the two meal-times? Perhaps one will feel somewhat perturbed for the first one or two days of the fasting month. Yet this will not cause any impairment to health.

[Fasting does not give birth to gastric ailments. On the contrary, it is conducive to gastronomical hygiene. This is an indubitable fact proven plainly by today's modern medical expertise. It is stated in medical books written in various languages by specialized doctors that dieting will cure, or at least help cure, many illnesses. A person suffering a stomach illness, a pregnant woman, a nursing mother, a person who fears that his or her illness may become worse (in case he or she fasts), a soldier who is fighting, a person who is safari, that is, who has set out for a voyage that would take three days if he walked, [a distance of hundred and four kilometers according to the Hanafi Madhhab and eighty kilometers according to the other three Madhhabs]: these people

may not fast. It is obvious that these priests are utterly ignorant of Islam. Or, rather, they either do not know anything of Islam and have their own image of Islam or do not tell the truth though they know Islam.

Here are some examples to prove that fasting is not harmful, but useful to health.

It is declared in a hadith ash-Sharif, "Fast, (and) be healthy."

Fasting is reposing the stomach and the entire alimentary system after a whole year's work, and clarification of man's body. Ailment most commonly suffered by people is disorder of digestion. It causes fattening, heart and blood vessel diseases, diabetes, and high tension. Fasting not only protects against all sorts of disease, but also is a means of medical treatment. As we have mentioned above, diet is an indispensable method for recovering many diseases.

It is doubtless that one will acquire a strong will power by fasting. It is for this reason that quite a number of people have rallied from harmful addictions such as alcohol and heroine owing to their fasting.

Fasting causes activation of carbohydrates, proteins, and especially fat stored in the body. Because of fasting, kidneys, relieved from their duty of excreting waste matter, have a day off during which to overhaul and reinstate themselves and to rest.

All these explanations strike the lies and falsifications of some priests to their faces. Would they not attempt to use knowledge as a false witness for their mendacities].

As for countries with different lengths of days and nights; this can never be incompatible with divine justice because people whose fasting continues a few hours longer than others' shall attain heavenly rewards in proportion to their deeds.

In polar regions, each night lasts several months, and so is the length of daytime. There is no hardship for people fasting in such countries. Allahu ta'ala declares plainly in Qur'an al-karim that there is no hardship in the Islamic religion and that a person is not commanded to do something beyond his power or capability. For instance, the number of limbs to be washed in ablution is four. If a person has lost his both feet, this number is reduced to three. If a person is not able to perform namaz standing, he may perform it sitting. If he cannot manage this either, he may perform it by ima, (that is, by signs). It is fard for Muslims to fast in the month of Ramadan. Yet if a person becomes ill or sets out for a journey of more than three days' walk, obligation of fasting is temporarily deferred. Later, whenever he finds convenience, he makes qadha of the fasts which he could not perform in their proper time, (that is, he pays his debt of fard by fasting a day for a day).

As for people living in polar countries with days and nights lasting two, three, or more months; these people shall fast, too. In such countries, as well as in any country where daytime continues for more than twenty-four hours, times of beginning and breaking fast are set in hours. The criterion to be taken (for the length of each fasting period) is the duration observed by Muslims living in the closest city where daytime is not so long, (that is, shorter than twenty-four hours). [By the same token, a Muslim who goes to the moon, for instance, follows the same rule, if he has not intended to be safari, or if he decides to live there. These priests apparently know nothing of Islam].

As it is known, manifestations, blessings, injunctions of Allahu ta'ala upon His born servants are not equal on every individual. Giving riches to some of His believing born servants, He commands them to make hajj. And giving poverty to some believing born servants of His, He does not enjoin hajj on them. He bestows power, energy and health upon some, and commands them to fast. On the other hand, He grants permission that those who are not strong or healthy enough to fast (in Ramadan) may fast later. Bestowing the nisab [42] amount of property upon

some of His born servants. He commands them to give zakat and to help with the subsistence of their needy relatives. He gives poverty to some born servants of His, on the other hand, and enfranchises them to take zakat. [All these are thoroughly compatible with the divine justice of Allahu ta'ala. He bestows many blessings upon some of His born servants. And they, in turn, thank for these blessings, thus attaining the high grade of gratefulness. To other born servant of His, He gives few blessings. And these people are patient, thus attaining the high grade of patience. Allahu ta'ala does not nullify the good deeds of any of His born servants].

The Protestants' statement which purports, "In polar countries thousands of people follow Christianity and perform their religious rights without any difficulty," is quite mendacious. For the countries meant here are those which are close to the North Polar Circle, namely the northernmost part of America and the northern ends of Siberia. Eskimos, Samoids, and very few other primitive tribes live in those regions. They make their living by fishing and hunting. Because they cannot raise such crops as wheat and grapes, they do not know of bread or wine. We would like to know how the priest in charge for the performance of the Eucharist has been managing this out there. For, inasmuch as the bread and wine represent the flesh and blood of Isa 'alaihi-salam', the Christians living there will not be able to consume their god. [Consequently, because they will not unite with their god, their sins will not be pardoned and they will not be purified of the depravity or the original sin. poor Christians! We wonder if these priests, who assert that fasting and ablution will impair health while tolerating the dirty and filthy water used in Baptism, believe their own assertion? Or do they cast such abhorrent, irrational, unreasonable aspersions for the sake of the payments they receive from Protestant societies?]

Now, a fair comparison of the two religions will reveal clearly which one of them is more practicable. The Islamic religion is a dispensation that can be practiced easily and without any sort of hardship by any society in any part of the world, [and which is the only guide to happiness in the world and in the hereafter]. It is a religion of tawhid (unity of Allahu ta'ala). That this religion is superior to and more virtuous than trinity-based Christianity is a fact as bright as the sun.

[I have said little, lest I should break your heart;

For I know you would be hurt, else I have much to say.]

One of the criticism which Protestant Priests direct to Islam concern qiraat in namaz. They say, "Qiraat, that is, reciting a passage from Qur'an al-karim, which is one of the fard (obligatory actions) of namaz, is seemingly spiritual at some places; but a closer thought will reveal that it is not spiritual at all, like the other fard of namaz. In the five daily prayers of namaz, litanies called takbir (saying Allahu Akbar), Fatiha (the first chapter of Qur'an al-karim), et-tehiyyat (the prayer said during sitting posture), the tasbihs of ruku' (bowing in namaz) and sajda (prostration), and other similar prayers are recited. They (Muslims) repeat these at certain times every day throughout their lifetime. One would be tired of this.

The following two Biblical verses show that there is no use in carrying out all sorts of formalities or busying with a series of mortal and trivial deeds. These verses quote Isa 'alaihi-salam' as saying: 'When you pray, avoid nugatory repetitions similar to those of pagans. For they except that reiteration will cause acceptance. Father knows what you need.' " [Matthew: 6-7]

ANSWER: As will be granted by people of wisdom, like the body, which has a way of life and nutrition, the soul has its own peculiar way of life and a system of nutrition. The soul feeds on forgetting the masiwa, that is, everything other than Allahu ta'ala, and (thinking of Allahu ta'ala alone and) mentioning His name. Raising the curtains between the Creator and the creature is possible only by weakening the carnal desires of the nafs by mortifications and reinforcing the

soul by mentioning the name of Allahu ta'ala. A person's love and affection for another will be seen in his remembering and mentioning him frequently. For it is natural for one to remember one's beloved friend or relative frequently. People who are ardently, zealously in love are sometimes so deeply absorbed in their love that they forget about themselves and always and only remember and mention their beloved one.

In the Islamic religion, the ultimate goal is (Muhabbatullah=Love of Allah). To this end the heart is reinforced by numerous reiterations of the name of Allahu ta'ala in the five daily prayers of namaz. The reinforcement of the heart and soul, in turn, causes removal of the curtains in between and attainment of the end, i.e. approaching the beloved one. Since all the prayers uttered during namaz, e.g. tasbih and takbir, are for the same essential purpose, they definitely nourish and reinforce the soul and the heart, let alone wearying or tiring a believer. The 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat have made very many explanations on the esoteric meanings of Fatiha-i-Sharifa, which is repeated at every rakat(of namaz). (These explanations are so numerous that) it would take rather onerous work even to compile them or make a list of them. Sadr-ad-din Konawi [43] 'rahmatullahi alaihi' wrote a splendid book titled (Ijaz-ul-bayan), which explicates the occult meanings of Fatiha-i-Sharifa. He acknowledges in this book of his that he has been able to state only very few of the inner meanings and preternatural subtleties in Fatiha-i- Sharifa. [The ayats (verses of Qur'an al-karim), the tasbihs and prayers prescribed to be recited during the performance of namaz express greatness of Allahu ta'ala and drill supplication to Him. Allahu ta'ala declares that He "loves those who recite these prayers and shall give them much thawab [many rewards]." Anything which is to be recited or done in order to attain love of Allahu ta'ala and to acquire thawab, hard as it may be, is easy, very enjoyable and delightful to those who have iman. A person who has tasted sugar or honey knows its flavor. But one who has not tasted it may disbelieve its pleasing flavor, judging by its color, which he sees from a distance and finds unattractive.].

footnotes

[36] The first letter of the word, i.e. the Turkish letter (S), is an equivalent for the English (sh).

[37] Tawba means to repent for having sinned, cease from the sin or sins one has committed or has been committing, beg Allahu ta'ala for forgiveness, and to be resolved not to commit the same sin(s) again.

[38] Branch of knowledge teaching facts about our Prophet, Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'.

[39] Uhud is pronounced as (uhud), according to the IPA.

[40] Jafar Sadiq passed away in Medina in 148 [A.D. 765].

[41] Muhammad Bukhari passed away in Semer-kand in 256 [A.D. 870].

[42] Boundary between poverty and richness prescribed by Islam. Muslims whose wealth has reached this boundary have to give the obligatory alms called zakat.

[43] Sadr-ad-din Muhammad passed away in Konya, (a city in central Turkey), in 672 [A.D. 1272].

ANSWERS TO A PRIEST'S DENIGRATIONS

A Protestant priest published a booklet, in which he reasons on the foundations of Islam and Christianity. We have considered it would be apropos to quote statements from that booklet and answer them. The quotations are italicized, within quotation marks, and the answers follow.

The booklet says, for instance, "According to the teachings of Jesus Christ, Christianity, a volitional religion suitable for and adaptable to every nation and every community, to their forms

of government and policies, to the regulations, systems and states of their social structures, and to the countries they live in, can be established in any country without detriment to the order and policy of that country."

ANSWER: As a matter of fact, because the existing Gospels contain very few rules pertaining to muamalat, [that is, laws and regulations of buying and selling, family matters, conditions, forms, rights of tenure, employment and payment, political laws, etc.], it will certainly not damage or impair a nation's order or policy, as the priest professes. [For they have no rules to make substitutions with. They have nothing in their repertoire to offer to others]. However, the world has seen no country as yet where Christians entered and yet did not make havoc of all its valid systems and states, homes, orders, lands and governmental organizations. Countless political law books existent in the libraries of Great Roman Empires, and books telling about Roman customs and traditions were all destroyed by Christians.

[Christians exercised the same cruelty not only on non-Christian people, but also on their Christian co-religionists. Please see what Christian historians write about the cruelties and destructions the crusaders inflicted to the Byzantine when they entered Istanbul in the name of Christian religion. When they invaded Spain, they ruined and burned hundreds of libraries, destroyed thousands of works of art, slaughtered hundreds of thousands of Muslims and Jews; all these performances are tangible evidences exposing the innocent face of Christianity, which the priest alleges to be "a religion that does not interfere with other peoples' policies and customs and which is presently accepted by everybody."] It has never been easy for Christianity to settle in a country. Nor could it be expected to do so. [Even today, they are spending billions of pounds to Christianize people of poor and starving countries. They are helping them in various ways. They are doling out monthly payments to those poor people. Yet they have not been able to Christianize them so far. Is this priest so oblivious of these facts?]

He alleges in the same booklet, "The dominion of Christianity is unlike worldly dominions or sovereignties. It is a spiritual and genuine dominion. Owing to its religious essence, which is spiritual, real, and peculiar to itself, it is applicable to all sorts of situations and places natural for people. It neither stoops to Christianize the eminent and ruling people of a country, nor categorically rejects their inclinations or habits."

ANSWER: When a religion is applicable to all situations and places natural for people, it will no longer be necessary to call people to that religion. For that religion will spontaneously promulgate itself. Therefore, since it is in the open how assiduously Protestants are endeavoring to spread Christianity, this claim of theirs lapses automatically. On the other hand, even if we were to accede to its being a merit not to stoop to

Christianize the eminent and ruling people of a country, what good could be anticipated from not rejecting their inclinations and [atrocious] habits? Or, are all sorts of atrocity, in the view of this priest, innate in the natural spirituality of the Christian religion?

The priest proffers in the same booklet, "The essential mission of Christianity in this world is not to widen the Christian nations' periphery of power, but to deposit the grandeur and sovereignty of Allahu ta'ala into every individual's heart, and thus to spread it and make it acceptable among all communities in all countries."

ANSWER: Unfortunately, the same priest, who counts 'on the decrepit position of Islamic countries versus the wealth and prosperity of Europe as an evidence to prove that Christianity is superior to and more virtuous than Islam, an argumentation which he deals with from the eighty-seventh through hundred and seventh page of the same booklet, now says here that it is not the purpose of Christianity to widen the periphery of power of a nation. Could it be the case that the

religion he commends in those pages is Christianity, and the one he advertises here is some other religion?

The same priest asserts, "Those who admit the effectiveness and ascendancy of Christianity and value it will attain a lasting, sacred tie of brotherhood in addition to wisdom and policy. Being mature born servants, on the other hand, they will attain divine blessings and delights in the hereafter."

ANSWER: In accordance with this argument of his, it must be doubtful whether peoples of England, Austria and America are Christians. For these people have never been seen attached to one another with ties of brotherhood. They try to do utmost harm to one another for the sake of political advantages. The hostility between Lutherans and Calvinists or between any two other Protestant sects is no less vehement than the enmity between Catholics and

Protestants. [Throughout history, Catholics and Protestants have looked on each other as enemies and disbelievers and ruthlessly destroyed each other. We have related a few examples earlier in the text. Those who read history know this fact very well. It is obvious that these statements of the priest are adoptions from goodness such as brotherhood, amity, generosity, etc., which exist in the Islamic religion and which are written in Muslims' books. He appropriates the good qualities that belong to Muslims and which he has read about in Islamic books, and affixes them on Christianity].

The priest goes on, "If it were true that Islam were superior to and more virtuous than Christianity, it would necessarily demonstrate Allah's dominion in a manner better, higher and more spiritual than the explanation given above. It would be more adaptable to the positions and countries of the nations on the earth. It would guide people to happiness, perfection and justice in the world and infuse into them better hopes of honor and eternal felicity after departure from his world."

ANSWER: In the Islamic religion, the dominion of Allahu who act upon its rules shall attain infinite blessings in this world and in the hereafter. And those who do not adapt themselves to it shall be bitterly disappointed and tormented in Hell. This fact is demonstrated in the most beautiful manner in Qur'an al-karim and in hadith ash-Sharifs. If the blessings and felicities promised to be given to believers in the hereafter were demonstrated exactly as they were, the human mind could not comprehend them. Because this priest is not aware of what has been going on in the world but for the four Gospels and the epistles of Peter and Paul, this queer allegation of his signifies nothing but his nescience. We would like to remind him that realizing how powerful Islam is in guiding to happiness, peace and justice requires meticulous study of Islam and the history of Islamic states. Those who know the facts and events about these two religions are quite aware that the Christian religion, which is far from spirituality, have been altered quite a number of times, [e.g. by Paul, by Councils, and by other priests]. If a person reads literature on the historical facts about Islam and Christianity, he will see that the truth is quite contrary to the priest's allegation.

The priest goes on, "Every Christian accepts Jesus Christ's resurrection and ascension after being killed as an atonement for his (or her) salvation. Christians' feeling of security against the fear of death has reached the belief that 'dying is similar to sleeping in a mosque.' Christians accept death not as harmful, but as useful. On the other hand, most Muslims fear death. According to their creed, many promised rewards are awaiting them in the hereafter, and therefore, especially those lunatics who rush themselves into battlefields with the zealous aspiration for martyrdom in a holy war expect that as they die houris will meet them and entertain them in Gardens of Paradise. All these things are not contrary to our belief. Nevertheless, the relief and delight seen

on Muslims at the lime of death are based on sensuous desirous and pleasures such as delicious dishes of food and houris, which will be served to them in the hereafter. But Christians' delight at that moment originates from their full belief in that they will attain to the presence of Allahu ta'ala in new bodies clarified from sins. This proves the fact that Islam is not so heavenly or so spiritual as Christianity."

ANSWER: According to the Islamic creed [belief], after death people shall assemble at the place of Mahsher, where everybody shall be called to account, judged, and taken to Paradise or Hell, whichever he or she deserves. There shall be various degrees of thawab [rewards] and torment [retribution], depending on people's deeds. The highest blessing in the hereafter is for us Muslims to attain to Allahu ta'ala, not only to attain dishes of Paradise food or houris. [Indeed, whatever believers do in the world, they do it for Allah's sake. The most virtuous deed is the one which is done with ikhlas [for Allah's sake]. Muslims never dislike death. They say, "We owe this life to Allahu ta'ala, and we are ready to return it anywhere." For they have certain belief in the hadith ash-Sharifs which purport, "If a person does not wish to attain to Allahu ta'ala, Allahu ta'ala will not wish to attain to him, either. If a person wishes to attain to Allahu ta'ala, Allahu ta'ala, in turn, will wish to attain to him," and "Death is a bridge that will lead the lover to the beloved one." Most great men of Islam and many Awliya yearned after death, whereafter they would attain to Allahu ta'ala, to Rasulullah, to their teachers, who were among the Awliya, and to other Awliya. As their disciples sadly waited on them during their throes of death, they would advise, "Do not be sorry! There is no weeping for a person who is going to attain to Rasulullah and to Allahu ta'ala or who is going from one room to another in a house." All these religious superiors left this world with a sweet, pleasant smile]. This aspect of the matter being unpropitious to the priest's wicked purpose, he mentions only the aspect pertaining to the physical blessings of Paradise, thus, so to speak, buttressing up his opposition. Yet, with all his adversity and bigotry, he somehow confesses that at the time of death Muslims and martyrs feel more relieved and happier than do Christians. The omnipotence of Allahu ta'ala is so infinite.

The priest goes on, "In the Bible, Jesus Christ does not threaten an unbelieving person or king, nor does he command to behave towards him in a manner as to be an example for others. He commands to obey a king even if he is an unbeliever."

ANSWER: Yes, Isa 'alaihi-salam' commanded to obey even a pagan king. For it was impossible to make jihad or to resist against the Roman Empire and the whole race of Jewry with seventy-to-eighty followers. Islam, too, prohibits to oppose the state or laws.

The priest goes on, "The Bible commands to obey all rulers. In fact, let alone non-Christian, rulers, it preaches and advises to everybody to obey the worldly regulations and laws put by those emperors who are spiteful and hostile against Christianity."

ANSWER: It is so astounding that Luther, the founder of Protestantism, was not aware of the existence of such a principle, which is known even by this priest. Or, perhaps, he completely ignored it because he followed no one. For Luther uses an utterly abusive language in his writings castigating the King of England, Henry VIII. For instance, a passage from the two hundred and seventy- seventh page of 1808 edition of his book can be paraphrased as follows: "I am speaking to the cuckold for the salvation of the people. Why should I not cram that cuckold's lies down his throat while he, a king as he is, disregards the rights of his own honor and post. O you ignorant block-head! Why are you a mendacious liar, an extortioner, a thief, and at idiot, though you are the owner of the state. The administration of England, with all its superiority and abundance, has now fallen into your hands. ..." As it is seen, Luther, the leader and founder of Protestantism, let alone obeying or submitting himself to the authority of King Henry, did not

hesitate to write the above mentioned foul words about him because he disregarded Luther's innovations although he was not hostile to Christianity. [After all these, whereabouts is the Biblical commandment, "Obey rulers even if they are unbelievers"? Why did Luther, the founder of Protestantism, ignore this Biblical commandment instead of obeying it?]

It is written in the same booklet, "By means of war, Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' established a political state, not a religious one. Islam permitted holy war only in Medina-i-munawwara. Like Musa 'alaihi-salam', Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' was charged with jihad (holy war). He held religion and state in unity, and assumed both the task of prophethood and the office of head of the state."

ANSWER: Whereas the former half of this passage is completely wrong, the latter half is correct. The Islamic religion concedes domination or ownership to no one except Allahu ta'ala. According to the Shariat of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', all believers are free. For the principles of muamalat (matters pertaining to buying, selling, etc.) in this Shariat are so immaculate that better ones could never be ideated. These principles are based on such steadfast and exquisite essentials that for thousands of centuries from now they would retain their validity and applicability to thousands of new colors that civilization might assume, and every possible new matter could be assimilated to one or more Islamic principles, no matter what the century, its improvements and requirements might be. Contrary to this priest's supposition, Islam does not permit an overpowering, irresistible sovereignty. No statement could be so ignorantly expressed as the one which purports, "Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' allocated both prophethood and sovereignty to himself." For our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' was head of state throughout his lifetime. [He did not stock property like supreme rulers. What he had he always distributed to others, poor and rich alike. All through his lifetime, he was never heard to say 'No' for something asked from him. If he had what was asked for, he would give it; if he did not have it, he would be quiet. He lived in poverty. Yet his 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' poverty was his personal choice. When he took possession of a rather large amount of money, he would never even keep it overnight. He would always dispense it. Following his example, his Ashab would do the same]. He led a life of contentment, so much so that it was found out at his decease that he had pawned his armor as a security for his debt. Before deciding about an important matter such as jihad, if there was not wahy-i-ilahi, he would not act upon his personal opinion, but would ask the opinions of his Ashab and then act upon the best opinion, following the ayat al-karima which purports, "Consult (with others) about your matters." Up until the times of Luther and Calvin, Popes were the only dominant authorities in Europe. In the tribunals called the Inquisition, they excommunicated even kings, brought whomever they liked to the throne, and dethroned and ruined those kings they disliked. With the interference of priests' personal interests and caprices, State administration was atrophied. Thus, they brought Europe into such a miserable state that all politicians and statesmen began to clamor that the State would not attain safety without secularization, that is, unless State administration was separated from Christianity. Later on, Protestants considered it would be necessary to sever State affairs from religious matters, and this they did despite the Papal government. So, freeing State administration from Christianity, they rendered a service to humanity. If Papal authority had held sway over those States, they would have perished by now.

On the other hand, history teems with the examples of the States which gained strength, power and grandeur by adapting themselves to Islam. The remnants of those celebrated civilizations, e.g. the works of art remaining from the Andalusian Umayyads in Spain, [whatever survived of the many which were burned, destroyed, and annihilated by the savage Spaniards], and the

Ottoman [44] masterpieces of architecture, law and literature, still exist in the continents of Europe, Asia, and Africa.

The booklet writes, "Islam commands Muslims to be strong and powerful. Therefore, instead of spreading among righteous people who wish to approach to Allahu ta'ala, it has lured and captivated people who are fond of power and wealth. As a result, Islam's adherents are not impressive of the adherents of a spiritual religion. Islam has maintained its complicated state from the very beginning. Christianity, on the other hand, owing to its incorporeal sacredness, has cautioned its believers against pompous and temporal grandeur. Since the beginning of Christianity, Christians have encountered various difficulties and suffered subduing enemy aggressions. This has deterred the pursuers of worldly advantages and interests from joining Christianity."

ANSWER: The truth is quite the opposite of what the priest writes. Among the Ashab al-kiram who became Muslims in Mecca al-mukarrama before Hijrat (Hegira), there was not a single person fond of worldly pomp or wealth. Most of them were indigent, poor people. On the other hand, notables of Quraish, who were Islam's enemies, were wealthy, powerful, and fond of the world. As is written in the twenty-sixth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, according to Christian creed, during the Jewish Passover Isa 'alaihi-salam', after having his last dinner with his Apostles on the evening previous to his death, told them that he would be killed and that one of them would betray him to the Jews. Upon this the Apostles were terrified with the feeling of suspicion as to which one of them could commit such treason. When Isa 'alaihi-salam' was arrested by the Jews, his Apostles, who were with him, left him. That night Peter, who was the closest friend of Isa 'alaihi-salam', denied to know Isa 'alaihi-salam' three times, that is, each time the rooster crowed.

During the lifetime of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', there were chieftains, notable tribesmen, rich people among the Ashab al-kiram. These people did not behave in such a manner as would risk their Islamic manners or belief. For their acceptance of Islam had not been for the sake of ephemeral worldly property. All the Ashab al-kiram willfully sacrificed their property and lives for the sake of the Islamic religion. It is manifest which of them, Islam or Christianity, comprises more rectitude and spirituality. It is clearly understood from these examples we have given which of them allured those people who chased worldly clout and interests.

The priest goes on, "Islam's not distinguishing religion from State gives up several of its shortcomings. Each of these shortcomings, in comparison to Christianity, has held people in a chain of contradictions with respect to their religious needs. This sums up to mean that Islam is not an elevated religion. Now we shall begin to explain some of the dangers that may arise from mingling religion with politics."

ANSWER: As we have stated earlier, this protesting priest is continuously in error by confusing Islam with Christianity, which is a collection of the Gospels attributed to Matthew and John and a series of epistles ascribed to Peter and Paul. The dangers he is going to explain, therefore, originate from the same source.

The priest goes on, "Christianity not only spread wider than Islam, but also it did not open wars against those who would not accept it, nor did it treat them so as to hurt their values of chastity and honor, Christianity has always guided its believers to goodness and abundance."

ANSWER: Christians, after invading the Granada city,

Christianized its Muslim and Jewish inhabitants by force, using the tribunals they named the Inquisition as a means of oppression. Even those who would change their faith were hurled into fires and burnt alive. [As those unfortunate people crackled in the furious flames and their

lacerating cries and wails reached high up in the sky, the barbarous Christian Spaniards screamed and danced with joy, all of them, men and women alike.] If this priest had read about the savageries and cruelties recounted in the historical chronologies about Andalusians and the Inquisition, which were written by ecclesiastical historians, he would not have the daring to invent the false story that "Christians did not treat those who would not accept Christianity so as to hurt their values of chastity and honor." [Actually, the priest's statement is true in a way. For Christians did not leave any non-Christians under their administration, annihilating them after subjecting them to unthinkable, unimaginable methods of barbarism and torture. In fact, these same methods of annihilation have been applied by Protestants to Catholics, and by Catholics to Protestants. Thus, in countries under Christians' control, no member of any other religion was left alive. In countries where no one belongs to another religion, Christians' allegation that they "did not treat those who would not accept Christianity so as to hurt their values of chastity and honor," is mendacity. For there was no one left for them to hurt the values of. Those who read the histories of crusades written by fanatical Christian historians will see clearly how mendacious these priests are. We asked a priest we know what his opinion was on these writings of ours. We wanted to know how come those Christians, who are alleged to belong to a religion whose main principle is to do good to everyone and "When someone slaps you on one cheek, offer him your other cheek," did all those savageries. He could not answer].

The priest goes on, "Islam commands to always fight against its adversaries and non-Muslims. It subjects its defeated enemies to jizya (wealth tax), which means to insult them. Now, which of these two religions is more virtuous and fitter for the human nature with respect to mercy and compassion? Wise and reasonable people will see at once which of them is superior."

ANSWER: History is in the open. [The statements of the priest's are quite contrary to facts. They are lies, slanders. Muslims fought against those enemies who assailed Islam and against tyrants and dictators who oppressed people. The Islamic jihad is performed either as a defensive operation against disbelievers and tyrants molesting Muslims and Muslim countries, or as a rescue operation to save people ruthlessly oppressed under the tyranny and barbarity of cruel dictators, or as a mission to let those unfortunate people hear about the justice and peace innate in Islam, and its principles guiding to happiness in this and the next worlds. In other words, it is performed in order to teach the religion of Allahu ta'ala to the born servants of Allahu ta'ala, and thus to guide them to peace and happiness. In Islam, war is not a means of assailing other countries and plundering them in order to stock property. In places conquered after wars, Muslims cannot perpetrate massacres or cruelties like Christians. It is declared in many places of Qur'an al-karim and in various hadith ash-Sharifs of our Prophet that Allahu ta'ala enjoins from these acts. People (in such conquered countries) cannot be forced to change their religions. Forcing them means to disobey Qur'an al-karim. The two hundred and fifty-sixth ayat of Baqara sura, which purports, "There is no compulsion in religion," is a plain evidence of this. There have been numerous Christians in those countries where Islam has been dominant for fourteen hundred years as well as in countries that remained under the Ottoman sway for six hundred and thirty years. Most of the Christians living in Turkey today are their grandchildren. If the Ottoman Government had employed the slightest policy of compulsion, there would be no Christians left in Turkey today. When the Barbarous Christian Spaniards vanquished the Andalusian Omayyad State and invaded Spain, they perpetrated a genocide of the Muslims and Jews who fell into their hands, and then celebrated it as a day of feast for according to them there were "no disbelievers left in Spain." These are the cruelties exercised by Christians, who are claimed to belong to a religion of compassion and mercy that spread peacefully. When Fatih Sultan Muhammad Khan

[45] conquered Istanbul in 857 [A.D. 1453], he did not apprehend Byzantine' property. Nor did he forbid them from practicing their religion. The people, who had been fed up with the tyrannies of the Christian Byzantine Empire, helped the Ottomans, not the Byzantine forces, in order to enjoy the Ottoman justice. After the conquest of Istanbul, Fatih Sultan Muhammad Khan, let alone demolishing the churches, helped the patriarchate of Fener (Phanar). As for the Saint Sophia, which was then in ruins; he had it restored and enlarged, and changed into a mosque because of necessity. Muslims levied (the tax called) jizya on the non-Muslim inhabitants of the places they conquered. This (tax of) jizya, which was taken in return for the tremendous expenses Muslims defrayed in order to protect their property, lives, chastity and religion, was an insignificant amount, and it had its special contingencies. It was a (religious) commandment that the money taken in the name of jizya should be spent for charitable purposes. It was not as the priest asserts. As a matter of fact, in our day every government collects various taxes from their people]. These criticisms of the priest's are not intended to expose the truth. One must be an idiot not to apprehend that these statements of his originate from his bigotry and malevolence or are induced by his greed for money. However, since the savageries displayed during the crusades and in Andalusia are written in their own books, too, no person with reason and logic will believe these mendacities and lies of the priest's.

The priest goes on, "In the time of Ottomans, who were the predominant Islamic nation, abusive terms were being used about the non-Muslim subjects. This went on until recently, when it was at last forbidden and the non-Muslims were granted the same rights as Muslims. This fact proves that my earlier statements are true."

ANSWER: The rights which the non-Muslim subjects equally shared with the Muslims were valid and observed since the reign of Fatih Sultan Muhammad Khan. What authority does he think compelled Fatih Sultan Muhammad Khan to grant these concessions to Byzantine church? All the Ottoman Sultans observed this justice and autonomy conceded to the church in order to obey the commandment of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' which we have cited in the initial pages of our book. What was the State's need for employing the Byzantine, who were called Phanariots, as deewan interpreters in the Ottoman Foreign Ministry or in the Wallachian and Moldavian princedoms? The law of equality, which was declared afterwards, was not the proclamation of something new, but the corroboration of what was already existent. As for the terms that are said to be abusive; they were being used from earlier times as rules of etiquette to label ranks and personages. As we have stated earlier, they were not intended to insult or scorn. Like any other State, the Ottoman State had its own nomenclature of protocol, and each Sultan had his personal usage of terminology in his firmans. No one ever thought of interpreting them as abusive.

The priest goes on, "The Islamic States' improvement to equity and justice in this respect was not a commandment of Qur'an al-karim, nor was it a natural outcome of being Muslims. It is a palpable fact that the latest Ottoman Sultans, who were clever and wise enough to apprehend that their country and people needed progress and reformation, executed the improvements in the wake of their Christian European counterparts."

ANSWER: Such omnifarious equality as the censuring priest envisions does not tally with Qur'an al-karim, nor would it be agreeable to common sense. The Ottoman State established the equality prescribed by the (Islamic) Shariat not in the wake of European emperors, but by executing Islam's commandment, and declared the principles of equality [by writing the already existing injunctions item by item]. As of today, there has not yet been a European State to grant to its own people and put into practice the same extremely vast privileges as was granted by the Ottoman State to the non-Muslims.

[The cruelties, the barbarous and diabolical persecutions which Christians States have perpetrated in the Muslim countries they have invaded recently, are astoundingly gruesome. In the First World War, the English concentrated the slaves they had captured on the eastern front in huge camps in Egypt. They forced these Muslims slaves to bathe in large ponds, which had been impured with copper sulfate before. No sooner had the slaves returned to their homes than they became blind.

Another method Christians employ for annihilating Muslims and Islam is their policy of having Muslims kill other Muslims. In the war of Chanakkale, African and Indian Muslims were made to wear English uniforms on the fronts of Egypt, Yaman and Syria to fight against the Ottomans, who were Muslims like themselves. Those Muslims were provoked to fight by the prevarication that they were being taken to help the Islamic religion and to fight against the enemies of the Islamic Khalifa. Another method they employ is unbearably horrid for one to relate. For even cannibals have not attempted to kill a son, cut off and cook his head, and have his parents eat it. Please reread the second answer in the seventh chapter! It depicts the real personality of Europeans, who claim to be the civilized members of a religion dictating mild and amiable behavior. It is so consternating that they have the face to assert, after all, that the Ottomans granted equal rights to their non-Muslim compatriots in the wake of Europeans].

The priest goes on, "The Ottoman reforms, which are generally known as the outcome of the virtuous Ottoman benevolence and wisdom, are, contrary to the prevalent supposition, due to the honor of Christianity, not of Islam."

ANSWER: This passage is very well-written. The Ottoman transmutations, which were administered in the name of reformations by Rashid Pasha, who was a freemason, were inculcated by Christians and masons. [For Christians, or rather, Protestants, coaxed Mustafa Rashid Pasha, the Ottoman Ambassador to London, to becoming a freemason by offering him brilliant advantages and money. Training him in masonic lodges, they sent him back to the Ottoman State as an adversary of Islam and Ottoman. They established masonic societies in big cities. By means of the heinous plans prepared by such perfidious people, the Muslim Turks, who were, (and are), the real owners of their country, were lowered to a secondary class of citizenship, and the non-Muslims were made privileged citizens. Whereas the Muslims were charged with too big sums of money for most of them to pay for exemption from military service, the amount demanded from their non-Muslim peers was no more than a perfunctory sample. While the pure lads of this country were suffering martyrdom for the sake of their faith, homeland and chastity, the non-Muslims and freemasons, who were the enemies of Islam, monopolized all the industries and trades of the country owing to the treacherous stratagems schemed by Mustafa Rashid Pasha and the freemasons trained by him in collaboration with English and Scotch masonic lodges. By levying heavy taxes for export and promoting import, Mustafa Rashid Pasha sabotaged the Ottoman industry and arts. He had scientific education abrogated from schools. Europeans, who were the architects of all these impairments, were not yet satisfied with them; supplying money and weapons for the non-Muslim Ottoman citizens, they instigated them to rebellion, thus sowing the seeds of discord, hostility and hatred among the people who had been living together in peace for half a century. This instigation gave birth to horrendous, stupefying cruelties, savageries and blood-baths. If the Ottomans had perpetrated a thousandth of the barbarities they were subjected to by Bulgarians, Russians, Armenians and Greeks, there would be no Bulgarians, no Armenians, no Greeks, no Russians on the earth today. The so-called reforms, which were intended to annihilate the Muslim Turks, were all realized owing to the destructive plans of Christians].

Here again, the priest asserts, "In Islam, political laws and religious rules are not differentiated; both of the systems take their authorities from the same source. Therefore, an Islamic government has to keep the religious obligations as effective as individual rights by protecting them with powerful laws. This, in its turn, is an issue perilous and detrimental to Muslims' credal dispositions. For performance of religious obligations will be acceptable only when it is intended to attain His love, to approach towards Him, to obey Him. Otherwise, if religious duties are done because of compulsion, they will not be real obedience or piety; they will be perfunctory simulations, which can be, in a way, interpreted as hypocrisy and ostentation."

ANSWER: It is written both in the Taurah and in the Gospels that there will be great substantial and spiritual rewards and prizes in return for doing the commandments of Allahu ta'ala, i.e. actions called fard, and refraining from His negative injunctions, that is, prohibitions called nahy. In the twenty-third chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi-salam' warns the scribes and Pharisees about the divine torment and Hell, and reminds them of their own wrongdoing in an angry tone. At other places, he promises that those who believe in him shall be saved and attain blessings in the hereafter. Since Christians' worships are based on such threats of Hell and the promised blessings of Paradise, Christians' pure belief and unmodified thoughts must be in jeopardy. For such divergent intentions cannot be reconciled with worshipping only for the sake of Allahu ta'ala and only in order to approach towards Him. Whatever answer the priest would give to this challenge of ours, he may retain it as our answer to him.

And yet the priest goes on, "The Islamic religion puts the apostate to death. Chastising those who violate the month of Ramadan by frankly not fasting in it, Islam compels people to remain adherent to the religion, and thus to hypocrisy."

ANSWER: As we have stated earlier, the Islamic religion is not like Christianity, which was established by Paul and Peter. It is the most perfect religion, a sampler of all sorts of outward and spiritual virtues and superiorities. Therefore, the boundaries ordained by Allahu ta'ala protects Islam's sublime and beautiful ethic against corruption and violation. Rules of apostasy are never applied to a Muslim, unless he frankly acknowledges that he is in a state of disbelief. If a Muslim publicly violates the month of Ramadan by not fasting, he will be chastised by the (Islamic) government, that is, he will be punished for publicizing his sin. Yet if he does not publicize his sin, that is, if he conceals his not fasting, he will not be chastised by the government. Qur'an al-karim prescribes a certain punishment and expiation for this sort of sin. [There are cases which necessitate qada only as well as those requiring keffaret (expiation) also]. The chastisement inflicted by the (Islamic) government is the retribution for a Muslim's publicizing his sin and making a mischievous example for others. Such chastisement are for Muslims. The Islamic State does not interfere with Christians' worships. There is not any chastisement for them concerning their worships. Nor are they oppressed in any way. These chastisement protect Muslims' morals and unity against deterioration. The hundred and fifty-sixth ayat of Baqara sura, which purports, "There is no compulsion in religion," informs that a person belonging to another religion cannot be forced to become a Muslim. And the eighty-ninth ayat of Nisa sura, which purports, "If they turn away from tawhid and hijrat, enslave or kill them wherever you find them," informs that those who, after accepting Islam, turn away from Islam and apostatize, are to be killed. The expression, "Islam compels people to remain adherent to the religion, and thus to hypocrisy," is the priest's personal fabrication. This statement of his indicates that he interprets Qur'an al-karim as he wishes. [Perhaps he considers Qur'an al-karim to be similar to the Gospels he has been reading. Yet he is wrong. A person who interprets Qur'an al-karim with his own views will become a disbeliever. Qur'an al-karim is not a book to be read in a state of drunkenness and then

to pronounce preposterous judgements. Interpreting Qur'an al-karim requires first of all being a Muslim and then being an expert in a number of branches of knowledge and then being gifted with a special kind of enlightenment, which is a blessing of Allahu ta'ala].

The priest goes on, "The following event shows that the Bible is opposed to chastising renegades or those who ignore fasting: One day a group of Jesus Christ's followers said that they wanted to part with him because they were offended at something. Jesus Christ turned to others and said, 'Do, you wish to go, too?' Thus he gave them freedom of choice. One of them, speaking for them all, said, 'Who could we go to? You have the word for the eternal life.' "

ANSWER: All the Prophets called Ulul-azm were personally entrusted with the task of establishing and executing the ahkam-i-sher'iyya (canonical laws) which they brought from Allahu ta'ala. The task which Isa 'alaihi-salam' was entrusted with was the perfection and consolidation of the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', in addition to some outward worships and beautiful moral qualities. Isa 'alaihi-salam' invited people who had been misled by the Israelites to obeying the rules in the Taurah and the Bible. The statements, "When Jesus was arrested by the Jews the Apostles left him and ran away. Peter, who was the most virtuous, denied Jesus three times in one night," show clearly how strong the belief of the followers of Isa 'alaihi-salam' was. It would be senseless to chastise the renegades among people whose belief was already so weak.

The priest goes on, "The Islamic religion is composed of political laws and religious commandments. Therefore, many people accepted the victories and accomplishments of the earliest Islamic States as strong evidences for the rectitude of the Islamic religion. Should not the contemporary Muslims say, 'How can we believe in the rectitude of our religion despite the fact that as a result of our policy, which is a principal tenet of our religion, most of the countries and cities which were once under our control are now in the hands of Christians, and some forty million Muslims are under their domination?'

ANSWER: It is impossible for Muslims to say so. For, as we have explained earlier, Islamic States retained their power and grandeur as long as Muslims adhered fast to their religion and observed its commandments and prohibitions in the most perfect and beautiful manner possible. Later on, as they were alienated from the Islamic ethic, their national moral qualities gradually deteriorated, Islam's injunctions were ignored, and there began an administration and execution based on personal inclinations. [This, again, was contrived by Christians and their masonic societies. Using all sorts of seduction including various promises and gratifications, they cajoled youngsters who were quite unaware of the Islamic religion, trained them as traitors hostile to their own religion and country, and then sent them forth to Islamic countries. These people, who were Muslims in name but Christians in personality, administered the Islamic States not as prescribed by Islam, but as they liked and wished. Thus, Islamic countries were broken and Muslims went under Christians' domination. In order to achieve their ends, Christians overtly supported all the enemies of Islam, including pagans. The pagan Mongol Emperor, Genghis Khan, the notorious cruel demolisher of the Islamic world, was gratified by the Pope, who sent him invaluable gifts and golds. The Pope's envoys shuttled back and forth between the Pope and Genghis Khan, and served him as his mentors. For Genghis Khan was ruthlessly slaughtering Muslims and endeavoring to annihilate Islam Genghis Khan's grandson, Hulaghu, when he captured Baghdad, massacred more than eight hundred thousand Muslims and burned Baghdad, which was the world's most beautiful city and center of knowledge. All the Islamic works of art and religious books were destroyed, the Tigris River flowed in blood and ink for many days. What was the purpose of the Pope, the spiritual leader of Christians, who claim to be very

merciful, for rewarding such an enemy of religion? It is blasphemy to help and encourage an unbeliever. Helping and encouraging a cruel tyrant, on the other hand, is cruelty itself. They have been striving to destroy and annihilate the Islamic civilization for thirteen hundred years. And now they are trying to put forth the stranded situation Islamic countries are in as a proof for Christianity's meritorious superiority over Islam. Even the insane would sneer at them. So, Muslims were alienated from Islam, and Islamic states, with the deterioration of their essential principles, collapsed and perished]. Inversely, as long as Christian States remained adherent to Christianity, they remained in confusion. When these States abandoned Christianity and inclined towards atheism, they began to imitate the Islamic religion in their policies and thus became strong and powerful. Histories, which are the open testimonies of this state, will continue to show this fact to the whole world till Doomsday. No matter how dexterous Islam's enemies may be in mendacity, misrepresentation and calumny, these equitable witnesses will refute them and publicize their lies all over the world.

The priest goes on, "The appearing of Jesus Christ is a very important turning point in God's dominion. This dominion abrogated some rites peculiar to past religions, e.g. circumcision. Disregarding circumcision, it valued consecration of the heart and beautification of morals, that is, extermination of wicked qualities. Muslims, on the other hand, are still practicing circumcision, thus trying to keep up a custom which God annulled through the Bible."

ANSWER: The fifth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew quotes Isa 'alaihi-salam' as saying, "Do not think I have come to demolish the Shariat. I have come to perfect the Shariat, not to demolish it. For the truth I am to tell you is that not even a letter or a dot of the Shariat shall be annihilated unless heaven and earth perish." On the other hand, it is stated in the Taurah that one of the most important commandments of the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' is circumcising the children. In fact, the Taurah quotes Allahu ta'ala as commanding to Ibrahim (Abraham) 'alaihi-salam', "Execute circumcision. For Paradise is not accessible without circumcision." All Prophets coming between Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' and Isa 'alaihi-salam' acted upon this commandment. As a matter of fact, Isa 'alaihi-salam' himself was circumcised. The Gospels do not even contain a word concerning the abrogation of circumcision. When we asked this protesting priest which one of the Gospels abrogated the Shariat [by annulling the injunction of circumcision] despite the Biblical verse, "... not even a letter or a dot of the Shariat shall be annihilated...", which we have quoted above, his answer was no more than putting forward a few passages from the Epistle to Galatians written by Paul, who had not even reached the time when Isa 'alaihi-salam' had lived. For sixteen years this notorious person. Paul, perpetrated various persecutions and torments to the believers of Isa 'alaihi-salam', including the excoriation of one of the blessed Hawaris. Later he claimed to believe in Isa 'alaihi-salam' as a result of a dream, which, again, was his own fabrication. Now we ask this censuring priest: For what reason was that notorious Jew's word preferred to the definite and open commandment of Isa 'alaihi-salam', and why was circumcision abandoned? Muslims observe the sunnat of circumcision because our Prophet commanded them to preserve the sunnat of Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' and obey this commandment of Allahu ta'ala in the Taurah. This performance of Muslims consists in obeying the divine will of Allahu ta'ala. Christians' abandoning circumcision, on the other hand, means ignoring the commandment of Isa 'alaihi-salam', which is also enjoined in the Taurah, and obeying Paul, the cruel hypocrite.

[Paul says in the seventh and eighth verses of the second chapter of his Epistle to Galatians, "Yet when they see that as circumcision was enjoined on Peter by the Bible, so was I entrusted with the Bible of uncircumcision. For while Peter was given the Apostolic mission of circumcision, I

was given the [Apostolic] mission [of uncircumcision] for people other than Jews." Peter, the closest friend who was always with Isa 'alaihi-salam', commands circumcision and observes it himself. Then appears a Jew, who never saw Isa 'alaihi-salam' in his lifetime and who oppressed bitterly for sixteen years the Nazarenes who believed in Isa 'alaihi-salam'. This Jew fabricates a lie and says, "I have been given the Bible of uncircumcision. Let those people other than Jews not be circumcised." And this lie is observed as an injunction of the Christian religion. Supposing an ordinary person came forward and said that he had been revealed or inspired that such and such a thing should be done in such and such a manner, and a so-called religion accepted his words as an essential document. A person with discretion would not believe in the heavenliness of that religion].

Another criticism that Christians stir up against Islam is based on the fact that Qur'an al-karim and hadith-i- Sharifs are in the Arabic language. The priest says, "Since Qur'an al-karim and hadith ash-Sharifs are in Arabic and no studies have been done to translate it into other languages, Muslims who do not understand Arabic are deprived of knowing the meaning of Qur'an al-karim. All the duas and Dhikrs are in Arabic. Muslims recite prayers without being aware of what they are saying. When people of other nationalities accept Islam and attempt to penetrate the inner realities of Qur'an al-karim, they are encumbered with the burden of learning Arabic. Furthermore, because every Muslim is obligated to visit Mecca and Medina at least once in his lifetime, the land of Hijaz has gained ascendancy over other lands. The obligation of hajj has become a burden, a trouble for people living in far away countries."

ANSWER: An observation of the Old and New Testaments would be enough to answer his first objection. The Old and New Testaments were subjected to numerous interpolations each time they were translated into another language. Allahu ta'ala revealed His Qur'an al-karim in the Arabic language in order to protect it against such interpolations. This will suffice as an answer to priests' criticism.

Their second objection, that is, their criticism about hajj, has already been answered earlier in the text. Repetition would be unnecessary. The Islamic 'Ulama explicate in their works the hikmas [46] in the revelations of Qur'an al-karim in the Arabic language and in hajj. Yet, in order to be blessed, we shall give here one of their explanations concerning the realities in the restraint against translating Qur'an al-karim and the obligation of visiting Mecca al-mukarrama and Medina-i-munawwara, since it has to do with our subject:

As it is known by people of wisdom and knowledge, people living in various different climates of the earth were originally born from the same father and mother. They are like different generations of a great empire who have increased in number in process of time, parted into numerous tribes, and forgotten about their original relations. The disagreements and controversies among these various tribes emanate from the ideological and credal differences among them, which in turn are the natural proceedings of linguistic and customary differences. Since love of one's country is an inborn quality, everyone naturally loves his own country, as a result of which different people love different countries and therefore have different interests and benefits. When the objective is to remove or offset these differences, which are in the long run harmful to all the tribes and nations in general, there will be no other way than diminishing the sources of difference and assimilating these nations to one another. That is:

1 - For eliminating the harms of linguistic differences, which are the causes of disagreements, it is necessary to establish a common language among them.

2 - For alleviating the harms of customary and systematical differences among them, which are the major sources of disagreements, and for bringing them together in unity, they must be knitted together by means of the same customs and systems.

3 - Love of one's country, which is a spiritual dormancy, must be canalized towards concentricity, that is, people must be made to love one common country. The inner essence and purpose of the principles laid by the Islamic religion is to eliminate the disagreements among people and to tie them together with common aims of happiness and benefits. Qur'an al-karim was revealed in the most beautiful of all the human languages, namely the Arabic language. [Arab means beautiful. Hence Lisan-ul- 'Arabi means the most beautiful language]. By means of the fard and other worships, all nations and tribes have been made equal. And by the obligation of hajj, Mecca-i- mukarrama and Medina-i-munawwara have been made (Umm-ul- awtan), that is, sacred places, for all the Muslim nations. A Muslim will easily learn the Arabic language if he is trilled in reading Qur'an al-karim and taught Arabic lessons at a very early age. Thus he will exchange ideas with Muslims all over the world. [For there will be a common language between them now]. On the other hand, by means of common systems of behavior, such as adhan (or adhan), namaz, fasting, zakat, hajj, especially the rukns (obligatory actions) in namaz, the namaz performed on Friday, namaz performed in jema'at (congregation), following the imam (person who conducts the namaz in congregation), Islam brings tribes with different customs closer to one another and guides them to a common system of belief and worships. And Mecca al-mukarrama, the Islamic center where Muslims come together, is their common sacred place. It is a religious duty, a debt to love it, to preserve and protect it. For hundreds of thousands of people from eastern, western, southern and northern parts of the world, who have never seen one another before, nor would it otherwise be possible for them to see one another, come together in Mecca al-mukarrama for the performance of the fard of hajj, exchange knowledge and ideas, consolidate their religious creed and love, and are welded together. So, the real aim of Islam is to make all peoples and tribes brothers by uniting them in the same beautiful system of belief, worships and ethics. People who obey Islam, [wherever and] in whatever age they live, will attain honor, happiness and success as long as they obey it. Thus, it is doubtless, in a short time six hundred million Muslims on the earth will regain their centuries-old powerful and honorable status and, being full of brotherly affection for one another, they will fill the whole world with peace and happiness.

Amidst all the slanders directed by Christians to the Islamic religion, this priest asserts, "In Islam, jihad-i-fi-sabilillah (holy war only for Allah's sake) is fard. On the other hand, there is no commandment for jihad in Christianity. This case is an evidence for the virtue of Christianity."

ANSWER: The commandment of jihad is stated clearly in all the books of the Old Testament. We have already quoted the statement of Isa 'alaihi-salam', "I have not come to demolish the Shariat. I have come to perfect the Shariat, not to demolish it." This statement bears the meaning that he will also perfect jihad, which exists in the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. Christians refuse this commandment of jihad enjoined by Isa 'alaihi-salam'. There are very many verses conveying the commandment of jihad in the Old Testament. It is worth the time spent mentioning them here.

It is stated in the tenth and later verses of Deuteronomy, "When you set out to fight your enemies and approach them, you shall first invite them to peace. If they give you an answer of peace and open their gates to you, then all the people living in there shall be your servants and they shall serve you. If they do not accede to peace and prefer fighting, you shall kill them and besiege their town, and when your Allah delivers it to you, you shall put all their men to the sword. You

shall loot their women and children and animals and whatever you find in the town. You shall consume your enemy's property, which is Allah's gift to you. You shall give this same treatment to all the cities that do not belong to these nations and which are very far from you. Yet, you shall not leave a breathing person alive in these tribes' cities, which Allah gives you as an inheritance."

The account given to this effect in the thirty-first chapter of Numbers can be summarized as follows: "Commanded by Allahu ta'ala, Musa 'alaihi-salam' formed a twelve thousand strong army to fight against the Medians. Defeating the Medians, they killed all the men and enslaved their women and children. They took away all their animals, herds and property as booties, and burned all their towns and sites." If you need detailed information on the facts we have summarized here, please consult the book Numbers of the Old Testament. It is stated in the same chapter, "Musa 'alaihi-salam' appointed Yusha (Joshua) 'alaihi-salam' as his successor before his death. And he (Joshua), obeying the Taurah's commandment, killed many millions of people." Those who are interested will find detailed information from the first chapter through the thirty-first chapter of the book Numbers.

The eighth and later verses of the seventh chapter of Samuel I state, "David and his men set out to raid Geshurees and Ghirzees and Amalekees (Amalekites), and David forayed their land, leaving no one alive, men and women alike, and took with him their sheep and cattle and donkeys and camels and clothes back home."

It is written in the eighth chapter of Samuel II that Dawud (David) 'alaihi-salam' slaughtered twenty-two thousand Syrian soldiers, and in the tenth chapter that he killed forty thousand horsemen of the Aramaians.

It is written in the eighteenth chapter of Kings I that Ilya (Elijah) 'alaihi-salam' had four hundred and fifty people killed because they had claimed to be Bal's Prophets.

It is written in the fourteenth chapter of Genesis that when Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' received the news that the kings who had been attacking Sodom and Gomorrah had enslaved Lut 'alaihi-salam' and pillaged his property, he convened his soldiers in order to save his brother and others, pursued the pillagers up to Dan, conducted a night raid, killed all the pillagers, rescued his brother, Lut 'alaihi-salam', repossessed all the property pillaged, and took them all back, including the women.

Paul states in his epistle to the Hebrews that David, Samuel and other Prophets, who had formerly been weak people barely escaping the edge of the sword, mustered power and courage, forced the enemy armies to run away, and conquered lands.

As it is understood from all these, past Prophets

'alaihi-salam' were also commanded to make ghaza and jihad against disbelievers. Yet Islam's jihad-i-fi- sabilillah, unlike emperors' wars, is not made for the satisfaction of mundane intentions and sensuous desires or for achieving fame and honor. It is performed to glorify the blessed name of Allahu ta'ala, to make all people attain the right and true way, and to save people from cruelty and persecution. Now we would like to ask Protestants: Were the holy wars made by the Prophets we have mentioned above permissible, approved acts according to Allahu ta'ala, or did they incur Allah's wrath because they were forbidden? If they say they were permissible and approved, they will have rebutted their own assertion. If they say they were forbidden, this time Paul, who is sacred to them, will be a liar on account of his writings about Dawud 'alaihi-salam'. In this case, the Old Testament, which is confirmed to be true and authentic by Christians, will have also been belied. In addition, thousands of innocent people will have been slaughtered as a result of a believer's wrongdoing. After all, how will Dawud 'alaihi-salam'

attain salvation in the hereafter? For the fifteenth verse of the third chapter of John's first epistle states, "You know that there is no eternal life for a murderer who has killed an innocent self."

It is written in the eighth verse of the twenty-first chapter of the Apocalypse (Revelations), "As for cowards, unbelievers, wrongdoers and murderers and adulterers and sorcerers and idolaters and liars; their share is in the pit of Hell, which is a furnace of fire and sulphur."

WARNING: At it is seen at various places in our book, (Could Not Answer), it is written in all the Deuteronomic and Biblical books possessed by Christians that "After death people shall resurrect, be called to account, and remain eternally in the blessings of Paradise or in to account, and remain eternally in the blessings of Paradise or in the fire of Hell." Hundreds of millions of Christians in America and Europe, including all statesmen, scientists, professors, commanders, believe in these Gospels and go to church for worship every week. Some people in Turkey, because they do not read any Islamic literature and therefore know nothing of Islam, call it (modernism) to imitate Europeans and Americans, and (regression) to be a Muslim. However, these people do not work like Europeans and Americans in science, medicine, mathematics or technologies. What they imitate in them is only atrocities such as arranging mixed parties of music, gambling and drinking, spicing their voluptuous desires in beaches, and annoying their neighbors by turning up the volume of their radio or television to the highest point. Because Islam prohibits such excesses, they call Muslims reactionaries. According to them, any boy or girl who joins them in their eccentricities, illiterate and quite unaware of science and arts as he or she may be, is modern, illuminated. On the other hand, a learned, virtuous, decent, true Muslim who is a university graduate and is therefore well-informed in arts and trade, pays his taxes, obeys the laws, and is kind to others, will be reactionary if he does not join their immoderations. These self-imposed modern and illuminated people are beguiling young people to indecency and sloth, and thus to afflictions in the world and eternal torment in the hereafter. They are causing breakage in family homes. In short, as it is seen, according to these people, only those who imitate Europeans' dissipations and immoralities are illuminated and modern. Since those Europeans and Americans, who believe in Paradise and Hell like Muslims, are not regressive in their view, they must be calling Muslims regressive only because Muslims do not practice their immoralities. Being irreligious, these people do not imitate Europeans' and Americans' pious aspects, and this, in turn, makes them regressive in their own criteria. This book of ours proves that a Muslim is illuminated and always up-to-date, and a non-Muslim is retrogressive].

As for the nonexistence of the fard of jihad in the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam'; Isa 'alaihi-salam' invited people to his religion only for three years, which was too short a period to spare time for jihad-i-fi- sabilillah. Naturally, it would have been impossible to perform jihad against the Roman Empire with five to ten men plus a few women. In fact, when Isa 'alaihi-salam' knew that the Jews were nursing a grudge against him, he became anxious. As is written in the thirty-sixth and later verses of the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel of Luke, during the day previous to the evening when he would be arrested, Isa 'alaihi-salam' said unto his companions, "If you have a pouch, take it with you; if you have a sack, take it with you; and if you have none of these, sell your clothes and buy a sword." They said, "O Lord, here are two swords." He said, "They will do." And those swords were no good because as he was being arrested that evening his companions left him and disappeared. All these explanations make it as clear as the sun that Isa 'alaihi-salam' had no intentions to surrender without self-defense, that he would have used the sword to defend himself if it had been possible, and his not making jihad against his enemies was due to lack of outward means of fighting. Since Isa 'alaihi-salam' did not plainly enjoin his followers from jihad, and inasmuch as he is the consolidator, not the abolisher, of the Shariat of

Musa 'alaihi-salam', it is obvious that the commandment of jihad existent in the previous Shariat must have been valid in his Shariat, too.

Protestants assert in this publication of theirs that, "Muslims, as a requirement of their religion, which stigmatizes non-Muslims as the enemies of God and religion, look upon them as their enemies. They wish and endeavor to make them Muslims by force or to take them under their domination and thus to levy (the tax called) jizya on them."

ANSWER: Yes, any religion or sect contradictory to the belief of tawhid (unity of Allah) is detestable and repulsive in Islam's view. Owners of such misbelief are said to be the enemies of Allahu ta'ala and His religion. Yet, [as we have stated earlier in the text], it is forbidden to compel them to become Muslims. The priests' statements in this respect are merely intended to malign Muslims. Muslims hate only those non-Muslims who bear hostility against the Islamic religion. There have been hatred, animosity, hostility, conflicts and fights between Muslims and such people. But what are the grounds for the hatred and enmity and all those history-making vehement fights and bloodbath among the Christian sects themselves? Pages of history books teem with narrations of the cruelties and barbarisms Christians inflicted on the people of the countries they captured. They try to destroy and annihilate people belonging to other religions. Approximately three hundred years before the Hegira, Emperor Constantine converted to Christianity and presently began to perpetrate his barbarisms, cutting off Jews' ears and condemning them to exile in various countries. Later, he deported the Jews out of Alexandria, demolished all their temples, carried out an extensive genocide, and seized their property. The Sephardic Jews also were subjected to innumerable types of torment by Christians. [We have already touched upon the cruelties inflicted on the Jews in Spain. In the Tolouisse city of France, Christians took an Easter day as an occasion for smacking on the face the Jews they met on the streets. In other cities of France, Jews were pelted with stones on the same Easter day. It is a fact that most of the Jews were killed by the stones ruthlessly hurled, and the people were provoked to do all this savagery by the authorities of the city. So far, there has been seven Jewish deportations from France.

Also, the Hungarian Jews suffered various types of torment inflicted by Christians. Some of them were burned alive. Others were thrown into sea to drown.

In England, on the other hand, the Jewish people, finding the torments inflicted on them too painful to endure, preferred killing one another lest they should fall into the hands of their torturers.

Members of a Catholic society, which had been established under the name (Oturafe) in Spain, burned alive thousands of people most of whom were Jews and the rest were some rich Christians suspected of having turned renegades, and the officially invited guests were kings and other high-ranking officials. It is a historically recorded fact that as these wretched people begged, cried and wailed for mercy the spectators, i.e. priests, officials and women, laughed and clapped their hands.

Throughout the period of twelve [fourteen] hundred years since the rising of Islam there has not been a tiniest event of cruelty inflicted by Muslims on Christians or Jews similar to the cruelties perpetrated by Christians. If there is any, let them divulge it. If they mean the three or four hundred Christians killed during the events that broke out in Lebanon in 1277 [A.D. 1861], these events were provoked by the Jesuits who had come to Lebanon and Damascus from France in order to sow seeds of instigation and mischief. This fact is clearly seen in the legal proceedings that are on record in the Ottoman Archives and which were conducted on the spot in cooperation with a European committee. The Christians were slaughtered by Druses, the Lebanese

mountaineers who had come to Lebanon for this purpose. The Ottoman State sentenced to death those felons legally proven to be guilty in this case. In addition, Ahmad Pasha, who had been a successful vizier before but happened to be the governor of Damascus at the time when these hapless events broke out, was found guilty for failing to carry out his military duty and was executed by shooting publicly.

[It is written in the twelfth book of (the Turkish) *Turkiye Tarihi* (History of Turkey), "When Rushdu Pasha, an interpreter, was in office as the Sadr-i-azam (Grand Vizier), there was aggravated animosity between the Druses and the Catholic Maronites. Eventually, the former being provoked by the English agents and the latter by the French, they attacked each other. Hurshid Pasha, governor of Lebanon, and Ahmad Pasha, governor of Damascus, fell short of restraining the battle waged and directed by the aforesaid two States. Napoleon III was awaiting the exacerbation of the battle, in which case he fancied he would seize an opportunity to invade Lebanon. Fortunately, the Ottoman intervention prevented the problem from getting worse."

The greatest share in the settlement of these Damascene tumults fell to the lot of Amir Abd-al-qadir ibn-i- Muhyiddin al-Hasani [47], a virtuous, great alim, the famed hero of Algeria. This high person, a true Muslim, cooperated with the other Muslims in the defense of Christian districts. He rescued many Christians among whom was the Consul of France from the hands of Druses, gave sanctuary to a number of Christians in his government house, and financially helped the poor and needy ones. French authorities, who were formerly his arch enemies, conferred to him France's greatest medal of honor. Thus, obeying the commandment of Allahu ta'ala, he protected and helped the French and Christian people against whom he had conducted innumerable combats before. Upon this event, Fuad Pasha, the Foreign Minister, was appointed Plenipotentiary with absolute military, administrative, political and financial powers and was assigned the duty of suppressing all sorts of sedition and effecting the required reforms. Fuad Pasha presently moved to Beirut and thence to Damascus, where he punished the instigators and the Druses who joined the events. He paid seventy-five million kurush to the injured party, i.e. the Christians, in compensation for the loss incurred. When Ahmad Pasha, his most beloved friend, was sentenced to death by

Deewan-i-harb (Court Martial), Fuat Pasha said, "I have not killed any living being, not even a chicken all through my life, and now, see what Allahu ta'ala has made me do." Has there ever been a Christian State with a similar example of justice? Instead of justice, they have perpetrated and waged cruelty and supported those who waged cruelty. Details of this event are lush with illustrations of Islam's justice, yet relating them one by one would overflow the capacity of our book. We refer those who are interested to history books.].

While the self-complacent Christians claim that they have avoided having recourse to outward media or force and that they emphasize only the spiritual aspect of the matter such as loving Allahu ta'ala and showing love and compassion to one's neighbors, the inhumane treatments, the savageries and cruelties they laid on one another stay recorded in history books. Upon reading about these savageries and cruelties committed by Christians, one may, let alone hating Christians, regret being human.

A European historian gives an estimated number of the people whom Christians massacred in the name of Christianity, and adds some historical facts pertaining to the time when those massacres were perpetrated. In order to present a souvenir to our Muslims brothers, we have paraphrased some passages from his book:

In 650 [A.D. 1251] a priest named Novatianus, who took office as the Pope some time later, and another clergy, Cornelius by name, had a row with each other in Rome. Meanwhile another row,

namely a struggle for position, was kicked up between two Carthaginian priests, Siprin and Nevat. In the fights that consequently broke out between the supporters of both parties numerous people were killed. Although the death-roll is not precisely known, an estimated two hundred thousand would be anything but an exaggeration.

During the reign of Constantine I, as soon as Christians found an opportunity to avenge themselves on their enemies, they killed Emperor Galerius's young son Kottidin and a seven-year-old son and a daughter of Emperor Maximinus. Abducting the Emperor's wife and the mothers of these two children from the palace, they dragged them along the streets of Antioch. Then they threw them all into a river, where they drowned. Emperor Galerius's wife was executed in Salonica and her corpse was thrown into a river. Many people were killed during these commotions. Their number is estimated to be around two hundred thousand.

Two priests established a sect called Donat in Africa and put up resistance against the Roman Church. During the insurrections launched by these priests an estimated four hundred thousand people were killed their heads being smashed with clubs, since the priests would not approve killing with the sword.

All history books write about the controversies and clashes that burst in Christian countries upon the Nicene Council's decision that Father and Son, two of the persons of trinity, were in full substantial unity. The conflagrations and insurrections caused by this decision burned the whole Roman Empire, various times, and continued for some four hundred years. Hundreds of dynasties destroyed and afflicted during these confusions being excluded, solely the number of killings is about three hundred thousand.

Around sixty thousand people were destroyed during the disturbances of Anganoglest and Angolater.

During the reign of Theodora, the wife of Emperor Teokyil, one thousand Manichaeans were slaughtered because they represented good and evil as two distinct beings. The abetter of this massacre was the priest who heard Theodora's confession. He had told her that her entering Paradise would be possible only after killing all the members of the blasphemous sect. The number of people killed by crucifixion, strangulation and impalement had reached twenty thousand already. Yet the priest had found this number insufficient for Theodora's attaining Paradise.

The number of people killed in the fights and struggles for bishopric and patriarchate, which have taken place in every century all over the world, is twenty thousand at the least.

During the two-hundred-year crusading expeditions [48], the number of Christians killed by Christians is estimated to be two million, yet we shall say one million for moderation's sake. During the crusades, again, at least one hundred thousand Christians were slaughtered by the priests called (Muqallid-is-suyuf) who were plundering and pillaging the towns along the Baltic shores.

When the Pope declared war against Lanokduk, around one hundred thousand people were slaughtered, burned, and their ashes were left in the open for a long time.

The number of people killed in the wars made against emperors since the time of Pope Gregory VII is fifty thousand.

The people killed during the skirmishes caused by the matter of Western renegades in the fourteenth century are fifty thousand.

soon after these events two priests named Johos and Cirum (Jerome) were burned alive, and the consequent combats yielded one hundred and fifty thousand Christians slaughtered.

The events of Merbondol and Gaberir may seem insignificant when compared to this important event. Yet the massacres perpetrated in these events are extremely truculent: Some people were burned alive, suckling babies were thrown into burning fire, young girls were raped and then butchered into pieces, old women were blown up with gunpowder inserted into their vaginas. The number of people killed in these savageries reaches eighteen thousand.

If we put aside the number of people, priests and princes beheaded to carry out the laws put by the priestly judges within the period between the Popes Leo X and Clement IX, people who were guillotined without any apparent reasons, people who were burned alive in various countries, great numbers of people whom

executioners were tired of beheading in Germany, France and England, the number of people slaughtered in the thirty insurrections issuing from the controversies upon Luther's statements, "There is no such thing as the Eucharist or uniting with God. And Baptism is a lie," those killed in the massacre of St. Bartholomew and in other massacres perpetrated in Ireland and elsewhere, reaches well beyond three million. In addition to the dynasties and eminent families thrown into poverty and destitution, at least two million innocent people were killed.

The number of people killed, crucified and burned by the ecclesiastical societies called inquisition are five million and two hundred thousand.

As for the aborigines killed in the name of Christianity in America; the number given by the author of this history book is five million, yet the bishop of Lascas states that it was twelve million.

As a result of the seeds of mischief sown by the ecclesiastical missionaries sent forth to Japan to promulgate Christianity there, insurrections and civil wars broke out and three million people died.

The death-roll in all these events is almost twenty-five million people.

The historian publisher of this book, after acknowledging that the numbers he has given are well below the actual numbers of the people killed, adds, "To those Europeans who read my book: If you have a record of your genealogy in your home, review it. It is for certain that you will find either victims killed or murderers who killed, in religious fights, among your ancestors. It is stated in the declaration issued by the British Parliament on the twenty-fifth day of June in 1052 [A.D. 1643] that in Ireland alone the number of Protestants slaughtered by Catholics was one hundred and fifty-four thousand." Here we end our paraphrasing from the history book.

As Catholics inflicted these cruelties and persecutions on other people, especially on Protestants towards the end of the Middle Ages, Protestants, of course, did not offer their other cheeks. Nor did they spare any effort in their race of bloodshedding. Thomas, an Anglo-Catholic, states in the forty-first and forty-second pages of his book *Mirat-i-sidq* (The Mirror of Faith), which was printed in 1267 [A.D. 1851], "Protestants, as soon as they appeared, pillaged six hundred and forty-five hospices, ninety schools, twenty-three hundred churches, and one hundred and ten hospitals, and killed thousands of the old and poor inmates. In addition, they exhumed corpses and stole grave-clothes." He says in the fifty-second page, "Protestants laid down more than a hundred unjust and unmerited laws against Catholics. As a requirement of these laws, members of the Catholic sect could not inherit property from Protestants. After the age of eighteen, a non-Protestant would not be given any land property. Catholics were not permitted to open schools. A Catholic priest caught while preaching would be imprisoned. Their taxes were increased. Those who performed Catholic ceremonies were fined. If they were priests, the fine would be seven hundred rupees plus imprisonment. Those who went out of England were killed there and their property was usurped. Those Catholics who did not attend certain Protestant rites were

fined. In addition, no Catholic rites were permitted, and their weapons were confiscated. They were not allowed to ride horses. Priests who would not become Protestants, and also those who offered them sanctuary in their homes, were killed. Catholics would not be accepted as witnesses. England's Queen Elizabeth I [49], in order to spread and promote Protestantism in England and to undertake its spiritual leadership, endorsed all sorts of cruelty and injustice imposed on Catholics. [And she took the lead in these cruelties]. She had two hundred and four eminent people executed. She had ninety-five Catholic bishops killed in dungeons. Some rich Catholics were sentenced to life. Protestants would lash the Catholics they met in the streets. In fact, Estorot, the Queen of Scotland, was kept in a dungeon for a long time and then executed because she was a Catholic. Again, during the reign of Elizabeth I, Catholic scholars and clergy were forced to board ships, whence they were thrown into the sea and drowned. In order to force the Catholics in Ireland to become Protestants, the Queen sent an army unto them. Their churches were burned. The notables were killed. Those who ran away into forests were hunted like wild beasts. Even the ones who accepted Protestantism did not escape the massacre. In 1643, the Parliament sent officials to seize the Catholics' property and land. This condition continued until the time of King James II, who showed mercy to the Catholics in 1687. Angered by this, the Protestants presented a petition undersigned by forty-four thousand people to the king. Their request was the maintenance of the laws of cruelty. Yet the Parliament refused this demand of the Protestants. Upon this, one hundred thousand Protestants came together and set fire to the Catholic churches and Catholic districts in London, so that thirty-six fires were seen in one district."

Thus, despite the admonitions of Isa 'alaihi-salam', who enjoined, "If they slap you on the right cheek, offer them your left cheek, too. If someone asks you for your overcoat, give him your coat, too. Love your enemies, and if they invoke evil on you, pronounce a benediction over them. If your brother hurts you, forgive him up to seventy times. Love your neighbor like loving yourself," all these horrendous and savage events took place among Christians, who claim to believe in the religion of Isa

'alaihi-salam' who was not commanded to make jihad.

The jihad commanded by the Islamic religion is not a cruel or savage deed like the ones mentioned above. Muslims' preparation for jihad is intended to prevent the cruel Christians from assailing Islamic countries and to save people from the torments of cruel governments. Jihad is made to bring obstinate tyrants who elude justice and right to reason by means of power and force, to glorify the blessed name of Allahu ta'ala, and to spread Islam's beautiful ethics everywhere.

There are certain modes and obligations that must be observed when making jihad:

1 - Before beginning the war the disbelievers are invited to accept Islam in a proper language. In other words, it is explained in a plain language that the Islamic religion is the most perfect and the most meritorious religion, that Allahu ta'ala is One, that He does not have a likeness or a partner, and that Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' is the true Prophet sent by Him. If they accept this invitation, they will become believers and also brothers of other believers.

2 - If the disbelievers do not wish to attain this blessing and happiness and prefer to remain in aberration purported in the seventy-fourth ayat Shu'ara sura, "We found our fathers doing so," they are not compelled to change their religion. They are invited to stay in their motherland on condition that they will pay a very low yearly tax called jizya (1.5 or 2.5 or 3 dirhams of silver), which is a fi for staying in the (now) Islamic country and enjoying all sorts of safety such as property, chastity and lives and, above all, freedom of worship. If they concede to this

alternative, they shall practice their religious rites as freely as Muslims do. And their chastity, blood, and property, exactly like those of Muslims, shall be in the protection of the State. A Muslim cannot intrude upon their privacies or even look at their women. He cannot usurp even a penny from them. He cannot abuse them, not even in words. They shall share equal rights in the courts of justice which carry out the principles of justice prescribed in Qur'an al-karim, and not a slightest amount of injustice shall be done to them. Thus they will get along well with the believers. In the Islamic law courts a shepherd and a governor are equal.

3 - If the disbelievers refuse the second alternative, too, and attempt to fight against the believers, then the jihad shall be performed against them, again by observing the rules of justice and modes prescribed by Islam.

These are the principles of justice and moderation which Islam commands to observe in regard to jihad. Now we consign it to the conscience of people of wisdom and reason to apply the above-given criteria to the histories of Muslims, and of Christians, then form a judgement.

As will be inferred from the information given above, Islam's rapid spreading is by no means due to such substantial agencies as power and ambition for earthly property. Islam's spreading so rapidly is rooted in its becoming a true and irrevocable religion, in its genuine and all-inclusive justice, [in its commanding knowledge, work, mercy, beautiful morals, and in its being a religion quite congruous with the human species. For those who obey and precisely adapt themselves to Islam soon attain welfare and spiritual repose; as we have stated in the initial pages of our book, this fact is admitted and acknowledged even by priests, who say, "Yes, after accepting Islam, the Arabs, who had been heathenish Bedouins formerly, ameliorated spiritually, made progress in knowledge, arts and civilization, and brought the whole world under their sway in a very short time." Would that they had reason enough to see the fact that all these improvements of Muslims originate from their obedience to Islam, the final and the most perfect religion, and following Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', the last Prophet. This would lead them to happiness].

Were changing one's faith so facile a work as to be accomplished only by the threat of the sword, all those wars which took millions of lives between Catholics and Protestants would not have taken place at all. Although there was a great deal of credal similarity between them, neither did the Catholics' compulsion and oppression make the Protestants abandon their credo, nor were the Protestants' savage cruelties able to sever the Catholics living on the isle of Ireland from their religious doctrines.

As for the allegation that "Some people accepted Islam lest they should be forced to pay jizya"; as we have explicated earlier in the text, for many long years Protestants have been striving assiduously to convert people in Muslim countries to their religion and the amount of the salary they offer for accepting Protestantism ranges between a small bag of silvers minimum and five thousand kurushes. With all these endeavors, how many conscientious and religiously well-informed Muslims can they name they have been able to make Protestants so far? Therefore, nothing could be so idiotic, so ignorant and so contumacious as the profession, "Christians accepted Islam in order to save the five-to-ten kurushes which they were to give yearly as the tax called jizya."

[One thing the priests forget about or try to overlook at this point is that Islam, while levying the jizya on the non-Muslim citizens, enjoins the (alms called) zakat and 'ushr on the Muslims. And the zakat and 'ushr to be paid by the Muslims, in its turn, is several times the amount to be paid in the name of jizya by the non-Muslims.

Before concluding the subject of jihad, it will be useful to touch upon an important point: If a State or nation is too modest and unnecessarily polite, it will incur the avarice of its enemies and

give the impression of an easy prey for them. Mistaking this modesty and politeness for vulnerability and cowardice, the hostile States will become aggressive. History teems with the examples of our discourse. If it were not for the commandment of making preparations for jihad in Islam, Muslims' enemies, who are all around them, would attack them in order to annihilate Islam. Today, also, the world's governments allot a major part of their budget for their defense and war industry. This policy is followed even by countries stricken with famine, dearth and poverty. This policy is indispensable for the State's permanence and the country's defense. Christians, who put forward the nonexistence of the commandment of jihad as a proof for the superiority of their religion, attacked Islamic countries and other weak nations, invaded them, and tyrannized and exploited them for many years. Especially England, France, Germany, Spain and Italy perpetrated these tyrannies and exploitations in the most barbarous way. Then, what is the value of the assertion that Christianity does not command jihad? We ask the priests this question].

Another objection which Protestant Christians raise against the Islamic religion is based on the matter of unforgivability of felonies. They make the following allegation in one of their booklets: "In matters concerning the individual's private relations, the Bible has placed more emphasis on the necessity of love, patience with trouble, and forgiveness than did the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. Accordingly, Islam should have afforded a much more sublime merit than that of Christianity in respect of forgiving the guilty individual. In punishing the guilty, it is more relentless than, let alone the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', the laws put by Jews as a result of their misinterpretation of his Shariat. It not only represents lex talionis as permissible, but also tolerates vengeance. The third ayat of Sura-i-Isra purports, 'If a person is killed unjustly, we shall give power and authority of aggression to the inheriting trustee of that murdered person.' The hundred and seventy-eighth ayat of Sura-i-Baqara purports, 'O believers! Retaliation [for those who have been killed deliberately] has been enjoined as a fard upon you. Retaliation is carried out as a free person for a free person, a slave for a slave, and a woman for a woman.' This is a noteworthy point. For Qur'an al-karim, unlike the Taurah, has not made any explanations of forestall the misuse of such an important law. Therefore, people belonging to some Islamic tribes misunderstand these ayats and think that this permission of Qur'an al-karim comprehends not only the murderer but also any one of the murderer's relations, and consequently more often than not an innocent person gets killed in lieu of the murderer. The Taurah, in contrast, protects lex talionis against such wrong interpretations by openly forewarning, 'Sons shall not be killed in lieu of fathers, and fathers shall not be killed instead of their sons. Every (murderer) shall be killed only on account of his own felony,' in the sixteenth verse of the twenty-fourth chapter of Deuteronomy. In addition to the retaliation for murder, Qur'an al-karim commands retaliation for slight woundings. The sixtieth ayat of Hajj sura purports, 'If a believer responds in kind to some harm inflicted on him and then is wronged again, Allahu ta'ala will help him.' Through such commandments as these, Qur'an al-karim, contrary to the Bible's advising patience with troubles, love and forgiveness, encourages Muslims to display their grudge against one another. The Ottomans, who had realized that such things would be cruelty and infringement of others' rights, eventually discontinued the execution of the commandment in the thirty-eighth ayat of Maida sura, which purports, 'To visit divine retribution on the male thief and the female thief, cut off their [right] hands.'

ANSWER: Through these statements of theirs, the priests raise objections to the contrasts between the Bible and Qur'an al-karim, which they exemplify as follows: "Whereas the Bible contains verses pertaining to forgiveness and love, Qur'an al-karim, let alone comprising such

verses, authorizes the victim's inheritor (to revenge); the ayat about retaliation, for instance, not putting certain limitations for this authority, is vulnerable to misuse, and the sixtieth ayat of Hajj sura is at loggerheads with the Biblical dispensation, which advises to endure hardships, to forgive those who harm you, and to love them.'

We have mentioned earlier in the text some of the

ayat al-karimas and hadith ash-Sharifs concerning forgiveness and love. Therefore we consider it would be unnecessary to repeat them here. However, the ayat-i- karima about retaliation cannot be confined within the boundaries misrepresented by the priest. Its discourse continues. These priests must have been lost in a reverie of making a truth out of a legerdemain. The hundred and seventy-eighth ayat of Baqara sura, as a whole, purports: "O believers! It has been enjoined as a fard on you to retaliate [for those killed deliberately]. Retaliation is to be executed as a free person for a free person, a slave for a slave, and a woman for a woman. One of the brothers, [inheritors or protectors], may waive the retaliation in return for a certain amount of blood money [Diyet] that he will take from the murderer. The amount taken [Diyet] should not be too much, but it should be calculated in accordance with the current customs and traditions. And the murderer should pay the diyet due to the victim's protector in a proper manner. This forgiveness of retaliation in return for diyet is a facility and mercy conferred on you by your Rab (Allahu ta'ala). If a person, after taking this diyet, carries on his hostility and struggle against the murderer's kins, there shall be painful torment for him in the hereafter."

As is seen, retaliation, together with its prescribed form of relinquishment in return for diyet, is one of the clearly explained commandments in Qur'an al-karim. The Shariat of Musa 'alaihis-salam' did not contain the tenet of waiving retaliation in return for blood money. Forgiving retaliation in return for diyet is a facility and a blessing for Muslims.

The priest suppresses the facility with respect to retaliation in Qur'an al-karim. First of all, this ayat al-karima expresses an open injunction against resumption of hostility and struggle against the murderer or his kins, and a divine intimidation which is intended to discourage the victim's kins from doing so. Resorting to stratagem, the priest quotes only the part befitting his purpose of the ayat al-karima concerning the victim's inheritors and kins, withholding the initial and final parts. Because most Christians are unaware of the Gospels, they have resorted to this same stratagem with the presumption that Muslims, too, are ignorant in their own religion. The thirty-third ayat of Isra sura purports, "Do not kill anyone, [be it a believer or a zimmi], without any rightful reason to do so, Allahu ta'ala has made this haram for you. If a person is killed unjustly, we shall give power and authority to the killed person's inheritor who is his protector [for the execution of the commandment of the Shariat. If the inheritor wishes, the murderer shall be killed as a requirement of retaliation; or he may forgive the murderer in return for diyet. He has a choice between these two alternatives]. But his protector or inheritor, who has been seconded with this permission of Allahu ta'ala, must not exceed the limits of retaliation." This ayat al-karima, warning the victim's protector or inheritor against excess, advises to chose forgiveness. The power given to the inheritor or protector is the choice between suing the murderer for retaliation and notifying the judge that he waives retaliation in return for diet. The non-Islamic blood feuds and successive killings that were widespread among tribes who were quite oblivious of the rules of Qur'an al-karim, e.g. Albanians, Circassions, some Arabic clans, cannot be ascribed to this ayat al-karima. Such unjust bloodshed are primeval customs peculiar to uncultivated tribes.

So this is the essence of retaliation and its forgiveness as prescribed in Qur'an al-karim. Because the four Gospels do not have a tenet in the name of retaliation, every murderer, every thief, every

felon must be pardoned according to them. If it is possible to lead a civilized social life with so lenient a law, we have no say. Yet, since we have not seen a Christian country where such a principle in on application, we would rather take no heed of these priests' paralogisms.

As for the Pentateuchal verse mentioned; the Taurah is in agreement with Qur'an al-karim not only in its rule about murder, but also in rules pertaining to all types of homicide. The hundred and sixty-fourth ayat of En'am sura purports, "No sinner would take on the responsibility for someone else's sin." The hundred and seventy-ninth ayat of Araf sura purports, "These people are like beasts; in fact, they are lower than beasts." The priests' discourses are directed to a class of people who, as is intimated in this ayat al-karima, do not even have the skill to answer them. And yet the acts to be imputed to priests are not only lies and slanders. They have written books against the Islamic religion and in these books attempted to disprove open facts.

When it is known what reasons occasioned the revelation of the sixtieth ayat al-karima of Hajj sura, which advises to respond to malefaction in kind, it will become evident that its import is not as this protesting priest interprets and that this priest is totally unaware of the knowledge of Tafsir.

Some time during the four months traditionally forbidden for the Arabs to fight, the Meccan unbelievers came to fight the believers. Afraid to fight in the forbidden months, the believers tried to dissuade the unbelievers from the combat; but try as the believers would, the disbelievers would not give up fighting. So the combat began and, because Allahu ta'ala helped the believers with His Divine support, it ended in the believers' victory. Yet the believers' hearts were remorseful for having violated a forbidden month by fighting in it. Upon this, the aforementioned ayat al-karima was revealed, relieving the believers of their deep sorrow and penitence. Hence, the sixtieth ayat of Hajj sura, contrary to the priest's supposition, does not enjoin retaliation for minor woundings, nor does it command to answer malefaction with malefaction. It gives the believers permission to fight back even in a forbidden month if the unbelievers purposely choose it to exploit the believers' credal abstention and thus debilitate them. In addition, it comprises a divine help which Allahu ta'ala bestows upon believers. For, if Qur'an al-karim made virtue and superiority dependent solely on forgiveness and love and did not give such permissions, Muslims would be compelled either to abandon the rules of their Holy religion or to lie and slander, as this priest is now doing. For no civilization would be possible and no nation could survive under the dispensation of a cult that comprised nothing but forgiveness and love. The most curious example of this natural fact is the Christian world, where people, quite countercurrently with the Biblical admonitions, "Be patient with troubles, love and forgive," bear grudge against one another. History has clearly shown to us how baleful an effect these Biblical admonitions of patience with troubles, love and

forgiveness have had on Christians' general conduct. We have already related some of the cruelties Christians imposed on one another in contradiction with these Biblical commandments at various occasions in the text. Another source of astonishment in this subject is that the priest feels sorry for the innocent person who is killed only because of his kinship to the murderer as a result of some tribes' misinterpretation of the ayat al-karima mentioned above. Yet, while regretting on the one hand that such a misdeed should betide to man, he adheres to a creed on the other hand that as a result of a venial sin committed inadvertently by Adam 'alaihissalam', millions of his descendants that came to the world for six thousand years, including all the Prophets 'alaihimussalam' of that period, will be punished on account of the 'original sin' committed by their first father, being tormented in Hell fire, which must be innumerable times as bad as being killed. Not only that; the creed this priest holds bears the meaning also that Allahu

ta'ala, who created all the universe from nothing, was unable to forgive this sin committed, had to send His only son the world by creating him through hadrat Maryam, and had him crucified after various insults against His son's wishes. In other words, while disapproving man's being the agent of a deed which means punishing the murderer's kin instead of the murderer himself, he accepts the creed which represents Allahu ta'ala as the agent of the cruelties we have cited above. Suspension of the commandment of chastening the male and female thieves by cutting off their hands was not an Ottoman policy. It had been discontinued by the previous Islamic States centuries before the Ottomans. Likewise, punishments for such guilt as drinking wine, false witness, calumniating a chaste woman and fornication had not been being executed for a long time, with a few exceptions. For execution of such punishments depended on certain conditions. The punishments were impracticable in the absence of these conditions. The above mentioned acts and the conditions for the execution of their respective punishments very seldom concurred in Islamic countries. The reasons for this are the heavy punishments Qur'an al-karim prescribes for those who commit these guilt. In an Islamic regime even judges do not have the authority to forgive these guilt. These punishments, which are called (hadd), are administered publicly. Such articles have made these punishments so formidable that anyone would hardly dare to commit these sins.

[The hundred and seventy-ninth ayat of Baqara sura purports, "O you owners of wisdom. Retaliation contains life for you." Some people may protest this and say, "Could there ever be life in killing a man?" Being afraid to be killed in return, people will shy away from killing someone else. Fear of death will deter them from killing a human being. And when there is no killing, there will be life for a society, for a nation; this is what is meant by the ayat al-karima.

As it is very well known by students of law today, execution of laws is impossible without a penal code. And this penal code, in its turn, consists of fines, imprisonments, and death penalties. While all the world's lawyers are crying out this fact today, would it be done to be opposed to the punishments prescribed by Allahu ta'ala? Communism, a regime which is repulsive to all sorts of human nature, has spread through exceedingly barbarous punishments, which are still being carried on to maintain it. By the same token, priests, men of knowledge and science have turned away from the unreasonable and illogical principles of Christianity. Some of them, who have had the chance to know Islam, have become Muslims willingly. Yet those who have not had the lucky chance to know Islam have turned atheists and Marxists, which by and bye gave way to such degenerated formations as hippies, gangs and anarchists among young people. These youngsters are now being feared far and wide in Europe.

Selling of churches have been one of the news headlines in recent newspapers and periodicals. The purchasers are mostly Muslims, who change the churches they have bought into mosques. The majority of church-goers are elderly people. There is no doubt that the ecclesiastics would establish the Inquisition once again were they given the authority and power to do so. Christianity has far and away lost its impetus in Europe. Missionaries, therefore, are trying to promulgate it in Africa and other underdeveloped countries.

We would like to stress one point once again: the punishment inflicted on the convict is like the amputation of a gangrenous limb in the body. If the limb is not cut off, gangrene will infect the whole body. Likewise, if the guilty person is not punished, the whole society will suffer harm. Harm in which only one person is involved is normally preferable to harm that will permeate through the whole community, especially when deterring the latter is singularly dependent upon waging the former.

Islam's penal discipline of cutting off (the thief's) hand is not applied in every event of theft. There are certain conditions for it. This punishment is inflicted on a person who has stolen in one attempt ten dirhams of silver or equal value of durable property which is valuable according to all religious cults from a place where no one other than the owner has the right to enter without the owner's permission, no matter whether the owner of the stolen property is a Muslim or a non-Muslim, and yet on condition that the country where the theft has taken place is Dar-ul-Islam (country under Islamic administration). Ten dirhams of silver equals 33.5 grams, which is approximately equal to one-seventh weight of gold, i.e. 5 grams of gold. A person who has stolen meat, vegetables, fruits or milk is not punished with hand-cutting. If the person is found guilty of theft upon his own confession or by the testimony of two eye-witnesses, and yet if the owner of the stolen property says, "No, this person did not steal my property. I gave it to him as a gift (or lent it to him)," or, "The witnesses are not telling the truth," the punishment, again, lapses. It is sunnat (an action, thought or behavior liked and commended by our Prophet) for the judge to suggest to the owner of stolen property to make a statement synonymous with the statements exemplified above. These technicalities are explained in detail in Islam's books of fiqh. The priest, who definitely does not know Islam, must be totally unaware of the existence of books of fiqh]. Another objection which Protestant ecclesiastics lodge against Islam is based on Islam's permission to keep slaves. These priests say, "The Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' not only had alleviated standards for slavery, but also committed captives under the protection of law. Yet it allowed the buying and selling of captives. The essence of Christianity, on the other hand, is quite contrary to slavery, and therefore it has abrogated the institution of slavery wherever it has been dominant."

ANSWER: This objection of the priests' covers not only Islam, but also the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', which Isa 'alaihi-salam' was entrusted with the task of perfecting. This makes it doubtful whether they are Christians. For the existing Gospels do not contain a single letter pertaining to prohibition of slavery. For this reason, the Mosaic rule should necessarily maintain its validity in the Shariat of Isa 'alaihi-salam', too. Yet if these priests, as two Europeans educated with modern ideas, consider slavery as an inhuman institution and want it to be abrogated, then they should have based their argument on the illogicality and wickedness of slavery without mixing religion into the matter. Therefore, since this objection of theirs does not have to do with religion, it would be unnecessary to answer it. On the other hand, because what Christians know in the name of slavery is quite incommensurable to slavery as held by Islam, it will be useful to make some brief explanation]

As we all know, the institution of slavery has existed since the first appearance of mankind on earth. All nations have maltreated their slaves, and no nation has held the slave and the master equal. The Ancient Greek laws of slavery (Sklabos) are still written in books. In the Romans, on the other hand, the tyrannies, cruelties, insults and savageries inflicted on slaves have not been repeated by any other nation. Their books contain detailed laws pertaining to slaves (sclavus, servus). This tradition has also existed in Asia and Africa since very ancient times. Europeans have been the most exorbitant profiteers of slavery. This trade was first begun by the Portuguese in the fourteenth century of the Christian era. Later, when America was discovered, while Christian missionaries on the one hand vacated the American continent by annihilating the red skinned aborigines, the Portuguese, the English and the French on the other hand abducted negroes from Africa, forced them to get on board their ships, and sold them as slaves out in America, thus earning millions of dollars. In fact, ships were constructed for this specific purpose, and the poor people were crammed into their holds. It being next to impossible to

breathe freely, more than half the number of slaves died on the way. Yet the remaining number would be enough for their owners to make as much money as they wished. At times, being unable to endure this humiliation, the negroes would attempt rebellion. There were loop-holes specially opened on the deck floor through which to fire and kill the rebellious negroes from above them. Queen Elizabeth of England, who was the promoter of Protestants, legitimized and buttressed trade of slaves. Louis X, King of France, caused this trade to become quite widespread. Yet in America, Pennsylvanian people tried to prohibit this trade. Twelve years after this attempt, this trade of slaves was prohibited in Denmark, and then in England by the injunctions issued in 1807, 1811 and 1823, in France in 1814 and 1818, and in Prussia and Russia in 1841. Both the sellers and the buyers of slaves being Christians, after being purchased by these Christian tradesmen the poor negroes were first christened. Then they were dispatched to fields, farms and mines, where they worked in misery day and night all the year round, summer and winter alike, to earn money for their masters. The American North - South war of 1860 was an issue of matters pertaining to slavery. As a matter of fact, hundreds of thousands of negroes are being sold and bought in the American continent, and innumerable Christians are earning millions of dollars through them. Most Europeans today, when they hear the word 'slavery', regretfully remember the negroes living in humility and destitution in America. [And yet it was Christians, alone, who anticipated all this misery and perpetrated all sorts of unimaginable tortures on these poor people].

Europeans' wish for the abrogation of slavery in Islamic countries originates from their wrong supposition that it is like slavery as practiced in their own country and America. In actual fact, the only difference between slavery and freedom among Muslims is that slaves are transferred from one owner to another in exchange for a certain price. Slaves' service is no different from that of an employee who works for a certain wage. The only trouble which slaves have to undergo in the Islamic system involves learning, education, and training. In an Islamic State, the captives obtained in a war are never killed. Nor are they left to die of hunger and thirst in the battlefield. After the war, as the victorious ghaza Muslims are given their shares of the booties, they get their shares of slaves and jariyas [50], too. Then, they either use their slaves and jariyas as servants, or sell them to others. As is seen, Islam's slaves are not comparable to those free people and their children whom Christians abducted by trickery and compulsion from Africa and Asia. According to Islam, it is a grave sin to abduct free people or to use them as slaves. In the Islamic system, slaves have attained high ranks in knowledge and politics. Some of them have even become Grand Viziers. Most of the female Sultans in the gorgeous Ottoman dynasty were originally slaves. There were thousands of Muslims who had chosen slaves as their sons-in-law or jariyas, as their wives and thus made them their inheritors. When a Muslim bought a slave or a jariya, he would have to undertake all sorts of responsibility pertaining to his or her food, drink, clothes and other needs, and all his or her civil rights. He would have to provide food, drink and clothes for his slave or jariya and to treat him or her tenderly. He could never beat them, insult them, or give them work that would be too heavy for them to do. According to Islam, emancipating a slave is the greatest worship. There are some extremely grave sins which will be pardoned only after emancipation of a slave. Another custom which was very widely practiced among Muslims was emancipating a slave and marrying him off after seven to eight years of service. Could all these situations and facts be compared to those of the slaves in Europe and America?

[Before terminating our discourse on this subject, we would like to remind the priests of another important fact. The kith and kins of the slaves possessed by Muslims applied for the ransom of

their relatives by paying the money prescribed for the exchange. Yet, as a result of the mercy, compassion and humanity which Muslims had shown to them, the slaves refused to go back home with their relatives who had ransomed them. They preferred the slavery with Muslims to the freedom with their parents and relatives. There was certainly a reason for this. The father and uncle of our Prophet's slave, Zayd bin Harisa, came to take him back home and requested our Prophet to give Zayd to them, saying that they were ready to pay any sum of money demanded in return for him. Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' did not demand any money. He said to Zayd bin Harisa 'radi-allahu anh' that he was free and might go along with his father and uncle if he liked. Zayd bin Harisa said he would not leave our Prophet and insisted on this despite all the earnest request and begging of his father and uncle. There are many examples of the same sort. We would like to know how the priests would answer this?]

Another objection Christians raise against the Islamic religion is based on its principles pertaining to polygamy, that is, marrying up to four women, and divorce. Christians say, "The Shariat of Musa 'alaihis-salam' does not contain any law prohibiting the taddud-i-zawjat (polygamy). And it gives clear permission for divorce. On the other hand, the Bible of Jesus Christ categorically prohibits both of them. As for Qur'an al-karim; it gives permission to marry more than one women. The third ayat of Nisa sura purports. 'Marry two, three, four of those women who are halal for you.' According to this

ayat al-karima, one can marry up to four women. In addition to this, the Islamic religion permits men to buy jariyas whenever they wish. And this, in its turn, is incompatible with the status allotted to women by Allahu ta'ala or their position as men's copartners and assistants. This principle lowers women to servitude. Marrying a couple of women is detrimental to a happy married life. For it not only prevents husband and wife from knowing each other, but also eradicates safety and happiness in the family."

Here again, the priests rove true to their habits of fallacy and mutilate the ayat al-karima, quoting only the part that will suit their sly purposes. In its complete contextuality, the third ayat of Nisa sura purports, "If you fear that you may not be able to observe the rights of orphan girls [in case you marry them], then marry two, three, four of those women who are other than these (girls) and who are halal for you (to marry). [That is, do not marry more than four women]. If you fear that you may not be able to establish an equality among these women, choose one of them. Or prefer the jariyas you have. If you are contented with this one wife or the jariyas, you will be closer to abiding by justice." As it will be inferred from the meaning conveyed by this

ayat al-karima, among the earlier tribes, [especially the Arabs], there was not a limited number of women that one could marry and therefore one man could marry five, ten, or twenty women. The Islamic religion has reduced this number to four. And this right has been restricted within certain stipulations.

When the hardships in establishing equity among one's wives are taken into consideration, a wise person who is afraid to do injustice will by no means marry more than one women. In other words, the Islamic religion, while expressing an outward permission of marriage up to four women on the one hand, adds the proviso of justice on the other hand, thus tacitly cautioning against marrying more than one. In fact, when asked how to manage this equity among one's wives, our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' answered. "If you drink a glass of water from the hands of one of them, you should drink another glass of water from the others' hands, too." Inasmuch as it would be extremely difficult for a person to apply this rule, the Islamic religion recommends that one should marry one woman.

The priests' statement that "the Gospels prohibit to marry more than one woman" is contradictory with what is stated in the Gospels. Today's Gospels do not contain any injunction saying, "Do not marry more than one woman." Yet it is stated in the third and later verses of the nineteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, "The Pharisees came to test Jesus and said: Is it permissible to divorce one's wife regardless of what the reason is? Jesus answered them and said: Have you not read that in the beginning He created them male and female and said, 'Therefore one shall leave one's parents and embrace one's wife, and thus the two shall unite in one body'? Now they are one body, not two. Thus, let man not disunite what God hath united." This verse cannot be interpreted as a prohibition of marrying more than one women. Yet, because wife and husband are virtually accepted as one body, it must be taken as an admonition against excessiveness in divorce. Accordingly, these priests are challenging not only the Islamic religion but also the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam' of which the task of perfection was assigned to Isa 'alaihi-salam', which, in its turn, comes to mean their renunciation of the religion of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. So is the case with divorce. The Gospels enjoin against divorcing one's wife for reasons other than fornication.

Nevertheless, since we doubt the authenticity of the existing Gospels, we cannot admit that this prohibition is exactly one of the ayats of the original Bible revealed to Isa 'alaihi-salam'. We have some proofs for this:

1 - This subject is written in a curious verse seen in the Gospel of Matthew. It is stated as follows in the third and later verses of the nineteenth chapter of Matthew: "The Pharisees came to test Jesus and said: Is it permissible to divorce one's wife regardless of what the reason is? Jesus answered them and said: Have you not read that in the beginning He created them male and female and said, 'Therefore one shall leave one's parents and embrace one's wife, and thus the two shall unite in one body'? Now they are one body, not two. Thus, let man not disunite what God hath united. They said to Jesus: Then why did Moses command to divorce one's wife by giving a written declaration of divorce? Jesus said unto them: Moses allowed you to divorce your wives because of your hard hearts. But it was not so in the beginning. I say unto thee: If a person divorces his wife for any reason other than fornication and then marries another woman, he will become an adulterer. Likewise, a person who marries a divorced woman is an adulterer, too. The disciples said to Jesus: If this is the case with a man with regard to this wife, then marriage is not auspicious. Yet Jesus said unto them: Not all men will admit this word. Only those who have been endowed with admittance will admit it. For there are born eunuchs as well as eunuchs emasculated by others. There are also those who have emasculated themselves for the sake of the creation of heavens. Whoever can admit this, let him admit this."

In this passage, the answer to the first question explains the reason for the permission to give a written declaration of divorce, and states that Musa 'alaihi-salam' granted permission to give a written declaration of divorce to one's wife because of the obduracy of hearts. This explanation implicitly imputes a misdeed to both Musa and Isa 'alaihi-salam'. For this answer comes to mean that Musa 'alaihi-salam' issued injunctions independently of Allahu ta'ala and granted permission to divorce on account of the hardness of the hearts of Israelites though there was no such permission originally. On the other hand, because hardness of a heart could not account for a divorce, the so-called explanation lapses into the shameful position of imputing such a ludicrous answer to Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Another point of perversity is this: As Isa 'alaihi-salam' talks to the Pharisees, the disciples, it is alleged, interrupt him and say, "If one cannot divorce one's wife for reasons other than fornication, then marriage is not auspicious." For the Apostles knew very little of the books of earlier Prophets, whereas Isa 'alaihi-salam' was fully cognizant

of them. It is astonishing for the Apostles to make such a remonstrative statement to Isa 'alaihi-salam'. For it means that, the rule laid down by Isa 'alaihi-salam' is apparently so illogical, so unnatural and so preposterous that his own disciples, let alone enemies, raise an objection to him. Another oddity is this: When the disciples protest, Isa 'alaihi-salam', so to speak, likens the state of not marrying to that of emasculated people, divides them into three categories, and details that some of them are born eunuchs, some have been emasculated by people, and others have chosen emasculation in order to attain to the creation of heavens. It is natural for emasculated people not to marry, and it makes no difference whether they accept marriage or reject it. Furthermore, telling about kinds of and reasons for emasculation apropos of nothing is something that would be done in an entire delirium. Such foibles could never be attributed to Isa 'alaihi-salam', an exalted and highly honored Prophet. His very high position is unquestionable.

2 - It is obvious that Isa 'alaihi-salam', who continuously said, "I am here to perfect the Shariat, not to demolish it," would not change such an important principle in the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam'.

3 - This subject, which is written in the Gospel of Matthew, is also dealt with in the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark. Only, Mark does not contain such things as the disciples' question, their remark that it would be "better not to marry," or the detailed information on kinds of eunuchs. If this narrative given in the Gospel of Matthew were a commonly acceptable general report, Mark, who wrote the former part of this event narrated in Matthew, would have written also the latter part, i.e. the Apostles' question, its answer, and details on emasculation.

4 - There is expressive difference between the statements in both Gospels. For the second and later verses of the tenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark reads as follows: "The Pharisees came and tested him, asking, 'Is a man permitted to divorce his wife?' He answered them as follows: 'What did Moses command you?' They said, 'Moses allowed to write a declaration of divorce and to divorce one's wife.' But Jesus said unto them, 'He wrote this commandment to you because of the hardness of your hearts. Yet in the beginning of creation God created them as male and female.'" On the other hand, it is written in the eighth verse of the nineteenth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, "Moses allowed you to divorce your wives because of your hard hearts. Yet it was not so in the beginning." These two expressions differ in two ways: First, whereas the expression given in the Gospel of Matthew suggests the meaning that Musa 'alaihi-salam' gave permission to divorce, the statements quoted in Mark give the impression that Musa 'alaihi-salam' commanded divorce. Second, according to Matthew's way of expression, there was no place for divorce in the original form of the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam', but Musa 'alaihi-salam' gave them permission to divorce because of their hard hearts. Mark, on the other hand, uses the expression 'in the beginning of creation' instead of 'in the beginning.' Accordingly, the expression in Mark bears the meaning that Allahu ta'ala created them as male and female in the beginning of creation. And this, in its turn, is contradictory to the expression used in Matthew.

5 - [According to Biblical information], Isa 'alaihi-salam' was proud of being a descendant of Dawud 'alaihi-salam'. Since Dawud 'alaihi-salam' had various wives, it runs counter to reason to admit that he prohibited to marry more than one women.

With these evidences we prove the fact that the verses cited above are not genuine Biblical ayats revealed to Isa 'alaihi-salam' by Allahu ta'ala, but they have been inserted into the Gospels later. If the priests have any evidences to prove to the contrary, let them go ahead and divulge their evidences. Another cause of consternation for our part is that this objection against Islam's permission to divorce is raised by Protestants. For it is an historical fact that no controversy or disagreement concerning divorce took place among Christians before the fourth century of the

Christian era, and they acted upon the Mosaic law up until that time. In the fourth century a bishop named Saint Augustine forbid divorce once and for all. The Catholic Church still observes this prohibition. [St. Augustine, one of Latin Catholic Church fathers, died in the Tunisian city of Bone in A.D. 430]. From time to time, ecclesiastical authorities gave special permissions of divorce to some European Christian kings. Yet because these permissions were given for political reasons, they were not taken into account by the Church. The ecclesiastics still maintain their views that divorce is unjustifiable.

Protestants were opposed to the Catholic Church's disapproval of divorce. Luther, who disagreed with the Catholic Church in every subject, followed the same route in this subject, too, and unleashed a free license of divorce. Then, Protestants' disapproval of divorce would mean to disavow Luther, the founder of their own religion.

In order to confuse and mislead Muslim women, this priest has gone to a great deal of trouble to explain in detail that polygamy and divorce, instead of being useful and beautiful at least in some cases, always cause innumerable harms. Since he leaves off traditional proofs and tries to arouse confusion by misusing mental proofs, we shall countermine his plotted slanders mentally:

As every climate has its particular nature and effects, so peoples and tribes living in a particular climate have some certain national traditions and customs peculiar to themselves. Living with these customs and traditions throughout centuries, they have become so staunchly wont to these customs and traditions that it is impossible for them to abandon them. For most of these customs are the requirements of their natural traits kneaded with the air and water of that climate. Making them abandon these customs, therefore, is like changing the nature of something. By the same token, polygamy and divorce was a long-lived traditional custom among the peoples of hot equatorial countries. Those who had the necessary assets married many women. This practice went on till the time of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. The Qur'an al-karim was revealed and reduced the number of wives (to be had at the same time) to four at the most. With the stipulation of justice, this number has been implicitly reduced to one. Accordingly, it is one of the miracles of our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' to have transmuted the Arabic people, who had been used to marrying very many women, and to have accustomed them to marrying up to four women, [which means to make them abandon their deeply rooted customs]. However, because their characters and natural dispositions are unlike those of Europeans, their marrying more than one women will not cause so much of a problem as the priests expect. For marriage is entered into for three purposes:

1 - To produce offspring;

2 - To avoid committing a transgression against someone else and fornication, to lead a chaste life;

3 - To lead a well-organized family life, to protect one's property and possessions.

When a woman cannot have children, the first reason for marriage will lapse and it will cause loss of generation. If the wife has a chronic illness or is too infirm (to carry out her conjugal duties) and the husband is strong and healthy, the second reason for marriage lapses, too. This gives birth to a very grave mischief, i.e. fornication. Finally, if the wife is extravagant, dissolute, disobedient, treacherous, bad-tempered and insolent, the third reason for marriage will become void. So the man will remain in wretchedness, torture and frustration till the end of his life. Many a rich and honest Christian has a barren, old, extravagant or ill-tempered wife, and cannot divorce her and marry another. Thus he regrets being a Christian a thousand times daily. In Islam, on the other hand, the husband has the right to divorce his wife if he finds that she is not

suitable for him. If his wife is suitable for him, they will live together happily till the end of their lives. This is the case with most Muslims. In Islamic countries, therefore, no Muslim has ever regretted being a Muslim.

Another very subtle point is this: Before marriage Christian couples talk to each other and go out together. Therefore, marriage takes place only after both parties have examined each other's character and behaviors and decided to marry each other. But during this togetherness both parties are extremely cautious, trying to look pleasant and conceal the negative aspects of their characters, thus doing their best to deceive each other. In addition, being young and inexperienced, they are misled by their feelings and sensuous desires and, as a result, knowing each other does them no good. The unpleasant events seen after marriage in most Christian families are evidences of this fact. In every country, especially in European countries, there are very few men who are strong and potent and yet who spend all their lives with their wives without establishing relations with other women. And this is quite natural. Because their culture does not prohibit seeing and talking to other women, men take their wives out to balls, [theaters, movies and other places of music, dancing and drinking], or to visit friends and acquaintances. It being against their rules of decorum to sit with one's wife at such places, every man delivers his wife to another and takes another's wife. Then they begin dancing, which mostly end up in betrayal. The human nature is apt to get tired of things in process of time. No matter how pretty, how good-tempered a person's wife might be, in the course of time there would be gradual decrease in his affection and fervor for her. At such places it would be inevitable for a husband or a wife to feel attracted to other people of opposite sex. Because women and men in Christian countries live in mixed societies, seeing and talking to one another all the time, there are very few men and women who have spent their lives without committing fornication at all. Sitting together with women, seeing and talking to one another without reserve or any feeling of shame with the pretext of respecting them and observing their rights, they are, on the contrary, pushing women into these dangers, depreciating and lowering them, and exploiting them as sources of trade. On the other hand, the chaste, honorable and bashful wives of Muslims are always respectable in the eyes of their husbands [as well as in the eyes of other people], and their husbands will never let them fall into such dangers or disesteems. As every person would reserve his favorite and most precious belongings for himself, Muslims feel they should protect their wives, who are more valuable, more respectable and dearer than anything else to them, even from birds flying high up in the sky. This feeling originates from the exuberance of affection. Europeans have already lost their feelings of morals and honesty in this respect. It is accepted as a mockery, as a ludicrous imbecility for man to be jealous of his wife or for a woman to be jealous of her husband. When a person is said to be jealous, he will be accepted as boorish and stupid.

People who have benefited exclusively from this disgracefully inhuman state that Europe is in, have become priests. It is natural, therefore, for priests to wish this state went on being so. We know a person who had been born from Christian parents and brought up as a Protestant in Germany but, because he had enough sense of chastity not to take his sisters to balls and hand them over to others, left his home, Germany, and Christianity, and came to Istanbul, where he was honored with Islam. Today he is working as a high-ranking official in the Ottoman State.

As it is known by people who have seen Europe, in many

sophisticated families there is an outward unity and agreement between husband and wife. When they have guests in their home and when they visit their acquaintances, they are so kind to each other that you would think they were extremely affectionate and faithful to each other. But, by

and bye, as the families gradually establish closer intimacy with each other, what the husband and the wife think of each other will become evident. That is, they are so tired of each other that they do not even want to see each other. In fact, in some families the husband and wife will enter into an agreement not to interfere with each other's affairs. Thus both the husband and the wife will have various lovers with whom to lead a promiscuous sex life. Moreover, since none of the parties can remarry so long as the other party is alive, they look forward to each other's death. Sometimes one of them attempts murder to get rid of the other. Prohibition of divorce has given many harms to the European nations. For this reason, in 1206 [A.D. 1792] a law was passed to sanction divorce in France where it had been forbidden. At last divorce was permissible. In 1816, after repeated efforts of priests, the permission for divorce was cancelled. In the years 1830 and 1264 [A.D. 1848]. State officials, lawyers and scholars did their best for the ratification of divorce, but their efforts came to naught because of the intrigues carried on by the ecclesiastics. Europeans, who consider slavery to be incompatible with humanity and have waged praiseworthy struggles and efforts for the abrogation of slavery, have been curiously unsuccessful in their endeavors to extirpate the slavery of not being able to divorce one's wife, though its various harms with regard to property, progeny and chastity are becoming more and more conspicuous day by day. Supposing an elderly man had a young wife who went out immodestly dressed and had relationships with other young men as she chose and this man were suspicious of the sexual indulgences but unable to prevent her; would not this man spend all his life in sorrow and affliction, with the children born from this woman running about in front of his eyes every day and causing him an everlasting feeling of inferiority and lamentation over the choking thought of having to leave his property to someone else's offspring. What on earth could be more torturous for a person? Or supposing a chaste young woman were married off to an impotent old man against her will or to someone she did not like at all; this woman would spend all her youth in excruciation. In addition, a civilized society would be deprived of the offspring which otherwise she would have brought forth; this is something at loggerheads with ultimate divine wisdom and civilization. Now, if this woman, despairing that she ever would get out of this situation as long as her husband lived, were carried away by the thought of devising a plot to make away with her husband as soon as she had the opportunity, or if she, being tempted by the sensuous desires of her young construction and sapped by permanent suffering and sorrow, loses her chastity, would not these priests be responsible?

When men and women get together, sit and talk freely to one another, dance with one another with women in dress exposing their necks, bosoms and arms and all sorts of ornaments and jewels, how many men and women can help looking at each other? Because Muslim women do not go out often, talk to other men, keep company with them or become so familiar as to make jokes with them, they are not vulnerable to such dangers. Even if a Muslim's wife is ugly and ill-tempered, he will be contented with her because he does not see another woman. Likewise, however intolerable a Muslim woman's husband may be, she will tolerate him and get along with him because she does not see, sit and talk with another man. Thus they will not attempt anything that will incur harm and disaster. For a person who has senses of jealousy and shame it would be impossible to lead a peaceful life in any religion except Islam. As we have stated earlier, every nation has their own traditional customs, and it would be impossible for them to give them up. Therefore we would not attempt to describe the flavor in chastity and shame to the protesting priest. For this is a conscientious flavor. While a normal person will not even share with someone else a glass that he likes very much and always uses for drinking water, we can never understand how anyone could ever destroy his wife, who is a part from himself and his secret

treasury where he has entrusted his offspring, by throwing her in front of lascivious people who are captives of their own lusts.

[In Christian countries women and girls roam around with naked heads, bosoms, arms and legs, tempting men to indecencies, to fornication. As the wife cooks, launders and does the cleaning in the house, her husband finds a naked woman at work or in the streets, enjoys himself and even commits fornication with her. In the evening he comes home, pensive and exhausted. Plunged into lewd fancies, he does not even look at his wife, whom he at one time liked, chose, loved and married. The wife, on the other hand, disillusioned to be deprived of the affection and recreation she deserves after a whole day's housework, has neurotic fits. Thus the family home is broken apart. The man, who has been going out with a woman he found in the streets, drops her like dirty underwear and finds another woman. Consequently, thousands of women, men and children are destroyed every year. Some of them become immoral, others end up in anarchy, driving a whole nation into decline. The harm given to youngsters, to people, to the State by women who go about naked and with strong smells of perfumes and wantonly ornaments is worse and more threatening than that of alcohol or narcotics. Allahu ta'ala has commanded women and girls to cover themselves up lest His born servants should fall into disasters in this world and vehement torments in the hereafter. Unfortunately, some people, because they have been captivated by their nafs and lusts, call the commandments of Allahu ta'ala fundamentalism and the depraved and eccentric practices of Europeans modernism. Some of these so-called modern and illuminated people procured diplomas for one another and shared some critical positions among themselves. They are hooting like owls and attacking Islam at every occasion. With this easy heroism they are collecting applaud and substantial aid from Christians, Jews and Communists, who are our historical enemies, thus becoming stronger and deceiving youngsters by using all sorts of tricks. May Allahu ta'ala give these so-called modern and illuminated people common sense! May He grant them the reason enough to see clearly between right and wrong!]

Some people answer this as follows: "At one time due care and attention were paid to the education and training of women. After completely learning her duties as a wife, a woman can very well attend any sort of assembly. Thus there will be no fear of her losing her chastity. For knowledge is dominant over the nafs." Supposing the person who makes these statements were a thirty year old, strong and decent man, and his wife an ugly but very decent woman, and they were both at a dinner given by their acquaintances. It happened so that the man, sitting beside an extremely pretty, coquettish and attractive woman, established some intimacy with her, and his wife sitting near a young man and clinking glasses with him, became too familiar with him. In this case, would it be possible for the husband and wife to protect themselves from sly, malicious thoughts? Knowledge and education will curb the natural human aspirations to a certain extent. But the sensuous desires dormant in the human nature will erupt as soon as they are given the favorable milieu, pushing aside the education given. Here is a beautiful saying from Sadi-i-Shirazi [51]: "Could it ever be believed that a hungry misbeliever would imagine himself sitting alone at a meal table in Ramadan?"

Yes, if the man is a eunuch, you can trust him. But those who are eunuchs metaphorically, that is, those who claim to have freed themselves from the sensuous desires of their nafs, must be exempted from this. For there have been many priests who have emasculated themselves metaphorically and yet whose actions have belied their statements. [The whole world knows about the

indecencies which those priests who have emasculated themselves metaphorically have committed when left alone with women coming to them for confession. In daily newspapers we

often see pictures of dancing priests who assume monastic garbs during the day and attend parties at night.] Yes, those who have trained and curbed their nafs completely for Allah's sake are no doubt trustworthy people. If such a physical self-sacrifice were seen on priests who make themselves seem like pious and trustworthy people, then there would be no saying against the spiritual effectiveness of Christianity.

The same priest, in one of his booklets, censures the Islamic belief that "Isa 'alaihi-salam' was not killed but was elevated up to heaven alive," and says, "This belief is contrary not only to all history books but also to the generally accepted narrative. For it is written in the four Gospels that Isa 'alaihi-salam' displayed some miracles as he was killed. How could it ever be justifiable to deny a narrative that has reached us from the Apostles, who were the eye-witnesses of the events?"

ANSWER: As everybody knows, a narrative that happened in the past can be trusted and believed confidently by the people of a later generation only if the narrators themselves saw the events and were people who could never have agreed on a lie. Now, when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was, according to the Christian credo, arrested by Jews, all the disciples who were with him ran away, with the exception of Peter, who walked after him instead. And Peter, in his turn, told the same lie three times as the rooster crowed three times, saying that he did not know Isa 'alaihi-salam'. When the person mistaken for Isa

'alaihi-salam' was crucified, no one was present there, none the least for the Apostles. It is written in the Gospels of Matthew and Mark that a few women watched the event from a distance. Since John does not contain any statements to this effect, the priest must be wrong when he says, "...it is written in the four Gospels," and "...the Apostles, who were the eye-witnesses of the events." In other words, there is not a generally accepted narrative in this respect. On the other hand, history books, which the priest puts forth as documents, are based on sources that have not been confirmed to be true by generally accepted narratives, and therefore they are not dependable. Here are the Biblical accounts of the matter:

It is stated in the fiftieth and later verses of the

twenty-seventh chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, "Jesus delivered his soul on the cross. And lo, the curtain of the temple was torn into two from the top to the bottom. The earth quaked, and the rocks were cleft apart. The graves were opened, the corpses of many saintly people in them resurrected. They went out of their graves and after the resurrection of Jesus entered the blessed city (Jerusalem) and showed themselves to many people." Norton, a Western writer, states in his book that this is an open lie, and puts forward evidences to prove his argument. In his book, which otherwise praises and defends the Bible, Norton gives the following account, "This story is a lie. The most evident proof for this fact is that Jews, who were deeply distressed by the destruction of Jerusalem, fabricated some wonderful episodes concerning

Masjid al-Aqsa, and this episode was one of them. Afterwards an idiot, considering that this episode would go with the time of the crucifixion of Jesus, wrote it on one of the page margins of the Hebrew version of the Gospel of Matthew only for the sake of blessing, and later on another idiotic scribe, as he made another copy of the Gospel, included it in the Gospel. And the translator of this new copy translated this passage exactly as it was." [Consequently, this new translation became the formal religious book of the church].

There are various evidences to prove that the story which the priest relates in the name of miracle is ungrounded:

1 - According to the writings in the Gospel of Matthew, on the second day following the crucifixion the Jews came to Pilatus, the Roman governor in Jerusalem, and said, "O sir! That

mendacious person said when he was alive that he would resurrect three days after his crucifixion. So, command your men to wait on the grave lest his disciples steal him away and then say that he has resurrected. Otherwise the final heresy will be worse than the first one." [Matthew: 27-62 and on]. According to the twenty-fourth verse of the twenty-seventh chapter of Matthew, Pilatus and his wife were inwardly opposed to the killing of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Succumbing to the Jews' insistence, Pilatus had to give them the permission. If miracles had been seen, the Jews would possibly not go to Pilatus afterwards and make the statement quoted above. For it is stated in Matthew that the curtain of al-Aqsa was torn apart, the rocks were split, the graves were opened, and the dead were openly going about in the city of Jerusalem. It is an easily discernible fact that the Jews could not have used such terms as 'mendacious' or 'misleader' about Isa 'alaihi-salam' in the presence of Pilatus after he and his wife, in addition to already being against the killing of Isa 'alaihi-salam', had seen so many miracles, or, at least, he would have reprimanded them had they said so.

2 - When the Holy Ghost descended on the Apostles and the Apostles began to speak various languages, it is written in the second chapter of Acts, the people were bewildered and three thousand people immediately believed in Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Dead people's going out of their graves and going around in Jerusalem, tearing of the curtain in the temple, quaking of the earth and rifting of the rocks would have been more of a source of bewilderment to people than the Apostles' speaking several languages. If it were true that Isa 'alaihi-salam' had shown himself and displayed miracles, thousands of people would have believed in him then. But the Gospels do not contain even any expression implying at least that one person believed in him during the occurrence of the so-called miracles. [This argument proves that what is written in Matthew is not the truth].

3 - Mark and Luke only state that the curtain of the statue was torn. They do not refer to such incidents as the earthquake, rifting of the rocks, opening of the graves, or resurrecting of the saints and going around in the city. On the other hand, in the Gospel of John, which is well-known for its far-fetched exaggerations of the miracles of Isa 'alaihi-salam', there is no reference to any of these incidents, neither to the tearing of the curtain of the temple, nor to the earthquake or the sequential rifting of rocks, nor to the saint" resurrecting and going about in the city. If these events were true, Mark, Luke and John would apparently not remain silent in this respect.

4 - According to Matthew's account, none of the Apostles was present at the crucifixion of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. But Mary Magdalene, who had been following him from Galili, Mary, the mother of Jacob and Joses, and the mother of the sons of Zebedee were present there and watched from a distance.

According to Mark, none of the Apostles were present, but Mary Magdalene, Mary, the mother of Jacob and Joses, Salome and a number of women who had come to Jerusalem with her were all there. [Mark: 15-40, 41]

According to Luke's account, when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was arrested all people who knew him and also those women coming from Galili were present there. In addition to this, some of the city folk gathered there to watch the event. All these people, seeing the insults Isa 'alaihi-salam' was subjected to, walked behind Isa 'alaihi-salam', beating their breasts with their fists. [Luke: 23-27]

These writings in Luke are contradictory to those in Matthew and Mark. According to Matthew and Mark, those who were present at the crucifixion of [Judas Iscariot instead of] Isa 'alaihi-salam' were only a few women, who watched from a distance. A few people's testimony of having witnessed an event from a distance cannot be accepted as a document strong enough to

form a basic religious tenet, not at least in the eyes of reasonable people. Luke's expression, 'Some of the city folk', shows that those people knew him but did not believe in him. For terms 'disciples' and 'Apostles' are used everywhere in the Gospel of Luke. Its using the expression 'Some of the city folk' here, therefore, indicates that none of the disciples were there.

On the other hand, the Gospel of John says nothing concerning the existence of disciples or women crying and beating themselves, but only states that his most beloved disciple, his mother, his sister, and Mary Magdalene were present at the scene [John: 19-25]. In addition to the other Gospels' accounts, it states that on the cross Isa 'alaihi-salam' saw his disciple and his mother with him and said to his mother, 'O woman, here's your son,' and then turned to his disciple and said, 'There is your mother,' pointing to his mother.' Then this disciple took Mary to his home." [John: 19-26, 27]

This incident is not referred to in the other Gospels. There is no doubt that the event of crucifixion did take place. Yet if people believing in Isa 'alaihi-salam' had been at the scene of the event to give an account of the event, there would not be any discordance among the Gospels as to the occurrence of this event and they would all write about the event exactly as it had happened.

5 - According to the Gospel of Matthew, Isa 'alaihi-salam' was subjected to various insults in the governor's house, he was stripped of his clothes, a red caftan was put on him, a diadem made of thorns was put on his head, a reed was handed to him, they spat at his face, hit his head, and, as he was taken out the door for crucifixion, they found a man named Simon of Cyrene and had him carry the cross. When they came to the place called Golgotha [52] (or Calvary), which means skull, he was given vinegar mixed with aloes. When he said, "My God, my God, why hast Thou forsaken me," on the cross, one of the bystanders dipped a sponge into vinegar and stretched it out to him with a reed.

Mark's account is as follows: He was whipped with a lash, a diadem of thorns was put on his face, a red caftan was put on him, he was spat at on the face, beaten on the head, subjected to insults, and taken out. A man named Simon of Cyrene, father of Alexandre and Rufus, came from the country and was passing by. They had him carry the cross. When they came to the place called Golgotha, they gave him wine mixed with murr-u-safi (bursaceae), which he refused. When he was on the cross, passers-by shook their heads, swore at him, and said, "You, who has demolished the statue and rebuilt it in three days, get down from the cross and save yourself." Two thieves, who were crucified with him, reproached him and swore at him. Later, on the cross, when he said, "My God, my God, why hast Thou forsaken me," one of the people being there dipped a sponge into vinegar and gave it to him to drink. [Mark: 27]

It is stated as follows in the Gospel of Luke: "Pilatus (Pilate) first sent Isa 'alaihi-salam' to Herod (Antipas). When Herod saw Jesus, he was very much pleased. For he had heard very much about him. For a long time he had been looking forward to seeing him to see a miracle of him. But Isa 'alaihi-salam' would not answer his questions. Herod, with his soldiers, insulted him, mocked him. He made him put on a bright-colored garment and sent him to Pilate, who, in his turn, delivered Jesus to the Jews. As they took him along, they caught Simon of Cyrene, who was on his way back from his field, put the cross on his back and bid him to carry it behind Jesus. Meanwhile, a big crowd, among whom were people and women who were crying and beating themselves in their sorrow for him, was following behind him. Jesus turned to them and said, 'O thee, who art the maidens of Jerusalem. Do not cry for me. But cry for thineselves and for thine children. For those days are coming soon; the days when people without children shall be said to be fortunate. Then they shall begin to say to the mountains: Come and fall on us; and

to the hills: Come and cover us. For when a green tree is subjected to all this treatment, what would befall a dry log.' Then, when he was crucified, he said, 'O Father, forgive them, for they do not know what they are doing.' The soldiers, mocking him, approached and offered vinegar to him. One of the two culprits who were crucified with him swore at him and said, 'If you are the Messiah, then save yourself and us.' But the other culprit replied, chiding his friend. Upon this Jesus said unto him: 'Today you shall enter Paradise with me.' [Luke: 23]

It is written in the Gospel of John: "Pilate arrested Jesus and beat him. The soldiers wove a diadem of thorns and put it on his head and made him put on a red caftan. Then they slapped him on the face. Upon seeing him in this attirement, the rabbis and officials shouted and said, 'Crucify him, crucify him.' Pilate said to them, 'You crucify him. For I find no guilt on him.' The Jews answered him and said, 'We have a canonical law. According to this law, he has to die. For he has made himself the son of God.' Upon hearing these words, Pilate was even more frightened. He said unto Jesus, 'Where are you from?' But Jesus did not answer him. Pilate said unto him. 'Will you not tell me? Do not you know that I have the power to crucify you or set you free?' Jesus answered, 'You would have no power over me if you were not granted [permission] from above. He who has delivered me to you is even more sinful.' Therefore, Pilate tried to have him set free, but the Jews shouted and said, 'If you set him free you are not a friend to the Kaiser. He who makes himself the Sovereign will have said words against the Kaiser.' Upon this Pilate took Jesus out and delivered him to them. And so Jesus, carrying his cross, mounted the place called Golgotha, that is, Skull.'

[The differences between the accounts of the event given in the four Gospels are seen as clearly as the sun. Concerning this event, which the priest claims has been authenticated by a generally accepted narrative, the four Gospels trusted by Christians are at loggerheads with one another. Who could deny this fact? Accordingly, where is the generally accepted narrative asserted by the priest?]

6 - According to the thirty-seventh verse of the twenty-seventh chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, when Isa 'alaihissalam' was crucified, a placard with the statement, "This is Jesus, King of the Jews," was hung over him.

According to the twenty-sixth verse of the fifteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark, the phrase "King of the Jews" is written on the placard.

According to the thirty-eighth verse of the of the twenty-third chapter of the Gospel of Luke, the placard contains the statement, "This is King of the Jews", in Hebrew.

According to the thirty-eighth verse of the twenty-third chapter of John, Pilate wrote the expression "Jesus the Nazarene, King of the Jews" in Hebrew, Greek and Latin on a placard and placed it on the cross. It is written in the twenty-first and twenty-second verses that "The rabbis said unto Pilate: Do not write 'King of the Jews', but write 'This man said he was the King of Jews.' And Pilate answered. I have written what I am to write." [These Biblical inconsistencies as to what was written on the placard hung over the crucified person who today's Gospels claim was Isa 'alaihissalam', [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from believing or saying so], show us that the person who was crucified was not Isa 'alaihissalam'].

7 - It is written in the sixteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark, "It was three o'clock when Jesus was crucified. When the time became six o'clock, darkness fell all over the world until nine o'clock."

It is written in the Gospels of Matthew and Luke, "It was about six o'clock when he was crucified, and darkness fell all over the world until nine o'clock." John, on the other hand, does not refer to time or the falling of darkness.

8 - It is written in the Gospel of John that on Saturday they broke the legs of the two people who had been crucified with Isa 'alaihi-salam' lest they should remain any longer on the cross, and when they came to Isa 'alaihi-salam' they saw that he was already dead and therefore did not break his legs. The other three Gospels do not contain this part.

9 - There are great differences among the existing Gospels in such matters as the resurrection of Isa 'alaihi-salam' after being crucified according to the Christian credo and his displaying miracles. Because we have already explained these matters in the chapter dealing with (the four books called Gospels), those who wish to renew their information may reread that chapter.

A close study of these inconsistencies will show that such matters as the crucifixion of Isa 'alaihi-salam', his resurrecting and showing miracles are viewed with skepticism among Christians. Eminent Christian scholars have not been able to put forward any evidence strong enough [to refute the pure Islamic belief that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was not crucified; he was elevated up to heaven without being killed; the person crucified was a Jew Christians, nor have they been able to answer any of the questions asked by Muslims so far. If Christians say, "The Gospels themselves are of documentary value for us with all the inconsistencies in them," then the whole argument will become null and void. For it would be senseless to discuss an issue with a person who denied open facts and persisted in his misbelief.

It is quite possible for a judicious person who does not believe in a heavenly book to deduce from the existing Gospels numerous evidences to prove the fact that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was not killed or crucified and that the person crucified was someone else. Furthermore, supposing someone came forward and, in response to the priest's statement, "A narrative stated unanimously in all the four Gospels cannot be refuted," said, "Being crucified, Isa 'alaihi-salam' succumbed to the bitter pain and fainted. Those who saw him in this position thought he was dead and hastily took him off the cross lest he should remain on the cross on Saturday. One of his disciples, Joseph by name, took him to a lonely place and buried him there. After a while, he recovered and stood out of his grave. One of his disciples gave him a robe of linen, which was a gardener's garb. He put on this robe and showed himself in this attirement to Mary Magdalene. Later he met his disciples and spoke with them. After a while, he died again at a lonely place, either from the wounds caused by the crucifixion or from some other disease;" now, how would this be answered? As a matter of fact, as it is inferred from the verse in the Gospel of Matthew, which reads, "The Jews went to Pilate and said: Command them to keep guard for three days by the grave; otherwise, his disciples may steal him away at night and then announce that he has resurrected," such doubts existed at that time, too. As we have explained in the chapter dealing with (the four books called Gospels), the Gospel of Matthew was written forty to fifty years after ascension of Isa 'alaihi-salam' to heaven. As Matthew wrote his Gospel, he may have included this widespread rumor into his Gospel, and the other writers of Gospel may have written such rumors in their books without inquiring into the matter. There are various evidences to this effect.

First evidence: The statement, "The Jews and the guarding soldiers went together and sealed the stone, thus safeguarding the grave," which the Gospel of Matthew adds for prudential considerations, adds to, let alone eliminating, the doubts.

Second evidence: According to the account given in the twentieth chapter of the Gospel of John, Mary Magdalene saw Isa 'alaihi-salam' after his resurrection and thought he was a gardener. Again, according to the account given at the end of the ninth chapter of the Gospel of John, Joseph of Arimathea took the corpse of Isa 'alaihi-salam', wrapped him in linen clothes, found a garden at the place of crucifixion, and put him into a grave there. Now, why shouldn't it be

possible, for instance, that the person who had been mistaken for Isa 'alaihi-salam' may have lain unconscious for a while in the grave, then recovered and removed the stone on the mouth of the grave, - or one of the disciples may have done it for him -, and taken off his shroud and put on a gardener attirement?

Third evidence: It is written in the twenty-fourth chapter of the Gospel of Luke, "When Isa 'alaihi-salam' resurrected from his grave and showed himself to his disciples, they were bewildered and frightened, thinking it was a ghost or a specter. Isa 'alaihi-salam' said to them: Why are you bewildered? Why do you suffer anxiety in thine hearts. look at my hands, my feet. I am, myself. Touch me with thine hands and look at me. For a ghost does not have flesh and bones, which you see I have. After saying this, he showed them his hands and feet. As they were still in bewilderment, he said: Have you got something to eat? They gave him a piece of fried fish [and some honey in the comb]. He took it and ate it in front of them."

According to this narrative, the person who was crucified did not die on the cross. He recovered, became hungry, and ate. This narrative contradicts the miracle of resurrection (of Isa 'alaihi-salam') after death.

Fourth evidence: It is said that Isa 'alaihi-salam', after his resurrection, did not speak with his disciples in Jerusalem, but he spoke in Galili. This statement shows that the person who resurrected after dying on the cross was afraid of the Jews. However, the Jews had rid themselves of the bane of Jesus by crucifying him and he was dead now (according to the Jews). It was possible for him to meet them and speak with them in Jerusalem. There was no reason for him to fear the Jews. It is evident that this narrative has been inserted into the Gospels.

Fifth evidence: It is written in the Gospels that after his resurrection he showed himself to some people in Jerusalem but he did not show himself to his disciples or, especially, to his mother. These words imply that Isa 'alaihi-salam' did not want to meet them and even tried to keep away from them, which comes to mean that, no longer trusting his disciples, he first limited his audience to a couple of people. And this, in its turn, obviously would have been wrong.

Sixth evidence: It is stated that none of the disciples was present when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was buried or when he resurrected, that he was buried by Joseph of Armathea, and that later he was seen alive by Mary Magdalene. This narrative may normally bring the following thought to one's mind: 'When Joseph of Arimathea came near the crucified person, he may have seen that the person was not dead. Fearing that he might cause the denial of the Biblical verse foretelling that he (Jesus) would resurrect after dying if he divulged that he was not dead, he may have concealed what he had seen.' How would the priests answer to eliminate such a suspense?

Seventh evidence: According to Matthew, Joseph of Arimathea was a rich man and one of the disciples of Isa 'alaihi-salam'. According to Luke, he was a pious person, a member of Parliament. and was highly esteemed by Pilate. This person states that he put the crucified person into a grave. His putting him into a grave indicates that he was definitely dead. Since people who say that they have seen him again are possibly not lying, it may be thought that they may have seen a vision.

Eighth evidence: The person who was crucified may have somehow freed himself from the cross and thus remained alive, and his disciples, upon seeing him, may have thought that he had resurrected after dying.

In order to prove that Isa 'alaihi-salam' died on the cross and was buried, priests put forward the following verse written in the Gospel of Matthew as an evidence: "Mankind shall stay in the earth for three days and three nights." Yes, the person who was crucified died and was buried. There is no need to prove this fact. Priests' putting forward this verse is intended to prove that he

resurrected after dying. Yet the person who was crucified did not stay in the grave for three days and three nights. It is stated unanimously in the four Gospels that the corpse was taken down from the cross on Friday evening and was buried immediately and it could not be found in the grave before sunrise Sunday morning. It is calculated that the corpse stayed in the grave for two nights plus one day. Since the corpse did not stay three days and three nights in the grave according to this calculation, Matthew's statement is contrary to fact. Another point is this: If Isa 'alaihi-salam' had really made this statement, the disciples should not have had any doubts concerning his resurrection, and they should have welcomed him as soon as seeing him. On the contrary, it is written in the Gospels that all the Apostles categorically rejected the reports of his resurrection. With all these facts, silence would be the only answer that priests could offer to (Qur'an al-karim), which states that "the person crucified was not Isa 'alaihi-salam'; Judas Iscariot, who had reported where he was, was mistaken for Isa 'alaihi-salam' and was therefore crucified, and Isa 'alaihi-salam' was raised up to heaven."

According to the Islamic belief, all Prophets 'alahimus-salam' are innocent. They are immune from lying and playing tricks. They were making preparations to crucify Isa 'alaihi-salam', when Allahu ta'ala, the Omnipotent, gave the person who had betrayed him the semblance of Isa 'alaihi-salam' so that the Jews, believing the person they saw now was Isa 'alaihi-salam', crucified the traitor, instead. Allahu ta'ala immediately raised Isa 'alaihi-salam' to heaven. This belief of Muslims is more logical and more worthy of the Prophetic honor of Isa 'alaihi-salam'.

The hundred and fifty-seventh ayat of Nisa sura purports, "Nevertheless they did not kill Isa; they did not hang him, but someone else was made into his semblance, [so they crucified this person]." All the 'Ulama (very profound scholars, savants) of tafsir (interpretation of Qur'an al-karim) have interpreted this ayat al-karima as that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was not killed or hanged.

The fifty-fifth ayat of Al-i-'imran sura purports, " [Recall that] Allahu ta'ala [said] to Isa 'alaihi-salam': Surely I take thee from the earth [in the most beautiful manner] and raise thee to the grade of angels." Priests assert that this ayat al-karima contradicts the hundred and fifty-seventh ayat of Nisa sura. They want to put forward the word (Mutawaffika) as an evidence to prove that Isa 'alaihi-salam' died. They do not realize that this word is an adjective and therefore (Mutawaffika) does not mean, "I shall kill thee." [The Arabic lexicon (Al-munjid), which was prepared by a Christian clergyman and printed in a Catholic print-house in Beirut, explains the meaning of the word (tawaffa) as "To fully get what one deserves," hence the meaning "To give one what is worthy of one's honor." It is metonymically used in the meaning of "to kill"]. This comes to mean that this ayat al-karima does not mean, "I shall kill thee and then raise thee." It means, "I shall do what is worthy of thine honor and raise thee to the grade of angels." Allahu ta'ala decreed to exalt Isa 'alaihi-salam', and so He did exalt him. He did not decree to have him killed by the Jews, and He did not have him killed, having someone else crucified. For this reason, some 'Ulama of tafsir 'rahimahullahi ta'ala' have interpreted the word (tawaffi) as "to pick," and explained the ayat al-karima as, "To protect thee from being killed by the Jews, I shall entirely pick thee up from the earth." It is so strange that Christian sects, while saying that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is the (Son of God), even (God Himself), accept at the same time that he was killed by hanging. The Islamic religion, on the other hand, states that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was a human being and a Prophet, and rejects these slanders directed to him. In addition, it enhances his value by stating that he was raised to heaven and informing that the Jews' assertion that they killed him by hanging is wrong and slanderous. We would like to ask which of these two creeds is worthy of the honor of that exalted Prophet, Muslims' creed, or Christians' creed? This comparison will show us whose love of Isa 'alaihi-salam' is more of a true one, Muslims' love,

or Christians' love. There are lessons to be taken from Muslims' [true and pure] belief, which deters from such lies as would be detrimental to the honor of Isa 'alaihi-salam', and which Christians furiously strive to disprove. We, Muslims, are both Musawi and Isawi because we recognize both Musa 'alaihi-salam' and Isa 'alaihi-salam' as Prophets sent by Allahu ta'ala.

Because Christian sects believe in the defiled, interpolated Gospels, which teem with all sorts of abominable lies, [and which are so common today], they belittle that blessed Prophet with such imputations as, "Jesus was born in a stable, he was killed by the Jews in a humiliating manner, he entered Hell and thus was accursed," which a most indecent person would hesitate to utter about his enemy. Therefore, they are neither Musawi, nor Isawi. Since they accept [and defend] Plato's heretic philosophy of trinity, it would be more correct to call them 'Platonists.'

There are many more mental and traditional answers that could be given to Christians to prove the fact that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was not killed or hanged. They are written in detail in the books (Mizan-ul-mawazeen), in Persian, (Iz-har-ul-haq), in Arabic and Turkish, (Shams-ul-haqiqa) and (Izah-ul-maram), in Turkish, and in the Arabic book (Ar-radd-ul-jamil), written by Imam-i-Ghazali (rahmatullahi alaih').

FOOTNOTES

[44] The Ottoman State was founded in 699 [A.D. 1299], and abolished in 1340 [A.D. 1922].

[45] Fatih (the Conqueror) passed away in 886 [A.D. 1481].

[46] The inner, esoteric reasons, the ultimate divine causes.

[47] Sharif Abd-al-qadir passed away in Damascus in 1300 [A.D. 1882].

[48] Crusading expeditions commenced in 490 [A.D. 1096], and continued until 670 [A.D. 1271].

[49] Elizabeth I died in 1012 [A.D. 1603].

[50] Female slaves are called jariya. Muslims treat them as if they were their sisters or other relatives.

[51] Sadi Shirazi was martyred in 691 [A.D. 1292].

[52] A skull-shaped hill near Jerusalem.

ALLAHU TA'ALA IS ONE

The priests' real purpose, they claim, is to compare the inner essence of Christianity with that of Islam and then accept the one which is more truthful. In the initial pages of our book we have answered them by comparing Qur'an al-karim with their publications which they name the Bible. And now we consider it pertinent to compare Christians' and Muslims' systems of belief with each other. Leaving aside the traditional documents, we begin our detailed elucidation based on logical proofs.

The most prominent Christian tenet is trinity, i.e. belief in three gods. According to Christians, there are, may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so, three gods: Allahu ta'ala, Isa 'alaihi-salam', and the Ruh-ul-quds (the Holy Spirit). However, the Biblical expression, "My Son," is an indication of excessive love. It is written in the existing books called Gospels, "Isa 'alaihi-salam' is equal to Allahu ta'ala in all the attributes such as knowledge and power. After being killed by crucifixion, he was scorched for ten days in Hell, and then, according to Paul, mounting the accursed tree, [may Allahu ta'ala protect us against saying so], he ascended to heaven, placed his throne on the right hand side of the Father, and assumed the task of creating and making. Now

the Son has the control. After resurrection as well, Father having abdicated His active role, the Son will be the Absolute Ruler."

According to the belief held by Muslims, Allahu ta'ala is One. He does not have a partner or a likeness in His Person or in His Attributes.

[Imam-i-Rabbani Mujaddid-i-alf-i-Thani Ahmad Faruqi Sarhandi 'rahmatullahi alaihi', an extremely profound savant who is best in his prowess of elucidating the accurate belief concerning Allahu ta'ala as held by those true Muslims stringently adherent to the Sunnat, Shariat, of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam', gives the following account in the sixty-seventh letter of the second book of his work (Maktubat):

"Be it known that Allahu ta'ala is One in His eternal [that which never ceases to exist] Person. He created everything except Himself. He existed eternally. That is, He is eternal in the past. In other words. He always existed. There cannot be inexistence previous to His existence. All beings other than He were nonexistent. He created them all afterwards. What is eternal in the past will be eternal, everlasting in the future. What is of recent occurrence and created will be mortal, transitory, and prone to cease to exist. Allahu ta'ala is One. That is, His existence, alone, is indispensable. He, alone, is worthy of being worshipped. Existence of things other than He is not essential. It makes no difference whether they exist or not. Nothing except He is worthy of being worshipped.

Allahu ta'ala has Attributes of perfection. These Attributes are Hayat, 'Ilm, Semi', Basar, Qudrat, Kalam, and Takwin. These Attributes, too, are eternal. Their existence is with Allahu ta'ala. Creation of creatures afterwards, and all these momentary changes in them do not detract from the eternal being of His Attributes. The eternal being of His Attributes is not affected by the later creation of these beings to which they are related. Philosophers, relying only on their imperfect mentality, and the Mutazila group of Muslims, not being keen enough to see the truth, closed the matter by saying that since creatures are of recent occurrence the Attributes which create and control them are of recent occurrence. Thus they denied the eternal Sifat-i-kamila (Attributes of perfection). They said that "the Attribute of Knowledge cannot penetrate tiny motes. That is, Allahu ta'ala does not know small, trivial things. For, otherwise, changes taking place in things would cause changes in the Attribute of Knowledge, too. What is eternal should not change." They did not know that the Attributes are eternal, but their relation to things is of recent occurrence.

Allahu ta'ala does not have imperfect attributes. Allahu ta'ala is free and far from the attributes of substances, things and states, and He is independent of their needs. Allahu ta'ala is free from time, free from place, and free from direction. He is not in a place or in a location. He created time, places and directions afterwards. An ignorant person will imagine that He is on the Arsh, up above us. The Arsh, places above and below us are all His creatures. He created them all afterwards. Could something that was created afterwards be a place for one who exists eternally? However, the Arsh is the most honorable of creatures. Is purer and more resplendent than anything else. Therefore, it is like a mirror. Greatness of Allahu ta'ala is seen there. It is for this reason that it is called the (Arshullah). Nevertheless, the relation of the Arsh to Allahu ta'ala is no different from that of any other being. They are all His creatures. Only, the Arsh is like a mirror. The other beings do not have this capacity. Could a man seen in a mirror be said to be inside the mirror? The man's relation to the mirror is identical with his relation to other things. His relation to all other things is the same. However, there is difference between the mirror and other things. The mirror can reflect a man's image, and other things cannot.

Allahu ta'ala is not a substance, or an object, or a state. He does not have boundaries or dimensions. He is not long, short, wide or narrow. We say that He is (Wasi'), which means (wide) literally. Yet this wideness is beyond our knowledge of width. He is (Muhit), that is, He contains all. Yet this containing is unlike what we understand from the word. He is (Qarib), that is, close to us, with us, yet not as we understand! We believe in that He is Wasi', Muhit, Qarib, and with us. Yet we cannot know what these Attributes mean. We say that anything that comes to mind concerning the Person and Attributes of Allahu ta'ala is wrong. Allahu ta'ala does not unite with anything. And nothing unites with Him, either, Nothing enters Him. And He does not enter anything, either. Allahu ta'ala does not divide into parts or break into pieces; He is not analyzed or synthesized. He does not have a likeness or a partner. He does not have a wife or children. He is unlike the things we know or we can think of. It cannot be known or imagined how He is. There cannot be an image or a copy of Him. We know to the extent that He exists. And also His Attributes exist as He stated. Yet He is far from everything that may come to our mind or imagination concerning Him. His existence or His Attributes. Men cannot comprehend Him. Translation of a Persian distich:

When asked, "Am I not thine Rab?"

"He is," said the wise, and kept mum.

The Names of Allahu ta'ala are (Tawqifi), that is, they are dependent upon and subject to Islam's dictation. It is incumbent upon us, therefore, to pronounce His Names prescribed by Islam. Names that are not prescribed by Islam cannot be used. They should not be pronounced no matter how beautiful they may be. (For instance). Jawad, being a Name prescribed by Islam, can be used (for Allahu ta'ala). On the other hand, (Sahi), which is synonymous with (Jawad) and means 'generous', cannot be pronounced (as a Name for Allahu ta'ala) because Islam has never called him (Sahi). [Therefore, he cannot be called Tanri, or God. Especially in worships, such as calling the ezan (or adhan), it would be a grave sin to use the word Tanri, or God, instead of the Name, Allah].

Qur'an al-karim is the Kalam, the Word, of Allah. Placing His Word into Arabic letters and speech sounds, He has sent it down to our Prophet, Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'. Through His Word He has informed His born servants of His commandments and prohibitions.

We creatures talk by means of our (organs of articulation such as) vocal cords in our throat, tongue, palate, etc. We put our desires into letters and speech sounds. By the same token, Allahu ta'ala, the almighty, has sent His Word in letters and sounds without the intermediation of vocal cords, mouth or tongue to His born servants. He has revealed His commandments and prohibitions in letters and sounds. Both modes of Word belong to Him. In other words, both the (Kalam-i-nafsi), i.e. His Word before being transacted through letters and sounds, and (Kalam-i-Lafzi), i.e. His Word in the mode of letters and sounds, are His Word. It would be correct to call them both 'Kalam (Word).' As a matter of fact, our word belongs to us when it is nafsi, before being said, as well as when it is Lafzi, after it is said. It would be wrong that the Kalam-i-nafsi is real and the Kalam-i-Lafzi is metaphorical or like the Kalam. For something which is metaphorical can be denied. And it is kufr (disbelief) to deny the Kalam-i-Lafzi of Allahu ta'ala and to say that it is not Word of Allah. All the heavenly Books and Pages revealed to former Prophets 'ala nabi-yi-na wa alaihimus-salawatu wateslimat' are the Word of Allah, too. All the contents of those Books and Pages, and also of Qur'an al-karim, are Ahkam-i-ilahi (Divine Laws of Allah). He has sent every generation laws suitable for their time.

In Jannat (Paradise), believers shall see Allahu ta'ala in a manner beyond the limits of mind, beyond the boundaries of place such as location, direction, form. We believe in the fact that

Allahu ta'ala shall be seen in the hereafter. But we do not ponder over how this seeing will be. For seeing Him is not something within mind's comprehensive capacity. There is no other way than believing. Shame on Philosophers and those Muslims belonging to the Mutazila group and all groups of Muslims except the Ahl-us-sunnat! They have blindly deprived themselves of believing in this felicity. Attempting to compare what they have not seen or known with things they have seen, they have divested themselves of the honor of attaining iman.

Allahu ta'ala, who is the Creator of men, is the Creator of their deeds, too. All virtues and vices depend on His decree [Will]. However, He likes good deeds and disliked bad deeds. Everything, whether good or bad, is dependent upon His Will and Creation; yet it would be insolent to describe Him as merely the Creator of a certain vice. We should not call Him 'the Creator of vices'. We should say He is the Creator of the good and the wicked." This is the end of our translation from (Maktubat).

Fakhr-ud-din Razi [53] 'rahimahullah' has stated twenty or so of the evidences furnished by the 'Ulama of Kalam to prove the unity (being one) of Allahu ta'ala. We shall cite some of them in the following passage:

1 - The twenty-second ayat of Enbiya sura purports, "If there were gods besides Allahu ta'ala on the earth and in heaven, order in these places would become deranged and a complete disorder would prevail."

This ayat al-karima signifies a (Burhan-i-temanu'). That is: Supposing the universe had two creators; the courses of action chosen by these two creators would be either disparate or identical. If they were disparate, then the universe would get into mischief. That is, heavens and earth would be thrown into disorder and perish, or two contradictory things would coexist. For instance, if one of the two gods wished a certain person named, let us say, Zayd to move and the other God wished him not to move, when their godly powers affected Zayd, two opposite things would happen at the same time. [And this, in its turn, is impossible. For two opposite things cannot coexist. In other words, it is impossible for two opposite events to take place at the same time. That is, Zayd cannot be both moving and not moving at the same time. He is either moving, or not moving].

If the courses of action chosen by the two gods were identical, disagreement between them would be either possible, or impossible. Disagreement would be impossible because they chose the same course of action. According to the second case, that is, if disagreement between them were possible, then one of them would necessarily be powerless. And being powerless, in its turn, would mean being a creature, having been created afterwards, which would be incompatible with the honor of being a god. Something created afterwards could not be god.

2 - Supposing the universe had two creators, [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so], either one of them would be either capable, or incapable, of doing whatever he wished to do. If one of them were sufficiently capable of creating whatever he wished to create, the second god would be null and void, nonessential and superfluous, which would mean imperfection. And he who were imperfect, in turn, could not be a creator. If the second god were sufficient to do whatever he wished to do, this time the first god would become null and void.

3 - Supposing the universe had two creators, [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so], they would either need each other, or not, in their power of effecting [creatures]. Or, one of them would need the other, and the latter in turn would not need the former.

In the first case, i.e. if they both needed each other, they would necessarily be imperfect. In the second case, that is, if they did not need each other, neither would be a god. [For each would be nonessential and superfluous in comparison with the other, which would be incompatible with a

godly character.] For a god must be an all- inclusive being whom everything needs every moment. Not needing him, therefore, would be out of the question. In the third case, the one that needed the other would normally be imperfect, which would mean only the latter one were a god, and hence only one god.

Qadi Baidawi [54] "rahmatullahi alaih" states: If there were supposedly two creators of the universe, both of the gods would be equally omnipotent in their command over all the dispensable beings. For omnipotence is the prerequisite of creating and annihilating. On the other hand, susceptibility of coming into existence and ceasing to exist, that is, being dispensable, is an attribute commonly shared by all beings. Accordingly, no being would exist in the universe. For either none of the gods would be effective, or one of them would be effective and the other ineffective, in the creation of a being. Either case would require a process termed (tarjih-i-bila murej-jih). [Tarjih-i-bila murej-jih means to prefer either of two certain things to the other without any reason to do so, which would be a false process]. It is out of the question for two gods effecting the creation of the dispensable beings [creatures]. For if there were no effect on the creation or non-creation of the dispensable beings, the dispensable beings would be nonexistent. If there were no one to prefer, there would be nothing preferred. In other words, if there were no creator there could not be any creatures.

In the second case, i.e. if one of the two supposed gods effected the creation of the dispensable beings while the other one did not; since the creation of the dispensable beings depended on each of the creators in an equal proportion, creation taking place with the effect of one of the two creators would absolutely be tarjih-i- bila murej-jih, which, in turn, would be false. If each of the two gods effected at the same time, this would mean two independent agents' (gods') effecting the same one subject, which would be impossible. That is, it would be impossible for two gods to have two contradictory effects on the same dispensable being at the same time. This means to say that an event where "two independent agents (gods) effected the same thing and their effects gave their results", would be quite contrary to fact. Therefore, it would be impossible for each of the two indispensable beings (gods) to effect the same thing at the same time. Then, the universe could not have two creators. [There is absolutely One Creator of this universe. He decreed to create the universe, and did create it. Nothing would exist if He did not decree and create it. There is definitely a Creator of everything. A pen cannot write by itself. It certainly needs an agent to make it write. And this agent, as everyone knows, is the writer. As it would be impossible for a pen to write without a writer, so would it be impossible for the universe to exist without a Creator].

4 - Supposing the universe had two creators and one of these creators wanted Zayd to stand up and the other god wanted him to sit down. Zayd would either stand up or sit down; either case is possible. But when both gods' wishes took effect at the same time, Zayd would have to both stand and sit at the same time. And this, in its turn, would mean to make two opposite things one, which is impossible. If only what one of them wished were to happen, then the other would be incapable. It is out of the question for a god to be incapable, for incapability is peculiar to dispensable beings, that is, creatures. On the other hand, it is impossible for a creature to have existed since eternity. As eternal incapability is impossible, so it is impossible for a creature to have existed since eternity. As eternal incapability is impossible, so it is impossible for a god to be incapable or of recent occurrence. For a god's incapability would be possible only if he lost his power which he had in eternity. And this, in its turn, would mean his losing his being eternal. If it were impossible for the other god to will that Zayd should sit down, this would mean that

one of them outacted the other's will, which, in turn, would mean the other's incapability. And he who is incapable could not be a god.

The word (Fi-hima) in the twenty-second ayat of Enbiya sura, which we have quoted above, denotes the effects of two gods. And this is a definitely authentic documentary evidence for the fact that there could not be two gods. Sad-ud-din Teftazani [55] 'rahimahullah' stated, "This ayat al-karima is a convincing document, and an evidence that anyone will understand clearly, concerning the fact that there could not be two gods."

As will be understood from what we have said so far, Allahu ta'ala is the Creator, the only One worthy of being worshipped, of all the existence, and He has no partner or likeness. Ancient Greek philosophers stated some ten evidences in order to prove that Allahu ta'ala is one. The 'Ulama of Kalam, by using the method termed inni (categorical, or a posteriori, or from effects to causes, argument), infer the cause from the effect. The Hukema, on the other hand, use the method called Limmi, that is, see the power of the cause, and deduce that this power is the cause of all beings. [Limmi means 'with limma' (in Arabic), that is, 'with (the interrogative) why'. And Inni means 'with inna (categorically so)'].

Beings existing in the universe cannot come into existence or cease to exist from themselves. There is a being who effects, creates them. Since there are worlds, and creatures in these worlds, there is a being who creates these worlds and the creatures in these worlds. Existence of creatures is an evidence for the existence of a Creator, [and this Creator is Allahu ta'ala.] Creatures in the universe have attributes. Then, Allahu ta'ala, who creates them, has these attributes.

[Everything other than Allahu ta'ala is called (Ma'siwa) or ('Alam), for which the term (TAbi'at (Nature) has been used recently. All the 'alams (worlds) were nonexistent. Allahu ta'ala created them all. All the 'alams are dispensable and of recent occurrence. That is, they may come into existence from nonexistence or cease to exist, and they came into existence from nonexistence. The hadith ash-Sharif, "Allahu ta'ala was. Nothing was," expresses this fact.

Another proof evincing that the universe is of recent occurrence is the fact that the universe is subject to a continuous process of changing. Everything is changing. What is eternal, on the other hand, will never change. Allahu ta'ala Himself and His Attributes never change. In the universe, on the contrary, physical changes take place in substances, and chemical reactions change essence, construction of matter. We see objects' ceasing to exist and changing into other objects. According to recent findings, atomic changes and nuclear reactions cause substances and elements to cease to exist and turn into energy. These changes in 'alams and substances and their coming into being from one another could not be coming from eternity. They must have a beginning, a first set of substances and elements that were created from nothing and from which they came into being.

Another evidence to prove that the universe is dispensable, that is, that it may come into being from nothing, is the fact that the universe is of recent occurrence. In fact, we see that all things around us have come into existence from nothing. Things are ceasing to exist. Other things are coming into existence from them. However, according to our latest chemical knowledge, the and five elements never cease to exist in chemical reactions. Only their constructions change. Radioactive events have shown that elements, and even atoms, cease to exist and that matter changes into energy. As a matter of fact, a German physicist named Einstein [56] has formulated this change mathematically.

This continuous process of changes in substances and their coming into being from one another must not be coming from eternity. It could not be said that it has always been this way and it will

always be. These changes have a beginning. To say that the changes have a beginning means to say that the existence of substances has a beginning. It means to say that all beings were nonexistent and were created from nothing afterwards. If the first substances had not been created from nothing, if their coming into being from one another went back into eternity, this universe would necessarily be nonexistent today. For beings' coming into existence from one another in eternity would require preexistence of other beings to give birth to them, and these other beings' existence would require yet other beings' existence before them. Existence of later ones would depend on the existence of earlier ones. If earlier ones did not exist, later ones would not exist, either. Eternal means without beginning. Something's coming into existence from nothing in eternity would mean that there were not a first being. And if the first being did not exist there could not be any beings later. As a result, everything would necessarily be always nonexistent. There could not be an endless chain of beings each of which would need another being previous to it for its existence. All of them would necessarily be nonexistent.

The fact that the universe exists now shows that it has not existed since eternity in the past and that there was a first being created from nothing. It is necessary to believe that the universe has been created from nothing and that today's universe has been formed after successive chains of things coming into being from one another since that first being.

Wujud means 'to exist'. The opposite of the word (wujud) is (Adam). Adam means 'nonexistence.' Alams, that is, all beings, were in adam before coming into being. That is, they were nonexistent.

There are two sorts of existence: First, Mumkin (dispensable); second, Wajib (indispensable). If the only type of existence were the mumkin (dispensable) and the Wajib-ul-wujud (indispensable being) did not exist, then nothing would exist. For it is a change, an event, to come into existence from nothing. According to our knowledge of physics, an event's taking place in something requires a preexisting power's effecting that thing from without it. Therefore, the existence which is mumkin (dispensable) could not come into existence or maintain its existence by itself. If some power did not effect it, it would always remain nonexistent; it could never exist. Something which could not create itself, could not create others, either. Creator of the mumkin (dispensable) has to be the Wajib-ul-wujud (indispensable being). Existence of the universe shows that there is a Creator who created it from nothing. As it is seen, the only Creator of all dispensable beings is Allahu ta'ala, who is the only Wajib-ul-wujud, and who is not of recent occurrence or dispensable.

It is necessary to believe that Allahu ta'ala is the Wajib-ul-wujud, the real and only being to be worshipped, and the Creator of all beings. We have to believe definitely that Allahu ta'ala, alone, created everything in this world and in the world to come, from nothing, without any raw material, without time factor, and without any likeness previous to it. He, alone, creates from nothing, and always keeps in existence, every substance, atoms, molecules, elements, compounds, organic substances, cells, life, death, all events, all reactions, all kinds of power and energy, motions, laws, souls, angels, all living and inert beings. As He created all beings in alams from nothing in one moment, so He is creating them from one another every moment. When the time comes for the end of the world, He shall annihilate everything in one moment, too. He, alone, is the Creator, the Owner, the Ruler of everything. We have to believe that there is no one to dominate over Him, to command Him, or to be superior to Him. All kinds of superiority, all attributes of perfection belong to Him. He does not have any deficiency, and imperfect attribute. He does whatever He wills. His makings are not intended to be useful to Himself or to others. He does not make something in return for something else. Nevertheless, each of His makings

comprises hikmats, uses, blessings and kindnesses. He is eternal. That is, He always existed. (Wajib-ul-wujud) means 'Being whose existence does not depend on someone or something else and who eternally exists only by itself.' He is not created by someone else. Were it not so, He would necessarily be dispensable and of recent occurrence and someone else's creature, which, in its turn, would countermand all our reasoning so far. In Persian (Huda) means '(He) who eternally exists by Himself.'

Allahu ta'ala cannot be thought of as dependent upon passing of time such as day and night. Since there will be no change in any respect in Allahu ta'ala, it cannot be said that He was like this in the past or will be like that in the future. Allahu ta'ala does not enter anything. He does not unite with anything. Allahu ta'ala does not have an opposite, a counterpart, a prototype, a partner, an assistant, or a protector. He does not have a mother, a father, a son, a daughter, or a spouse. He is always present with everyone, always contains and sees everything. To everybody He is closer than his jugular vein. Yet how He is present, how He contains, how He is together and close are beyond our comprehension. His closeness cannot be understood through the knowledge of 'Ulama, the intellect of scientists, or the keshf and Shuhud of Awliya [57] 'qaddes-allahu ta'ala asrarahum.' The human mind cannot grasp their inner essence. Allahu ta'ala is One in His Person and Attributes. No change occurs in any of them.

We see that the universe is in an amazing order. Every year science makes new discoveries on the system of relationship among the creatures of the universe. He who has created these systems must be Hay [58] (living, alive), 'Alim (knowing), Qadir (having power enough), Murid (willing), Semi' (hearing), Basir (seeing), Mutakallim (saying), and Khaliq (creating). For such things as dying, not knowing, not having enough power, being compelled to do, deafness, blindness and being unable to say, are all defects, things to be ashamed of. Existence of such deficient attributes in a Person who has created this universe in such an order and who protects it from perishing is impossible.

From atoms to stars, every being has been created with some calculations, laws. Orders, laws and connections discovered so far in physics, chemistry, astronomy and biology are astounding. In fact, Darwin had to say, "When I consider the order, the delicate particulars in the construction of the eye, I am so bewildered that I feel on the verge of insanity." Could attributes of imperfection ever be ascribed to Allahu ta'ala, who is the Creator of all these laws and delicate calculations that are being taught in science classes?

Furthermore, we see these attributes of perfection on creatures as well. He has created them in His creatures, too. How could He have created these attributes in His creatures if He Himself did not have them? If He did not have these attributes, His creatures would be superior to Him.

He who has created these 'alams has to have all the attributes of perfection and none of the attributes of imperfection. For one who is imperfect could not be A Huda, a Creator.

Let alone all these mental proofs, ayat al-karimas of Qur'an al-karim and hadith ash-Sharifs of our Prophet Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' state plainly that Allahu ta'ala has attributes of perfection. It is not permissible to doubt this fact. Doubt will cause kufr (disbelief). His eight Attributes of perfection written above are called (Sifat-i-Thubutiyya), That is, the Sifat-i-Thubutiyya of Allahu ta'ala are eight. Allahu ta'ala has all Attributes of perfection. There is no defect or confusion or change in His Person, Attributes, or Deeds].

We have stated (above) that Qur'an al-karim teems with ayat al-karimas expressing the fact that Allahu ta'ala is One in His Person, in His Attributes and Deeds. The first ayat of Ikhlas sura purports, " [O Muhammad!] Say [unto those who inquire about Allahu ta'ala]: Allah is One [in His Person, Attributes and Deeds]." The hundred and sixty-third ayat of Baqara sura purports:

"Thine Ilah (God) is Allah, who is One. There is no Ilah other than He. He bestows His blessings on everybody in the world, yet He shall be compassionate and kind only to believers in the hereafter." There are many such examples in Qur'an al-karim.

According to 'Ulama of Lughat (Semantics), the words (Ahad) and (Wahid) [59] are synonymous. Yet a closer observation will show that they differ in usage. When the word (Ahad) is used, '(Wahid) in every respect' is meant. Ahadiyyat, that is, being one, signifies one being as opposed to many in number; one being which is not made up of many components and which is free from such dependencies as co-ownership, amount, change, being colored, being light or dark. One who is (Ahad) does not have a prototype or a likeness. Neither mind nor feelings will afford His being broken into parts. Also, Ahad is free from concrete fractions, such as various component substances, indivisible parts, tiny solid substances, and appearance, and from abstract fractions such a kind and category. (Ahad) is the sole Person who does not have a likeness or a partner, or anyone besides Him, that is, Allahu ta'ala. [Another difference between Wahid and Ahad is that Wahid can be in Ahad. On the other hand, Ahad will never go into Wahid. In other words, Ahad is Wahid, yet not every wahid is Ahad. Wahid is used in the affirmative and Ahad in the negative. For instance, "Ra-aytu rajulan wahidan (I saw a man)," versus "Ma ra-aytu ahadan (I saw no one)"].

Allahu ta'ala has mercy over His born servants. The thirtieth ayat of Al-i-'imran sura purports, "Allahu ta'ala commands you to fear and avoid His torment. Allahu ta'ala is very compassionate over His born servants." [Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "Meditate over the creatures of Allahu ta'ala. Do not ponder over His Person. For you could not appreciate or comprehend His Greatness." No work could comprehend its maker. In another hadith ash-Sharif, our Prophet declared, "Allahu ta'ala is far from everything that will come to mind."]

FOOTNOTES

[53] Fakhr-ud-din Razi passed away in Hirat in 606 [A.D. 1209].

[54] Abdullah Baidawi passed away in 685 [A.D. 1286].

[55] Teftazani passed away in Semmerkand in 792 [A.D. 1389].

[56] Einstein died in 1375 [A.D. 1955]

[57] Wali means a person loved by Allahu ta'ala (pl. Awliya). For being a wali, it is necessary to obey the Shariat strictly and to make progress in the way of Tasawwuf. After reaching a certain grade, very subtle, inexplicable pieces of knowledge begin to pour into a wali's heart. These pieces of information are called keshf. Shuhud means to see through the heart.

[58] Pronounced [hal] in I.P.A.

[59] Lexically, both words mean 'one'.

A DISCOURSE ON KNOWLEDGE

Although Christians say that "Allahu ta'ala knows things," on various occasions they impute ignorance to Him. For instance, the Holy Bible, which they claim to have remained intact and which is being read in churches today, reads as follows in the first chapter of Genesis, in the Old Testament: "God created the first heaven, that is, the sky and the earth. The earth was desolate and dark. He said: Let light be. Light was. God saw that light was beautiful. God created heaven and earth. He saw that they were good and beautiful. ... Then He created that, and saw it was beautiful, good, and then that, and then that... ."

[O Christians!] Be reasonable, Supposing a civil engineer wanted to build a house; would he begin the construction before making a project and seeing whether it would be lovely enough? Of course, he would not. [Today also, before the construction of a building begins, an architect designs a plan so that the building will becomely and well-proportioned. In this plan he lays down the measurements of all the contents of the building. And the building is constructed according to this plan. Could a well-shaped building be made by piling up cement, stones, sand and bricks in a haphazard way? Has anyone attempted to make a house without a plan?] Is the knowledge that Allahu ta'ala has even shorter [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so] than that of an engineer, a powerless born servant of His?

It is stated as follows about Allahu ta'ala in the sixth and later verses of the sixth chapter of Genesis, in the Old Testament: "God saw that man's evils spread over the earth. He repented having created man on the earth and felt compunction in His heart, and God said: I shall wipe out man, beasts and reptiles that I have created from the earth. For I repent having created them." Moreover, it is written in the seventh and eighth chapters of Genesis that Allahu ta'ala commanded Nuh 'alaihi-salam' to make a ship and live on this ship with his followers, that He annihilated all human beings and all the living except those who boarded the ship, that a flood inundated everywhere, it rained for forty days and forty nights and then the flood subsided, and that Allahu ta'ala remembered Nuh 'alaihi-salam' hundred and fifty days later.

It stands to reason that if an imbecile did something of vital importance he would not forget it for forty years. How could it ever be possible for Allahu ta'ala. Creator of all 'alams, to have forgotten Nuh 'alaihi-salam' and those who were with him? Ignorance that Christians impute to Allahu ta'ala is beyond limits of measurement.

According to Muslims' belief and the teachings of the 'Ulama of Kalam, all things that have happened and will happen are every moment within the knowledge of Allahu ta'ala. Allahu ta'ala knows everything, no matter whether it is existent or nonexistent, possible to exist or impossible. There is not a tiniest mote outside the knowledge of Allahu ta'ala. Muslims have proved this fact with very many mental proofs.

Deeds of Allahu ta'ala are Muhkam (Thorough, above reproach). They are far from defects or imperfection. There are numerous uses and hikmats in everything He creates. The Person whose Deeds are unchangeable and perfect is certainly the Creator of the universe. When a person sees the orderly systems in heavens and on earth, creation of heavens from nothing, qualities and peculiarities in substances, so many kinds of fruits, vegetables, plants, metals, and innumerable genera of animals, He will realize that the Deeds of Allahu ta'ala are unchangeable and perfect. Upon meditating over the fact that all these things have been created with certain calculations and rules, the human mind will be stupefied. Allahu ta'ala has created many a thing which the human mind falls short of comprehending in this universe.

[From his early childhood, man begins to make enquiries about where the things he sees around him have come from. As the child grows, he gradually realizes what a tremendous work of art this earth is on which he is living, and the more he realizes the greater his bewilderment. By the time he enters upon higher education and begins to learn about the subtleties in all these things and creatures around him, his bewilderment turns into admiration. What a stupendous miracle it is that only owing to the gravitation of the earth men are living on a fire-cored, round (somewhat low on both poles) globe traveling by itself with a great speed in an orbit in space. What a great power it is that makes all these mountains, rocks, seas, living creatures, plants around us, improves them and gives them innumerable peculiarities. While some animals walk on the earth, some of them fly in the sky, and others live in water. The sun, sending its lights unto us, provides

the highest heat we could conceive of, which causes development, of plants and effects chemical changes in some of them, which results in the formation of such substances as flour, sugar, and many others. On the other hand, our earthly globe is, as we know, only a tiny particle in the universe. The solar system, which consists of planets evolving round the sun and of which our globe is a member, is only one of the very many universal systems whose number is beyond our knowledge. Let us give a small example to explain the power and energy in the universe: The latest gigantic source of energy is the atomic bomb, which men have obtained by splitting (heavy) atomic nuclei. Yet when the energy released by great earthquakes is compared with the energy of an atomic bomb, which men consider to be "the greatest source of energy", it will be seen that the former type of energy is equal to tens of thousands of atomic energy.

Man is mostly unaware of what a tremendous factory, an immaculate laboratory his body is. In fact, respiration alone is a terrific chemical event. The oxygen inhaled from the air is burned in the body and then exhaled in the form of carbon dioxide.

The alimentary (digestive) system, on the other hand, is a colossal factory. Nutritive received through the mouth are decomposed and assimilated in the stomach and bowels, the salutary essence extracted is transfused into the blood by way of the intestines, and waste matter is discharged. This fantastic event is automatically accomplished with super precision, and thus the body functions like a factory].

Neither paper nor pens would suffice to write the details of these events. This fact is more obvious than the sun to scientists such as astronomers, anatomists, zoologists and botanists. [And the Creator of all these phenomena is ALLAHU TA'ALA, who is the owner of very great power, who never changes and who is eternal.]

Especially the Awliya-i-kiram, that is, people who have attained to high grades in the world of souls, see very clearly how perfectly systematic the Deeds of Allahu ta'ala are. And perfect, systematic deeds, in their turn, signify that their owner is highly knowledgeable. For instance, when a person sees a beautiful handwriting, he will infer that the person who wrote it is learned and skilled in calligraphy. As a matter of fact, the hundred and sixty-fourth ayat of Baqara sura purports, "Certainly, creation of heavens, [garnished with stars], and earth, [embroidered with mountains, seas, plants]; nights and days following one another; ships traveling on the sea and carrying to people what they need; rains which Allahu ta'ala pours from heaven and thus resuscitates the plants after the earth has dried up; all sorts of animals which He spreads over the earth; winds which He makes blow from all directions; clouds which float between heaven and earth with the command of Allahu ta'ala; all (these things) bear evidences and lessons concerning the power and greatness of Allahu ta'ala for those who have reason, wisdom and discernment." The fifty-third ayat of Fussilat sura purports, "We shall show them [Meccans] our ayats [the sun, moon, stars, trees, winds, rains, formation of a baby's limbs in its mother's womb, which signify our greatness] both in the afaq [in heavens and on earth] and in their very nafs [the exquisite qualities and dissimilitude in their creations]. At last it shall be evident to them that what He [Qur'an al-karim and Rasulullah] said, is true."

The expression 'ayats in the afaq' in this ayat al-karima is used to mean the worldly signs which denote the power of Allahu ta'ala, such as heavens, stars, night and day, rays of the sun, darkness, shades, water, fire, earth, air. And what is meant by the 'ayats [signs] in the enfus' is those which are inside man, such as formation of child's limbs in mother's womb, [accomplishment of tremendous phenomena in an automatically and exceedingly fantastic manner, such as taking the oxygen from the air, burning it in the body, and expelling it in the form of carbon dioxide, taking substances of nutrition and drinks through the mouth,

decomposing and digesting them, extracting their essence useful to body in the intestines and transferring it into blood, discharging their useless parts, functioning of the heart, the kidneys' filtering harmful matter from blood, ... etc.]. The hikmat of expressing the afaqi (objective) and enfusee (subjective) proofs in these ayat al-karimas is to make them know, [have iman in, and worship] Allahu ta'ala, who is far from being opposite from or identical with His born servants, who knows everything, who is the owner of hikmat, and who is Almighty. In short, these immaculate and orderly Deeds signify that Allahu ta'ala, their Owner and the Creator of all these phenomena, has perfect knowledge and power. The 'Ulama of Kalam have proved this by various evidences. For instance:

1 - Allahu ta'ala is abstract. That is, He is not substantial [or material. He is not an element. He is not an alloy or a compound. He is not numerable. He cannot be measured. He cannot be calculated. No change occurs in Him. He is not dependent upon space. He is not at or in a place. He is not dependent upon time. He does not have an antecedent, a consequence, front, back, top, bottom, right, left. Therefore, the human thought, the human knowledge, the human mind cannot comprehend anything concerning Him]. And He, who is abstract, knows everything.

2 - Allahu ta'ala, whose Person is sublime, knows His own Person. A creator who has this capacity knows others as well. Man's knowing means his mentally visualizing the essences of really existent objects in a manner free of their material beings. There is nothing unknown to Allahu ta'ala. He knows the true essence of His Person. It is a known fact that he who knows himself will know others, too.

Allahu ta'ala has created everything except Himself with or without a means. Knowing the creatures necessitates knowing the existence of a creator.

A DISCOURSE ON POWER

It is known that Christians, while expressing that Allahu ta'ala is the Almighty, impute impotence to Allahu ta'ala. [As we have explained earlier in the text], the Taurah (Old Testament) has been interpolated. It is stated in the (interpolated copies of the) Taurah that Allahu ta'ala, after creating the universe in six days, sat down and spent the seventh day resting. It is written at the beginning of the second chapter of Genesis, "And God finished His work on the seventh day. And after all the work He had done, He rested on the seventh day. And God made the seventh day blessed and consecrated it. For that day God relaxed from all His work of creation." [It is for this reason that Christians observe (Sunday), the seventh day of the week, as a day of rest and holiday and do no work then.].

Did Allahu ta'ala, like a carpenter [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so], use some tools for His creation so that He was tired? It is stated as follows in the twenty-fourth and later verses of the thirty-second chapter of Genesis, [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying these things]: "Jacob was left alone, and as a man wrested with him till daybreak, the ball of Jacob's thigh-bone was hurt. And God said: Let me go. For dawn is quite near. And Jacob said: I shall not let thee go unless thou makest me blessed. And God said unto him: What ist thine name? And he said: Jacob. And God said: Thou shalt no longer be called Jacob, thou shalt only be called Israel. For thou hast striven with God and with men, and thou hast overcome them."

O Christians! Please do see reason. This would mean that Allahu ta'ala grappled with a creature of His until morning and could not free Himself from the hold of Yaqub 'alaihissalam! Could a god ever be so powerless? Certainly, Allahu ta'ala is free from such imperfections.

According to the [pure] belief of Muslims, Allahu ta'ala has the power enough to create every dispensable being. He has the Attribute of Omnipotence. Omnipotence is an eternal Attribute and effects, creates whatever He wills [wishes]. All Muslims unanimously agree on this fact. Allahu ta'ala is able to create everything that His Omnipotence wills to create. Every creature has come into being through His Omnipotence.

All the things which the Omnipotence of Allahu ta'ala has willed to create are equal with respect to their creation. For they are dispensable beings, creatures. The Attribute of Omnipotence will not effect the Wajib-ul-wujud (Allahu ta'ala) or the mumtani' (-ul-wujud) [that which can never exist]. It is impossible to effect them [to will their creation]. Being dispensable, that is, its making no difference whether something exists or not, is an attribute commonly shared by all beings that are dispensable. All the dispensable beings [creatures] are liable to coming into being or ceasing to exist, depending on the effect of the Attribute of Power (Omnipotence). Capability of Allahu ta'ala (to do anything He wishes) comes from His Person. This state is equal for all beings whose creation has been willed. If the Power of Allahu ta'ala were related to some creatures only, there would necessarily be a reason for this. And this, in its turn, would indicate that the greatness of Allahu ta'ala were dependent on something [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so]. [For in this case there would have to be a reason to compel Allahu ta'ala to allot His Power to some creatures], which, in turn, would mean imperfection. And imperfection cannot exist in Allahu ta'ala.

According to Christians, Allahu ta'ala is not Omnipotent [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so]. For it is stated in the Taurah, "(God said:) I shall go to Canan with the Children of Israel. Let them blow the horn strongly so that I will hear it." According to Muslims' belief, Allahu ta'ala hears and sees everything. Yet Allahu ta'ala is free from media such as eyes and ears, [sound and light]. [He sees and hears without any intermediaries].

According to Christians' credo, Allahu ta'ala has entered Isa 'alaihi-salam'. As we have stated earlier, they say "Jesus is a God from God, a Light from Light."

According to Muslims' belief, Allahu ta'ala is far from entering something. For something enters something else through one of the following two ways: first, by entering the space it occupies; second, by entering its attributes. Allahu ta'ala is far from entering any space. Its evidence is that Allahu ta'ala is free from place and far from being a part of something. For such dependencies as place and being a part of something are attributes peculiar to matter and material things. And it has already been proven that Allahu ta'ala is not matter and does not have attributes peculiar to matter. All the 'Ulama have stated this fact unanimously. As for the impossibility for Allahu ta'ala to enter something by way of entering its attributes; this sort of entering, like any other sort of entering, would run counter to the fact that Allahu ta'ala is (Wajib-ul-wujud). For if something entered something else, it would certainly need the thing it were now in. On the other hand, none of these types of entering, whether it be an object's entering something else's place or its attributes' entering something else's essence or its shape's entering another substance or its attributes' entering its essence, would mean entering according to philosophers; they say that this is no more than having a characteristic. In short, when something enters a place, it will need that place. [And this, in its turn, is contradictory to being a god].

According to Christians, Allahu ta'ala is matter and an object. In fact, it is stated in the Taurah, in the twenty-seventh verse of the first chapter of Genesis, "God loveth man, for He hath created him in His figure." Indeed, Christians make various pictures, cherubs, icons, [idols], put them in their churches, and worship them. They hold the belief that "God liveth in heavens. The earth is His foothold."

Allahu ta'ala is far from this Christian credo and anything similar to this credo. In this respect there is agreement between Muslims and the ancient Greek philosophers.

Evidences of this fact are written in books of Kalam.

Again, [as we have stated earlier in the text], Christians hold the belief that "Because of a venial mistake made by Adam 'alaihi-salam', all people and all Prophets 'alaihimus-salam' who came to earth until the time of Isa 'alaihi-salam', [because they were smeared with the depravity of the original sin], will be tormented in Hell, and Allahu ta'ala, being at a loss as to how to forgive this (so-called) grave sin, [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so], had His only Son killed after various kinds of affronts and tortures in the hands of Jews, burned him eight days in Hell, and thus forgave the original sin."

According to Muslims' belief, there is no one above Allahu ta'ala to command Him or to interrogate Him. Allahu ta'ala is Ghafur, that is, He has very much forgiveness, and He is Rahim (merciful, compassionate). If a person has committed sins and died without repenting his sins and supplicating forgiveness, He will forgive him if He wills it be so; or He will torment him in return for his sins. [If He forgave all His born servants and put them into Paradise, it would be compatible with His Kindness. And if He threw all His born servants into Hell, it would be compatible with His Justice]. It is such an awkward thing to believe that Allahu ta'ala found no other way than killing His only Son for the forgiveness of His born servants. On the other hand, priests are traveling from one village to another, forgiving Christians sins [in return for a certain sum of money], while popes are selling plots from Paradise inch by inch as [if] they posses [ed] the keys to Paradise and the title deeds of these plots. [We have already quoted, (and explained) the Biblical verses on which priests base these practices of theirs].

As for the degree of respect [!] which Christians have for Prophets 'alaihimus-salam'; they impute various sins to each Prophet. They would disapprove even the lowest priest's being qualified with these unpleasant adjectives which they impute to Prophets. Examples of these are the slanders such as Lut's 'alaihi-salam' committing fornication with his blessed daughters when in ecstasy: Yahuda's (Judah) committing adultery with his daughter-in-law: Dawud's (David) 'alaihi-salam' committing adultery with Urya's wife; Sulaiman's (Solomon) 'alaihi-salam' worshipping idols.

The following principles of belief held by Christians are no less embarrassing than the slanders they direct to Prophets: While believing in the apostleship and even prophethood of the twelve Hawaris of Isa 'alaihi-salam', (they accept that) one of them, Judas (Iscariot) betrayed Isa 'alaihi-salam' to the Jews in return for a bribe of thirty dirhams; [on the night when Isa 'alaihi-salam' was arrested by the Jews, (according to Christians)], a rooster crowed three times and Peter the Apostle denied knowing Isa 'alaihi-salam' three times, each time the rooster crowed; Paul, who had believers of Isa 'alaihi-salam' killed with various kinds of torture for sixteen to seventeen years and had one of the Apostles flayed alive, is believed, by Christians, to have become a believer, to be more virtuous than Musa 'alaihi-salam', to have substituted baptism for circumcision, and diet for the worship of fasting, which is enjoined clearly in the Bible and in the Taurah, and to have changed many of the Mosaic and Biblical laws.

In order to ascribe divinity to Isa 'alaihi-salam', Christians impute a sin to every Prophet. In a debate between Muslims and Christians, a priest who asserted that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was divine was asked by an Islamic savant what his proofs were. He answered that he had four proofs, and cited them as follows:

"My first proof is that he was created without a father," he said. When the Islamic scholar said, "Adam 'alaihi-salam' was created not only without a father, but also without a mother. Also,

angels were created both without a father and without a mother. [Angels are not male or female]. Then, Adam 'alaihi-salam' and angels must be accepted as divine like Isa 'alaihi-salam' [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so]," the priest could not answer him. Then he went on and asserted his second proof.

"My second proof is that Isa 'alaihi-salam' resuscitated dead people," he said. Upon this the Islamic scholar interrogated, "As it is written in the Taurah, a couple of Israelite Prophets also resuscitated dead people. In fact, Musa 'alaihi-salam' animated the inert rod. These people, too, must be sons of Allahu ta'ala [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so]." The priest could not answer this, either. Then he passed on to his third proof.

"My third proof is Isa's 'alaihi-salam' ascension to heaven," he said. Upon this the Islamic savant said, "You say that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was lifted up to heaven after being killed with many insults. Christians and Muslims unanimously believe that Idris 'alaihi-salam', too, was lifted up to heaven in an honorable and dignified manner and when he was alive. Accordingly, Idris 'alaihi-salam' would necessarily be more worthy of being a son to Allahu ta'ala." The priest could not answer this either. Then he passed on to his fourth proof.

"Every Prophet committed a sin, but Isa 'alaihi-salam' did not. This is an attribute of divinity," he said. When the Islamic scholar asked, "Which Prophets committed sins?", he said, "(For example), David (Dawud 'alaihi-salam') did." Upon this the Islamic scholar said, "O priest! This statement of yours makes you more evil, more repugnant than Jews. For the apposite 'Jesus, the son of David' is written in all the four Gospels. If what you said were true and Dawud 'alaihi-salam' were an adulterer, [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying so], then Isa 'alaihi-salam' would be acknowledging that he were an illegitimate child [may Allahu ta'ala protect us from saying or thinking so] by saying that he is the son of Dawud 'alaihi-salam'. Could there be any doubts as to this deduction? O priest! You are on the one hand promoting Isa 'alaihi-salam' to divinity in power, and on the other hand downgrading him to an illegitimate son. There is a great contradiction between these two." The priest, once again, could not answer. Extremely humiliated and utterly dumbfounded, he left the place.

Another consternating paradox Christians fall into in their belief system is that, while imputing sins to all the Prophets 'alaihimus-salam' whom Allahu ta'ala has chosen from among His born servants and sent forth (as Messengers) to people as a great favor and kindness (to humanity), they believe that the Popes whom they have chosen from among themselves are innocent. What a smug fatuity! The second ayat of Hashr sura purports, "O thee people of discernment! [Observe the commandments of Allahu ta'ala, mediate (over them), and] take lessons."

This chapter is the translation of the ninety-second chapter of the first section of the (Turkish) book (Se'adet-i-Ebediyye) [60].]

ISA (JESUS) 'alaihi-salam' WAS HUMAN HE IS NOT TO BE WORSHIPPED

A committee of Christians from Nejran came to our master, Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'. Nejran is a city between Hijaz and Yaman. They were sixty horsemen, twenty-four of whom were eminent chiefs. Their spokesman was named Abdulmeseeh. A man named Abdulharis bin Alqama was the most learned one among them. He had read about the signs of the latest time's Prophet in the Bible. Yet his aspiration for worldly ranks and ambition for fame

would not let him become a Muslim. Being well-known for his knowledge, he was revered by kaisers and obeyed by churches. They arrived in Medina and entered the Masjid ash-Sharif after the afternoon prayer. They wore adorned priestly garments. It being their time for prayer, they stood for prayer in the Masjid ash-Sharif, and Rasulullah 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' said, "Let them pray." They performed their prayer eastward. Their three leaders began to talk. During the conversation, they referred to Isa 'alaihis-salam' now as 'God', then as the 'Son of God', now as 'One of Three Gods.' They called him 'God' "because," they said, 'he resuscitated the dead, cured ill people, informed about the unknown, made birds from mud, breathed life into them and made them fly.' He was called the 'Son of God' because "he did not have a father." He was, according to them, "One of Three Gods," because "God Himself uses such expressions as 'We have made,' 'We have created.' If He were one, He would say. 'I have made,' 'I have created,' they said. Rasulullah 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' invited them to the (Islamic) religion. He recited a few ayat al-karimas. They would not believe. "We had believed before you did," they said. Rasulullah 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' said, "You are lying! A person who says that Allah has a son cannot have believed." "If he is not the Son of God, then who is his father," they said. Rasulullah 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "Do not you know? Allahu ta'ala never dies, and He, alone, keeps everything in existence. But Isa 'alaihis-salam' was nonexistent, and he shall cease to exist again."

They said, "Yes, we know."

Rasulullah, "Do not you know? Is there any child which is unlike its father?"

They said, "Every child will resemble its father. [The young of a sheep will be like the sheep]."

Rasulullah, "Do not you know? Our Rab (Allahu ta'ala) creates, grows, sustains everything. Yet Isa (alaihis-salam' did not do any of these."

They said, "No, he did not."

Rasulullah, "Our Rab created Isa 'alaihis-salam' as He willed, didn't He?"

They said, "Yes, He did."

Rasulullah, "Our Rab does not eat or drink. No change occurs in Him. Do you know this, too?"

They said, "Yes, we do."

Rasulullah, "Isa 'alaihis-salam' had a mother. He came to the world like any other child. He was fed like other children. He would eat, drink, and dispose of waste matter. You know this, too, don't you?"

They said, "Yes, we do."

Rasulullah, "Then, how could Isa 'alaihis-salam' be as you think he is?"

They could not answer and remained silent for a while. Then they said:

"O Muhammad 'alaihis-salam'! Don't you say that Jesus is 'The Word of Allah, and a Soul from Him'?"

Rasulullah said, "Yes, (I do)."

They said, "Then this will be enough for us," and resumed their stubborn stance.

Upon this, Allahu ta'ala ordered (Rasulullah) to challenge them to mubahala (cursing one another). So Rasulullah 'sallallahu alaihi wasallam' said, "If you do not believe me, then come on and let us make mubahala, that is, let us say, 'May Allahu ta'ala damn him who is lying!' This commandment of Allahu ta'ala is cited in the sixty-first ayat al-karima of Al-i-'imran sura. One of them, who was named Sharhabil and was called 'Sayed' by his colleagues, convened them and said, "He evinces all sorts of qualifications that would make him a Prophet. If we made mubahala with him, we would certainly incur a catastrophic scourge that would devastate not only us, but also all our descendants!" So, being afraid to make mubahala, they said, "O

Muhammad 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'! We want to remain friends with you. We will give you whatever you want. Let a trustworthy person among your Sahaba accompany us back home, and we shall give him our taxes."

Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "I shall send an extremely trustworthy person along with you." As the Ashab al-kiram 'alaihimur-ridwan' awaited in submissive silence, eager to know who would be honored with the exalted Prophet's trust, Rasulullah 'sall- allahu alaihi wasallam' ordered, "Stand up, o Aba Ubaidah!" Declaring, "This (person) is the (most) trustworthy among my Ummat (Muslims)," he sent him along with them.

A peace treaty was made under the following terms: They were to give two thousand sets of clothing every year. One thousand of them would be given in the (Arabic) month of Rajab, and the remaining one thousand in the month of Safer. Forty dirhams [135 grams] of silver would be added to each set of clothing. Sometime later Abdulmeseeh, their chief, and Sharhabil, their Sayyid, became Muslims and were honored with taking service with Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam.'

The (Holy Bible), which Christians have translated into all languages and spread all over the world, contains the following statements in the fourth verse of the sixth chapter of (Deuteronomy), (fifth book) of the Old Testament: "Harken, o Israel! Our God, our Rab is One. Let this word of mine settle in thine heart. And teach this to thine sons with care!"

The fifth verse of the forty-fifth chapter of the Book of Esh'iyah (saiah) reads as follows: "I am Rab (Allah). There is no Lord besides Me. There is no God but Me. Let those who are in the east and those who are in the west know that there is none except Me. I am the Lord. There is none but Me."

And it is stated in its twenty-second verse: "Worship Me, all of thee! I am Allah, and there is none besides Me."

The thirty-ninth verse of its forty-sixth chapter states: "I am Allah, and there is none else. I am Allah. I do not have a counterpart or a likeness." Christians' Holy Bible says, "Allah is One. There is nothing like Him." They deny their own Book. May Allahu ta'ala give them wisdom and reason! May He bless them with realizing the truth, so that they will stop deceiving themselves and misleading others!

FOOTNOTES

[60] This book, (Se'adet-i-ebediyye), a treasure of religious knowledge, has been partly translated into English, in fascicles titled (Endless Bliss).

ISA (JESUS) 'alaihis-salam' IS A PROPHET HE IS NOT TO BE WORSHIPPED

Imam-i Fakhir-ud-din Razi 'rahmatullahi alaih', a great Islamic 'Alim, and the author of the book (Tafsir-i kabir) and many other valuable books, gives the following account in his interpretation of the sixty-first ayat al-karima of Al-i-'imran sura:

I was in the city of Harazm. I heard that a priest had come to the city and was trying to spread Christianity. I went to him. We began to talk. He asked me, "What is the evidence showing that Muhammad 'alaihis-salam' is the Prophet?" ' gave the following answer:

Fakhir-ud-din Razi - As there are narratives reporting that Musa, Isa and other Prophets 'alaihimus- salam' display wonders and miracles, so it has been reported that Muhammad 'alaihis-

salam' displayed miracles. These reports are in forms of narratives. You either accept or refuse reports coming in forms of narratives. If you refuse them and say that a miracle does not prove a person's prophethood, then you should also deny the other Prophets whose miracles have been reported to us through narratives. If you admit the truth of the reports coming through narratives and believe that a person who has displayed miracles is a Prophet, then you should accept also that Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' is a Prophet. For Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' displayed miracles, which have been reported to us through authentic narratives called (Tawatur). Since you believe other Prophets' prophethood because of the miracles reported through narratives, you should believe that Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' is the Prophet!

The priest - I believe that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is a god, not a Prophet.

[God means mabud (that which, or who, is, or is to be, worshipped). Anything which is worshipped is called a god. The name of Allahu ta'ala is Allah, not God. There is no ilah (god) besides Allahu ta'ala. It would be a very vile mistake to say 'God' instead of 'Allah'].

Fakhr-ud-din Razi - We are talking about prophethood now. We have to settle the question of prophethood before passing on to divinity. Moreover, you are wrong to say that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is a god. For a god has to exist always. Material beings, objects, things that occupy spaces cannot be gods. And Isa 'alaihi-salam' was matter, human. He came into existence from nonexistence and was, according to you, killed. He was a child and grew up. He ate and drank. He spoke as we do. He would go to bed, sleep, wake up, and walk. Like any other human being, he needed a number of things to live. Could a person in need ever be Ghani (who is in possession of everything)? Could something that came into existence from nothing, exist eternally? Could something that changes be everlasting, eternal?

You say that Isa 'alaihi-salam' ran away and hid himself but the Jews arrested him and hanged him. You say that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was very sad then and had recourse to various ways to escape. If he had been a god or if a piece of God had entered him, would not he have defended himself against the Jews and even destroyed them? Why did he feel sad and look for a place to hide himself? I would swear on the name of Allah that this paradox appalls me. How could a reasonable person make or believe these statements? Reason testifies against these statements.

You have three different assertions:

1 - You say that he is a visible, substantial god. To say that the god of the universe is Isa 'alaihi-salam', the substantial god incarnate, would mean to say that the Jews killed the god of the universe, since (you believe that) they killed him (Isa 'alaihi-salam'). In that case the universe must have been deprived of its god, which is impossible. Furthermore, is it possible for a weakling whom the Jews arrested and killed unjustly to have been the god of the universe?

Another fact reported through narratives is that Isa 'alaihi-salam' worshipped Allahu ta'ala very much and was very much fond of praying. If Isa 'alaihi-salam' were a god, he would not worship or pray. For a god will never worship himself. [On the contrary, others will worship him].

This is another evidence showing that the priest is wrong.

2 - You say that God has entered him completely and (therefore) he is the Son of God. This belief is wrong. For God cannot be an object or an attribute. It is impossible for God to enter an object. If God were an object He would enter another object. When something enters an object it will become an object and the components of the two objects will be mixed with each other. And this, in its turn, will mean God's being broken. If God were an attribute, then He would need a space, a place, which would mean God's needing something. And he who needs something cannot be a god. [What was the reason for God's entering Isa 'alaihi-salam'? His entering Isa

'alaihi-salam' without any reason to do so would mean tarjih-i-bi-la murej-jih, which, as we have explained while proving the unity of Allahu ta'ala, is out of the question].

3 - You say that he is not a god but a part of God has entered him and settled in him. If the part which (is supposed to have) entered him were a component part of God, then God should have completely lost His capacity of being God with the departure of that component part. If that part did not have any function in God's being God, then it should not have been a part from God. Hence, God has not entered him.

Now, what is your other evidence to prove that Isa 'alaihi-salam' was a god?

The priest - He is a god because he resuscitated the dead, opened the eyes of people who were blind from birth, and cured the disease called leprosy resulting in itchy patches on the skin. Only God could make such things.

Fakhr-ur-din Razi - Could it be asserted that when there is no evidence for the existence of something it must be nonexistent? If you say that absence of evidence proves non-existence of the thing whose existence would otherwise be inferred from the evidence, it will mean to say that the Creator of the universe did not exist before creating the universe, that is, in the eternal past. And this inference, in its turn, is quite wrong. For the universe [all creatures] is an evidence for the existence of the Creator.

If you say that absence of evidence does not necessarily mean nonexistence of the thing whose existence were to be inferred from the evidence, you will have accepted the existence of the Creator in eternity, when creatures did not exist yet. On the other hand, if you say that God entered Isa 'alaihi-salam' in eternity, when he was nonexistent, you will need evidence to prove it. Otherwise, you will have accepted it without evidence. For Isa 'alaihi-salam' was created afterwards. His nonexistence in eternity shows nonexistence of evidence. Since you believe without evidence that God entered Isa 'alaihi-salam', how do you know He did not enter me, you, animals, plants, stones? Why don't you believe without evidence that He entered all these things?

The priest - It is obvious that God entered Isa 'alaihi-salam' and He did not enter you, me, or other beings. You, I, or other beings did not display such wonders. We infer from this that He entered him, and not us or other beings.

Fakhr-ud-din Razi - You assert that Isa's 'alaihi-salam' displaying miracles is an evidence for God's having entered him. Why do you say that absence of evidence, that is, not displaying miracles, shows that God should not have entered. You cannot say that God will not enter you, me, or other creatures because we do not have wonders or miracles. For we have already proved that absence of evidence does not necessarily mean that something does not exist. Accordingly, God's entering something does not have to do with the appearing of wonders and miracles. Then, you will have to believe also that God has entered me, you, cats, dogs, mice. Now, could a religious system which leads to believing that God has entered these humble creatures ever be a true religion?

It is more difficult to make a viper or a serpent from a rod than it is to resuscitate a dead person. For a rod and a serpent are in no way similar. You believe that Musa 'alaihi-salam' transformed the rod into a viper and yet do not call him 'God' or 'Son of God.' Why do you call Isa 'alaihi-salam' 'God' or ascribe divinity to him?

Unable to find an answer to this argumentation of mine, the priest had to remain silent. This chapter has been translated from the (Turkish) book (Se'adet-i Ebediyye).

O priest! We wish that you explain the belief systems of these two religions to philosophers who do not belong to either religion or to other wise and reasonable people, ask them which of these

two religions they find logical, factual and beautiful, and be true to your advice, "One should compare the two religions, and then accept the one which is beautiful," which you suggest in your book (Ghada-ul-mulahazat).

Allahu ta'ala, alone, will grant guidance and assistance.

In order to mislead Muslims and Christianize them, priests wrote many books. The Islamic 'Ulama wrote answers to the lies in these books, and thus protected Muslims from falling into the pit of Christianity. One of these answers is the Turkish book (Izah-ul-Maram), which was written by Abdullah Abdi bin Destan Mustafa 'rahmatullahi alaihim' and was published in Istanbul in 1288 [A.D. 1871]. He was from Manastir (Bitolj), and passed away in 1303 [A.D. 1896].

CONCERNING THE FOUR GOSPELS

The four Gospels, which form the basis of Christians' religion and which they name the Bible are not the genuine Injil-i Sharif which Allahu ta'ala sent down with Jabrail (Gabriel) 'alaihissalam'. These four Gospels are history books written by four different people after Isa's 'alaihis-salam' ascension to heaven. One of them, (Matthew), is said to be one of the Apostles. Twelve years after Isa's 'alaihis-salam' ascension to heaven, upon the repeated earnest requests of his friends, he wrote a book titled (Milad-i-Isa), in which he related what he had seen and heard. The second one, (Mark), wrote twenty-eight years later what he had heard from the Apostles. The third one, (Luke), wrote a book of history thirty-two years later in Alexandria in order to narrate what he had heard. The fourth one (John), is said to have been one of the Apostles. Forty-five years after Isa's 'alaihis-salam' ascension to heaven, he wrote a biography of Isa 'alaihissalam'.

The Injil (Evangel), sent down by Allahu ta'ala, was only one Book. It is an absolute fact that heavenly Book did not contain any contradictory, inconsistent writings. These four books, on the other hand, teem with paradoxical lies. It is written in all of them that Isa 'alaihis-salam' was killed by crucifixion. On the other hand, it is declared clearly in Qur'an al-karim that someone else was killed in lieu of him and that Isa 'alaihis-salam' was elevated to heaven alive as he was. If these four Gospels were really Word of Allahu ta'ala, they would not contain any reports belying one another, for there will not be any paradoxical statements in the Word of Allahu ta'ala. These Gospels contain reports that have nothing to do with the facts heard from Isa 'alaihis-salam', and some of them are reports of events that happened after his ascension to heaven. This fact is admitted by priests, too. The lies in these books are quoted and answered in the book (Al-alam fi-bayan-i mafi-din-in-Nasara), written by Imam-i-Qurtubi [61], in the book (Hidayat-ul-Hiyara fi-ajwibat-il-yahood-i-wan-Nasara), written by Ibn-ul-Qayyim-i Jawziyya [62], and in the book (Tahjil man-harraf al-Injil), written by Salih Suudi Maliki. Also, detailed information is given in the books (Asami-ul-kutub) and (Kasf-uz-zunun), written by Ahmad Effendi of Tashkopru and Katib Chalabi 'rahmatullahi ta'ala alaihim'. Salih wrote his book in 942 [A.D. 1535].

The genuine Injil does not exist anywhere. In fact, most priests deny the existence of a heavenly Book called (Injil). According to a narrative, after Isa's 'alaihis-salam' ascension to heaven, the Jews burned, or somehow destroyed, that book. At that time the Injil was not widely known yet. For Isa's 'alaihis-salam' period of prophethood was about three years. And those who believed him were quite few, most of whom were illiterate peasants. For this reason, another copy of the Injil-i-Sharif could not be written. Only, Isa 'alaihis-salam' had committed it to his memory. Or, we might as well conjecture that during the destruction of fifty Gospels in the three hundred and twenty-fifth year of the Christian era priests, thinking it was one of those false Gospels, must

have destroyed it, too. In those days there were forty to fifty irreconcilable Gospels. There were religious controversies which mostly ended in furious bloodbath among the upholders of those Gospels. It is written in the ecclesiastical histories that during the trial of Arius four of those Gospels were sanctioned and the others were disallowed. An Anglican priest conducted a search of the forbidden Gospels, translated the ones he had found into English, and published them in London in 1236 [A.D. 1280], adding a list of the Gospels he had not been able to find. Ahmad Farisi Effendi, owner of the newspaper (Al-jawaib), translated this publication into Arabic. A list of those books called (Gospels) has been added to our book (Samsamiyya).

Because Christians believe that these four Gospels and the books which they possess in the names of (Taurah) and (Zabur) are heavenly books, we call them Ahl-i-kitab (People of the Book). The statements quoted as having been made by Isa 'alaihi-salam' in these four Gospels are of doubtful origin and can never be authentic documents because they are among those narratives called (haber-i-wahid), versus those authentic narratives called (mutawatir). Mark and Luke, for instance, were disciples to Paul and had never seen Isa 'alaihi-salam'. And Paul, in his turn, as it is written by Luke in the ninth chapter of (Acts of the Apostles), had not seen Isa 'alaihi-salam' but came forward with the claim that "Jesus has revealed himself to him from heaven" after Isa 'alaihi-salam' had been elevated to heaven. Nor is it something believable that they wrote the stories they had heard from the Apostles. For they did not give the names and biographies of the people from whom they are supposed to have heard these stories, but wrote them in a manner as if they had seen Isa 'alaihi-salam' and heard them from him. Historians classify such stories as lies and slanders. For instance, it is written in the twenty-sixth chapter of (Matthew) and in the fourteenth chapter of (Mark) that "On the night when the Jews came to arrest Jesus the eleven Apostles who were with him ran away and (Peter), who was their chief, watched the event from a distance, followed the Jews taking Jesus until they reached the chief Rabbi's home and then, being frightened, he fled;" and it is written in the four Gospels that the Jews arrested Jesus and "treated him in such and such a way" and "he said to them so and so," in the direct style of a person who saw these events. It is evident that these stories are the lies and slanders that they must have heard from Jews.

If it should be asserted that "Three days later Jesus resurrected from his grave and related the events he had undergone. The stories written in the Gospels, therefore, are not the Jews' fabrications but Jesus' own reports;" this argument will be rebutted by the narrative that "As the Jews interred the corpse of the person they had killed on the cross, they realized that it was not Isa 'alaihi-salam', and lest others should find out they secretly exhumed the corpse and buried it somewhere else and then lied and slandered, 'The Apostles stole the corpse from the grave.' They acknowledge themselves that the report stating that "he resurrected from his grave," is not true. It is written in the last chapter of (Mark), "Jesus was resurrected and first showed himself to Mary Magdalene. And she went to the Apostles and told them. They would not believe." (John) writes in the twentieth chapter that even Mary thought that the person she saw was a gardener. If it is asserted that "Jesus knew what he was going to experience and told his Apostles that he would resurrect three days later," its answer will be, "Then, they would not have doubted when Mary told them that she had seen him. As a matter of fact, they would have come to his grave and awaited his resurrection."

FOOTNOTES

[61] Muhammad Qurtubi passed away in 671 [A.D. 1272].

[62] Ibn Qayyim Muhammad passed away in 751 [A.D. 1350].

JUDAISM - THE TAURAH - TALMUD

The Isawi (Nazarene) religion is a continuation of the Shariat of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. It will therefore be useful to give some information about Jews and their Holy Book. First, we shall present a brief history of Judaism:

Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' is one of those Prophets who are called Ulul-azm. He was neither a Jew, nor a Christian. He was a true Muslim. Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' is the forefather of the Israelites, that is, Jews, and the Arabs. He is at the same time one of the grandfathers of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam'.

The capital of Chaldea was Babylon. Their kings were called Namrud (Nimrod). At that time Chaldeans worshipped the moon, the sun and stars. They had made various idols to represent these celestial beings. Nimrods, too, were among these idols. Allahu ta'ala sent forth Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' as a Prophet to them. Yet they would not have iman. They wanted to burn that blessed Prophet in a fire, but Allahu ta'ala made the fire salvation for him. This fire, which they made after piling up wood for many days, became a green garden for him. Although they saw this miracle, most of them would still not have iman. Ibrahim 'alaihi-salam' went to Egypt. Then, commanded by Allahu ta'ala, he returned to Palestine. After Ibrahim's 'alaihi-salam' death, his son, Ishaq (Isac) 'alaihi-salam', became the Prophet, and after Ishaq 'alaihi-salam' prophethood was granted to his son, Yaqub (Jacob) 'alaihi-salam'. Another name of Yaqub 'alaihi-salam' was Israeel (Israel). Therefore, people descending from the twelve sons of Yaqub 'alaihi-salam' are called (Bani Israeel), which means 'the sons of Israeel', (or Israelites). Yusuf (Joseph) 'alaihi-salam', one of Yaqub's 'alaihi-salam' sons, was envied by his brothers. They threw him into a well and lied to Yaqub 'alaihi-salam', saying that he was dead. Then he was sayed by some travelers going by the well. They took him out of the well, took him along to Egypt, and sold him as a slave there. His purchaser was Aziz (Potiphar), Egypt's Minister of the Exchequer. He took Yusuf 'alaihi-salam' to his home. His wife, Zaliha, fell in love with him. But when Yusuf 'alaihi-salam' refused her, she slandered him. Upon this slander, Yusuf 'alaihi-salam' was imprisoned in a dungeon. Later, when he interpreted a dream of Pharaoh, the Egyptian Ruler, he was taken out of the dungeon and was made Egypt's Minister of the Exchequer by the Pharaoh. Yusuf 'alaihi-salam' brought his father Yaqub 'alaihi-salam' and his brothers to Egypt from Canan, that is, from (today's) Palestine. The Pharaoh treated Yaqub 'alaihi-salam' and his children with respect and deep interest. Thus the Israelites settled in Egypt, where they led comfortable life for a while. Later, however, they were subjected to countless torments and persecutions and were reduced to slavery. Who saved them from these troubles and took them to the (Ard-i-Maw'ud), that is, to the Promised Land [Palestine], was Musa 'alaihi-salam'.

Musa 'alaihi-salam' was raised in Pharaoh's palace and by Pharaoh himself. When he reached forty years of age, he left the palace and began to live with his kin, especially with his elder brother Harun (Aaron).

One day he saw an Egyptian unbeliever [a gypsy] bullying an Israelite. As he tried to rescue him the gypsy died. Being frightened, Musa 'alaihi-salam' fled to the Median city in the vicinity of Tebuk [63]. There, he married the daughter of Shu'ayb (Jethro) 'alaihi-salam', and served him ten years. Then he left for Egypt. On the way, on Mount Tur (Sinai), he spoke with Allahu ta'ala. When he arrived in Egypt, he invited the Pharaoh to the religion of Allahu ta'ala. He asked him to grant freedom to the Israelites. The Pharaoh refused it and said, "Moses is a powerful magician. He wants to cheat us out of our country." He asked his viziers what they thought. They advised him to convene the magicians to outvie him. The magicians came and, as the Egyptians

watched, they dropped the ropes they were holding in their hands on to the ground. Each of the ropes changed into a snake and began to crawl towards Isa 'alaihi-salam'. Upon this, Isa 'alaihi-salam' left his rod to the ground. It became a gigantic serpent and swallowed (all) the snakes. Bewildered, the magicians became believers. The Pharaoh became angry and said, "So he was your master. I shall have your hands and feet cut off and hang you all on date branches." They said, "We believe Musa. We trust ourselves to his Rab (Allah). Him, alone, do we beg for forgiveness and mercy." The water which the unbelievers had using became blood. It rained frogs. Cutaneous diseases broke out and spread. Darkness fell and the whole country remained in darkness for three days. Awed by these miracles, the Pharaoh permitted the Israelites to leave Egypt. However, as Musa 'alaihi-salam' and the Israelites were on their way to Jerusalem, the Pharaoh repented having let them go and, rallying his army, fell to follow them. The Suez Isthmus opened and the believers walked to the other side. As the Pharaoh, too, was passing to the other side, the sea closed in, drowning him and his army. The Israelites saw people worshipping an ox on their way, and said to Musa 'alaihi-salam', "We wish to have a god like this." Musa 'alaihi-salam' answered them. "There is no God other than Allahu ta'ala. Allahu ta'ala saved you." Then they fell into the desert of Teeh, where they lost their way and suffered dire deprivation of food and drink. (Menn) and (Selva), that is, manna and meat, rained down from heaven. They ate these. When he tapped the ground with his rod, water came out. And they drank this water. They hurt Musa 'alaihi-salam' by saying. "We are tired of manna and meat. We wish other things such as broad-beans and onions." For this reason they remained in the wilderness for forty years. Musa 'alaihi-salam', leaving Harun 'alaihi-salam' for his place, went to Mount Tur (Sinai), where he prayed for forty days. He heard the Word of Allahu ta'ala. Allahu ta'ala sent down the Holy Book (Taurah) and the Ten Commandments inscribed on two tablets. A munafiq (hypocrite) named Samiri collected gold wares and ornaments from the people, melted them, and made a gold calf. He said, "This is Moses' god. Worship this." So they began to worship it. They would not listen to Harun's 'alaihi-salam' remonstrations. When Musa 'alaihi-salam' came back and saw their practices, he was very angry. He cursed Samiri. He held his elder brother's beard and reproached him. They repented and begged him for forgiveness. Musa 'alaihi-salam' preached them the Taurah and the Ten Commandments. They began to perform their worships as prescribed in the Taurah. Afterwards, they deviated from the right course again and finally parted into seventy-one sects.

Musa 'alaihi-salam' and his ummat went to the region south of the Dead Sea. He made war against a king named Uj bin Unq (King of Bashan). He captured the land east of the Sharia River. He climbed the mountain opposite Ereeha City. He saw the land of Canan from the distance. Then, leaving his place to Yusha (Joshua) 'alaihi-salam', he passed away there, according to a narrative, 1605 years before the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam', when he was one hundred and twenty (120) years old. Yusha 'alaihi-salam' captured Eriha City, and then Jerusalem, from the Amalekites, who were heathens.

Some time later, Dawud (David) 'alaihi-salam' became the king. He recaptured Jerusalem. Thus the most prosperous period in the Jewish history commenced. Afterwards, Sulaiman (Solomon) 'alaihi-salam', (who succeeded Dawud 'alaihi-salam'), had the renowned temple, that is, the Masjid al-Aqsa (Al-Aqsa) built on the site which had been reserved and prepared by his father. Sulaiman 'alaihi-salam' had the (Taabut-i-sekina), that is, the Ark of the Covenant, which contained the Taurah and the other keepsakes such as the Ten Commandments and the tablets whereon the Ten Commandments (Decalogue) were written, placed in a room of the temple.

The Jewish nation, who were composed of twelve tribes, parted into two disparate kingdoms after Sulaiman's 'alaihi-salam' death. Ten tribes made up the Israelite Kingdom, (established by Jeroboam), and the remaining two tribes, (namely Judah and Benjamin), formed the Judah Kingdom. Later, indulging their passions for excess, they swerved from the right way, plunged into depravities, and eventually incurred the Divine Wrath. The Israelite Kingdom was demolished by the Assyrians in 721 B.C., and later the Judah Kingdom was abolished by the Babylonians, in 586 B.C. The Assyrians invaded Babylonia. In 587, the Assyrian King Buht-un-nasar (Nebuchadnezzar) burned and devastated Jerusalem. He killed most of the Jews and exiled the rest to Babylon. During these tumults the heavenly Book, Taurah, was burned. This original Taurah was a huge Book. In other words, it was composed of forty parts. Each part was made up of a thousand suras (chapters), and each sura contained a thousand ayats (verses). No one but Uzeyr (Ezra or Esdras) 'alaihi-salam' had memorized this colossal Book. He taught the Taurah to the Jews again. In the course of time, however, it was mostly forgotten, and largely interpolated. Various people wrote whatever they remembered of its verses, and thus a variety of books appeared in the name of Taurah. A rabbi named Azra (Ezra) who lived some four hundred years before the birth of Isa 'alaihi-salam', compiled them and wrote today's Taurah, which is called the Old Testament. When the Iranian king Shireveyh routed the Assyrians, he permitted the Jews to go back to Jerusalem. After 520 B.C. the Jews restored the Masjid al-Aqsa. For some time they lived under Persian domination, then the Macedonians took them under their sway. In 63 B.C. Jerusalem was captured by the Roman General Pompey [64]. He burned and destroyed the Masjid al-Aqsa. Thus the Jews went under the Romans' dominance. In 20 B.C. Herod, the Romans' Jewish governor in Palestine, had the temple rebuilt. Later the Jews revolted against the Roman domination. Yet, in A.D. 70, the Roman general Titus [65] thoroughly burned and destroyed Jerusalem. He turned the city into a pile of ruins. Beyt-i-muqaddes was burned, too, and only its Western wall remained standing. This wall is now called (Wailing Wall). This wall has maintained the national and religious esprit de corps in the Jewish community alive for years. Belief in a promised Messiah is another contribution to the prolonged survival of this feeling. The wall was specially protected and the temple was restored by the Byzantine, and then by the Umayyads, and finally by the Ottomans.

After Titus' massacres and cruelties, the Jews left Palestine in groups. They were expelled from Jerusalem and its territories. The Jewish slaves were sent to Egypt, where they were ruled as slaves by the Romans. It was an epoch when the Jews spread all over the world.

Jewry has adopted two disparate sources of commandments for Judaism: 1- Written Commandments; 2- Oral Commandments.

According to Jews, Torah and Talmud are the two basic Holy Books. The former contains the written commandments, and the latter includes the oral commandments.

The book Torah is called the (Old Testament) by Christians. Jews have divided Torah into three sections: 1- Taurah, or Torah; 2- Neviim, or the Prophets; 3- Ketoobeem, or the Writings (Hagiographa).

Torah is an acronym formed by the initial letters of the Hebrew counterparts of the three words given above. Neviim (the Prophets) is composed of two subdivisions; the Former Prophets, which consists of six books; the Latter Prophets, made up of fifteen books. Ketoobeem, that is, the Writings, is composed of eleven books according to Jews, and fifteen books in Christians' belief.

Jews believe that the five books which they call Taurah have been sent by Allahu ta'ala down to Musa 'alaihi-salam'. These five books are (Genesis), (Exodus), (Leviticus), (Numbers),

(Deuteronomy). It is written about the old age and death of Musa 'alaihi-salam', how old he was and how he was buried when he was dead and how the Jews mourned for him in Deuteronomy [Deut: 34]. How does it happen that these reports, which are about the events that are supposed to have taken place after Musa's 'alaihi-salam' death, are written in a book which is alleged to have been revealed to Musa 'alaihi-salam'? This factual hiatus is one of the clear proofs testifying to the fact that the existing Taurah is not in its pristine purity as it was revealed by Allahu ta'ala and taught by Isa 'alaihi-salam'.

As it is stated in the book (History of the Jews) by H.Hitch Graetzin, a Jewish clergyman, Jews established the (Assembly of the Seventies) in order to keep their community strictly obedient to the Pentateuchal commandments, and called the head of this assembly (Chief Rabbi). Jewish theologians who teach young Jewish people their religion in schools and preach the Taurah are called (Scribes). Some of their explanations and amendments of the Taurah have been inserted into the copies of the Taurah written afterwards. These are the scribes mentioned in the Gospels. Another task of these people is to make Jews obedient to the Taurah.

There is yet another version of the Taurah, and it is rejected by most Jews. It is called (Tora ha-Shomranim). believers of this Torah have always been opposed to the explanations and additions made by these scribes to the Taurah, even if it were a change of one letter. It is reported that there are some six thousand differences between the Taurah possessed by Jews and the Taurah Shomranim.

Christians use the term Old Testament (Ahd-i-Atik) for the book Torah. Jews reject this term.

There is no doubt that the book they call Taurah today is not the genuine Taurah revealed to Musa 'alaihi-salam' by Allahu ta'ala. There is a duration of two thousand years between the time when the earliest of these copies of the Taurah was written and the time when Musa 'alaihi-salam' lived. Musa 'alaihi-salam' advised the scholars of his ummat to preserve the Taurah in the TaAbut-i-sekeena (Ark of the Covenant). When Sulaiman 'alaihi-salam' built the (Masjid al-Aqsa), he had the ark put in the temple and had it opened. When the ark was opened, it was seen that it contained only the two tablets on which the Awamir-i-Ashere (Ten Commandments) were written.

A book titled (Who Wrote The Torah), published in 1987 by Elliot Friedman, a professor in the University of California in U.S.A., stirred up the Jewish and Christian worlds. Professor Friedman explains that the five books composing the Taurah were written by five different theologians and that they were in no way comparable to the original copy of the Taurah revealed to Musa 'alaihi-salam'. In the same book, Professor Friedman states that the (Old) and (New) (Testaments) of the (Holy Bible) are in contradiction with each other, and gives examples. Moreover, Professor Friedman points out that there are inconsistencies in the books, and even in the chapters, making up the Taurah, and adds that a book of that sort could by no means be called a (heavenly Book). There is also a vast stylistic textual difference in the five books making up the Taurah.

According to Prof. Friedman, today's Taurah was written by five rabbis who lived several centuries after Musa 'alaihi-salam' and later another rabbi named Ezra gathered them together and published them in the name of the original version of the Old Testament. Historian Prof. Friedman's conclusive remarks can be briefly paraphrased as follows:

"There are three versions of the Taurah today: The Hebrew version accepted by Jews and Protestants; the Greek version accepted by the Catholic and Orthodox Churches; the Samaritan Pentateuch accepted by the Samaritans. These versions are known as the oldest and the most dependable versions of the Taurah, yet there are innumerable contradictions, both within the

versions and between the versions. They contain suggestions of cruelty to people and extremely ugly and unbecoming imputations to Prophets. The real Taurah could by no means be said to have contained these inconsistencies."

Richard Simon, a French clergyman, says in his book (*Historia Critique du Vieux Testament*) that (today's) Taurah is not the original Taurah revealed to Musa 'alaihi-salam' and that it is a compilation of various books written in different times. The clergyman's book was seized and he was excommunicated.

Dr. Jean Astruc says in his book (*Conjectures il parait que Moise se'est servi pour composer le livre de'la Genese*) that each of the five books of the Pentateuch is a different book compiled from various sources. He points out also that the same names in one section are changed and repeated at one or two other places.

It is written in the eleventh and later verses of the first chapter of Genesis that plants were created before mankind. On the other hand, it is written in the fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth verses of the second chapter that "Man was created and at that time there were no plants on the earth" and that plants were created after the creation of man. On account of his disclosure of contradictions such as this and many other grave errors, Jean Astruc was proclaimed a heretic.

Gottfried Eichhorn published a book in 1775. In this book he says that the five books, including Genesis, are different both in dates and in styles of language. However, Eichhorn and his books were excommunicated.

Herden, a German poet and philosopher, writes in his work titled (*Von Geiste den hebraischen Poesie*) that the poems in the book (Psalms) of the Old Testament were written by different Hebrew poets in different times and that they were compiled afterwards. He states also that the (Song of Songs) is only a book of carnal and obscene love songs and that the poems in it could not be attributed to such an exalted Prophet as Sulaiman 'alaihi-salam'. Those who are interested should only take a look at the (Song of Songs).

Owing to the augmentation in the studies carried on in the Hebrew language in the nineteenth century, it was proved that the five books included in the Taurah did not belong to Musa 'alaihi-salam' and that these Pentateuchal books were compiled in different times. Very many European historians, priests and bishops published works on this subject.

Dr. Graham Scroggie of the Mud Bible Institute confesses in the book (*Is the Bible the Word of God?*) that neither the (Old Testament) nor the (New Testament) is the Word of Allah.

Dr. Stroggie states, "Genesis is full of genealogies. Who was born from whom, how he was born, etc. It always gives information of this sort. Why should these things interest me? What do these things have to do with worships, with loving Allahu ta'ala? How can one be a good person? What is the day of Judgement? Who will call us to account, and how? What should one do to become a pious person? These things have very little reference. For the most part, various fables are related. Before daytime is defined, it begins to tell about the night." How could a book of this kind ever be the Word of Allah?

Today a person who reads the books called (Torah) by Jews and the (Old Testament) by Christians will think he is reading a book of sexology; teaching ways of indecency, obscenity and immorality, instead of a heavenly book revealed by Allahu ta'ala. Many Western priests and scientists, realizing that these books are not the Word of Allah, have published innumerable books and tried to inform everyone of the fact. The capacity of our book would not let us mention them (all) here.

FOOTNOTES

[63] An Arabian town, about half way between Medina and Damascus.

[64] Gnaeus Pompey (106-48 B.C.)

[65] Titus (A.D. c. 39-81) was the Roman emperor from A.D. 79 to 81.

T A L M U D

It is the Jewish holy book next to the Taurah in importance. They call this book (Oral Commandments). It comprises two parts: Mishnah and Gemara.

Mishnah: Means repetition in Hebrew. It is the first book in which the oral commandments have been formed into canons. According to Judaism, when Allahu ta'ala gave Musa 'alaihi-salam' the Taurah (Written Commandments) on the mount of Tur (Sinai), He also dictated His (Oral Commandments) to him. And Musa 'alaihi-salam' intimated these pieces of knowledge to Harun (Aaron), Yusha (Joshua) and Eliazar 'alahimus-salam'. And they, in their turn, communicated them to Prophets succeeding them. Eliazar is the son of Shu'ayb (Jethro) 'alaihi-salam' [Mirat-i-kainat]. It is written in (Munjid) that Jews call Uzeyr 'alaihi-salam' Azra (Ezra, or Esdras according to Apocrypha).

These pieces of information were conveyed from one generation of rabbis to another. Various books of Mishnah were written in 538 B.C. and A.D. 70. Jewish customs, canonical institutions, debates between rabbis and their personal views were inserted into these books. Thus the Mishnahs became books telling about the personal opinions of rabbis and the discussions among them.

Akiba, a Jewish rabbi, collected and classified them. His disciple, Rabbi Meir, made additions to them and simplified them. The rabbis succeeding them adopted various methods and rules for the compilation of these narratives. Thus very many narratives and books appeared. Eventually, these confusions reached the Holy Yahuda (Judah ha-Nasi). In order to put an end to these turmoil, Judah wrote the most commonly sanctioned one of these books in the second century of the Christian era. Utilizing the existing versions, especially the version written by Meir, Judah compiled a book in forty years. This book was the final and the most famous (Mishnah), which was a compilation of the others.

Early Talmudic sages who lived in the first and second centuries of the Christian era and whose views are written in Mishnah are called Tanna (pl. Tannaim), which means (Teacher). Judah was one of the last teachers. They are called Judges, too. Rabbis who took part in the compilation of (Gemara) are called (Amoraim), which means (Commentators). They are not entitled to dispute against the views of the Teachers (Tannaim); they are only interpreters. Those who made amendments or additions to Talmud were called (Saboraim), which means (sages or debaters). Of the commentators and interpreters of Talmud, those rabbis who presided over the Judaic Councils are called (Geonim), which means Sanctioners. Those who were not presidents of the Councils were called (Posekim), which means Decision Makers or Preferers.

Rabbis succeeding Judah made additions and commentaries to Mishnah. The language of Mishnah is Neo Hebrew, which evinces Greek and Latin characteristics.

The purpose in the writing of Mishnah was to promulgate the Oral Commandments, which were complementary to the Taurah, which was accepted as the source of Written Commandments. Afterwards, pieces of information that Judah did not include in the Mishnah he wrote, but which were contained in the Mishnahs written by the other rabbis, were compiled in the name Additions (Tosefta).

Language used in the books called Mishnah is simpler than that of the Taurah, and differ much both in vocabulary and in their syntactical fashions. Commandments are presented in forms of general rules. Engrossing examples are given. From time to time you come upon factual events in them. In the introduction of commandments, Pentateuchal verses are given as sources. Mishnah is composed of six parts: 1- Zeraim (Seeds); 2- Moed (Sacred days, e.g. days of feast and fast); 3- Nashim (Women); 4- Nezikin (Harms); 5- Kedoshim (Sacred things); 6- Tehera (Taharat, cleanliness). These parts have been distributed into sixty-three booklets, which, in their turn, were divided into statements.

Gemara: Jews had two important religious schools: one in Palestine, and the other in Babylon. In these schools, rabbis called Amoraim (Commentators) tried to explain Mishnah, to rectify contradictions, to search for sources for the rules which were laid, being based on customs and traditions, and to make decisions on factual and theoretical matters. The commentary made by the Babylonian rabbis was called the (Babylonian Gemara). This book was written together with Mishnah, and the book thus formed was called the (Babylonian Talmud). The commentary made by the rabbis in Jerusalem was called the (Gemara of Jerusalem). This Gemara, too, was written together with Mishnah, and the outcome was the book called the (Talmud of Jerusalem), (or the Palestinian Talmud).

According to a narrative, the Palestinian Gemara (or the Gemara of Jerusalem) was completed in the third century of the Christian era.

The Babylonian Gemara was begun in the fourth century A.D., and completed in the sixth century.

Later on, Mishnah and a copy of Gemara together were called (Talmud), regardless of whether it is of Jerusalem or Babylonian. The Babylonian Talmud was three times as long as the Talmud of Jerusalem. Jews hold the Babylonian Talmud in a higher esteem than the Talmud of Jerusalem. One or two Mishnaic statements sometimes take ten Talmudic pages to explain. Talmud is more difficult to understand than Mishnah. Every Jew has to allot one-third of his religious education to the Taurah, one-third to Mishnah, and one-third to Talmud.

Rabbis have declared that a person who intends to do something evil will become sinful even if he does not commit it. According to them, a person who intends to do something forbidden by rabbis will become foul. Talmud, which is the source of this belief of theirs, has been called (Abul-Enjas=Father of fouls) by Muslims [Hebrew Literature, p. 17]. A person who disbelieves or rejects Talmud is not a Jew according to Jews. Therefore, Jews belonging to the Karaite [66] sect, who accept and adapt themselves only to the Taurah are not considered to be Jews by Jews.

Jewish priests avoid admitting the fact that there are vast differences, contradictions between the Palestinian and Babylonian Talmud.

The Babylonian Talmud was first printed in 1520-1522 B.C., and the Palestinian Talmud in 1523, in Venice. The Babylonian Talmud was translated into German and English, and the Talmud of Jerusalem (Palestinian Talmud) was translated into French.

Stories and legends occupy thirty per cent of the Babylonian Talmud and fifteen per cent of the Talmud of Jerusalem. They call these legends (Haggadah). These legends are the essence of Jewish literature. They teach them in their schools. The teaching and learning of the Taurah and Talmud is compulsory in Jewish schools, even in universities.

Christians are inimically opposed to Talmud and censure it bitterly.

Since we have already told about the cruelties and persecutions Christians exercised on Jews at various places of our book, we shall not mention them here. However, we shall briefly touch upon the cruelties displayed by Christians towards Jews on account of Talmud:

In Christian countries like France, Poland and England, copies of Talmud were seized and burned. Jews were prohibited from keeping copies of Talmud even in their homes. The most eminent interpreters of Talmudic rules were the Jewish converts Nicholas Donin and Pablo Christiani. Pablo Christiani lived in France and in Spain, in the fourteenth century of the Christian era. In a debate held in 1263 in the Barcelona city of Spain, the rabbis (could not answer) the questions they were asked on the rigid principles and writings in Talmud; they could not defend Talmud.

As it is stated in the book (Al-Kenz-ul-Mersud fi Qawaid-it-Talmud), it is written in Talmud that Isa 'alaihi-salam' is in the depths of Hell, between pitch and fire, that Hadrat Maryam (Mary) committed fornication with a soldier named Pandira, that churches are full of filth, that priests are like dogs, that Christians must be killed, etc.

In 927 [A.D. 1520], with the Pope's permission, the Babylonian Talmud was printed, which was followed by the printing of the Talmud of Jerusalem three years later. And thirty years after this a series of disasters befell Jewry. On September 9, 1553, all the copies of Talmud found were burned in Rome. This example was followed in the other Italian cities. In 1554, Talmud and the other Hebraic books were subjected to censorship. In 1565 the Pope forbid even the utterance of the word 'Talmud.'

Sometime between 1578 and 1581 Talmud was printed once again, in Basel city. In this last edition, some treatises were deducted, statements censuring Christianity were left out, and quite a number of words were substituted. Afterwards, popes resumed their operations of seizing copies of Talmud.

Hakem II, the ninth of the Andalusian Umayyad Sultans, ordered rabbi Joseph Ben Masesa to translate Talmud into Arabic. After being translated and read, this Arabic version was named (Filth placed in a case). Hakem II passed away in 366 [A.D. 976].

The Karaite Jews have rejected Talmud and accepted it as a heresy.

According to Talmud, a woman cannot be admitted to religious schools. For she is flighty mentally and therefore is not liable to religious education. The statement, "He who teaches his daughter the Taurah will have taught her a vice," belongs to rabbi EliAzar [Mishnah; part Nashim (Women); section Sotak: 216]. The Jewish rabbi Musa bin Meymun (Moses Maimonides) stated that what the book really meant in that statement was Talmud, not the Taurah.

Talmud professes that astrology is a branch of knowledge most influential in human life. According to Talmud, "The solar eclipse is an ill omen [Evil-Sign] for peoples." It asserts, on the other hand, that the lunar eclipse is an evil sign for Jews. Talmud teems with sorceries and auguries. It associates everything with demons. Rabbi Rav Hunr says, "Each of us has ten thousand demon companions on his right, and ten thousand demons on his left." Rabbi Rabba says, "The congestion of crowds, during preaches in synagogues, is because of demons. Our clothes wear out because demons rub themselves against them. Breaking of feet is, again, because of demons." It is written in Talmud that demons dance on the horns of oxen, that the devil cannot harm a person reading the Taurah, that the fire of Hell will not burn the sinful ones of Israelites.

Again, it is written in Talmud that the sinful ones of the Israelites will burn for twelve months in Hell, that those who deny life after death and the sinful ones of other races will remain eternally in bitter Hell torment, and that the worms of their bodies will not die and their fire will not go out.

Other rabbis state in Talmud, again, that the soul will not be interrogated after parting from the body, that the body is responsible for the sins, that it is out of the question for the soul to be responsible for the body. Another rabbi objects to this in Talmud, again.

It is written in Talmud that "Some rabbis are capable of creating men and watermelons." It is one of the Talmudic narratives that "A rabbi changed a woman into a female ass. Then he mounted her and rode to the market place. Then another rabbi changed her back to her former state." Talmud contains very many rabbinic legends and myths involving serpents, frogs, birds and fish. According to Talmud, for one, "There lived a fierce animal in the forest. The Byzantine Kaiser wished to see this animal. The animal made for Rome and, when it reached a distance of four hundred miles from the city, it roared, whereon the walls of Rome fell." Another Talmudic legend narrates that "There lived a one-year-old ox in the forest. It was as big as Mount Sinai. Being too big to get on board (Noah's Ark), Noah (Nuh 'alaihi-salam') tied it to the ship by its horns. There was another giant too big for the Ark: this time a man by the name of (Avc), who was the owner of a territory called Bashan (Bolan). He mounted the ox. Avc was a king and a descent of Amalekites, born from a terrestrial woman married to an angel. His feet were forty miles long." And many other quite illogical, implausible fabrications. ..."

Another Talmudic episode alleges that "Titus entered the Temple, drew his sword, and tore to pieces the curtain of the Temple. blood came out of the curtain. To punish him, a mosquito was sent down and entered his brain. Then the mosquito in his brain grew as big as a pigeon. When Titus was dead his skull was opened and it was seen that the mosquito had a mouth of copper and feet of iron."

Other examples of Talmudic fabrications are, "People who object to rabbinic teachings will be punished."; "If a Jew testifies for a non-Jew against a Jew, he will be cursed."; "An oath administered by a Jew to a non-Jew is not binding at all."

The Talmudic chapters called 'Hoshem hamishpat', 'Yoreh deah', 'Sultan Arah' contain the following statements, "Shedding non-Jews' blood means offering a sacrifice to God."; "All sorts of sins committed for the cause of Judaism are permissible on condition that they shall be secret."; "Only Jews are considered to be human. Non-Jews are all beasts."; "God has allotted all worldly riches only for Jews." "The injunction, 'Do not steal,' is valid only when Jews are involved. Lives and property of other races are free (for Jews)."; "Chastity and honor of non-Jews are halal (permissible) (for Jews). The injunction against fornication is intended only for Jews."; "If a Jew has stolen a non-Jew's property or swindled him of his job, he has done a good job."; "Informing a non-Jew about our commandments is equal to betraying the whole Jewry to the executioner. When non-Jews are informed about our teachings against them, they will send us to exile."; "No work is baser than agriculture."

The Talmudic conception concerning the Messiah expected by Jewry is as follows: "The Messiah shall crush non-Jews under the wheels of his chariots. There shall be a great war and two-thirds of the world's population shall die. Jewry shall achieve the victory, and they shall use the losers' weapons for fuel for seven years.

"Other nations shall obey Jews. The Messiah shall refuse Christians and he shall destroy them all. Jews shall take possession of the treasuries of all nations and they shall be very rich. When Christians are annihilated, other nations shall take warning and become Judaized. Thus Jewry shall become dominant all over the world and there shall be no one left unjudaized in any part of the world."

WARNING - As this book, (could not answer), shows, Christians and Jews always assailed Muslims and endeavored to annihilate Islam by means of publication and state forces. As a

preliminary arrangement for the success of their tactical assaults, they first extinguished Islamic knowledge and annihilated Islamic scholars, thus making sure of an ignorant younger generation utterly unaware of Islam. In the course of time some of these Muslim children fell into the traps set by Christian missionaries and insidious communists, believed their falsifications and lies and, after an education provided in total deprivation of opportunities to learn about Islam's virtues and superiorities or at least the renowned and honorable accomplishments of their ancestors, they eventually came into a position which offered them an easy competence to talk and write freely on matters of importance. They began to make, (and are still making), ignorant and foolish statements here and there. For instance, they say, "Our grandfathers adopted laws of deserts, confined themselves into inertia within Islam's dingy fetters which impede mind and reason, led a life of the Early Ages. Being estranged from the world under such inculcation as, "There is life after death. There is blessing and merry-making in Paradise and burning fire in Hell," they put their trust in an unknown being whom they called God and lived in a lingering apathy and reluctance like animals. We are not regressive like them. We are university graduates. We are following the European and American civilizations and their scientific and technological improvements. We are leading a life of pleasure and merry-making. We are not killing our time with such things as namaz and fasting. What is a modern and enlightened person's business in mosques, in Mecca? What is the point in turning away from such pleasures as music, dancing, drinking and gambling in mixed groups and wasting one's lifetime in tedious occupations such as namaz, fasting, mawlid, etc.? Who has seen Paradise or Hell? Who has gone there? Is a fancy or illusion worth being preferred to this sweet life which is for us to enjoy?" We hope that these poor people, after reading our book and seeing that those European and American statesmen, politicians, scientists, and millions of western people whom they consider to be enlightened, progressive and modern and earnestly try to imitate are actually people who believe in rising after death, in Paradise and Hell, in Allahu ta'ala and His Prophets and rush in large crowds to churches to pray on Sundays; will come to reason and realize that they have been deceived.

FOOTNOTES

[66] Members of this sect, most of whom live in Crimea, reject rabbinical tradition and interpret scriptures literally

LAST WORDS of ONE of our MARTYRS

THE MARTYR'S IDENTITY:

NAME : M.Tawfik

RANK : Senior Captain

POSITION : Company Commander

FATHER'S NAME : Ali Riza

DATE of BIRTH : 1296 (1881)

PLACE of BIRTH : Istanbul

On June 2, 1916, he was wounded by a British bullet and attained martyrdom in the Military Hospital in Chanakkale (Dardanelles).

FROM THE ENCAMPMENT IN THE VICINITY OF OVACIK; MAY 18, 1331
(1915), MONDAY

My Dear Father and Mother, the sources of my life, the lightsome guides of my life:

In the first combat I joined a Ariburnu, a nefarious British bullet pierced through the right cuff of my trousers. Hamd (thanks and praise) be (to Allahu ta'ala), I escaped it. But because I do not

expect to survive the next series of battles I am going to fight in, I am writing the following lines as a keepsake for you: Hamd-u-thena (thanks, praise and laud) be to Allahu ta'ala, He made me attain this rank. Again, as a requirement of His Divine Decree. He predestinated that I should chose a military career. And you, my parents, brought me up in such a manner as I should serve our sacred religion, our country, our nation. You were the causes and the lightsome guides of my life. I offer my infinite thanks to Janab-i-Haqq (Allahu ta'ala) and to you.

This is the time to deserve the money my nation has paid me up until now. I am exerting myself to perform my sacred religious and patriotic duty. If I should attain the rank of martyrdom, I shall consider myself to be a born servant most beloved to Allahu ta'ala. Being a soldier, this is always very possible for me, my dear father and mother. I entrust my wife Munevver, the apple of my eye, and my dearest sonny. Nezeeh, firstly to the protection of Allahu ta'ala, and secondly to your care. Please do all you can do for them! Please help my spouse educate my son as a Salih (pious, devoted) Muslim, and please do your best to bring him up in due manner. You know we are not wealthy. I could not ask for more than possible. For it would be futile. Enclosed is a letter which I wrote to my spouse; please hand it to her! Yet she will be very sorry. Give it to her in such a manner as will alleviate her sorrow. Naturally, she will weep and deplore; please console her. Allahu ta'ala predestined it be so. Please be extra scrupulous concerning the list of my dues and debts which I have appended to my letter to my spouse! The debts which Munevver has kept in her mind or wrote in her note-book are accurate, too. The letter I wrote to Munevver contains the details. Please ask her.

My dear father and mother! I may have offended you many times, though inadvertently. Please forgive me! Please waive the rights you have had over me. Please pray so that my soul attain happiness. Help my spouse in the clearing up of my dues and debts.

My dearest sister, Lutfiye,

You know I always loved you very much. I would always do my best for you. I may have inadvertently offended you, too. Please forgive me; so this is our destiny preordained by Allahu ta'ala. Please forgive me my having trespassed your rights, if ever, and pray so that my soul attain felicity. And please, you, too, help my spouse Munevver Hanim and my son Nezhil!

Do perform the five daily prayers of namaz, you all, please! Be extra careful not to omit any prayer time. Make me happy by (often) reciting the (sura) Fatiha for my soul! I entrust you, too, to the Divine Kindness and Protection of Allahu ta'ala.

O my beloved kins, relatives, friends; farewell to you all! Please, you all, forgive me your rights over me! And I forgive you all my rights over you. Farewell, farewell! I entrust you all to Allahu ta'ala. I entrust you to His care eternally. My dearest father and mother.

TRANSLATION of 147 th. LETTER

Muhammad Mathum Faruqi [67] 'rahmatullahi alaih', who was one of the great 'Ulama of India and a Murshid-i-kamil, states as follows in the hundred and forty-seventh letter of the first volume of his book, (Maktubat):

May Allahu ta'ala bless you and us with the honor of adapting ourselves to Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', who is His beloved one and the highest of Prophets! O my merciful brother! Life in the world is very short. In the hereafter, where life will be eternal and everlasting, we shall be paid for what we have done in the world. The most fortunate person in this world is the person who spends his short lifetime doing things that will do him good in the hereafter and making preparations for his long trek to the world to come. Allahu ta'ala has bestowed on you a rank, a position whereby to meet people's needs and to make them attain justice and peace. Show much

gratitude for this blessing! And showing gratitude in this sense means meeting the needs of the born servants of Allahu ta'ala. Serving the born servants will cause you to attain high grades in this world and the next. Therefore, try to do good to the born servants of Allahu ta'ala and to make things easy for them by treating them with a smiling face, soft words and mild behavior! This effort of yours shall make you attain love of Allahu ta'ala and high grades in the hereafter. It is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif, "People are household, born servants of Allahu ta'ala. He loves those who do good to His born servants." There are many hadith ash-Sharifs telling about the virtues of and the rewards for meeting Muslims' needs, pleasing them, and treating them tenderly, mildly, and with patience. I am writing some of them. Read carefully. If you have difficulty understanding them, ask people who are learned in Islam and truly devoted. It is declared in a hadith ash-Sharif: "(Every) Muslim is (another) Muslim's brother. He will not be cruel to him. He will not leave him in a difficult position. If a person helps his brother, Allahu ta'ala will help him. If a person saves his brother from a difficult position, Allahu ta'ala will save him from a difficult position on the Day of Judgement. If a person pleases his brother, Allahu ta'ala will please him on the day of Judgement:" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "He who helps his brother-in- Islam will be helped by Allahu ta'ala:" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "Allahu ta'ala has created some of His born servants for the purpose of meeting people's needs. These people are the refuge of the distressed. These people will be safe against the torture of the Day of Judgement:" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "Allahu ta'ala has bestowed many blessings on some of His born servants and made them means for relieving His distressed born servants. If they do not give these blessings to those who need them, He will take the blessings away from them and give them to others;" in another hadith-i- Sharif: "If a person helps his brother in need, he will be given thawab as if he performed i'tikaf [68] for ten years. And if a person performed i'tikaf during only one whole day for the sake of Allahu ta'ala, there would be a distance of three trenches between him and Hell. The distance between two trenches is as large as the distance between east and west;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "If a person relieves his brother-in-Islam from a position of privation, Allahu ta'ala will send down seventy-five thousand angels, who will pray for him from morning till evening. If it is evening time, they will pray for him till (the following) morning. For each step he takes, one of his sins will be forgiven and he will be given an extra promotion;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "If a person goes to help his believer brother in need, he will be given seventy thawabs for each of his steps and seventy of his sins will be pardoned. When he relieves his brother of distress, he will be purified of his sins (and will become as innocent) as he was when he was born. If he dies while helping his brother, he will enter Paradise without any interrogation; in another hadith ash-Sharif: "If a person goes to the court of justice in order to save him from a difficult position, he shall be one of those who will pass the Sirat Bridge without slipping;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "The most virtuous, the most valuable of deeds and worships is to please a believer, to give him clothes or to give him food if he is hungry or to give him something else he needs;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "After the fard (compulsory worships, such as namaz, fasting in Ramadan etc.), the most valuable deed is to please a Muslim;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "When a person pleases his Muslim brother, Allahu ta'ala will create an angel. (This angel) will pray until that person dies. When he is dead and is put into the grave, the angel will come to him and ask, 'Do you know me?' When the person says, 'No. Who are you?', the angel says, 'I am the pleasure you afforded to a Muslim. Today I have been sent to please you, to help you answer the angels of interrogation and testify to your answers. I shall intercede for you in the grave and on the Day of Rising. I shall show you your rank in Paradise.' When he (our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam') was asked what would

cause many people to enter Paradise, he said, "Taqwa, (that is, avoiding the harams and being good mannered)." And when he was asked what would be the reason for which many people would enter Hell, he said, "The tongue, and the vulva, (that is, sex organ)." It is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif: "Of believers, the one with perfect iman is the one who has beautiful manners and who treats his wife mildly;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "A born servant will attain high grades and will be given many more thawabs (than he would otherwise attain) owing to his beautiful manners. Bad manners will drag a person down to the depths of Hell;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "The easiest and lightest worship is to talk little and to have beautiful manners." When a person stood in front of Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' and asked what the deed Allahu ta'ala liked best was, he said, "Having beautiful manners." When the person asked the same question once again, this time approaching from the Prophet's left hand side, the Prophet said, once again, "Having beautiful manners." Then the person walked behind him and repeated the same question from behind the Prophet, Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' turned his blessed face towards him and said, "Why don't you understand? Beautiful manners means to do one's best not to become angry." It is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif: "A person who does not quarrel even when he is right shall be given a villa at one side of Paradise. A person who does not lie even as a joke shall be given a villa in the middle of Paradise. A person with beautiful manners shall be given this villa at the highest place of Paradise." It was declared in a hadith-i-qudsi [69]: "Of all religions. I have chosen this religion (Islam), This religion is completed with generosity and beautiful manners. Complete this religion daily with these two!" It is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif: "Beautiful manners will melt sins, as lukewarm water melts ice. Bad manners will decompose worships, as vinegar decomposes honey;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "Allahu ta'ala is Rafiq. He loves a person who carries on his daily communications in a tender way;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "Allahu ta'ala likes mild behavior and always helps mild people. On the other hand. He does not help people with harsh manners;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "The person who shall not enter Hell and whom the fire of Hell will not burn, is the person who behaves mildly and who makes things easy for others;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "Allahu ta'ala likes a person who does not haste. Hastiness is caused by Shaitan (Satan). Allahu ta'ala likes hilm, that is, soft temper;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "A person with hilm shall attain the grade of a person who fasts during the day and performs namaz at night;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "Allahu ta'ala loves very much a person who behaves mildly when he is angry;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "If a person shows a mild reaction to someone who behaves harshly or forgives someone who has been cruel to him or reacts kindly to someone who has wronged him or visits people (this friends, relatives, etc.) who do not visit him, (call him or write to him), Allahu ta'ala shall make him attain high grades and bless him with villas in Paradise;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "The (real) hero is not the winner of a wrestling or speed contest. He is the person who controls his nafs at times of anger;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "He who greets with a smiling face will be given the thawab of alms;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: (Such acts as) smiling to your brother-in-Islam when you meet him, performing emr-i-maruf and nehy-i-anil-munkar [70], saving a person from heresy at a time when religious teachings have fallen into oblivion and heresy has become widespread, removing stones, thorns, bones, and other waste stuff from streets and squares, filling the bucket of a person who needs water, are all (equivalents for) alms;" in another hadith ash-Sharif: "In Paradise there are villas where one can see outside when one is inside, and inside when one is outside. These villas will be given to those who talk softly, to those who give food to hungry people, and to those who perform namaz when others are asleep." These hadith ash-Sharif have been derived from the book (Targhib-at-tarhib) [71],

which is one of the valuable books of Hadith. May Allahu ta'ala bless us all with the fortune of following these hadith ash-Sharifs. A person whose manners and actions are compatible with (the advice given in) these hadith ash-Sharifs should be very thankful to Allahu ta'ala. Conversely, if a person's behaviors are not in agreement with them, he must supplicate to Allahu ta'ala for (the blessing of) concordance with these hadith ash-Sharifs. If a person with incompatible manners is conscious of this shortcoming of his, this is still a great blessing. If a person is unaware of his fault or who does not feel sorry for it, he is most probably slack in faith and iman.

TRANSLATION of 83 rd. LETTER

Hadrat Muhammad Mathum delivers the following discourse in the eighty-third letter of the second volume: If a person has had the following two blessings, he should not be sorry for not having any spiritual ecstasies and raptures. One of these two blessings is 'adapting oneself to Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', who is the owner of the Shariat.' The second one is 'loving one's master, one's murshid.' These two blessings will make one attain all sorts of happiness and fayd [72]. If a person lacks one of these two blessings, he will end up in a disaster. His knowledge, his piety, or his spiritual devotion and ability to display miracles, no matter to what extent, will not avert this disastrous termination from him. And what would most fatally damage these two blessings and put hem in jeopardy of vanishing is being in close contact with irreligious and heretical people, or with their books, [newspapers], and all sorts of publication. We should keep away from such depraved people like bewareing of a lion. We should read books written by the 'Ulama of Ahl-as-sunnat [or by those true Muslims who are correct both in iman and in their ways of worshipping]. For those who wish to read books written by these great people, we recommend that they read the book (Maktubat), by Imam-i Rabbani [73]. [The books published by Ikhlas Waqf are the translations of these true 'Ulama'. For those who wish to learn Islam correctly, we recommend that they read these books].

The knowledge of qada and qadar (fate, destiny) is extremely delicate, subtle, and difficult to understand. It has been forbidden through hadith ash-Sharifs to talk about it or to discuss it. Muslims' duty is to learn the commandments and prohibitions of Allahu ta'ala and to live up to them. We have been commanded to believe in qada and qadar, not to study them. We have to learn about them as much as the 'Ulama of Ahl al-sunnat have taught us and believe in them in such a manner as prescribed by the 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat. As these true scholars [and experts of Islamic teachings] state, Allahu ta'ala knew in the eternal past all the future good and evil deeds of mankind. When their time comes He wills their creation, and creates them. His creation is called Taqdir (Divine Dispensation). He, alone, is the Creator, the Inventor. There is no creator besides Him. No man can create anything. Ignorant and idiotic votaries of the sects of Mutazila and Qadariyya have denied qada and qadar. They have asserted that man creates his own deeds. Such heretics have been on the increase recently.

Man's will and option assumes a certain role in the creation of everything good or evil. When man wants to do something, Allahu ta'ala creates it if He, too, wishes it. Man's will and option is called kasb (acquisition). This means to say that every action, everything man does, is actuated by Allah's creation upon man's acquisition (option). The torment that will be inflicted on the murderer is a punishment of his acquisition (option). Sectarians called Jabriyya (necessarians or necessitarians) have denied man's will and acquisition. They have maintained that "Allah creates all of man's deeds, regardless of whether man wishes or not. Everything man does is like the swaying of trees and leaves with the wind. Every action is done under Allah's compulsion. Man

cannot do anything." These statements are kufr (disbelief). And a person who holds such a belief will become a kafir (disbeliever). According to these people, "Those who perform good deeds shall be rewarded in the hereafter. Sinners shall not be tormented. Disbelievers, wrongdoers, sinners shall not be tormented because the (real) maker of their sins is Allahu ta'ala. So these people have had to commit these sins." Such misbelievers have been cursed by all Prophets. Could it ever be said that the (involuntary) trembling of the feet and moving them voluntarily were the same things? The ninety-second and ninety-third ayats of (Hijr) sura purport, "Allahu ta'ala will interrogate them for all of their deeds." The twenty-fourth ayat of (Waqi'a) sura purports, "They shall pay for what they have done." The twenty-ninth ayat of (Kahf) sura purports, "Let him who wishes, have iman; and let him who wills, deny. We have prepared Hell fire for those who deny." Some miscreants and heretics, in order to shirk the toil of obeying the commandments and prohibitions of Allahu ta'ala and to escape being tormented for their sins, deny the fact that man has a will and acquisition.

Allahu ta'ala is Karim, He has infinite mercy. He has always commanded men useful things and what they will be able to do. He has forbidden harmful things. The two hundred and eighty-sixth ayat of (Baqara) sura purports, "Allahu ta'ala has commanded men things that they will do easily." It is so surprising that there should be people who deny man's will. Then why will they be angry with those who vex them? Why will they try to educate their sons and daughters? Why would they be exasperated if they saw their wives in indecent positions? Why wouldn't they tolerate such things by saying that "man does not have will, so these people are compelled to do what they are doing"? They hold this belief in order to do all sorts of wicked acts in the world and then not to be tormented in the hereafter. Yet, the seventh ayat of (Tur) sura purports, "Verily, thine Rab (Allahu ta'ala) shall inflict torment. There shall be no escape from Him."

The groups of Qadariyya (libertarians) and Jabriyya (necessitarians) have deviated from the right way, because the former have denied qada and qadar and the latter have denied (man's) will. They are holders of bidat (heresy). The right way is the belief as held by the group called Ahl-i-sunnat wal-jamat (Ahl as-sunnat or, briefly, the sunni way), which occupies an intermediate position between these two extremes. Those who follow in this true way are called (Sunni). Imam-i-Abu Hanifa, who was the leader of the group of Ahl as-sunnat, asked Imam-i-Jafar Sadiq, "O you, grandson of Rasulullah! Has Allahu ta'ala left men's deeds to their wills, or does He compel them to perform their deeds?" He answered, "Allahu ta'ala will not leave His right to His born servants, and it would not be compatible with His justice to compel them and then torment them." Disbelievers say, "Allahu ta'ala wished us to be disbelievers, polytheists. So His wish came true." The hundred and forty-eighth ayat of (En'am) sura purports, "Polytheists say, 'If Allah did not wish us and our fathers to be polytheists...'. We tormented their predecessors because they, too, were unbelievers." Polytheists do not make these statements as an excuse (for their polytheism). They do not say so in order to escape torment. These people do not know that their polytheism or disbelief is something bad. They say, "Whatever Allahu ta'ala wills, is good, and He approves all the things He has willed. He would not have willed them if He had not approved them. Then, He must approve our polytheism and not torment us." Nevertheless, Allahu ta'ala has announced through His Prophets that He will not approve polytheism. He has declared that disbelief is a guilt, that disbelievers are accursed, and that He shall torment them eternally. Something willed is not necessarily approved. Allahu ta'ala wills and creates disbelief and disobedience. Yet He does not approve them. He declares plainly in Qur'an al-karim that He does not approve them. Perhaps, those statements of polytheists were intended to provoke derision against Prophets.

Question: In the eternal past Allahu ta'ala knew (all the) good and evil things (that would happen). He wills and creates in accordance with this knowledge of His. Consequently, man's will becomes defunct. Does this not come to mean that men are compelled to do what they are doing, good and evil alike?

Answer: Allahu ta'ala knew in the eternal past that man would perform his deeds by using his own will. This knowledge of Allahu ta'ala does not indicate that the born servant does not have a will and option. Likewise, Allahu ta'ala creates many things outside man in accordance with His preordination in the eternal past. If man were compelled to do what he is doing, then Allahu ta'ala would be compelled to create what He is creating. So, as Allahu ta'ala is autonomous, that is, not compelled (in His creations), so is man autonomous (in his actions).

TRANSLATION of 16th LETTER

We have heard some strange news recently. Some people have been persistently talking about the marifat [74] of (Wahdat-i-wujud), regardless of whether they know the subject or not. They say, "Everything is He. The name Allah is a word which shows the universe, that is, all beings collectively. For instance, the name Zayd shows all the parts of a person. However, each part has a different name. None of the parts is named Zayd. Yet the name Zayd stands for all the parts. Likewise, Allahu ta'ala is seen everywhere. It is permissible to call the universe Allah." On the contrary, these statements mean to deny Wahdat-i-wujud (Unity of Allahu ta'ala). They point out the existence of creatures. According to them, the existence of Allahu ta'ala is within the existence of creatures. There is no being except creatures. It is as obvious as the sun that these statements of theirs are wrong.

In actual fact, the existence of Allahu ta'ala is different from that of creatures. Allahu ta'ala should be known to be disparate from creatures. The two existences are different from and dissimilar to each other. In fact, those superior men of tasawwuf who told about (Wahdat-i-wujud) were not successful in expressing what they meant. For it would have been disbelief if they had explained it in a way similar to the statements we have quoted above. On the other hand, if they words Wahdat (Unity) and Tawhid (Oneness) would have lost their significance. If creatures existed separately, the knowledge of Wahdat and Tawhid would be wrong. However, if they had said, "The universe does not exist separately. Its existence, [i.e. beings collectively], is only an illusion, a fancy, [like the images seen on the screen of television or cinema]," this time the word Wahdat [Unity] and the statement "Everything is He" would have been senseless. For an entity which actually exists by itself could not be said to have united with something which exists only in imagination. If they mean, "He, alone, exists. Nothing exists except Him," by their statement, "Everything is He," their statement will be correct. Yet in this case their statement, "Everything is He," will be a metonymy. It will not convey the literal meanings of the words used in it. For instance, when we see a person's image on the mirror we say, "I see him," or "I see him in the mirror," metonymically. It has become a daily figure of speech to refer to the image of something as if it were that thing itself, although it is known that thing, which actually exists, is quite different from its image. [Likewise, the voice of a person calling the adhan (ezan) or reading Qur'an al-karim which we hear on television, on the radio, or from a loud-speaker, would not be the voice of the person actually producing this voice. It would be some other sound similar to the voice of that person. It would be symbolically true to say that the voice belongs to so and so. Yet it would be wrong literally. Therefore, it would not be permissible (in worships) to follow an imam whose voice you hear only from a loud-speaker [75].] To assert that these things (the actual thing and its image) are the same, would be like saying that a lion and an ass

are identical. These two animals are completely different. They could not be the same only because (some) people said so. According to some superiors of Tasawwuf, saying that 'Everything is He' does not mean to say that "Allahu ta'ala has shown Himself in the guise of creatures. He exists separately." It means to say that "Allahu ta'ala exists. Creatures are the images, visions of That Being." On the other hand, the statements that we have quoted (in the first paragraph) imply that creatures are eternal, which means to deny the fact that they are of recent occurrence. And this denial, in its turn, is kufr (disbelief).

Another report we have heard is that what some people say about Rising after death and about the hereafter "Every substance, every object we see originates from soil. And they change back into soil again. For instance, vegetables and oats originate from soil. Animals eat them, thus changing them into meat. And human beings eat these vegetables and crops, thus changing them into human beings. These human beings, in their turn, reproduce other human beings. This is what we call 'Resurrection.' These statements mean to deny Resurrection, that is, Rising Again After Death. This denial is called (Ilhad) or being (Zindiq) in the Islamic nomenclature, which means to gainsay hadith ash-Sharifs and Qur'an al-karim.

Again, we have heard that there are people who allege that "The prayers called namaz which we see (being performed by some people around us) are a commandment intended for ignorant people. Men and all beings are worshipping. All creatures are worshipping consciously or unconsciously. Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' enjoined the namaz in order to restrain an uncivilized tribe from felonies such as brigandage, etc.". Be it known very well that those who make these statements are ignorant and half-witted. Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' stated, "The namaz is the pillar of the religion. Person who performs the namaz has constructed his religion. And he who neglects the namaz has demolished his religion;" and, "The namaz is the believer's Mi'raj; [76]" in other words, it is the occasion on which the believer is closest to Allahu ta'ala; and, "The namaz is the apple of my eye;" and, "The curtains between man and his Rab (Allahu ta'ala) are raised as he performs the namaz." All sorts of virtues and blessings are contained in the commandments and prohibitions of the Shariat. The thirty-second ayat of Yunus sura purports, "Everything beyond this periphery is aberration." Qur'an al-karim and hadith ash-Sharifs command us to adapt ourselves to the Shariat. This is the way called (Sirat al-musteqim). People who stray from this way are followers of the devils. Abdullah bin Masud 'radi-allahu anh' reports: Rasulullah 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' drew a straight line on the sands, and said, "This is the way that will lead man to the love of Allahu ta'ala." Then he drew lines diverging from this line like on a fishbone, and said, "These are the ways to affliction led by the devils." The information given by all Prophets and written in the books of the 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat should not be mistaken for imaginary stories. A statement alleging that the Shariat is intended for reactionary, idiotic people would be irreligious, heretical, and therefore idiotic.

Please do not be shocked by the statement, "Creatures are not Allahu ta'ala Himself. Nor are they disparate from Him." Do not ask, "Then what are they?" To say that "Creatures are the images of the Names of Allahu ta'ala; they are not He or beings other than He," means to say that "They are not beings distinct from and dissimilar to Him." For the Names and Attributes of Allahu ta'ala exist with Him. They are not disparate from Him. They do not exist by themselves. In a way, the statement made above is like saying that a person's image in the mirror is neither he, nor another being disparate from him.

It is stated in a hadith ash-Sharif, "Allahu ta'ala created Adam in His own guise." It means, "As Allahu ta'ala does not have a likeness, so He created Adam 'alaihi-salam' in a guise quite unlike in those of other creatures." We have to believe directly in the facts stated clearly in hadith ash-

Sharifs such as this and many others. Many words had different meanings in those days than they have now. We should not endanger our iman by taking them in their present meanings. Allahu ta'ala created in Adam 'alaihi-salam' superiorities similar to His own perfection. The hadith ash-Sharif quoted above points out that these superiorities are not the same, but similar to His superiorities. So is the case with His attributes Knowledge and Power. They are similar (to man's attributes) only in name. Essentially, they are quite different.

Qur'an al-karim is a mujiza (miracle). To say that "This mujiza is only with respect to literary standards of textuality such as rhetoric and conciseness; the commandments, prohibitions and reports it contains are not mujiza," would mean to deny Qur'an al-karim and to mock ayat al-karimas.

It is purported in Qur'an al-karim, in the fifty-fourth ayat of (Fussilat) sura, (Be it known that He contains everything." Contain means 'embody', 'include'. According to the 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat, the knowledge of Allahu ta'ala contains everything, that is, He knows everything. If we should say that Allahu ta'ala Himself contains everything, then (we will have to bear in mind that) this containing is unlike an object's containing another object. We believe that Allahu ta'ala contains everything and that He is together with everything. Yet we do not try to know how these things happen. These things are not comparable to things that are within the scope of man's mind and imagination.

As we pronounce the word (La ilaha il-l'allah), we should bear in mind that (by doing so) we are rejecting polytheists' calling their idols 'gods'. Polytheists call their idols 'gods' because they consider the idols to be worthy of being worshipped and worship them. They do not all them so to mean that (they consider) they are creators or indispensable beings. In other words, most disbelievers are polytheists in worships. For becoming a Muslim, one must add the expression (Muhammadun rasulullah) (to one's pronunciation of the expression "la ilaha il-l'allah"). One will not have had iman unless one utters this expression. Perfection of iman depends on the renunciation of the desires of the nafs, too. When the words (La ilaha) are pronounced, these desires are renounced, too. The twenty-third ayat of (Jasiya) sura purports, "Have you seen the person who has made a god of his sensuous desires?" The 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat state that one's desires and aspirations will become one's mabud (that which one worships). These desires are rejected by saying, (La ilaha). When a person utters this word very frequently, he will rid himself from the desires of his nafs and the misgivings infused by the Satan and acknowledge that he is a born servant only to Allahu ta'ala. Repeating the name of Allahu ta'ala frequently will take one closer to Allahu ta'ala. In other words, it will augment the reciprocal love (between Allahu ta'ala and the born servant). Thus one will become fani, (that is, one's self, which is the most diehard obstacle between one and Allahu ta'ala, will perish). One's heart will no longer have any love except for Allahu ta'ala. And frequent repetition of the Kalima-i-Tawhid, (that is, saying, "la ilaha il-l'allah"), will completely nullify one's attachment to creatures. Thus all the curtains between Allahu ta'ala and the born servant will disappear. Shah-i-Nakshiband Muhammad Bahaaddin Bukhari [77] stated, "None of the things you see and hear is He. All of these things should be renounced, when saying, 'La'." Abu Ishaq Qazruni [78] saw our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' in his dream and asked him what Tawhid was. The answer was: "When you say Allah, none of the things that come to your heart, to your mind, to your imagination, is Allah."

People who name themselves Shaikhs or Murshids (religious guides) and spoil Muslims' iman by making statements contradictory to the Shariat, are not religious people. They are thieves of religion. They are disbelievers. We should shun from them. Talking to them or reading their

books will spoil one's iman and drive one into the eternal affliction. We should avoid these people and reading their books with the same dread as we would feel in avoiding a lion. Should a person ever be misled by these people, let him make tawba immediately (upon realizing that he has been misled). The gates of tawba are (always) open. One's tawba will be acceptable until one takes one's final breath.

TRANSLATION of THE 153 rd. LETTER

It is necessary to look for an Islamic savant, to find and read the books of the 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat. Each day going by is very valuable. There is no return to the world. The greatest blessing is Sohbat (or Sohbati), which means to keep company with an 'Alim of Ahl as-sunnat and thus to be blessed with his words [and breath]. Uways-i-Qarni loved Rasulallah very much and worshipped continuously day and night. Yet he could not attain the grade of any of the Ashab al-kiram, who (had attained their high grades because they) had been blessed with the honor of attaining Rasulallah's company. If a wise and vigilant person loves any one of the passed Murshids very much and turns his heart towards him, certainly some of the fayd and barakat abounding in the Murshid's heart will flow into his heart. He will attain abundant marifat. However, attaining high grades of Wilayat requires Sohbat. Lucky for those who attain endless felicity (in the hereafter) by receiving fayd from a Murshid-i-kamil and making much dhikr (uttering the name of Allahu ta'ala) in their sojourn in this life!

TRANSLATION of THE 154 th. LETTER

Serve your brother(s), your sisters(s), your wife, your mother, and all your brothers in Islam! Hold fast to the Sunnat, [that is, to the Shariat], of our beloved Prophet Muhammad Mustafa 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam!' [Learn this Shariat, not from the untruthful books reflecting the personal views of people who falsely assume to be religious authorities, but from books through which lovers of Allahu ta'ala convey the information coming from the 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat! It would be a great fortune to have been blessed with the ability of distinguishing these books from the sham books, thus being secure against the harm and mischief of those misleading books. Lucky for those who have attained this blessing!].

Disasters that befall people are the will and foreordination of Allahu ta'ala. We should be contented with and submissive to His foreordination. We should recite the Fatiha (sura), say prayers, give alms, and present their thawab to the souls of the dead (parents, relatives, friends, martyrs, religious scholars, teachers, etc.). We should visit the graves of the Awliya, and ask, beg for their help. Serving their living children and grandchildren will cause one to receive fayd from them. We should bring up our children under Islamic education! We should train them to cover themselves, (that is, to dress in a manner prescribed by Islam), teach them religious knowledge, e.g. how to perform the namaz, etc., beginning when they are very young! We should be punctual in performing the five daily prayers of namaz and perform them behind a Sunni imam! We should learn how to read Qur'an al-karim correctly, without making errors, and we should read it every day!

A distich:

So grieved is my soul for separation from m'dearest ones,
That my bones ache to the core. Oh, I miss them so much!

FOOTNOTES

[67] Muhammad Mathum passed away in Sirhind city in 1079 [A.D. 1668].

[68] Secluding one's self for one or more whole days for fasting or prayer.

[69] The blessed statements of our beloved Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam' are called (Hadith). When these statements of our Prophet's are inspired by Allahu ta'ala they are called (Hadith-i-qudsi)

[70] Teaching, promulgating, spreading the commandments of Allahu ta'ala and admonishing people about His prohibitions.

[71] Author of the book, Abd-ul-azim Munziri Qayrawani Shafii, passed away in 656 [A.D. 1258].

[72] After a Muslim has adapted himself completely to the Shariat of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam', inexplicable pieces of knowledge begin to flow into his heart. This occult, esoteric and subtle kind of knowledge is called fayd, pronounced [faiz].

[73] Imam-i-Rabbani Ahmad Faruqi is one of the greatest 'Ulama of Ahl as-sunnat and Awliya brought up and educated in India. He passed away in Sirhind city in 1034 [A.D. 1624].

[74] After a person has completely adapted himself to the Shariat of Muhammad 'alaihi-salam' and made a certain progress in the guidance of a Murshid-i-kamil in the spiritual way called Tasawwuf, pieces of inexplicable, subtle knowledge begin to trickle into his heart. Sometimes this trickling improves into flowing. These pieces of knowledge are called Marifat.

[75] These technicalities pertaining to Islamic worships are explained in detail in the Turkish book (Se'adet-i Ebediyye), which has been partly translated into English in fascicles titled (Endless Bliss). Imam, in this context, means the person who conducts the prayer of namaz when it is performed in jamaat (congregation of Muslims). It has other meanings, such as, 'religious leader', 'religious savant', etc.

[76] Our Prophet's Ascent to heaven. On Mi'raj our Prophet saw Allahu ta'ala, talked to Him, and heard Him in a manner that could not be comprehended or explained on the human standards.

The night of Mi'raj is celebrated yearly by all Muslims

[77] Muhammad Bahaaddin passed away in Bukhara in 791 [A.D. 1389].

[78] Qazruni passed away in 426 [A.D. 1034].

THE PRAYER THAT I SAY AFTER NAMAZ (SALAH)

Al-hamd-u-li'l-laahi Rab-b'il 'aalameen As-salaatu wa's-salaamu alaa resoolinaa Muhammadin wa Alihee wa Sahbihee ajma'een. Yaa Rabbee (O my Allah)! Accept the namaaz that I have performed! Bless me with a good end and destiny! Bless me with the fortune of pronouncing the Kalima-i-tawheed at my last breath. Forgive my dead relatives (father, mother, grandfather(s), grandmother(s)) and bless them with Thine Compassion. Allaahum-maghfeer warhaam wa anta khayr-ur-raahimeen. Tawaf-fanee musliman wa al-hiqnee bi-'s-saaliheen. Allaahum-maghfeer-lee wa bi'l-waalidayya wa-li'l-mu'mineena wa'l-mu'minaat yawma yaqumu'l hisaab. Yaa Rabbee! Protect me against the evils of the Shaytaan, the evils of enemies, and the evils of my own nafs! Bless our home with goodness, with beneficial and bounteous sustenance! Bless the Ahl-i-Islam (all Muslims) with salvation! Eliminate and ruin the enemies of Muslims! Bless those Muslims making Jihaad against disbelievers with Thine Divine Aid! Allaahumma in-naka afuw-wun kareemun tuhib-bu'ul 'afwa fa'fu 'annee. Yaa Rabbee! Bless the unhealthy ones among us with good health and the afflicted ones with relief! Allaahumma innee as'aluka's-sihhata wa'l-aafiyata wa'l-amaanata wa husn-al-khulqi wa'r-ridaa-a bi'l-qaderi bi-rahmatika yaa erhama'r-raahimeen. Yaa Rabbee! Bless my mother and father and my children and my kith and kin and all my brothers in Islam with beneficial lives, beautiful manners, common sense, good health, with guidance to the right way and salvation! Amin. Wa'l-hamdu li'l-laahi Rabbi'l-aalameen. Allaahumma salli alaa sayyidina Muhammadin wa alaa aali sayyidina Muhammad kema

sallayta alaa Ibraaheema wa alaa aali Ibraaheem innaka hameedun mejeed. Allaahumma [79]*...
 . Allaahumma Rabbanaa aatinaa fi'd-dunyaa hasanatan wa fi'l-aakhirati hasanatan wa qinaa
 'adhaaban-naar bi-rahmatika yaa er-hama'r-raahimeen. Wa'l-hamdu li'l-laahi Rab-bi'l 'aalameen.
 Astaghfirullah, astaghfirullah, astaghfirullah astaghfirullah-al'azeem alkareem laa-ilaaha illaa
 huwa'l-hayya'l qayyooma wa atoobu ilayh.

FOOTNOTES

[79]* The same prayer will be repeated, with the exception that the word 'salli' will be substituted with 'baarik', and the word 'sallayta' with 'baarakta'

THE BELIEF of AHL AS-SUNNAH

.
 The belief of Ahl as-sunna is what you need first,
 Of the seventy-three, choose this way, the rest'll lead to Hell.
 Muslims are all sunni: and their leader is Nu'man.
 Paradise is promised to their followers in iman.

.
 First make thine belief firm; then hold fast to Shari'at!
 Obey Islam's five commandments; do avoid its harams!
 If you commit a sin, make tawba, forthwith!
 Whoever violates Shari'at will one day regret it.

.
 Don't ever believe the atheist, else you 'll end up in misery!
 Mind sweet words, or they 'll entice you into catastrophe!
 Hypocrites are on the increase; angels in guise, snakes in essence.
 To entrap you, they'll be your friends, so true in appearance.

.
 Anyone may claim he is right, and others wrong,
 Islam is he criterion whereby to judge who is right!
 Person who disobeys Islam is an aberrant one ;
 He who knows history well, will confirm this word.

.
 Why will a person feeling unwell run to see a doctor?
 For no one wants to die, life is more sweet than anything else.
 Who on earth could claim he wouldn't like to live forever?
 Death does not mean nonexistence: believe in life in the grave!

.
 Paradise is everlasting, and so is Hell; Qur'an states so,
 Beware of everlasting affliction, were it only a suspicion,
 Yet some people deny this; a bat will shun light, and a crow
 Enjoys rubbish heaps. It is the philomel that will solicit the rose.

.
 No doubt, a lascivious profligate could not like Islam,
 These two would never come together; good and bad are opposites!
 Muslims are observant of the right, and kind to people;
 Infidels, like serpents, enjoy hurting others.

.
 Alas, Ya Rabbi, alas! Such a difficult time we live in:
 Religious knowledge's sunken into oblivion, very few perform namaz.
 Masons insidiously gnaw at Islam from all directions;
 Communists inflict torment; death, dungeon on Muslims.

.
 Today's eccentricities the Messenger foretold;
 Said: "My follower shall be so lonesome one day;
 Every home shall be loud with music, adhan heard no more;
 No 'alim anywhere, the ignorant shall come to the fore!

.
 Believers shall be miserable, disbelievers a Solomon each,
 Every man a servant of his wife, woman commanding in the home;
 Tall buildings shall be built, like a dog's teeth:
 Travelling shall be so fast, distance no longer a matter.

.
 The intellect shall find many things, yet mankind sound asleep.
 Birghiwi [80]* wrote in his book, as many hadiths foretold:
 Signs of the world's end shall appear, one after another;
 The most famous of these signs; many a person shall be drunk.

.
 People quite unaware of Islam shall be called 'Ulama.
 The cruel shall be honored, to ward off this harm.
 Shamelessness on the increase, cuckolds wondering,
 The basest of mankind in Moscow, issuing orders.

.
 Everyone an 'alim to himself, Muslim shall be called 'rough."
 Few shall tell the truth, liars talking all the time.
 A person shall be praised much, though having no eeman in his heart:
 Men, like women, shall wear silk clothes shamelessly.

.
 Wealth, adultery shall be arts, boys used for girls:
 Women shall be tightly dressed, legs, bosoms in the open.
 Fitna shall prevail all over, manslaughter for nothing.
 Bid'at shall be widespread, no one to obey the sunnats.

.
 People lacking moral sense, like Dajjal, shall invent thousands of lies,
 Should a person tell the truth, they shall assail from all directions.
 Men shall be unaware of Islam, and women shall be eccentric:
 Emr-i-ma'ruf shall be forgotten, charlatans advertising sins.

.
 Islam shall be criticized, harams shall be committed everywhere,
 Being a Muslim shall be in words only, melodies enjoyed in the name of Qur'an.
 The Believer shall be called reactionary, the renegade shall be backed:
 All these shall certainly happen before the crack of doom.

Dajjal, the grand prognostication, shall appear in Khorasan;
Then Isa shall descend from heaven, to a mosque in Damascus.
A hadith says, "Of my daughter Fatima's descendants, a youth
Named Mehdi shall appear, and his father shall be named Abdullah.

.
He shall strengthen Islam; iman shall spread all over the world,
Then this hero and Isa 'alaihi-salam' shall cooperate
And kill Dajjal, filling the whole world with justice and safety,
Later, Ye'juj and Me'juj[81]* shall appear from behind the wall.

.
Millions shall be their number, and they shall bloodbath everywhere.
Later Dabb-at-ul-ard shall appear from below Safa in Mekka:
A beast big as a mountain, it shall know good from bad.
The next portent is: the sun shall rise in the west.

.
Seeing this, disbelievers shall be Believers altogether,
Yet it shall do no good now coming round to the right course.
Another portent shall be a smoke appearing in Aden[82]*,
Also, several colored Abyssinians shall demolish Ka'ba.

.
The earth shall contain no copy of Qur'an the great blessing.
Muslims shall all die, and the wrongdoers shall survive.
He shall do all sorts of atrocity, the monster called mankind:
Yet a fire from Hidjaz shall catch all unawares.

.
As they wander, eccentric, unbridled, doom shall suddenly crack,
And many other things shall happen, yet impossible to express."
What a shame; some people have been made idols;
Ilahi! If Thou dost not help, we shall all ruin utterly.

.
All we do in this fashion of apostasy is, sinning, wrongdoing.
People have deviated, everyone has to care for his self!
Depravity around me has covered me with oblivion all over;
Life has gone by fast, wake up, o my heart, wake up!

.
You've always worked for this world, and lost your next world;
Pursuing only your physical needs, you've ruined your heart.
You've never followed mind or reason, the nafs your commander;
You've spent a youth in oblivion, always chasing worldly ambitions.

.
You would never take advice, aberrant as if intoxicated;
So deeply absorbed in worldly pleasures; now it is time to moan.
Deceived by perfidious people, you've fancied the world'd go on forever,
Efforts've come to naught, your opulence, riches are no good!

.
He who follows Islamic superiors, I've realized, will be happy;

What a shame, I've let a whole life fly away, now I feel doleful,
How I wish I'd obeyed Qur'an, now I'd be an eternal sultan,
A man may own the whole world, yet can not stay here forever.

.
Where is Darius[83]* or Alexander[84]*; where are the Romans and the Greek?
Where is Nimrod or Pharaoh; where is Qarun[85]* and where is Haman[86]*?
Where is Dzengiz[87]* and where is Hitler[88]*? What did they leave to mention?
Edison[89]* or Marconi[90]* or Pasteur[91]* shall not find kindness in the hereafter!

.
Do not think people useful to the world have reached perfection!
Theriac is sometimes made from a snake, and medicine from poison!
Do not judge by the appearance, iman is man's perfection!
Person with iman will not belazy; "Work", commands the Subhan[92]*.

.
Lazy and regressive people are censured by Nebiyy-i-Zishan[93]*;
He said in a hadith, "He who works is beloved to Rab!"
The soul, too, needs care; it is the beast that only feeds the body!
The health of this body is transient like running water!

.
Yes, the body is useful, for it is the one that carries the soul;
All the limbs deserve care; so a Muslim should act accordingly!
Did Nebiyyullah ever sit idly? Think well and be considerate!
All the Sahaba were experts in peace and lions in wartime.

.
I knew all these, yet I followed the nafs, now I am trembling,
I did not avoid sins; would this be the way of showing gratitude?
Hilmi, do not give up hope, Rahman[94]* is the name of your Rab!
Ilahi, help us; enemies are all around us!

.
Books, newspapers, films, radios have all become friends;
If they told the truth, they would be a testimony each.
Why should sources of knowledge and science be so disappointing?
New physics and modern chemistry always testify to Thine Being!

.
Every mote says, "Allah is," from atom to the heavens!
Yet no one sees them, the hearts no more have common sense.
Certainly, the world'll be dungeon for those who deny Haqq![95]*
What do you think is the source of these crises in Europe, America, and Asia?

.
For they do not see Haqq; they are all so befuddled;
Materially improved they are, yet quite unaware of humanity!
Could you expect peace and comfort from communism and freemasonry?
Absolutely will not attain happiness, person who turns away from Islam!

.
Radio of Moscow made aggressions against Islam daily this
Ramazan. Extremely sordid, so unmal were the calumnies it heaped.

Even though disbelief survives, the cruel shall perish;
 "I shall give respite to the cruel, yet this is no negligence," said Yezdan[96]*.

Let Muslims not worry, Deyyan[97]* shall protect Qur'an!
 It is an historical repetition; Prophets came in times of unbelief;
 Whenever the world was in darkness, rose a brilliant sun;
 And now the sun of hidayat[98]* shall rise in Anatolia!

Habibullah[99]* made possible attaining this hidayat!
 What does Habib mean? Think and you'll see how great he was.
 Ya Rab! He is such a Prophet that his slaves become sultans!
 Once a heart's been filled with his love, it'll give light to lights.

Why isn't that sun seen? The whole world has become blind;
 Endless blessing, greatest honor is loving him, no doubt;
 For his love I would sacrifice my whole property, my life!
 One who has not tasted sugar will not know how sweet it tastes.

So sinful I am, and so shamed; yet my heart glitters with love;
 For his love I shed so many tears; the soil of Erzincan is the witness!
 This love stopped wrongdoing; then I began moaning and groaning,
 The last breath is not known; yet this is a portent of happiness!

The blessing is loving him, this I have now realized!
 May he be closest to Habib, he who presented this love to us!

1960 A.D. 1380 H. Erzincan

FOOTNOTES

[80] Muhammad Birghiwi passed away in 981 [A.D. 1573].

[81] Gog and Magog.

[82] A coastal town in Yaman.

[83] Darius (the Great), the ninth and the last king of Ancient Persia.

[84] Alexander (the Great), king of Macedonia from 336 to 323 B.C.

[85] Qarun, a relation and an ummat (follower) of Musa 'alaihi-salam'. Later he came in possession of a great fortune, which spoiled him so badly that he turned away from Musa 'alaihi-salam'. He and all his riches were sunken into the earth. Also called Croesus.

[86] Pharaoh's vizier. He dissuaded Pharaoh from becoming a believer. He was the chief instigator in the martyrdom of Hadrat Asiya, Pharaoh's wife, who was a believeress in the religion of Musa 'alaihi-salam'.

[87] Dzengiz Khan, the Mongolian emperor, the most cruel one; an enemy of Islam, He massacred millions of Muslims. He put to the sword those Muslims who took refuge in mosques.

[88] Hitler, (Adolf), chancellor of Nazi Germany. He committed suicide in 1945.

[89] Edison, (Thomas Alva), U.S. inventor (d. 1350 (A.D. 1931)).

[90] Marconi, (Guglielmo), Italian electrical engineer (1291-1355 (A.D. 1874-1937)).

[91] Pasteur, (Louis), French chemist and bacteriologist (1237-1312 (A.D. 1822-95)).

[92] Allahu ta'ala.

[93] Our Prophet 'sall-allahu alaihi wasallam'.

[94] Compassionate, merciful.

[95] The Right Way, also a name of Allahu ta'ala.

[96] Allahu ta'ala.

[97] Allahu ta'ala.

[98] Guidance to the right way.

[99] The beloved one of Allahu ta'ala ; our Prophet.